

351.214(b)(2)(iv), Anhui Luan and Kunshan Xinrui each submitted respective documentation establishing the following: (1) The date on which it first shipped subject merchandise for export to the United States; (2) the volume of its first shipment and subsequent shipments; and (3) the date of its first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.<sup>9</sup>

#### Period of Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(g)(1)(i)(A), the period of review (POR) for a NSR initiated in the month immediately following the anniversary month will be the twelve-month period immediately preceding the anniversary month. Therefore, the POR for these NSRs is September 1, 2016, through August 31, 2017.

#### Initiation of New Shipper Reviews

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b), we find that the requests from Anhui Luan and Kunshan Xinrui meet the threshold requirements for initiation of (1) a NSR for shipments of freshwater crawfish tail meat from the PRC produced and exported during the POR by Anhui Luan,<sup>10</sup> and (2) a NSR for shipments of freshwater crawfish tail meat from the PRC produced by Leping Yongle and exported during the POR by Kunshan Xinrui.<sup>11</sup>

The Trade Facilitation and Trade Enforcement Act of 2015<sup>12</sup> amended section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act, including provisions which apply to these NSRs. Specifically, the TFTEA amended the Act so that, as of February 24, 2016, the Department no longer instructs U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to allow an importer the option of posting a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit during the pendency of a NSR.

Unless extended, the Department intends to issue the preliminary results of these NSRs no later than 180 days from the date of initiation and final results of the reviews no later than 90

<sup>9</sup> See Anhui Luan's NSR Request at Attachment 2; Kunshan Xinrui's NSR Request at Exhibit 2.

<sup>10</sup> See the Memorandum, "Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China: Initiation Checklist for Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review of Anhui Luan Hongyuan Foodstuffs Co., Ltd.," dated concurrently with this notice.

<sup>11</sup> See the Memorandum, "Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China: Initiation Checklist for Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review of Kunshan Xinrui Trading Co., Ltd.," dated concurrently with this notice.

<sup>12</sup> The Trade Facilitation and Trade Enforcement Act of 2015, H.R. 644, Public Law 114-125 (February 24, 2016) (TFTEA).

days after the date the preliminary results are issued.<sup>13</sup>

It is the Department's usual practice, in cases involving non-market economy countries, to require that a company seeking to establish eligibility for an antidumping duty rate separate from the country-wide rate provide evidence of *de jure* and *de facto* absence of government control over the company's export activities. Accordingly, we will issue questionnaires to Anhui Luan and Kunshan Xinrui which will include a section requesting information concerning each company's eligibility for a separate rate. We will rescind the NSR of Anhui Luan or Kunshan Xinrui if we determine that either company has not demonstrated that it is eligible for a separate rate.

Because Anhui Luan certified that it produced and exported subject merchandise, the sale of which is the basis for its request for a NSR, we will instruct CBP to continue to suspend liquidation of all entries of subject merchandise produced and exported by Anhui Luan. Similarly, because Kunshan Xinrui certified that Leping Yongle produced subject merchandise that Kunshan Xinrui exported, the sale of which is the basis for its request for a NSR, we will instruct CBP to continue to suspend liquidation of all entries of subject merchandise produced by Leping Yongle and exported by Kunshan Xinrui.

To assist in its analysis of the *bona fide* nature of Anhui Luan's and Kunshan Xinrui's respective sales, upon initiation of these NSRs, the Department will require Anhui Luan and Kunshan Xinrui, respectively, to submit on an ongoing basis complete transaction information concerning any sales of subject merchandise to the United States that were made subsequent to the POR.

Interested parties requiring access to proprietary information in the NSRs should submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation and notice are published in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214 and 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: October 12, 2017.

**James Maeder,**

*Senior Director performing the duties of Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2017-22600 Filed 10-17-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

<sup>13</sup> See section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act.

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-810]

#### Stainless Steel Bar From India: Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Intent To Reinstate Certain Companies in the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar (SS Bar) from India to determine whether Venus Wire Industries Pvt. Ltd. and its affiliates Precision Metals, Sieves Manufacturers (India) Pvt. Ltd., and Hindustan Inox Ltd. (collectively, Venus Group), or Viraj Profiles Ltd. (Viraj) have resumed dumping SS Bar and whether the antidumping order should be reinstated for SS Bar from India produced and/or exported by the Venus Group and produced and/or exported by Viraj. The period of review is July 1, 2015, through June 31, 2016.

We preliminarily determine that the Venus Group and Viraj (collectively, the respondents) have sold SS Bar at less than normal value (NV) and that SS Bar produced and/or exported by the respondents should be reinstated in the antidumping order on SS Bar from India. We will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to suspend liquidation of all entries of SS Bar produced and/or exported by the respondents and entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Applicable October 18, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Schauer, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0410.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is SS bar. The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 7222.10.00, 7222.11.00, 7222.19.00, 7222.20.00, 7222.30.00. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and

customs purposes only; the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.<sup>1</sup>

**Basis for Reinstatement**

In requesting revocation, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222(b)(2)(i)(B), the respondents agreed to immediate reinstatement of the order, so long as any exporter or producer is subject to the order, if the Secretary concludes that subsequent to the revocation, certain respondents sold SS Bar at less than NV.<sup>2</sup> Under 19 CFR 351.222(b)(2)(i)(B) as long as any exporter or producer is subject to an antidumping duty order which remains in force, an entity previously granted a revocation may be reinstated under that order if it is established that the entity has resumed the dumping of subject merchandise.

In this case, because other exporters in India remain subject to the SS Bar order, the order remains in effect, and the respondents may be reinstated in the order. The Department conditionally revoked the order with respect to respondents based in part upon their agreement to immediate reinstatement in the antidumping duty order if the Department were to find that the companies resumed dumping of SS Bar from India.<sup>3</sup>

As discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, we have examined the respondents' responses and have preliminarily found that the respondents' dumping margin for the review period is greater than *de minimis*, on the basis of adverse facts available. Accordingly, we preliminarily intend to reinstate the respondents in the antidumping duty order on SS Bar from India.

**Methodology**

The Department is conducting this changed circumstances review in accordance with section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.216(d). For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>1</sup> For a complete description of the scope of the order, see Memorandum titled, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review of Stainless Steel Bar from India," (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice.

<sup>2</sup> See *Stainless Steel Bar from India; Final Results, Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review in Part, and Determination To Revoke in Part*, 69 FR 55409, 55411 (September 14, 2004) (*Viraj Revocation*) and *Stainless Steel Bar from India: Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Revocation of the Order, in Part*, 76 FR 56401, 56403 (September 13, 2011) (*Venus Revocation*).

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>, and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be found at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an Appendix to this notice.

**Preliminary Results of Review**

The Department preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period June 1, 2015, through May 31, 2016:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Venus Wire Industries Pvt. Ltd. and its affiliates Precision Metals, Sieves Manufacturers (India) Pvt. Ltd., and Hindustan Inox Ltd .....	30.92
Viraj Profiles Ltd <sup>4</sup> .....	30.92

**Disclosure and Public Comment**

Normally, the Department discloses to interested parties the calculations performed in connection with the preliminary results of changed circumstances review within five days after public announcement of the preliminary results of changed circumstances review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Because the Department preliminarily applied AFA to each of the respondents in this changed circumstances review, in accordance with section 776 of the Act, there are no calculations to disclose.

As explained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, we intend to send a final supplemental questionnaire to the Venus Group after these preliminary results of review. We will disclose the schedule for submitting

<sup>4</sup> Viraj Alloys, Ltd., Viraj Forgings, Ltd., and Viraj Impoexpo, Ltd., are collectively now known as Viraj Profiles Limited. In July 2006, Viraj Forgings Ltd. merged with Viraj Alloys Ltd.; in April 2007, Viraj Alloys and Viraj Impoexpo Ltd. merged into Viraj Profiles Ltd.

briefs and requesting a hearing to all interested parties at a later date.

**Reinstatement and Suspension of Liquidation**

Because we have preliminarily established that SS Bar from India produced and/or exported by the respondents is being sold at less than NV, the respondents are hereby preliminarily reinstated in the antidumping duty order. We will instruct CBP to suspend liquidation of all entries of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by the respondents, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Furthermore, a cash deposit requirement of 30.92 percent will be in effect for all shipments of the subject merchandise produced and/or exported by either the Venus Group or Viraj, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice. This requirement shall remain in effect until further notice.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties. We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results of review in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: October 12, 2017.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

**Appendix**

**List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum**

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Collapsing
- V. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences
  - a. The Venus Group
  - b. Viraj

## VI. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2017-22601 Filed 10-17-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## International Trade Administration

[A-570-053]

**Certain Aluminum Foil From the People's Republic of China: Deferral of Preliminary Determination of the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation—Correction Notice**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** Applicable October 18, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Erin Kearney at (202) 482-0167, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

On October 12, 2017, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of the deferral of the preliminary determination in the less-than-fair-value investigation of aluminum foil from the People's Republic of China (PRC).<sup>1</sup> In the *Deferral Notice*, the Department inadvertently published an incorrect date of the deferred preliminary determination.<sup>2</sup>

**Correction**

The Department expects to issue the preliminary determination no later than November 30, 2017.

Dated: October 12, 2017.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2017-22599 Filed 10-17-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Aluminum Foil from the People's Republic of China: Deferral of Preliminary Determination of the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation*, 82 FR 47481 (October 12, 2017) (*Deferral Notice*).

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

RIN 0648-XE201

**Notice of Availability of the Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill Texas Trustee Implementation Group Final 2017 Restoration Plan and Finding of No Significant Impact**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA), the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and a Consent Decree with BP Exploration & Production Inc. (BP), entered in: Oil Spill by the Oil Rig "Deepwater Horizon" in the Gulf of Mexico, on April 20, 2010, MDL No. 2179 in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana, the *Deepwater Horizon* Federal and State natural resource trustee agencies for the Texas Trustee Implementation Group (Texas TIG) have prepared the Final 2017 Restoration Plan and Environmental Assessment: Restoration of Wetlands, Coastal, and Nearshore Habitats; and Oysters (Final RP/EA). The Final RP/EA describes and, in conjunction with the associated Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), selects 13 preferred alternatives considered by the Texas TIG to restore natural resources and ecological services injured or lost as a result of the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill. The Texas TIG evaluated alternatives under criteria set forth in the OPA natural resource damage assessment regulations, and evaluated the environmental consequences of the restoration alternatives in accordance with NEPA. The selected projects are consistent with the restoration alternatives selected in the *Deepwater Horizon* Oil Spill: Final Programmatic Damage Assessment and Restoration Plan/Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PDARP/PEIS). The Federal Trustees of the Texas TIG have determined that implementation of the Final RP/EA is not a major Federal Action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the context of NEPA. They have concluded a FONSI is appropriate, and, therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement will not be prepared. The purpose of this notice is to inform the public of the approval and availability of the Final RP/EA and FONSI.

**ADDRESSES:** *Obtaining Documents:* You may download the Final RP/EA and FONSI at <http://www.gulfspillrestoration.noaa.gov>. Alternatively, you may request a CD of the Final RP/EA and FONSI (see **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**). In addition, you may view the document at any of the public facilities listed at <http://www.gulfspillrestoration.noaa.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration—Jamie Schubert, [Jamie.Schubert@noaa.gov](mailto:Jamie.Schubert@noaa.gov), 409-621-1248;

- Texas Parks and Wildlife Department—Don Pitts, [Don.Pitts@tpwd.texas.gov](mailto:Don.Pitts@tpwd.texas.gov), 512-389-8754.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Introduction**

On April 20, 2010, the mobile offshore drilling unit *Deepwater Horizon*, which was being used to drill a well for BP in the Macondo prospect (Mississippi Canyon 252-MC252), exploded, caught fire, and subsequently sank in the Gulf of Mexico, resulting in an unprecedented volume of oil and other discharges from the rig and from the wellhead on the seabed. The *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill is the largest maritime oil spill in United States history, discharging millions of barrels of oil over a period of 87 days. In addition, well over one million gallons of dispersants were applied to the waters of the spill area in an attempt to disperse the spilled oil. An undetermined amount of natural gas also was released to the environment as a result of the spill.

The *Deepwater Horizon* Federal and State natural resource trustees (DWH Trustees) conducted the natural resource damage assessment (NRDA) for the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill under the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA; 33 U.S.C. 2701 *et seq.*). Pursuant to OPA, Federal and State agencies act as trustees on behalf of the public to assess natural resource injuries and losses and to determine the actions required to compensate the public for those injuries and losses. OPA further instructs the designated trustees to develop and implement a plan for the restoration, rehabilitation, replacement, or acquisition of the equivalent of the injured natural resources under their trusteeship, including the loss of use and services from those resources from the time of injury until the time of restoration to baseline (the resource quality and conditions that would exist if the spill had not occurred) is complete.

The DWH Trustees are: