

EPA-APPROVED SOURCE SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS—Continued

Name of source	Order/permit No.	Effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation
*	*	*	*	*
* * * * *				
(e) * * *				
* * * * *				

TABLE 1—EPA-APPROVED NON-REGULATORY AND QUASI-REGULATORY MEASURES  
[Excluding certain resolutions and statutes, which are listed in tables 2 and 3, respectively]<sup>1</sup>

Name of SIP provision	Applicable geographic or nonattainment area or title/subject	State submittal date	EPA approval date	Explanation
<b>The State of Arizona Air Pollution Control Implementation Plan</b>				
<b>Clean Air Act Section 110(a)(2) State Implementation Plan Elements (Excluding Part D Elements and Plans)</b>				
*	*	*	*	*
Arizona State Implementation Plan Revision to the Arizona Regional Haze Plan for the Salt River Project Coronado Generating Station, excluding Appendix B.	Source-Specific .....	December 15, 2016 ....	October 10, 2017, [IN-SERT <b>Federal Register</b> CITATION].	BART Alternative for Coronado Generating Station adopted December 14, 2016.
*	*	*	*	*

<sup>1</sup> Table 1 is divided into three parts: Clean Air Act Section 110(a)(2) State Implementation Plan Elements (excluding Part D Elements and Plans), Part D Elements and Plans (other than for the Metropolitan Phoenix or Tucson Areas), and Part D Elements and Plans for the Metropolitan Phoenix and Tucson Areas.

\* \* \* \* \*

■ 3. Section 52.145 is amended by:

■ a. Removing and reserving paragraph (e)(1).

■ b. Removing paragraphs (e)(2)(iii)–(vi).

■ c. Removing and reserving paragraph (f).

[FR Doc. 2017–21604 Filed 10–6–17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[EPA–R08–OAR–2015–0617; FRL–9969–04–Region 8]

**Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; State of Utah; General Burning Rule Revisions**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Utah on January 28, 2013, and July 8, 2015. The submittals request SIP revisions to the State’s General Burning rule; a repeal

and reenactment of the General Burning rule with changes to applicability, timing and duration of burning windows, and an amendment to exempt Native American ceremonial burning during restricted burning days.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on November 9, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–R08–OAR–2015–0617. All documents in the docket are listed on the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through <http://www.regulations.gov>, or please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional availability information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Chris Dresser, Air Program, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 8, Mail Code 8P–AR, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado

80202–1129, (303) 312–6385, [dresser.chris@epa.gov](mailto:dresser.chris@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

In our notice of proposed rulemaking published on July 13, 2017 (82 FR 32282), the EPA proposed to approve Utah’s January 28, 2013 SIP submission, which repeals and reenacts the General Burning provisions in R307–202 with several amendments (discussed in the proposed rulemaking). Additionally, the EPA proposed approval of Utah’s July 8, 2015 revisions, which exempts ceremonial burning conducted by a “Native American spiritual advisor” during restricted burn days. In this rulemaking, we are taking final action on both SIP submittals. The reasons for our approval are provided in detail in the proposed rule.

**II. Response to Comments**

We received no comments on the proposed rule.

**III. Final Action**

For the reasons expressed in the proposed rule, the EPA is approving revisions to Sections in R307–202 of the State’s General Burning provisions from the January 28, 2013 and July 8, 2015 submittals.

**IV. Incorporation by Reference**

In this rule, the EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, the EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of Utah General Burning provisions described in the amendments set forth to 40 CFR part 52 below. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these materials generally available through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) and/or at the EPA Region 8 Office (please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information).

Therefore, these materials have been approved by the EPA for inclusion in the SIP, have been incorporated by reference by the EPA into that plan, are fully federally enforceable under sections 110 and 113 of the CAA as of the effective date of the final rulemaking of the EPA’s approval, and will be incorporated by reference by the Director of the Federal Register in the next update to the SIP compilation.<sup>1</sup>

**V. Statutory and Executive Orders Review**

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable federal regulations (42 U.S.C. 7410(k), 40 CFR 52.02(a)). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this final action merely approves some state law as meeting federal requirements; this final action does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this final action:

- Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, Oct. 4, 1993);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, Aug. 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Does not provide the EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, Feb. 16, 1994).

The SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. The EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller

General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by December 11, 2017. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See CAA section 307(b)(2)).

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: September 25, 2017.

**Suzanne J. Bohan,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 8.*

40 CFR part 52 is amended to read as follows:

**PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS**

- 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

**Subpart TT—Utah**

- 2. In § 52.2320, the table in paragraph (c) is amended by revising the entry “R307–202” to read as follows:

**§ 52.2320 Identification of plan.**

\* \* \* \* \*  
(c) \* \* \*

<sup>1</sup> 62 FR 27968 (May 22, 1997).

Rule No.	Rule title	State effective date	Final rule citation, date	Comments
<b>R307–202. Emission Standards: General Burning</b>				
R307–202 .....	Emission Standards: General Burning .....	10/6/2014	Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation], 10/10, 2017.	

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2017–21612 Filed 10–6–17; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[EPA–R09–OAR–2015–0204; FRL–9969–03–Region 9]

**Interim Final Determination To Defer Sanctions; California; Los Angeles-South Coast Air Basin**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).  
**ACTION:** Interim final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is making an interim final determination to defer the imposition of offset and highway sanctions in the Los Angeles-South Coast air basin (“South Coast”) based on a proposed approval of revisions to the South Coast portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) published elsewhere in this **Federal Register**. The revisions concern Clean Air Act (CAA) reasonably available control measures/reasonably available control technology (RACM/RACT) and reasonable further progress (RFP) requirements for the 2006 24-hour fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) in the South Coast.

**DATES:** This interim final determination is effective on October 10, 2017. However, comments will be accepted until November 9, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R09–OAR–2015–0204 at <http://www.regulations.gov>, or via email to Wienke Tax, Air Planning Office, at [tax.wienke@epa.gov](mailto:tax.wienke@epa.gov). For comments submitted at [Regulations.gov](http://Regulations.gov), follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be removed or edited from [Regulations.gov](http://Regulations.gov). For either manner of submission, the EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket.

Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the Web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Wienke Tax, Air Planning Office (AIR–2), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 947–4192, [tax.wienke@epa.gov](mailto:tax.wienke@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document, “we,” “us” and “our” refer to the EPA.

**I. Background**

On April 14, 2016 (80 FR 22025), we published a final action to partially approve and partially disapprove SIP revisions submitted by California to address CAA Moderate area attainment plan requirements for the 2006 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS in the South Coast nonattainment area (“2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Plan”). As part of that action, we disapproved two elements of the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Plan because they did not fully meet the requirements for RACM/RACT-level controls under sections 189(a)(1)(C) and 172(c)(1) of the CAA and thus also did not meet the requirement for RFP under section 172(c)(2) of the CAA. This disapproval action became effective on May 16, 2016, and started a sanctions clock for imposition of offset sanctions 18 months after May 16, 2016, and

highway sanctions 6 months later, pursuant to CAA section 179 and our regulations at 40 CFR 52.31. Therefore, offset sanctions will apply on November 16, 2017, and highway sanctions will apply on May 16, 2018, unless the EPA determines that the deficiencies forming the bases for the disapprovals have been corrected.

On March 17, 2017, the State of California submitted, as a revision to the California SIP, amendments to the South Coast Air Quality Management District’s (SCAQMD or “District”) Regional Clean Air Incentives Market (RECLAIM) program, which consists of SCAQMD rules 2000 to 2020 and applies to stationary sources that emit at least four tons per year of nitrogen oxides or sulfur oxides in the South Coast. Additionally, on May 22, 2017, CARB submitted the SCAQMD’s public draft version of the “Supplemental RACM/RACT Analysis for the 2006 24-Hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> and 2008 8-Hour Ozone Standards” (“2017 RACT Supplement”).<sup>1</sup> We proposed to approve the revised RECLAIM rules on June 6, 2017 (82 FR 25996), and fully approved these rules on September 14, 2017 (82 FR 43176). We proposed to approve the 2017 RACT Supplement on June 15, 2017 (82 FR 27451), and fully approved it on September 20, 2017 (82 FR 43850).<sup>2</sup>

In the Proposed Rules section of today’s **Federal Register**, we are proposing to approve the RACM/RACT and RFP demonstrations in the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Plan based on our final approvals of the revised RECLAIM rules and the

<sup>1</sup> California submitted the 2017 RACT Supplement to address deficiencies identified in both the EPA’s April 14, 2016 partial disapproval of the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Plan and the EPA’s separate proposal to partially disapprove the District’s “2016 AQMP Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) Demonstration,” which California had submitted to address RACT requirements under CAA section 182(b) and (f) and 40 CFR 51.1112 for the 2008 ozone NAAQS in the South Coast and Coachella Valley nonattainment areas (*see* 82 FR 27451, June 15, 2017).

<sup>2</sup> California adopted the 2017 RACT Supplement on July 7, 2017, and submitted it to the EPA on July 27, 2017.