

submitted for parallel processing to address regional haze requirements for Entergy Nelson (82 FR 32294). In the July 13, 2017 action, we proposed to approve an SO<sub>2</sub> emissions limit of 0.6 pounds per million British Thermal Units (lbs/MMBtu) at Entergy Nelson Unit 6. The compliance date we proposed to approve was no later than the effective date of our approval of a final SIP. On August 24, 2017, Louisiana submitted a letter explaining its intent to revise the compliance date in its SIP for Entergy Nelson Unit 6 based on a public comment received during the State's comment period. On June 21, 2017, Entergy, the owner and operator of Nelson, submitted a comment to LDEQ on the proposed SIP. The comment letter requests a three-year period for the transition to the proposed SO<sub>2</sub> limit for Nelson Unit 6. Entergy's letter explains that coal contracts are in place for the next three years. Entergy requests this longer compliance time to allow the company's fuel procurement to transition to new mines with lower sulfur coal. As a result, Louisiana's revised compliance date for Entergy Nelson Unit 6 to meet the SO<sub>2</sub> emissions limit is three years from the effective date of our approval of the SIP revision.

## II. The EPA's Evaluation

We sent clarifying questions via email to Entergy regarding their need for three years to comply with the emission limit of 0.6 lbs/MMBtu. The questions and Entergy's response are included in the docket. A compliance date of three years from the effective date of our approval of the SIP revision allows time for Entergy Nelson to ensure that Unit 6 is able to continuously meet a SO<sub>2</sub> emissions limit of 0.6 lbs/MMBtu once the emission limit becomes enforceable. We believe this is a reasonable basis to allow three years for Nelson Unit 6 to comply with this limit, and this change meets the requirement that BART be installed and operational "as expeditiously as practicable, but in no event later than five years after approval of the [SIP]." 40 CFR 51.308(e)(1)(v). As a result, we propose to approve this SIP revision when it is submitted to EPA.

## III. Proposed Action

EPA amends our proposal to approve a Louisiana regional haze SIP revision submitted on August 24, 2017 to allow a compliance date three years from the effective date of the final EPA approval of the SIP revision for Unit 6 of the Entergy Nelson EGU to meet a SO<sub>2</sub> emissions limit of 0.6 lbs/MMBtu.

## IV. Incorporation by Reference

In this action, we are proposing to include in a final rule regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with the requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, we are proposing to incorporate by reference revisions to the Louisiana regulations as described in the Proposed Action section above. We have made, and will continue to make, these documents generally available electronically through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) and/or in hard copy at the EPA Region 6 office.

## V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely proposes to approve state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011) and 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 2, 2017);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because this action does not involve technical standards; and

- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the proposed rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

## List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Regional haze, Sulfur dioxides, Visibility.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: September 20, 2017.

**Samuel Coleman,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 6.*

[FR Doc. 2017-20533 Filed 9-25-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Parts 51, 61, and 69

[WC Docket No. 10-90; CC Docket No. 01-92]

### Parties Asked To Refresh the Record on Inter-carrier Compensation Reform Related to the Network Edge, Tandem Switching and Transport, and Transit

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission invites interested parties to update the record on issues raised by the Commission in the 2011 *Inter-carrier Compensation (ICC) Transformation Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM)* regarding the network edge for traffic that interconnects with the Public Switched Telephone Network, tandem switching and transport, and transit (the non-access traffic functional equivalent of tandem switching and transport). The Commission seeks updated comment in light of regulatory and marketplace developments that have occurred since the 2011 *ICC Transformation FNPRM*, including the transition of certain

terminating traffic to bill-and-keep, and implementation of the adopted mandate to move all traffic to bill-and-keep. The Commission also seeks comment on other developments related to those issues that should be considered in the context of further ICC reform.

**DATES:** The FCC should receive comments by October 26, 2017; reply comments should be received November 13, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission at 445 12th Street SW., Room TW–A325, Washington, DC 20554.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joseph Price, Pricing Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau at (202) 418–1423 or via email at [Joseph.Price@fcc.gov](mailto:Joseph.Price@fcc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's document WC Docket 10–90, CC Docket No. 01–92; DA 17–863, released on September 8, 2017. This document does not contain information collection(s) subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), Public Law 104–13. In addition, therefore, it does not contain any new or modified "information collection burden[s]" for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees," pursuant to the Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of 2002. The full text of this document may be downloaded at the following Internet address: <https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs/public/attachmatch/DA-17-863A1.doc>.

The complete text maybe purchased from Best Copy and Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554. To request alternative formats, for persons with disabilities (e.g. accessible format documents, sign language, interpreters, CARTS, etc.), send an email to [fcc504@fcc.gov](mailto:fcc504@fcc.gov) or call the Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418–0530 or (202) 418–0432 (TTY).

Interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates established by **Federal Register** publication. Comments may be filed using the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS). See *Electronic Filing of Documents in Rulemaking Proceedings*, 63 FR 24121 (1998).

- **Electronic Filers:** Comments may be filed electronically using the Internet by accessing the ECFS: <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/>.

- **Paper Filers:** Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and one copy of each filing. If more than one docket or rulemaking number appears in the caption of this proceeding, filers

must submit two additional copies for each additional docket or rulemaking number.

- Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail. All filings must be addressed to the Commission's Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

- All hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission's Secretary must be delivered to FCC Headquarters at 445 12th Street SW., Room TW–A325, Washington, DC 20554. The filing hours are 8:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes and boxes must be disposed of *before* entering the building.

- Commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail) must be sent to 9300 East Hampton Drive, Capitol Heights, MD 20743.

- U.S. Postal Service first-class, Express, and Priority mail must be addressed to 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554.

**People with Disabilities:** To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to [fcc504@fcc.gov](mailto:fcc504@fcc.gov) or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418–0530 (voice) or (202) 418–0432 (tty).

The proceeding shall be treated as a "permit-but-disclose" proceeding in accordance with the Commission's *ex parte* rules. Persons making *ex parte* presentations must file a copy of any written presentation or a memorandum summarizing any oral presentation within two business days after the presentation (unless a different deadline applicable to the Sunshine period applies). Persons making oral *ex parte* presentations are reminded that memoranda summarizing the presentation must (1) list all persons attending or otherwise participating in the meeting at which the *ex parte* presentation was made, and (2) summarize all data presented and arguments made during the presentation. If the presentation consisted in whole or in part of the presentation of data or arguments already reflected in the presenter's written comments, memoranda or other filings in the proceeding, the presenter may provide citations to such data or arguments in his or her prior comments, memoranda, or other filings (specifying the relevant page and/or paragraph numbers where such data or arguments

can be found) in lieu of summarizing them in the memorandum. Documents shown or given to Commission staff during *ex parte* meetings are deemed to be written *ex parte* presentations and must be filed consistent with rule 1.1206(b). In proceedings governed by rule 1.49(f) or for which the Commission has made available a method of electronic filing, written *ex parte* presentations and memoranda summarizing oral *ex parte* presentations, and all attachments thereto, must be filed through the electronic comment filing system available for that proceeding, and must be filed in their native format (e.g., .doc, .xml, .ppt, searchable .pdf). Participants in this proceeding should familiarize themselves with the Commission's *ex parte* rules.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Pamela S. Arluk,**

*Chief, Pricing Policy Division.*

[FR Doc. 2017–20353 Filed 9–25–17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712–01–P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Chapter I

[EB Docket No. 17–245; FCC 17–115]

### Procedural Rules Governing Formal Complaint Proceedings NPRM

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) proposes a uniform set of procedural rules for formal complaint proceedings delegated to the Enforcement Bureau and currently handled by its Market Disputes Resolution Division and Telecommunications Consumers Division. Specifically, the NPRM proposes to streamline and consolidate the procedural rules governing formal complaints filed under Section 208 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (Act); pole attachment complaints filed under Section 224 of the Act; and formal advanced communications services and equipment complaints filed under Sections 255, 716, and 718 of the Act. These separate sets of procedural rules are not congruent, and the inconsistencies have led to needless confusion.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before October 26, 2017. Reply comments are due on or before November 13, 2017.