Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review," People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial Rescission of Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested a review withdraws its request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. All requesting parties withdrew their respective requests for an administrative review of the following companies within 90 days of the date of publication of the Initiation Notice: 3 Elkay (China) Kitchen Solutions, Co., Ltd.; Foshan Shunde MingHao Kitchen Utensils Co., Ltd.; Franke Asia Sourcing Ltd.; Grand Hill Work Company; Guandong Dongyuan Kitchenware Industrial Co., Ltd.; Guangdong G-Top Import & Export Co., Ltd.; Guangdong Yingbo Kitchen Utensils Co., Ltd.; Hangzhou Heng’s Industries Co., Ltd.; Hubei Foshan Success Imp & Exp Co. Ltd.; J&C Industries Enterprise Limited; Jiangmen Pioneer Import & Export Co., Ltd.; Jiangxi Zojie Kitchen & Bath Industry Co., Ltd.; Ningbo Oulin Kitchen Utensils Co., Ltd.; Primy Cooperation Limited; Shenzhen Kehuaixing Industrial Ltd.; Shunde Foodstuffs Import & Export Company Limited of Guangdong; Shunde Native Produce Import and Export Co., Ltd. of Guangdong; Zhongshan Neweacn Enterprise Development Co., Ltd.; Zhongshan Rongxin Kitchenware Co., Ltd.; Zhongshan Silk Imp, & Exp. Group Co., Ltd. of Guangdong. Accordingly, the Department is rescinding this review, in part, with respect to these companies, in accordance with 19 CFR 353.213(d)(1). 4


**Assessment**

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The companies for which this review is rescinded, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as the only reminder to importers whose entries will be liquidated as a result of this rescission notice, of their responsibility concerning the disposition of propriety information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

**Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order**

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of propriety information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/construction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751 of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

---


4 As stated in Change in Practice in NME Reviews, the Department will no longer consider the non-market economy entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews. See Antidumping: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings, 78 FR 65963 (November 3, 2013).
DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6478 or (202) 482–2285, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background
The Department published the notice of initiation of this administrative review on February 13, 2017.1 On March 10, 2017, Wah Yuen submitted a separate rate certification and on March 15, 2017, Ningbo Homey submitted a separate rate application.2 On March 17, 2017, Orient withdrew its request for an administrative review.3 On March 30, 2017, we selected Ningbo Homey as the mandatory respondent in this review.4 On April 3, 2017, we issued Ningbo Homey the AD questionnaire,5 however, Ningbo Homey did not respond. On April 5, 2017, the petitioner withdrew its request for an administrative review of Rongxin.6 On May 22, 2017, and July 13, 2017, Wah Yuen submitted supplemental separate rate information.7 For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.8 A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via the Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order
The merchandise subject to the order includes certain cased pencils from the PRC. The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheading 9609.1010. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Partial Recision of Review
Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, “in whole or in part, if a party that requested a review withdraws its request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review.” The requests for review of Orient and Rongxin were withdrawn within the 90-day limit. Because we received no other requests for review of these companies, we are rescinding the administrative review of Orient and Rongxin.

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
Based on an analysis of CBP information 9 and Wah Yuen’s Separate Rate Addendum, the Department preliminarily determines that the Wah Yuen entity 10 had no shipments during the POR. For additional information regarding this determination, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. Consistent with our practice in non-market economy (NME) cases, we are not rescinding this review, in part, but we intend to complete the review with respect to the Wah Yuen entity, and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of the review.11

Methodology
The Department is conducting this review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1)(B) and 751(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Tianjin Tonghe did not submit a separate rate application and, therefore has not demonstrated its eligibility for a separate rate. Accordingly, we are preliminarily treating Tianjin Tonghe as part of the PRC-wide entity. In addition, because Ningbo Homey did not respond to our AD questionnaire, we preliminarily determine that Ningbo Homey has also not demonstrated its eligibility for a separate rate and we are therefore preliminarily treating Ningbo Homey as part of the PRC-wide entity.

The Department’s policy regarding conditional review of the PRC-wide entity applies to this administrative review.12 Under this policy, the PRC-wide entity will not be under review unless a party specifically requests, or the Department self-initiates, a review of the entity. Because no party requested a review of the PRC-wide entity in this

---

8 See Memorandum from James Maeder, Senior Director performing the duties of the Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Gary Taverner, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.
9 See Memorandum from James Maeder, Senior Director performing the duties of the Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Gary Taverner, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.
10 The Department previously determined that Wah Yuen and Shandong Wah Yuen are affiliated and should be treated as a single entity pursuant to section 771(f)(3) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.401(i). See Certain Cased Pencils from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review; 2014–2015, 81 FR 37573 (June 10, 2016), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memo at 9; unchanged in Certain Cased Pencils from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review; 2014–2015, 81 FR 74764 (October 27, 2016). In the absence of evidence indicating that the Department should reexamine its determination to collapse these two companies, we are continuing to treat Wah Yuen and Shandong Wah Yuen as a single entity for purposes of this administrative review.
12 Although Wah Yuen reported that it is affiliated with Tianjin Tonghe, it also stated that it was not requesting a separate rate for Tianjin Tonghe. See Separate Rate Addendum at 10.
review, the entity is not under review and the entity’s current rate, i.e., 114.90 percent, is not subject to change. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Disclosure

Normally, the Department will disclose the calculations used in its analysis to parties in this review within five days of the date of publication of the notice of preliminary results in the Federal Register, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). However, in this case, there are no calculations on this record to disclose.

Public Comment

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance no later than 30 days after the publication of these preliminary results, unless the Secretary alters the time limit. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be submitted no later than five days after the deadline date for case briefs. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this review are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, limited to issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) The party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, the Department intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, at a time and date to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date. The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of our analysis of the issues raised in the case briefs, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results in the Federal Register, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, unless the deadline is extended.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, AD duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this review. If the Department continues to find Ningbo Homey and Tianjin Tonghe as part of the PRC-wide entity in the final results, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate POR entries of subject merchandise from these companies at the PRC-wide rate of 114.90 percent. Moreover, if the Department continues to make a no-shipment finding for Wah Yuen in the final results, any suspended entries of subject merchandise from Wah Yuen will also be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate. Finally, with respect to entries from Orient and Rongxin, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For any companies listed above that have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of review (except, if the rate is zero or de minimis, then zero cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of AD duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double AD duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213.

Gary Taverman,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. Discussion of the Methodology
   a. Partial Rescission of Review
   b. Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
   c. NME Country Status
5. Separate Rates
6. Recommendation
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See 19 CFR 351.309; see also 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

See 19 CFR 351.212(b).

See Assessment Notice.