

TABLE 6 TO PARAGRAPH (j)(2) OF THIS AD—REPETITIVE INSPECTION INTERVALS FOR MODEL C–295 AIRPLANES—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C–295 Model/version</th>
<th>Manufacturer’s serial No.</th>
<th>Elevator hinge fitting (part number)</th>
<th>Compliance time for repetitive eddy current inspections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C–295M/CH01 ..........</td>
<td>MSN031 through MSN999 inclusive.</td>
<td>95–31193–0503 / 95–31193–0504</td>
<td>Before exceeding 1,000 flight cycles since the most recent inspection; or before exceeding 1,200 flight hours since the most recent inspection; whichever occurs first.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C–295M/CH02, OM03</td>
<td>MSN031 through MSN999 inclusive.</td>
<td>95–31193–0503 / 95–31193–0504</td>
<td>Before exceeding 1,000 flight cycles since the most recent inspection; or before exceeding 1,500 flight hours since the most recent inspection; whichever occurs first.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C–295MW ...............</td>
<td>MSN031 through MSN999 inclusive.</td>
<td>95–31193–0503 / 95–31193–0504</td>
<td>Before exceeding 1,000 flight cycles since the most recent inspection; or before exceeding 1,400 flight hours since the most recent inspection; whichever occurs first.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(k) Corrective Action for Discrepancies Found During Eddy Current Inspection

If, during any inspection required by paragraph (i)(1), (j)(2), (j)(1), or (j)(2) of this AD, any crack is detected, as defined in Airbus Defense and Space S.A. AOT AOT–CN235–55–0003, dated December 22, 2015; or AOT AOT–C295–55–0003, dated December 22, 2015; as applicable: Before further flight, accomplish applicable corrective actions in accordance with the instructions of Airbus Defense and Space S.A. AOT AOT–CN235–55–0003, dated December 22, 2015; or AOT AOT–C295–55–0003, dated December 22, 2015; as applicable. Where Airbus Defense and Space S.A. AOT AOT–CN235–55–0003, dated December 22, 2015; or AOT AOT–C295–55–0003, dated December 22, 2015; specifies to contact Airbus Defense and Space S.A. for corrective actions, before further flight, accomplish corrective actions in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph (n)(2) of this AD.

(l) Provision Regarding Terminating Action

Accomplishing corrective actions, as required by paragraph (k) of this AD, does not constitute terminating action for the repetitive inspections required by paragraphs (i)(2) and (j)(2) of this AD, unless explicitly stated in the approved method of compliance for the corrective action.

(m) Credit for Previous Actions

This paragraph provides credit for the actions required by paragraph (g) of this AD, if those actions were performed before the effective date of this AD using Airbus Defense and Space S.A. AOT AOT–CN235–55–0001, Revision 1, dated March 6, 2015; or AOT AOT–C295–55–0001, Revision 1, dated May 29, 2014.

(n) Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, International Section, Transport Standards Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office.

(2) Contacting the Manufacturer: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer, the action must be accomplished using a method approved by the Manager, International Section, Transport Standards Branch, FAA; or the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA); or Airbus Defense and Space S.A.’s EASA DOA. If approved by the DOA, the approval must include the DOA-authorized signature.

(o) Related Information

(1) Refer to Mandatory Continuing Airworthiness Information (MCAI) EASA AD 2016–0075, dated April 19, 2016, for related information. This MCAI may be found in the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2016–9521.


(3) Service information identified in this AD that is not incorporated by reference is available at the addresses specified in paragraphs (p)(3) and (p)(4) of this AD.

(p) Material Incorporated by Reference

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference (IBR) of the service information listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

You must use this service information as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless this AD specifies otherwise.


(3) For service information identified in this AD, contact Airbus Defense and Space, Services/Engineering Support, Avenida de Aragón 404, 28022 Madrid, Spain; fax +34 91 585 31 27; email MTA.TechnicalService@airbus.com.

(4) You may view this service information at the FAA, Transport Standards Branch, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

(5) You may view this service information that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 22, 2017.

Dionne Palermo,
Acting Director, System Oversight Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2017–18396 Filed 9–1–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

22 CFR Part 41
[Public Notice 9580]

RIN 1400–AD30

Visas: Documentation of Nonimmigrants Under the Immigration and Nationality Act, as Amended

AGENCY: Department of State.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This final rule clarifies procedures for waiver of documentary requirements due to an unforeseen emergency for nonimmigrants seeking admission to the United States.

DATES: This rule is effective on October 5, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Megan B. Herndon, Legislation and Regulations Division, Legal Affairs, Office of Visa Services, Bureau of Consular Affairs, Department of State, 600 19th St. NW., Washington, DC 20006 (202) 485–7440.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This rulemaking finalizes procedures in 22 CFR 41.2, regarding waiver of documentary requirements, due to an unforeseen emergency, for nonimmigrants seeking admission to the United States. The notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) was published on March 8, 2016, with a 60-day public comment period. 81 FR 12050.

Responses to the comments are summarized below.

This rulemaking substantially reinstates a 1999 Department of State regulatory amendment that was invalidated by court order in United Airlines, Inc. v. Brien, 588 F.3d 158 (2d Cir. 2009). Additional background is contained in the Department of State NPRM. 81 FR at 12050. Further background is in the parallel NPRM from the Department of Homeland Security (DHS). 81 FR 12032. The Department is acting jointly with DHS in issuing these final rules.

Public Comments

The Department of State received six public comments on this rule. One was supportive. Three were criticisms of U.S. immigration policy, and thus outside the scope of this rulemaking.

One commenter requested that the Department provide a “detailed comparison of the rule that was rejected by the Court of Appeals.” The 1994 rule that was reinstated by the Court of Appeals provided that a visa and passport are not required if, prior to the alien’s embarkation abroad or upon arrival at a port of entry, the INS concludes that the alien is unable to present the required documents because of an unforeseen emergency. The 1999 rule removed that text and provided that, except in cases cited in other subsections of § 41.2, all nonimmigrants are required to present a valid, unexpired visa and passport upon arrival in the United States. The 1999 rule allowed aliens to apply for a waiver of these requirements (i.e., the requirements were waived, but not removed) if, prior to embarkation or upon arrival at a port of entry, the INS determined they were unable to present the required documents because of an unforeseen emergency. The former (1994) rule did not adequately implement section 273 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), 8 U.S.C. 1323, which authorized the legacy INS to fine carriers that transported nonimmigrants without the appropriate documentation. The 1999 rule corrected this, and provided support for DHS to impose fines. This rule substantially reinstates the 1999 rule, which was invalidated by the Court of Appeals only on procedural grounds relating to the way the 1994 rule was amended.

The commenter also requested an explanation of the term, “officer in charge of the port of entry.” The term “officer in charge of the port of entry” refers to a U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) district director. Individuals seeking admission into the United States are inspected at ports of entry by CBP officers who determine their admissibility. The CBP officer is responsible for reviewing travel documents, visas, and other credentials. The rule has been amended to refer to the CBP district director instead of the officer in charge of the DHS district director.

Another commenter stated that it was unclear why this rule punishes carriers for transporting individuals without proper documentation, some of whom will be admitted legally into the United States. This rule is an implementation of section 273 of the INA, 8 U.S.C. 1323, which provides for penalties against carriers that transport an individual without proper documentation. Under the statute, a penalty may be remitted or refunded if the Secretary of Homeland Security is satisfied that, prior to the departure of the vessel or aircraft from the last port outside the United States, the carrier did not know, and could not have ascertained by the exercise of reasonable diligence, that the individual transported was an alien and that a valid passport or visa was required. See 8 U.S.C. 1323(c). Accordingly, the eventual admission of the individual to the United States does not preclude the imposition of the fine.

Summary

After consideration of the public comments, the Department of State is clarifying the title of the responsible CBP official but otherwise adopts the proposed rule as final. DHS is finalizing its parallel rule in today’s Federal Register.

Regulatory Findings

A. Administrative Procedure Act (APA)

The Department of State published this rule as an NPRM on March 8, 2016, and provided 60 days for public comment. 81 FR 12050. The rule will be effective 30 days after publication, in accordance with the APA.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act/Executive Order 13272: Small Business

The Department of State has reviewed this rulemaking and certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

C. The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, Public Law 104–4, 109 Stat. 48, 2 U.S.C. 1532, generally requires agencies to prepare a statement before proposing any rule that may result in an annual expenditure of $100 million or more by State, local, or tribal governments, or by the private sector. This rule will not result in any such expenditure, nor will it significantly or uniquely affect small governments.

D. The Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996

This rule is not a major rule as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804, for purposes of congressional review of agency rulemaking under the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996. This rule will not result in an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more; a major increase in costs or prices; or adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of United States-based companies to compete with foreign-based companies in domestic and import markets.

E. Executive Orders 12866 and 13771

The Department of State does not assess or collect fines under INA section 273. Neither this rule, nor prior versions of this regulation, address fines against carriers. However, the November 20, 2009, opinion from the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit requires joint rulemaking by the Department of State and DHS for the DHS rule to take effect. United Airlines, Inc. v. Brien, 588 F.3d 158, 179 (2d Cir. 2009). For a full economic analysis, see the parallel DHS final rule for 8 CFR 212.1(g), RIN 1651–AA97, published in this issue of the Federal Register. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has not designated this rule a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866. As this rule is not a significant regulatory action, this rule is exempt from the requirements of Executive Order 13771, “Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs.” See OMB Memorandum M–17–21, “Guidance Implementing Executive Order 13771” of April 5, 2017.

F. Executive Order 13563

The Department of State has considered this rule in light of Executive Order 13563 and affirms that
this regulation is consistent with the guidance therein.

**G. Executive Orders 12372 and 13132: Federalism**

This regulation will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Nor will the rule have federalism implications warranting the application of Executive Orders 12372 and 13132.

**H. Executive Order 13175—Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments**

The Department of State has determined that this rulemaking will not have tribal implications, will not impose substantial direct compliance costs on Indian tribal governments, and will not pre-empt tribal law. Accordingly, the requirements of section 5 of Executive Order 13175 do not apply to this rulemaking.

**I. Paperwork Reduction Act**

This rule does not impose or revise information collections subject to the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C., Chapter 35.

**List of Subjects in 22 CFR Part 41**

Aliens, Foreign officials, Immigration, Passports and visas, Students.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth in the preamble, 22 CFR part 41 is amended as follows:

**PART 41—VISAS—DOCUMENTATION OF NONIMMIGRANTS UNDER THE IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT, AS AMENDED**

1. The authority citation for part 41 is revised to read as follows:


**List of Subjects in 26 CFR Part 1**

Income taxes, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Correction of Publication**

Accordingly, 26 CFR part 1 is corrected by making the following correcting amendments:

**PART 1—INCOME TAXES**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

**Internal Revenue Service**

**26 CFR Part 1**

**[TD 9814]**

**RIN 1545–BM95**

**Transfers of Certain Property by U.S. Persons to Partnerships With Related Foreign Partners; Correction**

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Final and temporary regulations; correcting amendment.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains corrections to the temporary regulations (T.D. 9814) that were published in the Federal Register on Thursday, January 19, 2017 (82 FR 7582). The regulations address transfers of appreciated property by United States persons to partnerships with foreign partners related to the transferor. The regulations override the rules providing for nonrecognition of gain on a contribution of property to a partnership in exchange for an interest in the partnership under section 721(a) of the Internal Revenue Code (Code) pursuant to section 721(c) unless the partnership adopts the remedial method and certain other requirements are satisfied.

**DATES:** These corrections are effective on September 5, 2017 and applicable on January 18, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Ronald M. Gootzeit, (202) 317–6937 (not a toll-free number).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

The temporary regulations that are the subject of this correction are under section 721(c) of the Code.

**Need for Correction**

As published, the temporary regulations contain errors that may prove to be misleading and need to be clarified.

**List of Subjects in 26 CFR Part 1**

Income taxes, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Correction of Publication**

Accordingly, 26 CFR part 1 is corrected by making the following correcting amendments:

**PART 1—INCOME TAXES**

**Paragraph 1.** The authority citation for part 1 continues to read in part as follows:

*Authority:* 26 U.S.C. 7805 * * *

**Par. 2.** Section 1.721(c)–1T is amended by revising paragraph (b)(10)(vi) to read as follows:

§ 1.721(c)–1T Overview, definitions, and rules of general application (temporary).

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(10) * * *

(vi) An allocation of partnership level ordinary income or loss described in section 721(c)(3).

* * * * *

**Par. 3.** Section 1.721(c)–6T is amended by revising the last sentence of paragraph (d)(2) to read as follows:

§ 1.721(c)–6T Procedural and reporting requirements (temporary).

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(2) * * *

The partnership must also attach to its Form 1065 a Schedule K–1 (Form 1065) for each direct or indirect partner that is a related foreign person with respect to the U.S. transferor.

* * * * *

**Martin V. Franks,**

Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Legal Processing Division, Associate Chief Counsel, Procedure and Administration.

[FR Doc. 2017–18691 Filed 9–1–17; 8:45 am]
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