

The revised policy is proposed as follows:

Assurance of Community Representation on Board of Directors

Applicants other than tribes or Alaska Native Villages applying for funding must show that a majority of board members are representative of a Native American community to be served. Applicants must submit documentation that identifies each board member by name and indicates his/her affiliation or relationship to at least one of ANA's three categories of community representation, which include: (1) Members of federally or state-recognized tribes; (2) persons who are recognized by members of the eligible Native American community to be served as having a cultural relationship with that community; or (3) persons considered to be Native American as defined in 45 CFR 1336.10 and Native American Pacific Islanders as defined in Section 815 of the Native American Programs Act. Applicants that do not include this documentation will be considered non-responsive, and the application will not be considered for competition. See Section IV.2. Project Description, Additional Eligibility Documentation.

Public agencies serving native peoples from Guam, American Samoa, or the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and Tribal Colleges governed by a board that is separate from the governing body of a tribe, must include Assurance of Community Representation from the governing body of the Tribal College, and not from the governing body of the Tribe. If the documentation is not included by the submission deadline, the application will be considered unresponsive and will be disqualified from the competition.

8. Review and Selection Process. ANA will modify the implementation of the Review and Selection process, as stated in all FY 2018 FOAs as follows:

(a) ANA's commissioner has the discretion to make all final funding decisions. In exercising this discretion, the commissioner includes a list in Section V.2. Review and Selection Process of projects that may not be chosen for funding. For the FY 2018 FOAs, ANA proposes the following:

Additions:

- Projects that have not demonstrated a community-based strategy as described in Section I. Program Description, Key Project Features.

- Projects proposed by national, regional, and non-local organization that have not justified their position as the best applicant organization to address long term community goals for a local community. Please see Section I. Administrative Policies, Prioritized Funding for Local, Native American Community Based Organizations.

- Projects proposing activities that were previously implemented without Federal Assistance.

Because ANA funding is limited, ANA proposes adding these items to the

list in order to align with new language emphasizing community-based strategies, and to highlight the priority for funding these projects. ANA also wants to ensure that its limited funding is being used for projects that are not able to secure other sources of funding for activities that were being previously implemented without support from the Federal Government.

Deletion:

- Projects that provide couples or family counseling activities that are medically-based.

ANA has stated in other administrative policies that it will not fund human research, or projects that may cause unintended harm to project participants. Outside of these limitations, and in an effort to support the Native American community's ability to determine if these activities are actually beneficial to achievement of their long-term goals, ANA will no longer limit the proposal of projects that include medically-based couples or family counseling activities.

9. Native American Preservation and Maintenance Program. The following modifications are being proposed to the "Native American Languages Preservation and Maintenance," and "Native American Languages Preservation and Maintenance Esther Martinez Immersion" FOAs only:

(a) ANA proposes the identification of language specific outcomes that relate to one of the following categories: Language fluency, increased community member use of language learning resources; certified language teachers; or increased capacity to implement a language program. The categories are being proposed in order to further define the outcomes for ANA language projects, and to support the monitoring and evaluation of ANA's language and preservation program.

(b) ANA will include language in the FY 2018 FOAs to restate application requirements included in Section 803C(c)(5–6) of the Native American Programs Act (NAPA) of 1974. The requirements are as follows:

(5) if appropriate, an identification of opportunities for the replication of such project or the modification of such project for use by other Native Americans; and

(6) a plan for the preservation of the products of the Native American language project for the benefit of future generations of Native Americans and other interested persons"

This language will be added directly as stated in ANA's authorizing legislation to ensure that applications meet the requirements of NAPA.

Statutory Authority: Section 814 of the Native American Programs Act of 1974 (NAPA), as amended.

Kimberly Romaine,

Deputy Commissioner, Administration for Native Americans.

[FR Doc. 2017–17099 Filed 8–11–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184–34–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Title: Social Services Block Grant (SSBG) Post-Expenditure Report.

OMB No.: 0970–0234.

Description: The purpose of this is to request approval to: (1) Reinstate and extend the collection of post-expenditure data using the current OMB approved Post-Expenditure Reporting form (OMB No. 0970–0234) with modification past the current expiration date of November 30, 2017; (2) propose 8 minor additions to the current Post-Expenditure Reporting form; and (3) to request that grantees continue to voluntarily submit estimated pre-expenditure data using the Post-Expenditure Reporting form, as part of the required annual Intended Use Plan.

The Social Services Block Grant (SSBG) is authorized under Title XX of the Social Security Act, as amended, and is codified at 42 U.S.C § 1397 through § 1397e. SSBG provides funds to States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, American Samoa, Guam, the Virgin Islands, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (hereinafter referred to as States and Territories or grantees) to assist in delivering critical services to vulnerable older adults, persons with disabilities, at-risk adolescents and young adults, and children and families. SSBG funds are distributed to each State and the District of Columbia based on each State's population relative to all other States. Distributions are made to Puerto Rico, Guam, American Samoa, the Virgin Islands, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands based on the same ratio allotted to them in 1981 as compared to the total 1981 appropriation.

Each State or Territory is responsible for designing and implementing its own use of SSBG funds to meet the specialized needs of their most vulnerable populations. States and Territories may determine what services will be provided, who will be eligible,

and how funds will be distributed among the various services. State or local SSBG agencies (*i.e.*, county, city, regional offices) may provide the services or grantees may purchase services from qualified agencies, organizations, or individuals. States and Territories must administer the SSBG according to their accepted Intended Use Plan, along with amendments, and in conformance with their own implementing rules and policies. The Office of Community Services (OCS), Administration for Children and Families administers the SSBG.

Annually, grantees are required to submit a Pre-Expenditure Report and Intended Use Plan as a prerequisite to receiving SSBG funds. The Pre-Expenditure Report must include information on the types of services to be supported and the characteristics of individuals to be served. This report is to be submitted 30 days prior to the start of the Fiscal Year (June 1 if the State operates on a July–June Fiscal Year, or September 1 if the State operates on a Federal Fiscal Year). No specific format is required for the Intended Use Plan. Grantees are required to submit a revised Intended Use Plan and Pre-Expenditure Report if the planned use of SSBG funds changes during the year (42 U.S.C. 1397c).

In order to provide a more accurate analysis of the extent to which funds are spent “in a manner consistent” with each of the grantees’ plan for their use, as required by 42 U.S.C. 1397e (a), OCS continues to request that States voluntarily use the format of the Post-Expenditure Reporting form to create their Pre-Expenditure Report, which provides estimates of the amount of expenditures and the number of recipients, by service category, and is submitted as part of the grantees’ Intended Use Plan. Most of the States and Territories are currently using the format of the Post-Expenditure Reporting form to report estimated expenditures and recipients (the Pre-Expenditure Report), by service category, as part of their Intended Use Plan.

On an annual basis, States and Territories are also required to submit a Post-Expenditure Report that details their use of SSBG funds in each of 29 service categories. Grantees are required to submit their Post-Expenditure Report within six months of the end of the period covered by the report. The Post-Expenditure Report must address (1) The number of individuals (including number of children and number of adults) who receive services paid for, in whole or in part, with Federal funds under the SSBG; (2) The amount of

SSBG funds spent in providing each service; (3) The total amount of Federal, State, and Local funds spent in providing each service, including SSBG funds; (4) The method(s) by which each service is provided, showing separately the services provided by public and private agencies; and (5) the criteria applied in determining eligibility for each service such as income eligibility guidelines, sliding scale fees, the effect of public assistance benefits, and any requirements for enrollment in school or training programs (45 CFR 96.74a). The Post-Expenditure Report must also; (1) indicate if recipient totals are actual or if the total reported is based on estimates and/or sampled data; and (2) use its own definition of child and adult in reporting the required data (45 CFR 96.74b).

This request seeks approval to reinstate and continue the use of the current OMB approved Post-Expenditure Reporting form (OMB No. 0970–0234) with modification, for estimating expenditures and recipients as part of States’/Territories’ Pre-Expenditure Reports and for annual Post-Expenditure Reporting. The proposed modifications seek to consolidate information that would be stored or transmitted elsewhere into the singular reporting form to allow OCS to better analyze and provide guidance to improve States efficiency in grant administration. These modifications address the regulations 42 U.S.C. 1397e and 45 CFR 96.74 cited above by providing space on the Post-Expenditure form to indicate the required information.

Beginning in 2013, States completed the current reporting form on the SSBG Portal. The SSBG Portal is a secure web-based data portal. The SSBG Portal allows for more efficient data submission without increasing the overall burden on States. Until recently, Territories reported the data on the Post-Expenditure Reporting form in Microsoft Excel and submitted it to ACF, via email or posted mail. In 2017, Territories can complete the current reporting form on the SSBG Portal. The SSBG Portal provides a user-friendly means for States and Territories to submit and access their Pre-Expenditure and Post-Expenditure and Recipient Data.

Information collected in the Post-Expenditure Reports submitted by States and Territories is analyzed and described in an annual report on SSBG expenditures and recipients produced by the Office of Community Services (OCS), Administration for Children and Families (ACF). The information contained in this report is used for grant

planning and management. The data establishes how SSBG funding is used for the provision of services in each State or Territory.

The data is also analyzed to determine the performance of States and Territories in meeting the SSBG performance measures developed to meet the requirements of the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA), as amended by the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010 [Pub. L. 11–352; 31 U.S.C 1115(b)(10)]. GPRA requires all Federal agencies to develop measurable performance goals.

The SSBG currently has an administrative costs efficiency measure which is intended to decrease the percentage of SSBG funds identified as administrative costs in the Post-Expenditure Reports [U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Office of Community Services. (2007, June). *Implementing a new performance measure to enhance efficiency* (Information Memorandum Transmittal No. 04–2007). Available from <https://www.acf.hhs.gov/ocs/resource/implementing-a-new-performance-measure-to-enhance-efficiency>]. The SSBG also implements a performance measure designed to ensure that SSBG funds are spent effectively and efficiently while maintaining the intrinsic flexibility of the SSBG as a block grant. The performance measure assesses the degree to which States and Territories spend SSBG funds in a manner consistent with their intended use, as required by Federal law [42 U.S.C. 1397e(a); U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Office of Community Services. (2012, February). *Implementation of a new performance measure* (Information Memorandum Transmittal No. 01–2012). Available from <https://www.acf.hhs.gov/ocs/resource/implementation-of-a-new-performance-measure>]. It will be used to determine how well grantees are doing overall in minimizing variance between projected and actual expenditures of SSBG funds. This program measure began implementation with FY 2013 data and remains ongoing.

Respondents: The Post-Expenditure Reporting form and Pre-Expenditure Report are completed once annually by a representative of the agency that administers the Social Services Block Grant at the State or Territory level. Respondents include the 50 States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico, as well as the territories of American Samoa, Guam, the Virgin Islands, and

the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.

ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES

Instrument	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total burden hours
Post-Expenditure Reporting Form	56	1	110	6,160
Use of Post-Expenditure Reporting Form as Part of the Intended Use Plan	56	1	2	112

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 6,272.

Additional Information: Copies of the proposed collection may be obtained by writing to the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation, 330 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20201. Attention Reports Clearance Officer. All requests should be identified by the title of the information collection. Email address: infocollection@acf.hhs.gov.

OMB Comment: OMB is required to make a decision concerning the collection of information between 30 and 60 days after publication of this document in the **Federal Register**. Therefore, a comment is best assured of having its full effect if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent directly to the following: Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project, Email: OIRA_SUBMISSION@OMB.EOP.GOV, Attn: Desk Officer for the Administration for Children and Families.

Robert Sargis,

Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2017-17098 Filed 8-11-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184-24-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. FDA-2017-N-4515]

International Drug Scheduling; Convention on Psychotropic Substances; Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs; Ocfentanil, Carfentanil, Pregabalin, Tramadol, Cannabidiol, Ketamine, and Eleven Other Substances; Request for Comments

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is requesting interested persons to submit comments

concerning abuse potential, actual abuse, medical usefulness, trafficking, and impact of scheduling changes on availability for medical use of 17 drug substances. These comments will be considered in preparing a response from the United States to the World Health Organization (WHO) regarding the abuse liability and diversion of these drugs. WHO will use this information to consider whether to recommend that certain international restrictions be placed on these drugs. This notice requesting comments is required by the Controlled Substances Act (the CSA).

DATES: Submit either electronic or written comments by September 13, 2017.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments as follows. Please note that late, untimely filed comments will not be considered. Electronic comments must be submitted on or before September 13, 2017. The <https://www.regulations.gov> electronic filing system will accept comments until midnight Eastern Time at the end of September 13, 2017. Comments received by mail/hand delivery/courier (for written/paper submissions) will be considered timely if they are postmarked or the delivery service acceptance receipt is on or before that date.

Electronic Submissions

Submit electronic comments in the following way:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Comments submitted electronically, including attachments, to <https://www.regulations.gov> will be posted to the docket unchanged. Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for ensuring that your comment does not include any confidential information that you or a third party may not wish to be posted, such as medical information, your or anyone else's Social Security number, or confidential business information, such as a manufacturing process. Please note that if you include your name, contact information, or other information that identifies you in the body of your

comments, that information will be posted on <https://www.regulations.gov>.

- If you want to submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made available to the public, submit the comment as a written/paper submission and in the manner detailed (see "Written/Paper Submissions" and "Instructions").

Written/Paper Submissions

Submit written/paper submissions as follows:

- *Mail/Hand Delivery/Courier (for Written/Paper Submissions):* Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

- For written/paper comments submitted to the Dockets Management Staff, FDA will post your comment, as well as any attachments, except for information submitted, marked and identified, as confidential, if submitted as detailed in "Instructions."

Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket No. FDA-2017-N-4515 for "International Drug Scheduling; Convention on Psychotropic Substances; Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs; Ocfentanil; Furanyl fentanyl (Fu-F); Acryloylfentanyl (Acrylfentanyl); Carfentanil; 4-fluoroisobutyrfentanyl (4-FIBF); Tetrahydrofuranylfentanyl (THF-F); 4-fluoroamphetamine (4-FA); AB-PINACA; AB-CHMINACA; 5F-PB-22; UR-144; 5F-ADB; Etizolam; Pregabalin; Tramadol; Cannabidiol; Ketamine; Request for Comments." Received comments, those filed in a timely manner (see **ADDRESSES**), will be placed in the docket and, except for those submitted as "Confidential Submissions," publicly viewable at <https://www.regulations.gov> or at the Dockets Management Staff between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

- **Confidential Submissions**—To submit a comment with confidential information that you do not wish to be made publicly available, submit your comments only as a written/paper submission. You should submit two copies total. One copy will include the information you claim to be confidential