

contact information. Your contact information will be viewable to DOE Building Technologies staff only. Your contact information will not be publicly viewable except for your first and last names, organization name (if any), and submitter representative name (if any). If your comment is not processed properly because of technical difficulties, DOE will use this information to contact you. If DOE cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, DOE may not be able to consider your comment.

However, your contact information will be publicly viewable if you include it in the comment or in any documents attached to your comment. Any information that you do not want to be publicly viewable should not be included in your comment, nor in any document attached to your comment. Persons viewing comments will see only first and last names, organization names, correspondence containing comments, and any documents submitted with the comments.

Do not submit to <http://www.regulations.gov> information for which disclosure is restricted by statute, such as trade secrets and commercial or financial information (hereinafter referred to as Confidential Business Information (CBI)). Comments submitted through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) cannot be claimed as CBI. Comments received through the Web site will waive any CBI claims for the information submitted. For information on submitting CBI, see the Confidential Business Information section.

DOE processes submissions made through <http://www.regulations.gov> before posting. Normally, comments will be posted within a few days of being submitted. However, if large volumes of comments are being processed simultaneously, your comment may not be viewable for up to several weeks. Please keep the comment tracking number that <http://www.regulations.gov> provides after you have successfully uploaded your comment.

Submitting comments via email, hand delivery, or mail. Comments and documents submitted via email, hand delivery, or mail also will be posted to <http://www.regulations.gov>. If you do not want your personal contact information to be publicly viewable, do not include it in your comment or any accompanying documents. Instead, provide your contact information on a cover letter. Include your first and last names, email address, telephone number, and optional mailing address. The cover letter will not be publicly

viewable as long as it does not include any comments.

Include contact information each time you submit comments, data, documents, and other information to DOE. If you submit via mail or hand delivery, please provide all items on a CD, if feasible. It is not necessary to submit printed copies. No facsimiles (faxes) will be accepted.

Comments, data, and other information submitted to DOE electronically should be provided in PDF (preferred), Microsoft Word or Excel, WordPerfect, or text (ASCII) file format. Provide documents that are not secured, written in English and free of any defects or viruses. Documents should not contain special characters or any form of encryption and, if possible, they should carry the electronic signature of the author.

Campaign form letters. Please submit campaign form letters by the originating organization in batches of between 50 to 500 form letters per PDF or as one form letter with a list of supporters' names compiled into one or more PDFs. This reduces comment processing and posting time.

Confidential Business Information. According to 10 CFR 1004.11, any person submitting information that he or she believes to be confidential and exempt by law from public disclosure should submit via email, postal mail, or hand delivery two well-marked copies: One copy of the document marked confidential including all the information believed to be confidential, and one copy of the document marked non-confidential with the information believed to be confidential deleted. Submit these documents via email or on a CD, if feasible. DOE will make its own determination about the confidential status of the information and treat it according to its determination.

Factors of interest to DOE when evaluating requests to treat submitted information as confidential include (1) a description of the items, (2) whether and why such items are customarily treated as confidential within the industry, (3) whether the information is generally known by or available from other sources, (4) whether the information has previously been made available to others without obligation concerning its confidentiality, (5) an explanation of the competitive injury to the submitting person which would result from public disclosure, (6) when such information might lose its confidential character due to the passage of time, and (7) why disclosure of the information would be contrary to the public interest.

It is DOE's policy that all comments may be included in the public docket, without change and as received, including any personal information provided in the comments (except information deemed to be exempt from public disclosure).

DOE considers public participation to be a very important part of the process for developing test procedures. DOE actively encourages the participation and interaction of the public during the comment period in each stage of this process. Interactions with and between members of the public provide a balanced discussion of the issues and assist DOE in this process. Anyone who wishes to be added to the DOE mailing list to receive future notices and information about this RFI may do so at [https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/USEERE/subscriber/new?topic\\_id=USEERE\\_398](https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/USEERE/subscriber/new?topic_id=USEERE_398).

Issued in Washington, DC, on August 2, 2017.

**Kathleen Hogan,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.*

[FR Doc. 2017-16669 Filed 8-7-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450-01-P**

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

### 39 CFR Part 3050

[Docket No. RM2017-12; Order No. 4025]

#### Periodic Reporting

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is announcing a recent filing requesting that the Commission initiate an informal rulemaking proceeding to consider changes to an analytical method for use in periodic reporting (Proposal Eight). This document informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* September 18, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Proposal Eight
- III. Notice and Comment
- IV. Ordering Paragraphs

### I. Introduction

On July 31, 2017, the Postal Service filed a petition pursuant to 39 CFR 3050.11 requesting that the Commission initiate a rulemaking proceeding to consider changes to analytical principles relating to periodic reports and compliance determinations.<sup>1</sup> The Petition identifies the proposed analytical method changes filed in this docket as Proposal Eight.

### II. Proposal Eight

The Postal Service explains that, since the passage of the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act (PAEA) in 2006, it has been applying the “60 percent rule” codified in 39 U.S.C. 3626(a)(6)(A), to USPS Marketing Mail (formerly Standard Mail) overall. Petition, Proposal Eight at 1. It now proposes to return to its pre-PAEA application of the 60 percent rule at the subclass level, *i.e.* to USPS Marketing Mail Regular and USPS Marketing Mail Enhanced Carrier Route (ECR) separately. *Id.*

**Background.** Commonly referred to as the “60 percent rule”, section 1(d) of Public Law 106–384 was promulgated in October 27, 2000, and codified in 39 U.S.C. 3626(a)(6)(A). It states that for USPS Marketing Mail, the “average (Nonprofit) revenue per piece . . . shall be equal, as nearly as practicable, to 60 percent of the estimated average revenue per piece to be received from the most closely corresponding regular-rate subclass of mail.” *Id.* at 2 (emphasis omitted) (footnote omitted). After the PAEA was passed in 2006, the term “subclasses” was no longer explicitly defined in the Mail Classification Schedule, and the Postal Service began applying the “60 percent rule” at the class level in Docket No. R2008–1. *Id.*

The Postal Service states that, although application at the class level was simpler, it also had the unintended effect of giving relative price relief to Nonprofit mail. Because Nonprofit mail is less concentrated in USPS Marketing Mail ECR, both USPS Regular and USPS ECR generate a lower average revenue per piece ratio than USPS Marketing Mail overall. *Id.*

**Proposal.** The Postal Service proposes to return to its pre-PAEA practice of

applying the “60 percent rule” to USPS Marketing Mail Regular and USPS Marketing Mail ECR separately. *Id.* at 5. It asserts that this would be consistent with the language of the statute and in accordance with the pre-PAEA subclass definitions. *Id.*

**Impacts.** The Postal Service states that application of the rule on the subclass level would reverse the downward shift in the two subclass-level Nonprofit-to-Commercial average revenue per piece ratios that occurred when the Postal Service switched to applying the rule at the class level. *Id.* As applied to the prices from Docket No. R2017–1, it calculates that (on a revenue-neutral basis), a +3.33 percent price change would be required for Regular Nonprofit Mail and a –0.47 percent change would be needed for Regular Commercial. For ECR Mail, the required changes would amount to a 6.94 percent increase in nonprofit prices and a 0.27 percent decrease for Commercial. *Id.* If adopted, the Postal Service would aim to phase in the price changes to avoid rate shock. *Id.*

### III. Notice and Comment

The Commission establishes Docket No. RM2017–12 for consideration of matters raised by the Petition. More information on the Petition may be accessed via the Commission’s Web site at <http://www.prc.gov>. Interested persons may submit comments on the Petition and Proposal Eight no later than September 18, 2017. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Richard A. Oliver is designated as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

### IV. Ordering Paragraphs

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. RM2017–12 for consideration of the matters raised by the Petition of the United States Postal Service for the Initiation of a Proceeding to Consider Proposed Changes in Analytical Principles (Proposal Eight), filed July 31, 2017.

2. Comments by interested persons in this proceeding are due no later than September 18, 2017.

3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, the Commission appoints Richard A. Oliver to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this docket.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Stacy L. Ruble,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2017–16611 Filed 8–7–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R04–OAR–2017–0188; FRL–9965–69–Region 4]

### Air Plan Approval; Mississippi: Prevention of Significant Deterioration Updates

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve a portion of the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by Mississippi, through the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ), Office of Pollution Control, on June 7, 2016. Specifically, this action proposes to approve the portion of the SIP revision making changes to Mississippi’s Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) program by modifying the incorporating by reference (IBR) date for the Federal PSD regulations promulgated by EPA. This proposed SIP revision will modify the existing Greenhouse Gas (GHG) PSD permitting program and incorporates provisions related to the 1997, 2006 and 2012 fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and 2015 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). This action is being proposed pursuant to the Clean Air Act and its implementing regulations.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before September 7, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R04–OAR–2017–0188 at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*. EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points

<sup>1</sup> Petition of the United States Postal Service for the Initiation of a Proceeding to Consider Proposed Changes in Analytical Principles (Proposal Eight), July 31, 2017 (Petition).