

*Auction Order*), which granted New York waiver of the Phase II auction program rules, subject to certain conditions. Specifically, the Commission made an amount up to the amount of Connect America Phase II model-based support that Verizon declined in New York—\$170.4 million—available to applicants selected in New York’s New NY Broadband Program in accordance with the framework adopted in the *New York Auction Order*.

This information collection addresses the eligibility requirements that New York winning bidders must meet before the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) will authorize them to receive Connect America Phase II support. For each New York winning bid that includes Connect America-eligible areas, the Commission will authorize Connect America support up to the total reserve prices of all of the Connect America Phase II auction eligible census blocks that are included in the bid, provided that New York has committed, at a minimum, the same dollar amount of New York support to the Connect America-eligible areas in that bid. Before Connect America Phase II support is authorized, the Bureau will closely review the winning bidders to ensure that they have met the eligibility requirements adopted by the Commission and that they are technically and financially qualified to meet the terms and conditions of Connect America support. To aid in collecting this information regarding New York State’s winning bidders and the applicants’ ability to meet the terms and conditions of Connect America Phase II support in a uniform fashion, the Commission has created the proposed new FCC Form 5625, which parties should use in their submissions with the FCC.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Katura Jackson,**

*Federal Register Liaison Officer, Office of the Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2017–16073 Filed 7–31–17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712–01–P**

## FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

### FDIC Advisory Committee on Community Banking; Notice of Charter Renewal

**AGENCY:** Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”).

**ACTION:** Notice of renewal of the FDIC Advisory Committee on Community Banking.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (“FACA”), and after consultation with the General Services Administration, the Chairman of the Board of Directors of the FDIC has determined that renewal of the FDIC Advisory Committee on Community Banking (“the Committee”) is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed upon the FDIC by law. The Committee has been a successful undertaking by the FDIC and has provided valuable feedback to the agency on a broad range of policy issues that have particular impact on small community banks throughout the United States and the local communities they serve, with a focus on rural areas.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Robert E. Feldman, Committee Management Officer of the FDIC, at (202) 898–7043, *Regs@fdic.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Committee will continue to review various issues that may include, but not be limited to, the latest examination policies and procedures, credit and lending practices, deposit insurance assessments, insurance coverage, and regulatory compliance matters, as well as any obstacles to the continued growth and ability of community banks to extend financial services in their respective local markets. The structure and responsibilities of the Committee are unchanged from when it was originally established in July 2009. The Committee will continue to operate in accordance with the provisions of the FACA.

Dated: July 27, 2017.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

**Robert E. Feldman,**

*Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2017–16137 Filed 7–31–17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6714–01–P**

## FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

### Notice to All Interested Parties of the Termination of the Receivership of 10471—Frontier Bank LaGrange, Georgia

*Notice is hereby given* that the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as Receiver for Frontier Bank, LaGrange, Georgia (“the Receiver”) intends to terminate its receivership for said institution. The FDIC was appointed Receiver of Frontier Bank on March 8, 2013. The liquidation of the receivership assets has been completed. To the extent permitted by available funds and in accordance with law, the

Receiver will be making a final dividend payment to proven creditors.

Based upon the foregoing, the Receiver has determined that the continued existence of the receivership will serve no useful purpose. Consequently, notice is given that the receivership shall be terminated, to be effective no sooner than thirty days after the date of this notice. If any person wishes to comment concerning the termination of the receivership, such comment must be made in writing and sent within thirty days of the date of this notice to: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Division of Resolutions and Receiverships, Attention: Receivership Oversight Department 34.6, 1601 Bryan Street, Dallas, TX 75201.

No comments concerning the termination of this receivership will be considered which are not sent within this time frame.

Dated: July 26, 2017.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

**Robert E. Feldman,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2017–16101 Filed 7–31–17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6714–01–P**

## FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 17–07]

### Port Elizabeth Terminal & Warehouse Corp. v. The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey; Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment

Notice is given that a complaint has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission (Commission) by Port Elizabeth Terminal & Warehouse Corp., hereinafter “Complainant,” against The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, hereinafter “Respondent.” Complainant states it is “a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New Jersey” and, as a marine terminal operator, “it provides warehousing and other terminal services and facilities to other marine terminal operators and common carriers handling thousands of shipping containers that enter or depart through the Port of New York and New Jersey.” Complainant alleges that Respondent is “a body corporate and politic created by Compact between the States of New York and New Jersey with the consent of the Congress of the United States, existing under the laws of the States of New Jersey and New York . . .” and “is a marine terminal operator . . . and controls virtually all of the common carrier served terminal facilities located within the Port of New York and New Jersey.”