

meet the stringent performance characteristics for conducting the experiments. The instrument will be able to accurately adjust the M1 Mirror optical surface by applying arbitrary Zernike correction terms to correct for telescope errors in addition to polishing errors and M1 Cell Assembly induced errors. After optics correction, the total allowed M1 Mirror optical surface figure error from all sources other than polishing residuals shall be less than 45 nm RMS after subtraction of tip tilt and focus.

Docket Number: 17-007. *Applicant:* The Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Boulder, CO 80303. *Instrument:* Coating and Cleaning Equipment for the Daniel K. Inouye Solar Telescope. *Manufacturer:* Advanced Mechanical & Optical Systems, NA, Belgium. *Intended Use:* See notice at 82 FR 23191-92, May 22, 2017. *Comments:* None received. *Decision:* Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order. *Reasons:* The instrument will be used to study the highly dynamic magnetic fields and plasmas throughout the solar atmosphere. The M1 Wash Platform shall be capable of capturing washing effluent and directing it into a containment system, which shall include pumping capacity to move the effluent from the containment system into AURA supplied containers, as well as protect effluent from contaminating the bottom surface of the M1 Mirror or any other surface.

Docket Number: 17-008. *Applicant:* UChicago Argonne, Lemont, IL 60439. *Instrument:* Multiphoton 3D Lithography System. *Manufacturer:* Nanoscribe, Germany. *Intended Use:* See notice at 82 FR 23191-92, May 22, 2017. *Comments:* None received. *Decision:* Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order. *Reasons:* The instrument will be used for rapid fabrication and prototyping of micro and nano sized parts by the means of novel technology, two-photon polymerization of UV-curable photoresists. The key and unique features of the instrument include the highest resolution (150 nanometers) among all commercially available 3D printers and ability to deposit a wide variety of materials template by

transparent polymers. The high printing resolution enables sub-micron feature sizes and allows a design freedom for very complex parts with internal features otherwise impossible to produce.

Dated: July 24, 2017.
Gregory W. Campbell,
Director, Subsidies Enforcement, Enforcement and Compliance.
[FR Doc. 2017-15849 Filed 7-26-17; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Princeton University, et al. Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscope

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 16-023. *Applicant:* Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08540. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* See notice at 82 FR 16796-97, April 6, 2017.

Docket Number: 16-024. *Applicant:* The Hormel Institute, Austin, MN 55912. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, the Netherlands. *Intended Use:* See notice at 82 FR 23191, May 22, 2017.

Docket Number: 16-025. *Applicant:* The Hormel Institute, Austin, MN 55912. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* See notice at 82 FR 23191, May 22, 2017.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as this instrument is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States at the time the instrument was ordered. *Reasons:* Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: July 24, 2017.
Gregory W. Campbell,
Director, Subsidies Enforcement, Enforcement and Compliance.
[FR Doc. 2017-15852 Filed 7-26-17; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Alaska Region Permit Family of Forms

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before September 25, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at pracomments@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Kurt Iverson, (907) 586-7228 or kurt.iverson@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

This request is for extension of a current information collection.

For a person to participate in Federal fisheries, the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) requires a Federal Fisheries Permit (FFP), a Federal Processor Permit (FPP), or an Exempted Fishing Permit (EFP). NMFS Alaska Region created a set of commercial fishing permits that operators of vessels and managers of processors must have on board or on site when fishing, receiving, buying, or processing groundfish and non-groundfish species. The permit information provides harvest gear types; descriptions of vessels, shoreside processors, and stationary floating processors; and expected fishery activity levels. These

permits provide NMFS with a way to monitor participation in Federal fisheries.

Section 303(b)(1) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act specifically recognizes the need for permit issuance. The requirement of a permit for marine resource users is one of the regulatory steps taken to carry out conservation and management objectives. The issuance of a permit is an essential ingredient in the management of fishery resources needed for identification of the participants, expected activity levels, and for regulatory compliance (e.g., withholding of permit issuance pending collection of unpaid penalties).

II. Method of Collection

Respondents have a choice of either electronic or paper forms. Methods of submittal include mail and facsimile transmission.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0648–0206.

Form Number(s): None.

Type of Review: Regular submission (extension of a current information collection).

Affected Public: Business or other profit organizations; individuals or households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 662.

Estimated Time Per Response: Federal Fisheries Permit, 21 minutes; Federal Processor Permit, 25 minutes; and Exempted Fishing Permit, 20 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 319 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$864 in recordkeeping/reporting costs.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: July 24, 2017.

Sarah Brabson,

NOAA PRA Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2017–15813 Filed 7–26–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Alaska American Fisheries Act Report

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before September 25, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at pracomments@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Stephanie Warpinski, (907) 586–7228 or stephanie.warpinski@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

This request is for extension of a current information collection.

On October 21, 1998, the President signed into law The American Fisheries Act, 16 U.S.C. 1851 (AFA). The AFA authorizes the formation of fishery cooperatives in all sectors of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area (BSAI) pollock fishery, grants antitrust exemptions to cooperatives in the mothership sector, and imposes operational limits on fishery cooperatives in the BSAI pollock fishery. The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) issues a single pollock allocation to each cooperative, and the cooperative may make sub-allocations of pollock to each individual vessel owner in the cooperative.

With respect to the fisheries off Alaska, the AFA Program is a suite of management measures that fall into four general regulatory categories:

- Limit access into the fishing and processing sectors of the BSAI pollock fishery and that allocate pollock to such sectors (50 CFR 679.64).
- Govern the formation and operation of fishery cooperatives in the BSAI pollock fishery, including filing of cooperative contracts (50 CFR 679.61 and 679.62).
- Protection of other fisheries from spillover effects from the AFA (50 CFR 679.64).
- Govern catch measurement and monitoring in the BSAI pollock fishery, including filing of annual reports and completing and submitting inshore catcher vessel pollock cooperative catch reports (50 CFR 679.63).

II. Method of Collection

Respondents have a choice of either electronic or paper forms. Methods of submittal include email of electronic forms, and mail and facsimile transmission of paper forms.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0648–0401.

Form Number(s): None.

Type of Review: Regular submission (extension of a current information collection).

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 8.

Estimated Time Per Response: AFA Annual Cooperative Report, AFA Annual Cooperative Catch Report, and AFA Cooperative Contract, 8 hours each; Incentive Plan Agreement, 50 hours; IPA Annual Report, 40 hours; and IPA appeals, 4 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 366 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$89 in recordkeeping/reporting costs.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.