

the **Federal Register**. These instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

The Department will also instruct CBP to require cash deposits equal to the amounts indicated below, effective the date of publication of this amended final determination in the **Federal Register**. At the time of publication, only rebar both produced and exported by Habas is within the scope of this order. Accordingly, no companies are currently subject to the all-others rate listed below.

Subsidy Rates

The Department has calculated the following countervailable subsidy rates:

Exporter/producer	Subsidy rate (percent)
Habas Sinai ve Tibbi Gazlar Istihsal Endustrisi A.S	15.99
All Others	15.99

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice constitutes the CVD order with respect to rebar from Turkey, pursuant to section 706(a) of the Act. Interested parties can find a list of CVD orders currently in effect at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/stats/iastats1.html>.

This order is issued and published in accordance with section 706(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.211(b).

Dated: July 6, 2017.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to this order is steel concrete reinforcing bar imported in either straight length or coil form (rebar) regardless of metallurgy, length, diameter, or grade or lack thereof. Subject merchandise includes deformed steel wire with bar markings (e.g., mill mark, size, or grade) and which has been subjected to an elongation test.

The subject merchandise includes rebar that has been further processed in the subject country or a third country, including but not limited to cutting, grinding, galvanizing, painting, coating, or any other processing that would not otherwise remove the merchandise from the scope of the order if performed in the country of manufacture of the rebar.

Specifically excluded are plain rounds (i.e., nondeformed or smooth rebar). Also excluded from the scope is deformed steel wire meeting ASTM A1064/A1064M with no bar markings (e.g., mill mark, size, or grade) and without being subject to an elongation test.

At the time of the filing of the petition, there was an existing countervailing duty order on steel reinforcing bar from the Republic of Turkey. *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey*, 79 FR 65,926 (Dep't Commerce Nov. 6, 2014) (2014 Turkey CVD Order). The scope of this countervailing duty order with regard to rebar from Turkey covers only rebar produced and/or exported by those companies that are excluded from the 2014 Turkey CVD Order. At the time of the issuance of the 2014 Turkey CVD Order, Habas Sinai ve Tibbi Gazlar Istihsal Endustrisi A.S. was the only excluded Turkish rebar producer or exporter.

The subject merchandise is classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) primarily under item numbers 7213.10.0000, 7214.20.0000, and 7228.30.8010. The subject merchandise may also enter under other HTSUS numbers including 7215.90.1000, 7215.90.5000, 7221.00.0017, 7221.00.0018, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0045, 7222.11.0001, 7222.11.0057, 7222.11.0059, 7222.30.0001, 7227.20.0080, 7227.90.6030, 7227.90.6035, 7227.90.6040, 7228.20.1000, and 7228.60.6000.

HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope remains dispositive.

[FR Doc. 2017-14803 Filed 7-13-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-489-829, A-588-876]

Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar From the Republic of Turkey and Japan: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination for the Republic of Turkey and Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: Based on affirmative final determinations by the Department of Commerce (the Department) and the International Trade Administration (ITC), the Department is issuing antidumping duty (AD) orders on steel concrete reinforcing bar (rebar) from the Republic of Turkey (Turkey) and Japan. In addition, the Department is amending its affirmative final determination for Turkey to correct ministerial errors.

DATES: July 14, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Myrna Lobo or Alex Cipolla at (202) 482-2371 and (202) 482-4956, respectively (Turkey), or David Lindgren at (202) 482-3870 (Japan), AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration,

Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.210(c), the Department published its affirmative final determinations in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigations of rebar from Turkey and Japan.¹ On June 30, 2017, the ITC notified the Department of its final determination that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of LTFV imports of subject merchandise from Turkey and Japan within the meaning of 705(b)(1)(A)(i) of the Act.²

Scope of the Orders

The product covered by these orders is rebar from Turkey and Japan. For a complete description of the scope of the orders, see the Appendix to this notice.

Amendment to Turkey Final Determination

On May 22, 2017, the Rebar Trade Action Coalition and its individual members,³ (collectively, the petitioners) alleged that the Department made various ministerial errors in the *Turkey Final Determination* with regard to the gross unit price and downstream sales used in the margin calculation for respondent Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi A.S. (Icdas).⁴ On the same day, respondent Habaş Sinai ve Tibbi Gazlar Istihsal Endüstrisi A.Ş. (Habas) timely alleged that the Department made a ministerial error in the AD cash deposit rate assigned to Habas by not offsetting for export subsidies from the concurrent countervailing duty (CVD)

¹ See *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar From the Republic of Turkey: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 82 FR 23192 (May 22, 2017) (*Turkey Final Determination*); see also *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar From Japan: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 82 FR 23195 (May 22, 2017) (*Japan Final Determination*).

² See Letter from the ITC to the Honorable Ronald Lorentzen, June 30, 2017 (Notification of ITC Final Determination); see also *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Japan and Turkey*, Investigation Nos. 701-TA-564 and 731-TA-1388 and 1340 (Final) (June 2017).

³ The Rebar Trade Action Coalition is comprised of Byer Steel Group, Inc., Commercial Metals Company, Gerdau Ameristeel U.S. Inc., Nucor Corporation, and Steel Dynamics, Inc.

⁴ See Petitioner's 5/22/2017 Letter, "Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey; Clerical Error Submission for the Final Determination," (May 22, 2017).

investigation.⁵ Icdas also made an untimely filing.⁶ In addition, the Government of the Republic of Turkey (GOT) submitted a letter requesting the Department to take into consideration respondent companies concerns.⁷ On June 13, 2017, the Department rejected Icdas' untimely filed ministerial error allegation, along with all submissions that commented on, or rebutted it.⁸ At the request of the Department, the petitioners refiled their rebuttal comments to exclude comments on Icdas since that filing was removed from the record.⁹

A ministerial error is defined as an error in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other similar type of unintentional error which the Department considers ministerial.¹⁰

The Department reviewed the record and agrees that certain errors identified by the petitioner with respect to Icdas constitute ministerial errors within the meaning of section 735(e) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(f).¹¹ Therefore, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(e), the Department is amending the *Turkey Final Determination* to reflect the correction of these ministerial errors in the calculation of the final margin assigned to Icdas.¹² In addition, because

the "all-others" rate is based on the margins for Habas and Icdas,¹³ we are revising the "all-others" rate.¹⁴

Antidumping Duty Orders

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, the ITC notified the Department of its final determinations in these investigation, in which it found that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of rebar from Turkey and Japan. Therefore, in accordance with section 735(c)(2) of the Act, we are issuing these antidumping duty orders. Because the ITC determined that imports of rebar from Turkey and Japan are materially injuring a U.S. industry, unliquidated entries of such merchandise from Turkey and Japan, entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption, are subject to the assessment of antidumping duties.

Therefore, in accordance with section 736(a)(1) of the Act, the Department will direct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess, upon further instruction by the Department, antidumping duties equal to the amount by which the normal value of the merchandise exceeds the export price (or constructed export price) of the merchandise, for all relevant entries of rebar from Turkey and Japan. Antidumping duties will be assessed on

unliquidated entries of rebar from Turkey and Japan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after March 7, 2017, the date of publication of the *Preliminary Determinations*.¹⁵

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B) of the Act, the Department will instruct CBP to continue to suspend liquidation of all relevant entries of rebar from Turkey and Japan, effective the date of publication of the ITC's notice of final determination in the **Federal Register**. These instructions suspending liquidation will remain in effect until further notice.

The Department will also instruct CBP to require cash deposits equal to the amounts as indicated below, which are adjusted for certain countervailable export subsidies, where appropriate, effective the date of publication of the ITC's final determination in the **Federal Register**. The relevant all-others rates apply to all producers or exporters not specifically listed below.

Estimated Weighted-Average Dumping Margins

The weighted-average antidumping duty margin percentages are as follows:

	Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margins (%)	Cash-deposit rate (adjusted for export subsidies) ¹⁶ (%)
Turkey	Habas Sinai ve Tibbi Gazlar Istihsal Endustrisi A.S	5.39	5.25
	Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi A.S	9.06	8.89
	All-Others	7.43	7.26

	Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margins (%)
Japan	Jonan Steel Corporation	209.46
	Kyoei Steel Ltd	209.46
	All-Others	206.43

⁵ See Habas' 5/22/2017 Letter, "Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Turkey; Habas: Request for correction of ministerial error," (May 22, 2017).

⁶ See Department's 6/12/2017 Letter, "Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey: Icdas' Ministerial Errors & Request to File out of Time," (June 12, 2017).

⁷ See GOT's 5/22/2017 Letter, "Final Determination in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey," (May 22, 2017).

⁸ See Memorandum, "Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey: Reject and Delete Untimely Submissions from Interested Parties," (June 13, 2017).

⁹ See Petitioner's 6/14/2017 Letter, "Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from the Republic of Turkey: Reply to Ministerial Error Submission," (June 14, 2017).

¹⁰ See section 705(e) of the Act.

¹¹ See Memorandum, "Amended Final Determination of the Antidumping Duty Investigation: Allegations of Ministerial Errors," July 7, 2017 (Ministerial Error Memorandum) (providing a detailed discussion of the alleged ministerial errors).

¹² See Ministerial Error Memorandum at 3.

¹³ See *Turkey Final Determination*, 82 FR at 23193 (explaining the Department's methodology for calculating the "all-others" rate in this investigation).

¹⁴ See Memorandum "Amended Final Determination Calculation for the "All-Others" Rate," dated July 7, 2017 (Amended All-Others Rate Memorandum).

¹⁵ See *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar From the Republic of Turkey: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 82 FR 12791 (March 7, 2017); see also *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar From Japan: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 82 FR 12796 (March 7, 2017).

¹⁶ See Ministerial Error Memorandum; see also Memorandum "Amended Final Determination Margin Calculation for Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi A.S." dated July 7, 2017; see also Amended All-Others Rate Memorandum.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice constitutes the antidumping orders with respect to rebar from Turkey and Japan, pursuant to section 736(a) of the Act. Interested parties can find a list of antidumping duty orders currently in effect at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/stats/iastats1.html>.

These orders are issued and published in accordance with section 736(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.211(b).

Dated: July 10, 2017.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, Performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

Scope of the Orders

The merchandise subject to these orders is steel concrete reinforcing bar imported in either straight length or coil form (rebar) regardless of metallurgy, length, diameter, or grade or lack thereof. Subject merchandise includes deformed steel wire with bar markings (e.g., mill mark, size, or grade) and which has been subjected to an elongation test.

The subject merchandise includes rebar that has been further processed in the subject countries or a third country, including but not limited to cutting, grinding, galvanizing, painting, coating, or any other processing that would not otherwise remove the merchandise from the scope of these orders if performed in the country of manufacture of the rebar.

Specifically excluded are plain rounds (i.e., nondeformed or smooth rebar). Also excluded from the scope is deformed steel wire meeting ASTM A1064/A1064M with no bar markings (e.g., mill mark, size, or grade) and without being subject to an elongation test.

The subject merchandise is classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) primarily under item numbers 7213.10.0000, 7214.20.0000, and 7228.30.8010. The subject merchandise may also enter under other HTSUS numbers including 7215.90.1000, 7215.90.5000, 7221.00.0017, 7221.00.0018, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0045, 7222.11.0001, 7222.11.0057, 7222.11.0059, 7222.30.0001, 7227.20.0080, 7227.90.6030, 7227.90.6035, 7227.90.6040, 7228.20.1000, and 7228.60.6000.

HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope remains dispositive.

[FR Doc. 2017-14802 Filed 7-13-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XF510

Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; General Provisions for Domestic Fisheries; Application for Exempted Fishing Permits

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Assistant Regional Administrator for Sustainable Fisheries, Greater Atlantic Region, NMFS, has made a preliminary determination that an Exempted Fishing Permit application submitted by the Cape Cod Commercial Fishermen's Alliance contains all of the required information and warrants further consideration. This Exempted Fishing Permit would allow participants to use electronic monitoring systems in lieu of at-sea monitors in support of a study to develop electronic monitoring for the purposes of catch monitoring in the groundfish fishery. Additionally, vessels would be authorized to access portions of groundfish closed areas. Regulations under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act require publication of this notification to provide interested parties the opportunity to comment on applications for proposed Exempted Fishing Permits.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before July 31, 2017.

ADDRESSES: You may submit written comments by either of the following methods:

- *Email:* nmfs.gar.efp@noaa.gov.

Include in the subject line "CCCFA EM EFP."

- *Mail:* John K. Bullard, Regional Administrator, NMFS, Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office, 55 Great Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930. Mark the outside of the envelope "CCCFA EM EFP."

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Claire Fitz-Gerald, Fishery Management Specialist, 978-281-9255.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Groundfish sectors are required to implement and fund an at-sea monitoring (ASM) program. A sector is allowed to use electronic monitoring (EM) to satisfy this monitoring requirement, provided that NMFS deems the technology sufficient for catch monitoring. EM typically incorporates video cameras, gear

sensors, and electronic reporting systems into a vessel's fishing operations. For the groundfish fishery, the program designs currently being considered are the "audit model" and the "maximized retention model." The audit model would use EM to verify discards reported by a captain on a vessel trip report (VTR). Under the maximized retention model, vessels would be required to retain most fish species (e.g., allocated groundfish stocks), but be required to discard other species, such as those managed by trip limits (e.g., dogfish) or protected species (e.g., Atlantic salmon), and EM would be used to ensure compliance with discarding regulations.

NMFS has not yet approved EM as a suitable alternative to ASM for the groundfish fishery. There are still some issues that must be resolved; for example, specifying how much video needs to be reviewed to satisfy monitoring objectives and identifying best practices for species that are difficult to identify. To address these challenges, NMFS has been collaborating with the Cape Cod Commercial Fishermen's Alliance (CCCFA), The Nature Conservancy (TNC), the Gulf of Maine Research Institute, the Maine Coast Fishermen's Association, Ecotrust Canada, and several groundfish sectors since 2015. NMFS continues to develop an EM program with these partners that can be implemented for catch monitoring in the groundfish fishery. In May 2016, NMFS issued EFPs to vessels from the Georges Bank Cod Fixed Gear Sector, the Maine Coast Community Sector, the Sustainable Harvest Sector, and Northeast Fishery Sectors 5 and 11, which allowed them to use EM in lieu of at-sea monitors on trips selected for ASM, at the 14 percent target observer coverage level. Under the EFP, 100 percent of the video from these trips are reviewed and used to identify and enumerate discards of groundfish species. NMFS did not use discarded catch reported on the vessel trip report. In May 2017, the EFP was renewed to continue efforts to improve the functionality of EM, refine fish handling protocols, and support future implementation of the audit model. The 2017 target observer coverage is 16 percent. However, our partners are seeking to expand the use of EM and data collection, and requested this new, additional EFP.

Under this newest EFP, participants would be required to use EM on 100 percent of their groundfish trips to verify regulated groundfish discards, and EM would be used to replace at-sea monitors when selected for ASM