

**NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD****Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests**

**AGENCY:** National Mediation Board.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The National Mediation Board (NMB) invites comments on its proposal to the information collection request as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before August 2, 2017.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Director, Office of Administration, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, *e.g.*, new, revision extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Record keeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Currently, the NMB is soliciting comments concerning the Application for Investigation of Representation Dispute and is interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the agency; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the agency enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the agency minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: June 27, 2017.

**Samantha T. Jones,**

*Assistant Chief of Staff, Administration,  
National Mediation Board.*

Application for Investigation of Representation Dispute

Type of Review: Revision

Title: Application for Investigation of

Representation Dispute,

OMB Number: 3140-0001

Frequency: On occasion

Affected Public: Carrier and Union Officials, and employees of railroads and airlines

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour

Burden:

Responses: 68 annually

Burden Hours: 17.00

1. Abstract: When a dispute arises among a carrier's employees as to who will be their bargaining representative, the National Mediation Board (NMB) is required by Section 2, Ninth, to investigate the dispute, to determine who is the authorized representative, if any, and to certify such representative. The NMB's duties do not arise until its services have been invoked by a party to the dispute. The Railway Labor Act is silent as to how the invocation of a representation dispute is to be accomplished and the NMB has not promulgated regulations requiring any specific vehicle. Nonetheless, 29 C.F.R. § 1203.2, provides that applications for the services of the NMB under Section 2, Ninth, to investigate representation disputes may be made on printed forms secured from the NMB's Office of Legal Affairs or on the Internet at <http://www.nmb.gov/representation/rapplly.html>. The application requires the following information: the name of the carrier involved; the name or description of the craft or class involved; the name of the petitioning organization or individual; the name of the organization currently representing the employees, if any; the names of any other organizations or representatives involved in the dispute; and the estimated number of employees in the craft or class involved. This basic information is essential in providing the NMB with the details of the dispute so that it can determine what resources will be required to conduct an investigation.

2. The application form provides necessary information to the NMB so that it can determine the amount of staff and resources required to conduct an investigation and fulfill its statutory responsibilities. Without this information, the NMB would have to delay the commencement of the investigation, which is contrary to the intent of the Railway Labor Act.

3. There is no improved technological method for obtaining this information. The burden on the parties is minimal in completing the "Application for Investigation of Representation Dispute."

4. There is no duplication in obtaining this information.

5. Rarely are representation elections conducted for small businesses. Carriers/employers are not permitted to request our services regarding representation investigations. The labor organizations, which are the typical requesters, are national in scope and would not qualify as small businesses. Even in situations where the invocation comes from a small labor organization, we believe the burden in completing the application form is minimal and that no reduction in burden could be made.

6. The NMB is required by Section 2, Ninth, to investigate the dispute, to determine who is the authorized representative, if any, and to certify such representative. The NMB has no ability to control the frequency, technical, or legal obstacles, which would reduce the burden.

7. The information requested by the NMB is consistent with the general information collection guidelines of CFR 1320.6. The NMB has no ability to control the data provided or timing of the invocation. The burden on the parties is minimal in completing the "Application for Investigation of Representation Dispute."

8. No payments or gifts have been provided by the NMB to any respondents of the form.

9. There are no questions of a sensitive nature on the form.

10. The total time burden on respondents is 17.00 hours annually—this is the time required to collect information. After consulting with a sample of people involved with the collection of this information, the time to complete this information collection is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including gathering the data needed and completion and review of the information.

Number of respondents per year 68

Estimated time per respondent 15 minutes

Total Burden hours per year 17

(68 × .25)

11. The total collection and mail cost burden on respondents is estimated at \$615.40 annually (\$582.08 time cost burden + \$33.32 mail cost burden.)

a. The respondents will not incur any capital costs or start up costs for this collection.

b. Cost burden on respondents—detail:

The total time burden annual cost is \$582.08  
 Time Burden Basis: The total hourly burden per year, upon respondents, is 17

Staff cost = \$582.08

\$34.24 per hour – based on mid level clerical salary

\$34.24 × 17 hours per year = \$582.08

We are estimating that a mid-level clerical person, with an average salary of \$34.24 per hour, will be completing the “Application for Investigation of Representation Dispute” form. The total burden is estimated at 17 hours, therefore, the total time burden cost is estimated at \$582.08 per year.

The total annual mailing cost to respondents is \$33.32

Number of applications mailed by

Respondents per year 68

Total estimated cost \$33.32  
 (68 × .49 stamp)

The collection of this information is not mandatory; it is a voluntary request from airline and railroad carrier employees seeking to invoke an investigation of a representation dispute. After consulting with a sample of people involved with the collection of this information, the time to complete this information collection is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including gathering the data needed and completion and review of the information. However, the estimated hour burden costs of the respondents may vary due to the complexity of the specific question in dispute. The revision of the form requiring a new application for every craft or class will have little effect on the number of application submitted. In 2012 and 2013, no applications were filed that included a request for representation services for more than one craft or class.

The application form is available from the NMB’s Office of Legal Affairs and is also available on the Internet at <http://www.nmb.gov/representation/rapply.html>

12. The total annualized Federal cost is \$889.49. This includes the costs of printing and mailing the forms upon request of the parties. The completed applications are maintained by the Office of Legal Affairs.

a. Printing cost \$80.00

b. Mailing costs \$10.02

Basis (mail cost): Forms are requested approximately 3 times per year and it takes 5 minutes to prepare the form for mail

Postage cost = \$1.47

3 (times per year) × .49 (cost of postage)

Staff cost = \$8.55

\$.57 per minute (GS 9/10 \$71,467 = \$34.24 per hr. ÷ 60)

\$.57 × 5 minutes per mailing = \$2.85

\$2.85 × 3 times per year = \$8.55

Total Mailing Costs = \$10.02

c. Processing Cost = \$798.00

Basis (processing cost):

Representation is requested approximately 70 times per year and it takes 20 minutes to process each application

Staff Cost = \$798.00

\$.57 per minute (GS 9/10 \$71,467 = \$34.24 per hr. ÷ 60)

\$.57 × 20 minutes per mailing = \$11.40

\$11.40 × 70 times per year = \$798.00

13. Item 13—no change in annual reporting and recordkeeping hour burden.

14. The information collected by the application will not be published.

15. The NMB will display the OMB expiration date on the form.

16(a)—the form does not reduce the burden on small entities; however, the burden is minimized and voluntary.

16(b)—the form does not indicate the retention period for record keeping requirements.

16(c)—the form is not part of a statistical survey.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from [www.nmb.gov](http://www.nmb.gov) or should be addressed to Denise Murdock, NMB, 1301 K Street NW., Suite 250 E, Washington, DC 20005 or addressed to the email address [murdock@nmb.gov](mailto:murdock@nmb.gov) or faxed to 202–692–5081. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements, as well as comments on any legal and substantive issues raised, should be directed to Samantha Williams at 202–692–5010 or via internet address [williams@nmb.gov](mailto:williams@nmb.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD/TDY) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

[FR Doc. 2017–13865 Filed 6–30–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7550–01–P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC–2017–0154]

### Clarification on Endorsement of Nuclear Energy Institute Guidance in Designing Digital Upgrades in Instrumentation and Control Systems

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Draft regulatory issue summary; request for comment and public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is seeking public comment on a draft regulatory issue summary (RIS) to supplement the staff’s endorsement of the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI)/Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) Joint Task Force report entitled, “Guideline on Licensing Digital Upgrades: EPRI TR–102348, Revision 1, NEI 01–01: A Revision of EPRI TR–102348 To Reflect Changes to the 10 CFR 50.59 Rule,” (hereinafter referred to as NEI 01–01.) in RIS 2002–22.

**DATES:** Submit comments by August 2, 2017. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to ensure consideration only for comments received before this date.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC–2017–0154. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301–415–3463; email: [Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov](mailto:Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov). For technical questions, contact the individuals listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *Mail comments to:* Cindy Bladley, Office of Administration, Mail Stop: TWFN–8 D 36M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

For additional direction on obtaining information and submitting comments, see “Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments” in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brian Harris, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR), telephone: 301–415–2277, email: [Brian.Harris2@nrc.gov](mailto:Brian.Harris2@nrc.gov) or Jason Drake, NRR, telephone: 301–415–8378, email: [Jason.Drake@nrc.gov](mailto:Jason.Drake@nrc.gov). Both are staff of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments.

#### A. Obtaining Information

Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2017–0154 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information for this action. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this action by any of the following methods:

- *Federal rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC–2017–0154.
- *NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System*