PART 72—LICENSING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE INDEPENDENT STORAGE OF SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL, HIGH-LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE, AND REACTOR-RELATED GREATER THAN CLASS C WASTE

1. The authority citation for part 72 continues to read as follows:


2. In §72.214, Certificate of Compliance 1032 is revised to read as follows:

§72.214 List of approved spent fuel storage casks. * * * * *
Certificate Number: 1032.
Initial Certificate Effective Date: June 13, 2011, superseded by Amendment Number 0, Revision 1, on April 25, 2016.
Amendment Number 0, Revision 1, Effective Date: April 25, 2016.
Amendment Number 1 Effective Date: December 17, 2014, superseded by Amendment Number 1, Revision 1, on June 2, 2015.
Amendment Number 1 Revision 1, Effective Date: June 2, 2015.
Amendment Number 2, Effective Date: November 7, 2016.
Amendment Number 3, Effective Date: September 11, 2017.
SAR Submitted by: Holtec International, Inc.
SAR Title: Final Safety Analysis Report for the Holtec International HI-STORM FW System.
Docket Number: 72–1032.
Certificate Expiration Date: June 12, 2031.
Model Number: HI–STORM FW M9C–37, M9C–89.
* * * * *
Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 14th day of June 2017.
For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Victor M. McCree,
Executive Director for Operations.
[FR Doc. 2017–13513 Filed 6–27–17; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 33

Special Conditions: Safran Aircraft Engines, Silvercrest-2 SC–2D; Rated Takeoff Thrust at High Ambient Temperature

Correction
Proposed Rule document 2016–13305 appearing on pages 28788 through 28790 in the issue of Monday, June 26, 2017 was withdrawn from public inspection and published in error. It should be removed.

[FR Doc. C1–2017–13305 Filed 6–27–17; 8:45 am]
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FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

16 CFR Part 303
RIN 3084–AB28

Rules and Regulations Under the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission").

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Commission proposes amending the Textile Rules ("Rules and Regulations under the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act") to delete the requirement that an owner of a registered word trademark furnish the FTC with a copy of the mark’s registration with the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") before using the mark on labels, and to no longer restrict the use of such trademarks to only those also employed as house marks. Eliminating these requirements is expected to reduce compliance costs while increasing firms’ flexibility.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before July 31, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may file a comment online or on paper by following the instructions in the Request for Comment part of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section below. Write “Textile Rules, 16 CFR part 303, Project No. P948404” on your comment, and file your comment online at https://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/textilerulesnprm by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite CC–5610 (Annex C), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW., 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex C), Washington, DC 20024.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The Commission recently announced a new initiative to eliminate or change outdated, unnecessary regulations and processes.1 While the textile regulation at issue here does not impose large costs on business, the cumulative burden of unnecessary regulations can impose significant costs and undermine the efficiency with which government delivers services to the public. With these concerns in mind, the Commission now proposes eliminating the requirement in 16 CFR 303.19(a) that businesses furnish the Commission with registered word trademarks prior to using these marks to satisfy the Textile Rules. Eliminating this requirement is expected to reduce compliance costs while increasing firms’ flexibility.

Specifically, the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act ("Textile Act")2 and implementing rules ("Textile Rules") require marketers to, among other things, attach a label to each covered textile product disclosing: (1) the generic names and percentages by weight of the constituent fibers in the product; (2) the name under which the manufacturer or other responsible company does business or, in lieu thereof, the company’s registered identification number ("RN number"); and (3) the name of the country where the product was processed or manufactured.3 Section 303.19 allows the owners of registered word trademarks who use these trademarks as house marks to disclose such trademarks on labels in lieu of their business names. However, before doing so, the company must file a copy of the trademark’s USPTO registration with the Commission. This requirement was imposed in 1959 presumably to obviate

1 See 15 U.S.C. 70 et seq.
3 15 U.S.C. 70b(b).
the need for the Commission to obtain
from the USPTO paper copies of
trademark registrations. However, the
registered marks can be found by
searching online or at the USPTO’s Web
site (www.uspto.gov). The Commission,
therefore, proposes to eliminate the
requirement for businesses to file paper
copies of the registration with the
Commission because it appears
unnecessary and could in some cases
impose unnecessary costs on
businesses.

II. Proposed Amendment

The Commission promulgated
§ 303.19 in 1959 at the time it issued the
Textile Rules, and the provision has not
changed since.4 When the Commission
issued the Rules, neither the
Commission nor consumers could
identify easily the owners of word
trademarks. Thus, at the time, the
regulation provided some benefit (i.e.,
facilitating the identification of
trademark owners to address
compliance issues or help consumers
contact textile product marketers).

Now, Commission staff and
consumers can identify trademark
owners by searching online or on the
USPTO’s online database. Accordingly,
the regulation is no longer necessary.
The Commission, therefore, proposes to
amend § 303.19(a) to delete this
requirement. In addition to potentially
reducing compliance costs for textile
marketers, deleting this requirement
would eliminate the Commission’s need
to process and maintain trademark
registration records, freeing those
resources for more productive uses.5

Additionally, there appears to be no
reason to restrict the use of word
trademarks to only those also employed
as house marks.6 In the past, it was
difficult for consumers to research
registered marks, and, therefore, it made
sense to require companies to use marks
that consumers could easily identify
with a particular company. Consumers
now can identify trademark owners
online or look up the trademark
registrations online at the USPTO, and,
therefore, the rationale for limiting the
use of marks no longer seems to be
necessary. In addition, removing this
requirement would give companies the
flexibility to use any registered word
mark.

III. Request for Comments

You can file a comment online or on
paper. For the Commission to consider
your comment, we must receive it on or
before July 31, 2017. Write “Textile
Rules, 16 CFR part 303, Project No.
P948404” on your comment. Your
comment—including your name and
your state—will be placed on the public
record of this proceeding, including, to
the extent practicable, on the public
Commission Web site, at https://

Postal mail addressed to the
Commission is subject to delay due to
heightened security screening. As a
result, we encourage you to submit your
comments online. To make sure that the
Commission considers your online
comment, you must file it at https://
ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/
textilerulesnprm, by following the
instruction on the web-based form. If
this Notice appears at http://
www.regulations.gov/#home, you also
may file a comment through that Web
site.

If you file your comment on paper,
write “Textile Rules, 16 CFR part 303,
Project No. P948404” on your comment
and on the envelope, and mail your
comment to the following address:
Federal Trade Commission, Office of the
Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue
NW., Suite GC–5610 (Annex C),
Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your
comment to the following address:
Federal Trade Commission, Office of the
Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th
Street SW., 5th Floor, Suite 5610
(Annex C), Washington, DC 20024. If
possible, submit your paper comment to
the Commission by courier or overnight
service.

Because your comment will be placed
on the publicly accessible FTC Web site
at https://www.ftc.gov, you are solely
responsible for making sure that your
comment does not include any sensitive
or confidential information. In
particular, your comment should not
include any sensitive personal
information, such as your or anyone
else’s Social Security number; date of
birth; driver’s license number or other
state identification number, or foreign
country equivalent; passport number;
financial account number; or credit or
debit card number. You are also solely
responsible for making sure that your
comment does not include any sensitive
health information, such as medical
records or other individually
identifiable health information. In
addition, your comment should not
include any “trade secret or any
commercial or financial information
which . . . is privileged or
confidential”—as provided by Section
6(f) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and
FTC Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2)—
including in particular competitively
sensitive information such as costs,
sales statistics, inventories, formulas,
patterns, devices, manufacturing
processes, or customer names.

Comments containing material for
which confidential treatment is
requested must be filed in paper form,
must be clearly labeled “Confidential,”
and must comply with FTC Rule 4.9(c).
In particular, the written request for
confidential treatment that accompanies
the comment must include the factual
and legal basis for the request, and must
identify the specific portions of the
comment to be withheld from the public
record. See FTC Rule 4.9(c). Your
comment will be kept confidential only
if the General Counsel grants your
request in accordance with the law and
the public interest. Once your comment
has been posted on the public FTC Web
site—as legally required by FTC Rule
4.9(b)—we cannot redact or remove
your comment from the FTC Web site,
unless you submit a confidentiality
request that meets the requirements for
such treatment under FTC Rule 4.9(c),
and the General Counsel grants that
request.

Visit the Commission Web site to read
this NPRM and the news release
describing it. The FTC Act and other
laws that the Commission administers
permit the collection of public
comments to consider and use in this
proceeding as appropriate. The
Commission will consider all timely
and responsive public comments that it
receives on or before July 31, 2017.
For information on the Commission’s
privacy policy, including routine uses
permitted by the Privacy Act, see
https://www.ftc.gov/site-information/
privacy-policy.

The Commission invites members of
the public to comment on the costs and
benefits to industry members and
consumers, as well as any issues or
concerns they believe are relevant or
appropriate to the Commission’s
consideration of the proposed
amendment to the Textile Rules. The
Commission requests that comments
provide factual data upon which they
are based.

IV. Communications to Commissioners
and Commissioner Advisors by Outside
Parties

Written communications and
summaries or transcripts of oral
communications respecting the merits
of this proceeding from any outside
party to any Commissioner or
Commissioner’s advisor will be placed on the public record.7

V. Regulatory Flexibility Act Requirements

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (“RFA”)8 requires that the Commission conduct an analysis of the anticipated economic impact of the proposed amendment on small entities. The purpose of a regulatory flexibility analysis is to ensure that an agency considers the impacts on small entities and examines regulatory alternatives that could achieve the regulatory purpose while minimizing burdens on small entities. Section 605 of the RFA9 provides that such an analysis is not required if the agency head certifies that the regulatory action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

The Commission believes that the proposed amendment would not have a significant economic impact upon small entities, although it may affect a substantial number of small businesses. In the Commission’s view, the proposed amendment should not increase the costs of small entities that manufacture or import textile fiber products. Therefore, based on available information, the Commission certifies that amending the Rules as proposed will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small businesses. Although the Commission certifies under the RFA that the proposed amendment would not, if promulgated, have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities, the Commission has determined, nonetheless, that it is appropriate to publish an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis to inquire into the impact of the proposed amendment on small entities. Therefore, the Commission has prepared the following analysis:

A. Description of the Reasons That Action by the Agency Is Being Taken

The Commission proposes amending the Rules to delete one requirement and provide greater flexibility in complying with the Rules’ disclosure requirements.

B. Statement of the Objectives of, and Legal Basis for, the Proposed Amendment

The Textile Act authorizes the Commission to implement its requirements through the issuance of rules. The proposed amendment would delete the requirement that word trademark owners disclosing their trademarks in lieu of their business names on labels furnish a copy of their trademark registrations to the Commission, and provide covered entities with additional labeling options (i.e., to use word trademarks in lieu of business name, even if such trademarks are not house marks) without imposing new burdens or additional costs.

C. Small Entities to Which the Proposed Amendments Will Apply

The Rules apply to various segments of the textile fiber product industry, including manufacturers and wholesalers of textile apparel products. Under the Small Business Size Standards issued by the Small Business Administration, textile apparel manufacturers qualify as small businesses if they have 500 or fewer employees. Clothing wholesalers qualify as small businesses if they have 100 or fewer employees. The Commission’s staff has estimated that approximately 22,642 textile fiber product manufacturers and importers are covered by the Rules’ disclosure requirements.10 A substantial number of these entities likely qualify as small businesses. The Commission estimates that the proposed amendment will not have a significant impact on small businesses because they do not impose any new obligations on them.

D. Projected Reporting, Recordkeeping, and Other Compliance Requirements, Including Classes of Covered Small Entities and Professional Skills Needed To Comply

As explained earlier in this document, the proposed amendment would delete a filing requirement and a limitation on the use of word trademarks on textile labels, thus providing greater flexibility to companies covered by the Rules. The small entities potentially covered by the proposed amendment will include all such entities subject to the Rules.

E. Duplicative, Overlapping, or Conflicting Federal Rules

The Commission has not identified any other federal statutes, rules, or policies that would duplicate, overlap, or conflict with the proposed amendment.

F. Significant Alternatives to the Proposed Amendment

The Commission has not proposed any specific small entity exemption or other significant alternatives because the proposed amendment would not impose any new requirements or compliance costs.

VI. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Rules contain various “collection of information” (e.g., disclosure and recordkeeping) requirements for which the Commission has obtained clearance from the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) under the Paperwork Reduction Act (“PRA”).11 The proposed amendment does not impose any additional collection of information requirements.

List of Subjects in 16 CFR Part 303

Advertising, Labeling, Recordkeeping, Textile fiber products.

Accordingly, the FTC proposes to amend 16 CFR part 303 as follows:

PART 303—RULES AND REGULATIONS UNDER THE TEXTILE FIBER PRODUCTS IDENTIFICATION ACT

1. The authority citation for part 303 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 70 et seq.

2. Amend §303.19 by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§303.19 Name or other identification required to appear on labels.

(a) The name required by the Act to be used on labels shall be the name under which the person is doing business. Where a person has a word trademark, registered in the United States Patent and Trademark Office, such word trademark may be used on labels in lieu of the name otherwise required. No trademark, trade names, or other names except those provided for above shall be used for required identification purposes.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017–13470 Filed 6–27–17; 8:45 am]
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7 See 16 CFR 1.26(b)(5).
10 80 FR 1411, 1413 (Jan. 9, 2015).
11 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq. The Commission published its PRA burden estimates for the current information collection requirements under the Rules. See 80 FR at 1411, 1413 (Jan. 9, 2015) and 80 FR 14387, 14388 (Mar. 19, 2015). In April 2015, OMB granted clearance through April 30, 2018, for these requirements and the associated PRA burden estimates. The OMB control number is 3084–0101.