

- **State Mental Health Authority Interview:** This is a one-time semi-structured interview with state mental health leadership in the states where the 32 sites in the evaluation are located. The interview focuses on their thoughts and opinions about context in which CSC programs are implemented within their state and the state’s role in the implementation of the CSC programs.
- **Agency Director/Administrator Interview:** This semi-structured interview will be conducted twice with Agency Director/Administrators at each of the 32 CSC sites in the evaluation about the successes and challenges involved in implementing the CSC program.

- **Coordinated Specialty Care (CSC) Staff Interview:** This semi-structured interview will be conducted twice with CSC Staff at each of the 32 CSC sites in the evaluation about the successes and challenges involved in implementing the CSC program.
- **Coordinated Specialty Care (CSC) Participant Interview:** This semi-structured interview will be conducted twice with participants involved in programs at the 32 CSC sites in the evaluation. The purpose of the interview is to gather participant input on how CSC programs are operating and their thoughts and opinions about successes and challenges while participating in the CSC program.

- **Fidelity Interview:** This interview will be conducted twice during the evaluation with up to four CSC staff at each site. The phone interview is designed to be used in conjunction with the First Episode Psychosis Fidelity Scale (FEPS-FS) to examine whether elements of CSC are implemented at the sites.

In addition, each site will provide the evaluation team with administrative data on participant demographics and outcomes (e.g., employment status, educational status, diagnosis, living situation, quality of life, symptoms).

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED BURDEN HOURS

Respondent	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total responses	Average burden per response (in hours)	Total burden (in hours)
State Department of Mental Health Representative: Telephone Interview	32	1	32	2.0	64
CSC Site Directors across the country: Online survey	250	1	250	0.2	50
Evaluation CSC Site: Program Director on-site interview ...	64	1	64	2.0	128
Evaluation CSC Site: Program Staff on-site interview	192	1	192	2.0	384
Evaluation CSC Site: Program Staff Fidelity Telephone Interview	64	4	256	4.0	1,024
Evaluation CSC Site: Program Staff data submission	32	18	576	5.0	2,880
Evaluation CSC Site: Program Participant on-site interview	128	1	128	1.0	128
Total	762	1,498	4,658

Written comments and recommendations concerning the proposed information collection should be sent by July 12, 2017 to the SAMHSA Desk Officer at the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB). To ensure timely receipt of comments, and to avoid potential delays in OMB’s receipt and processing of mail sent through the U.S. Postal Service, commenters are encouraged to submit their comments to OMB via email to: *OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov*. Although commenters are encouraged to send their comments via email, commenters may also fax their comments to: 202–395–7285. Commenters may also mail them to: Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, New Executive Office Building, Room 10102, Washington, DC 20503.

Summer King,
Statistician.
 [FR Doc. 2017–12091 Filed 6–9–17; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4162–20–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–638 (Fourth Review)]

Stainless Steel Wire Rod From India DETERMINATION

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel wire rod from India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

BACKGROUND

The Commission, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), instituted this review on December 1, 2016 (81 FR 86728) and determined on March 6, 2017 that it would conduct an expedited review (82 FR 16231, April 3, 2017).

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It

completed and filed its determination in this review on June 6, 2017. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4695 (June 2017), entitled *Stainless Steel Wire Rod from India: Investigation No. 731–TA–638 (Fourth Review)*.

By order of the Commission.
 Issued: June 6, 2017.

Lisa R. Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.
 [FR Doc. 2017–12037 Filed 6–9–17; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–951]

Certain Lithium Metal Oxide Cathode Materials, Lithium-Ion Batteries for Power Tool Products Containing Same, and Power Tool Products With Lithium-Ion Batteries Containing Same; Notice of the Commission’s Determination To Rescind a Limited Exclusion Order

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to rescind

¹The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

a limited exclusion order prohibiting importation of infringing lithium metal oxide cathode materials based upon settlement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted the underlying investigation on March 30, 2015, based on a complaint filed by BASF Corporation of Florham Park, New Jersey ("BASF") and UChicago Argonne LLC of Lemont, IL ("Argonne") (collectively, "Complainants"). 80 FR 16696 (Mar. 30, 2015). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain lithium metal oxide cathode materials, lithium-ion batteries for power tool products containing same, and power tool products with lithium-ion batteries containing same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1-4, 7, 13, and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,677,082 ("the '082 patent") and claims 1-4, 8, 9, and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,680,143 ("the '143 patent"). *Id.* The notice of investigation named the following respondents: Umicore N.V. of Brussels, Belgium; Umicore USA Inc. of Raleigh, North Carolina (collectively, "Umicore"); Makita Corporation of Anjo, Japan; Makita Corporation of America of Buford, Georgia; and Makita U.S.A. Inc. of La Mirada, California (collectively, "Makita"). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was a party to the investigation.

On November 5, 2015, the ALJ granted a joint motion by Complainants and Makita to terminate the

investigation as to Makita based upon settlement. *See* Order No. 32 (Nov. 5, 2015). The Commission determined not to review this order. *See* Notice of Non-Review (Nov. 23, 2015).

On February 29, 2016, the ALJ issued his final initial determination ("ID"), finding a violation of section 337 by Umicore in connection with claims 1-4, 7, 13, and 14 of the '082 patent and claims 1-4, 8, 9, and 17 of the '143 patent. On May 11, 2016, the Commission determined to review the final ID in part. 81 FR 30548-50 (May 17, 2016). The Commission also granted Umicore's request for a Commission hearing. *Id.* On November 17, 2016, the Commission held a hearing on contributory infringement, laches, and the public interest. On review, the Commission determined to affirm the ALJ's finding of violation of section 337 with respect to the claims identified above. 81 FR 93960-62 (Dec. 22, 2016).

Having found a violation of section 337, the Commission determined that the appropriate form of relief was: A limited exclusion order prohibiting the unlicensed entry of lithium metal oxide cathode materials that infringe one or more of claims 1-4, 7, 13, and 14 of the '082 patent, or claims 1-4, 8, 9, and 17 of the '143 patent that are manufactured by, or on behalf of, or imported by or on behalf of Umicore N.V. and Umicore USA Inc. or any of their affiliated companies, parents, subsidiaries, agents, or other related business entities, or their successors or assigns.

On May 5, 2017, BASF, Argonne, and Umicore filed a joint petition under 19 U.S.C. 1337(k) and Commission Rule 210.76(a) (19 CFR 210.76(a)) to rescind the limited exclusion order based upon settlement. The parties filed both confidential and public versions of the settlement agreements. On May 9, 2017, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion.

The Commission has determined to grant the petition. The limited exclusion order issued in this investigation is hereby rescinded.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 6, 2017.

Lisa R. Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2017-12035 Filed 6-9-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA-372]

Exempt Chemical Preparations Under the Controlled Substances Act

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration, Department of Justice.

ACTION: Order with opportunity for comment.

SUMMARY: The applications for exempt chemical preparations received by the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) between April 1, 2016, and December 31, 2016, as listed below, were accepted for filing and have been approved or denied as indicated.

DATES: Interested persons may file written comments on this order in accordance with 21 CFR 1308.23(e). Electronic comments must be submitted, and written comments must be postmarked, on or before August 11, 2017. Commenters should be aware that the electronic Federal Docket Management System will not accept comments after 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on the last day of the comment period.

ADDRESSES: To ensure proper handling of comments, please reference "Docket No. DEA-372" on all correspondence, including any attachments.

- **Electronic comments:** The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) encourages that all comments be submitted through the Federal eRulemaking Portal, which provides the ability to type short comments directly into the comment field on the Web page or to attach a file for lengthier comments. Please go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and follow the online instructions at that site for submitting comments. Upon completion of your submission you will receive a Comment Tracking Number for your comment. Please be aware that submitted comments are not instantaneously available for public view on [Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). If you have received a comment tracking number, your comment has been successfully submitted and there is no need to resubmit the same comment.

- **Paper comments:** Paper comments that duplicate the electronic submission are not necessary and are discouraged. Should you wish to mail a comment *in lieu of* an electronic comment, it should be sent via regular or express mail to: Drug Enforcement Administration, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative/DRW, 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael J. Lewis, Diversion Control