(ii) evidence submitted in support of allegations; (iii) publicly available information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c) or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2); (iv) evidence placed on the record by the Department; and (v) evidence other than factual information described in (i)–(iv). These regulations require any party, when submitting factual information, to specify under which subsection of 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21) the information is being submitted and, if the information is submitted to rebut, clarify, or correct factual information already on the record, to provide an explanation identifying the information already on the record that the factual information seeks to rebut, clarify, or correct. The regulations, at 19 CFR 351.301, also provide specific time limits for such factual submissions based on the type of factual information being submitted. Please review the final rule, available at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/2013/1304frn/2013-08227.txt, prior to submitting factual information in this segment.

Any party submitting factual information in an antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information.7 Parties are hereby reminded that revised certification requirements are in effect for company/government officials as well as their representatives. All segments of any antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceedings initiated on or after August 16, 2013, should use the formats for the revised certifications provided at the end of the Final Rule.8 The Department intends to reject factual submissions in any proceeding segments if the submitting party does not comply with applicable revised certification requirements.

Extension of Time Limits Regulation

Parties may request an extension of time limits before a time limit established under Part 351 expires, or as otherwise specified by the Secretary. See 19 CFR 351.302. In general, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after the time limit established under Part 351 expires. For submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after 10:00 a.m. on the due date. Examples include, but are not limited to: (1) Case and rebuttal briefs, filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309; (2) factual information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c), or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2), filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3) and rebuttal, clarification and correction filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3)(iv); (3) comments concerning the selection of a surrogate country and surrogate values and rebuttal; (4) comments concerning U.S. Customs and Border Protection data; and (5) quantity and value questionnaires. Under certain circumstances, the Department may elect to specify a different time limit by which extension requests will be considered untimely for submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously. In such a case, the Department will inform parties in the letter or memorandum setting forth the deadline (including a specified time) by which extension requests must be filed to be considered timely. This modification also requires that an extension request must be made in a separate, stand-alone submission, and clarifies the circumstances under which the Department will grant untimely-filed requests for the extension of time limits. These modifications are effective for all segments initiated on or after October 21, 2013. Please review the final rule, available at http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-09-20/html/2013-22853.htm, prior to submitting factual information in these segments.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: June 1, 2017.

Gary Taverman,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

BILLING CODE 3510–OS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–485–805]


AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain small diameter carbon and alloy seamless standard, line and pressure pipe (small diameter seamless pipe) from Romania. The review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, S.C. Silcotub S.A. (Silicotub). The period of review (POR) is August 1, 2015, through July 31, 2016. We preliminarily find that sales of subject merchandise have not been made at prices below normal value (NV). Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Effective June 7, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kate Johnson or Denisa Ursu, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4929 or (202) 482–2285, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the Order1 is small diameter seamless pipe. The product is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 7304.10.10.20, 7304.10.50.20, 7304.19.10.20, 7304.19.50.20, 7304.31.30.00, 7304.31.60.50, 7304.39.00.16, 7304.39.00.20, 7304.39.00.24, 7304.39.00.28, 7304.39.00.32, 7304.51.50.05, 7304.51.50.60, 7304.59.60.00, 7304.59.80.10, 7304.59.80.15, 7304.59.80.20, and 7304.59.80.25. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written

---

7 See section 782(b) of the Act.
8 See Certification of Factual Information To Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings, 78 FR 42678 (July 17, 2013) (Final Rule); see also the frequently asked questions regarding the Final Rule, available at http://enforcement.trade.gov/file/notices/factual_info_final_rule_FAQ_07172013.pdf.

1 See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Small Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line and Pressure Pipe from Romania, 65 FR 48963 (August 10, 2000) (the Order).
product description of the scope of the Order is dispositive.2

Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Constructed export price (CEP) is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value (NV) is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and it is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B0824 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as the Appendix to this notice.

Preliminary Results of Review

The Department preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Producer/Exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S.C. Silcotub S.A.</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department intends to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results to interested parties within five days after the date of publication of this notice.3 Interested parties may submit case briefs to the Department no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the time limit for filing case briefs.4 Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.5 Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS.6

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS. An electronically-filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS by 5 p.m. Eastern Standard Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.7 Hearing requests should contain: (1) The party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.8

The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, no later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h), unless this deadline is extended.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.9 Silcotub reported the names of the importers of record and the entered value for all of its sales to the United States during the POR. If Silcotub’s weighted-average dumping margins are not zero or de minimis (i.e., less than 0.50 percent) in the final results of this review, we will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer’s examined sales and the total entered value of those sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), and we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. Where either the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or de minimis, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

In accordance with the Department’s “automatic assessment” practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Silcotub for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate.10 We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of small diameter seamless pipe from Romania entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Silcotub will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently-completed segment; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently-completed segment for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 13.06 percent, the all-others rate established in the Order. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.212(b).
351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h) and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: June 1, 2017.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. Discussion of the Methodology
   i. Normal Value Comparisons
   ii. Product Comparisons
   iii. Date of Sale
   iv. Constructed Export Price
   v. Normal Value
   vi. Verification
   vii. Currency Conversion
5. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2017–11825 Filed 6–6–17; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

Notice of Scope Rulings

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective June 7, 2017.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) hereby publishes a list of scope rulings and anticircumvention determinations made between April 1, 2016, and June 30, 2016, inclusive. We intend to publish future lists after the close of the next calendar quarter.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department’s regulations provide that the Secretary will publish in the Federal Register a list of scope rulings on a quarterly basis.1 Our most recent notification of scope rulings was published on March 15, 2017.2 This current notice covers all scope rulings and anticircumvention determinations made by Enforcement and Compliance between April 1, 2016, and June 30, 2016, inclusive. Subsequent lists will follow after the close of each calendar quarter.

Scope Rulings Made Between April 1, 2016 and June 30, 2016

People’s Republic of China

A–570–967 and C–570–968: Aluminum Extrusions From the People’s Republic of China

Requestor: Bottom Line Traction, Inc.; Portal sets, which are used as entryways for retail spaces, are outside the scope of the orders because, at the time of importation, they constitute finished goods kits that contain non-extruded aluminum parts beyond mere fasteners, along with other parts necessary to assemble the finished downstream; April 15, 2016.

A–570–967 and C–570–968: Aluminum Extrusions From the People’s Republic of China

Requestor: Lockfast, Inc.; Banner stand kits outside the scope of the orders because they constitute a finished goods kit that includes non-extruded aluminum components beyond mere fasteners, along with other parts necessary to assemble the finished banner stand upon importation; June 16, 2016.

A–570–967 and C–570–968: Aluminum Extrusions From the People’s Republic of China

Requestor: Angra International LLC; Lift-a-Deck II foot assembly, a component of an adjustable system of tracks, beams and other components designed to maximize the usage of cargo space in trucks and trailers, is outside the scope of the orders because it meets the requirement of subassembly which are imported as finished merchandise, ready for installation into a downstream product; June 20, 2016.

A–570–018 and C–570–019: Boltless Steel Shelving Units Prepackaged for Sale From the People’s Republic of China

Requestor: Seville Classics, Inc.; Outside the scope of the orders based on the plain language of the scope because the structural integrity of the unit without the inclusion of the decking; June 24, 2016.

A–570–018 and C–570–019: Boltless Steel Shelving Units Prepackaged for Sale From the People’s Republic of China

Requestor: Illinois Tool Works Inc.; Outside the scope of the orders based on the plain language of the scope because two of the bicycle racks require bolts for assembly and the horizontal support member for the third bicycle rack does not include the capacity for a horizontal storage surface; June 30, 2016.

A–570–016 and C–570–017: Certain Passenger Vehicle and Light Truck Tires From the People’s Republic of China

Requestor: American Omni Trading Company LLC and Unicorn Tire Corporation; Racing tires that contain a “DOT” symbol but are not a size listed in the passenger vehicle or light truck section of the Tire and Rim Association Year Book are outside the scope of the anti-dumping and countervailing duty orders on certain passenger vehicle and light truck tires from the People’s Republic of China; May 27, 2016.

A–570–979 and C–570–980: Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or not Assembled Into Modules From the People’s Republic of China

Requestor: Goal Zero, LLC; the Torch 250 Flashlight is covered by the scope of the anti-dumping and countervailing duty orders on crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules, from the People’s Republic of China because one of its functions is to provide power for other electronic devices and thus it does not qualify for the exclusion identified in the scope of the orders; May 13, 2016.

A–570–970 and C–570–971: Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People’s Republic of China

Requestor: Dunhua Shengda Wood Industry Co., Ltd. (Dunhua Shengda); Dunhua Shengda’s two-layer wood flooring products are not within the scope of the Orders on multilayered wood flooring from the PRC because they lack the expressed requirement of two or more layers or plies of wood veneer in combination with a core; April 25, 2016.

A–570–970 and C–570–971: Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People’s Republic of China

Requestor: Zhejiang Biyork Wood Co., Ltd. (Biyork Wood); Biyork Wood’s two-layer constructed wood flooring panels are not within the scope of the Orders on multilayered wood flooring from the PRC because they lack the expressed requirement of two or more layers or plies of wood veneer in combination with a core; May 23, 2016.

A–570–970 and C–570–971: Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People’s Republic of China

Requestor: Jiangsu Beier Decoration Material Co. Ltd. (Beier Decoration); Beier Decoration’s three-layer construction wood flooring panel is not within the scope of the Orders on multilayered wood flooring from the PRC because they lack the expressed requirement of two or more layers or plies of wood veneer in combination with a core; June 21, 2016.

Interested parties are invited to comment on the completeness of this list of completed scope and anticircumvention inquiries. Any comments should be submitted to the