comments and issuances in this docket may do so at http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/docs-filing.asp.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ellen Brown may be reached by email at DataClearance@FERC.gov by telephone at (202) 502–8663, and by fax at (202) 273–0873.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Title: FERC–521, Payments for Benefits from Headwater Improvements. OMB Control No.: 1902–0687.
Type of Request: Three-year extension of the FERC–521 information collection requirements with no changes to the current reporting requirements.
Abstract: The information collected under the requirements of FERC–521 is used by the Commission to implement the statutory provisions of Section 10(f) of the Federal Power Act (FPA).1 The FPA authorizes the Commission to determine headwater benefits received by downstream hydropower project owners. Headwater benefits are the additional energy production possible at a downstream hydropower project resulting from the regulation of river flows by an upstream storage reservoir.

When the Commission completes a study of a river basin, it determines headwater benefits charges that will be apportioned among the various downstream beneficiaries. A headwater benefits charge and the cost incurred by the Commission to complete an evaluation are paid by downstream hydropower project owners. In essence, the owners of non-federal hydropower projects that directly benefit from a headwater improvement must pay an equitable portion of the annual charges for interest, maintenance, and depreciation of the headwater project to the U.S. Treasury. The regulations provide for apportionment of these costs between the headwater project and downstream projects based on downstream energy gains and propose equitable apportionment methodology that can be applied to all river basins in which headwater improvements are built. The Commission requires owners of non-federal hydropower projects to file data for determining annual charges as outlined in 18 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 11.

Type of Respondents: There are two types of entities that respond, Federal and Non-Federal hydropower project owners. The Federal entities that typically respond are the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the U.S. Department of Interior Bureau of Reclamation. The Non-Federal entities may consist of any Municipal or Non-Municipal hydropower project owner.

Estimate of Annual Burden:2 The Commission estimates the total Public Reporting Burden for this information collection as:

### FERC–521—Payments for Benefits from Headwater Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Annual number of responses per respondent</th>
<th>Total number of responses</th>
<th>Average burden &amp; cost per response</th>
<th>Total annual burden hours &amp; total annual cost</th>
<th>Cost per respondent ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal and Non-Federal hydropower project owners.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40 hrs.; $3,060</td>
<td>120 hrs.; $9,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost</td>
<td>........................................</td>
<td>........................................</td>
<td>........................................</td>
<td>........................................</td>
<td>........................................</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total estimated annual cost burden to respondents is $9,180 [120 hrs. * $76.50/hour \(3 = 9,180\)]

Comments: Comments are invited on:
(1) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information will have practical utility;
(2) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden and cost of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
(3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and
(4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Dated: June 1, 2017.

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017–11760 Filed 6–6–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Combined Notice of Filings #1

Take notice that the Commission received the following electric rate filings:

Applicants: Luning Energy LLC.
Description: Supplement to December 16, 2016 Triennial Report for Northwest region of Luning Energy LLC.
Filed Date: 5/31/17.
Accession Number: 20170531–5349.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 6/14/17.

Docket Numbers: ER17–1727–000.
Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: CIAC Agreement with DTE Electric Company to be effective 7/31/2017.
Filed Date: 5/31/17.
Accession Number: 20170531–5270.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 6/21/17.
Docket Numbers: ER17–1728–000.
Applicants: Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc.
Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: 2017–05–31 Attachment X Clean-up related to Queue Reform to be effective 8/1/2017.
Filed Date: 5/31/17.
Accession Number: 20170513–2573.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 6/21/17.
Docket Numbers: ER17–1729–000.
Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Amendment to City and County of San

2 Burden is the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. For further explanation of what is included in the information collection burden, refer to Title 5 Code of Federal Regulations 1320.3.
3 Commission staff thinks that respondents to this collection are similarly compensated in terms of salary and benefits, $76.50/hour is the average FERC employee hourly salary plus benefits for 2017.
Notice

Francisco Hunters Point #1 WDT (SA 36) to be effective 8/1/2017.

Filed Date: 6/1/17.
Accession Number: 20170601–5006.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 6/22/17.
Docket Numbers: ER17–1730–000.
Applicants: Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Entergy New Orleans, Inc., Entergy Louisiana, LLC.

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: EAI–ELL–ENO Union Power Reactive to be effective 8/1/2017.

Filed Date: 6/1/17.
Accession Number: 20170601–5009.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 6/22/17.
Docket Numbers: ER17–1731–000.
Applicants: Entergy Texas, Inc., Entergy Louisiana, LLC.

Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: ELL–ETI Big Cajun II U3 Reactive to be effective 8/1/2017.

Filed Date: 6/1/17.
Accession Number: 20170601–5010.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 6/22/17.

The filings are accessible in the Commission’s eLibrary system by clicking on the links or querying the docket number.

Any person desiring to intervene or protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission’s Regulations (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5:00 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date.

Protests may be considered, but intervention is necessary to become a party to the proceeding.

filing is encouraged. More detailed information relating to filing requirements, interventions, protests, service, and qualifying facilities filings can be found at: http:// www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/eFiling/filing-req.pdf. For other information, call (866) 208–3676 toll-free. For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Dated: June 1, 2017.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017–11768 Filed 6–6–17; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP17–450–000]

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC; Notice of Application

Take notice that on May 25, 2017, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC (Transco), P.O. Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77251, filed in Docket No. CP17–450–000 an application pursuant to section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Part 157 of the Commission’s regulations, requesting authorization to abandon in place its offshore gathering laterals extending from Brazos Block A–133A to Brazos Block 538. The gathering facilities proposed to be abandoned are located on Transco’s Central Texas Gathering System (CTGS) in federal waters offshore Texas.

Specifically, Transco proposes to abandon the CTGS West Facilities that consist of: (i) A 10.72-mile, 20-inch offshore gathering lateral extending from Brazos Block A–133A platform to the Brazos Block A–76 subsea tie-in, and (ii) a 30-mile, 20-inch offshore gathering lateral extending from the Brazos Block A–76 subsea tie-in to the Brazos Block 538 platform. Transco states that the abandonment of the CTGS West Facilities will have no impacts on the upstream shippers as the approximately 35,000 dekatherms per day that currently flows on these laterals will be re-routed to an existing parallel line. Transco estimates the cost of the abandonment of the CTGS West Facilities to be approximately $2.9 million, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. The filing may also be viewed on the web at http:// www.ferc.gov using the “eLibrary” link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or call toll-free, (866) 208–3676 or TTY, (202) 502–8659.

Any questions regarding this application should be directed to Charlotte Hutson, Director Rates & Regulatory, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC, P.O. Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77251–1396, by telephone at (713) 215–4060, or by email at charlotte.a.hutson@williams.com.

Pursuant to section 157.9 of the Commission’s rules (18 CFR 157.9), within 90 days of this Notice, the Commission staff will either: Complete its environmental assessment (EA) and place it into the Commission’s public record (eLibrary) for this proceeding; or issue a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review. If a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review is issued, it will indicate, among other milestones, the anticipated date for the Commission staff’s issuance of the EA for this proposal. The filing of the EA in the Commission’s public record for this proceeding or the issuance of a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review will serve to notify federal and state agencies of the timing for the completion of all necessary reviews, and the subsequent need to complete all federal authorizations within 90 days of the date of issuance of the Commission staff’s EA.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission’s review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date stated below, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit five copies of filings made with the Commission to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding. However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission’s rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commentors will be placed on the Commission’s environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission’s environmental review process. Environmental commentors will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commentors will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of