Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b). The Chairman shall preside over the conduct of the oral hearing. The meeting will be closed to the public to the extent that one or more of the exemptions from public meetings apply as certified by NCUA’s Office of General Counsel. The Board shall maintain the confidentiality of any information or materials submitted or otherwise obtained in the course of the procedures outlined herein, subject to applicable law and regulations.

(f) Conclusion of the Oral Hearing. The Board shall take the oral presentations under advisement. The Board shall render its decision on the appeal in accordance with §746.110.

§746.112 Retaliation Prohibited.

(a) Retaliation Prohibited. NCUA staff may not retaliate against a federally insured credit union making any type of appeal. Alleged acts of retaliation should be reported to the NCUA Office of Inspector General, which is authorized to receive and investigate complaints and other information regarding abuse in agency programs and operations.

(b) Submission of Complaints. Federally insured credit unions may submit complaints of suspected retaliation to the NCUA Office of Inspector General, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314–3428. Complaints should include an explanation of the circumstances surrounding the complaint and evidence of any retaliation. Information submitted as part of a complaint shall be kept confidential.

(c) Disciplinary Action. Any retaliation by NCUA staff will subject the employee to appropriate disciplinary or remedial action by the appropriate supervisor. Such disciplinary or remedial action may include oral or written warning or admonishment, reprimand, suspension or separation from employment, change in assigned duties, or disqualification from a particular assignment, including prohibition from participating in any examination of the federally insured credit union that was the subject of the retaliation.

§746.113 Coordination with State Supervisory Authority.

(a) Coordination when Request for Review by the Director of the Office of Examination and Insurance Filed. In the event that a material supervisory determination subject to a request for review by the Director of the Office of Examination and Insurance is the joint product of NCUA and a state supervisory authority, the Director of the Office of Examination and Insurance will promptly notify the appropriate state supervisory authority of the request for review, provide the state supervisory authority with a copy of the request for review and any other related materials, solicit the state supervisory authority’s views regarding the merits of the request for review before making a determination, and notify the state supervisory authority of the Director’s determination.

(b) Coordination when Appeal to Supervisory Review Committee Filed. In the event that a material supervisory determination appealed to the Committee is the joint product of NCUA and a state supervisory authority, the Committee will promptly notify the state supervisory authority of the appeal, provide the state supervisory authority with a copy of the appeal and any other related materials, solicit the state supervisory authority’s views regarding the merits of the appeal before making a determination, and notify the state supervisory authority of the Committee’s determination. Once the Committee has issued its determination, any other issues that may remain between the federally insured credit union and the state supervisory authority will be left to those parties to resolve.

(c) Coordination when Appeal to Board Filed. In the event that a material supervisory determination appealed to the Board is the joint product of NCUA and a state supervisory authority, the Board will promptly notify the state supervisory authority of the appeal, provide the state supervisory authority with a copy of the appeal and any other related materials, solicit the state supervisory authority’s views regarding the merits of the appeal before making a determination, and notify the state supervisory authority of the Board’s determination. Once the Board has issued its determination, any other issues that may remain between the federally insured credit union and the state supervisory authority will be left to those parties to resolve.
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Examining the AD Docket
You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2017–0511; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Operations office (telephone 800–647–5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Comments Invited
We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include “Docket No. FAA–2017–0511; Directorate Identifier 2016–NM–176–AD” at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD based on those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

Discussion
Transport Canada Civil Aviation (TCCA), which is the aviation authority for Canada, has issued Canadian Airworthiness Directive CF–2016–17R2, dated June 9, 2016 (referred to after this as the Mandatory Continuing Airworthiness Information, or “the MCAI”), to correct an unsafe condition for certain Bombardier, Inc. Model CL–601–3A, CL–601–3R, and CL–604 Variants) airplanes. The MCAI states:

Based on in-service experience, a new life limitation has been introduced for the following side brace fitting shaft part numbers:

- 600–10237–1/–5
- 600–10237–3
- 601K10237–1/–3

In order to facilitate identification and tracking, the component must be identified and serialized. Bombardier has revised the Time Limits/Maintenance Checks (TLMC) Manual to include new life limits and issued Service Bulletins (SB) for serialization of the affected parts.

The original version of this [Canadian] AD was issued to mandate the incorporation of the new TLMC life limits as well as identification and serialization of the affected parts. The revision 1 of this [Canadian] AD was issued * * * June 10, 2016 to correct a typographic error in Table A of the Corrective Actions section. The revision 2 of this [Canadian] AD is being issued to correct/update the TLMC data in Table A of the Corrective Actions section.

Required actions include an inspection to identify the serial number, to serialize, and to record the accumulated life of the side brace fitting shaft of the MLG. The unsafe condition is the loss of structural integrity of the affected part. You may examine the MCAI in the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2017–0511.

Related Service Information Under 1 CFR Part 51
We reviewed the following service information. The service information describes the life limits for the side brace fitting shaft and side brace-to-airplane fitting pin of the MLG. The service information is distinct since it applies to different airplane models in different configurations.

- Chapter 5–10–10, Airworthiness Limitations, of the Bombardier Challenger PSP 605 Time Limits/Maintenance Checks, Revision 14, dated June 9, 2016.

We have also reviewed the following service information. The service information describes procedures for an inspection to identify the serial number, to serialize, and to record the accumulated life of the side brace fitting shaft of the MLG. The service bulletins are distinct since they apply to different airplane models.


This service information is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in the ADDRESSES section.

FAA’s Determination and Requirements of This Proposed AD
This product has been approved by the aviation authority of another country, and is approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to our bilateral agreement with the State of Design Authority, we have been notified of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI and service information referenced above. We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all pertinent information and determined an unsafe condition exists and is likely to exist or develop on other products of the same type design.

This proposed AD would require revisions to certain operator maintenance documents to include new actions (e.g., inspections). Compliance with these actions is required by 14 CFR 91.403(c). For airplanes that have been previously modified, altered, or repaired in the areas addressed by this proposed AD, the operator may not be able to accomplish the actions described in the revisions. In this situation, to comply with 14 CFR 91.403(c), the operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance according to paragraph (k)(4) of this proposed AD. The request should include a description of changes to the required actions that will ensure the continued operational safety of the airplane.

Costs of Compliance
We estimate that this proposed AD affects 133 airplanes of U.S. registry.

We estimate the following costs to comply with this proposed AD:
Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. “Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs,” describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in “Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:

1. Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; and
2. Is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979);
3. Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska; and
4. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

§ 39.13 [Amended]

§ 39.13 (a) This AD applies to the airplanes specified in paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(3) of this AD, certificated in any category.

(b) Defective or noncompliant parts.

(c) Revisions of maintenance or inspection program.

(d) Compliance.

Revisions of Maintenance or Inspection Program

Within 30 days after the effective date of this AD, the operator must do the following, unless done already:

1. Revise the Maintenance or Inspection Program, as applicable, by incorporating the life limit for the part identified in the AD.

2. Issue service instructions or equivalent action, as applicable, to add the life limit for the part identified in the AD.

3. Issue service instructions or equivalent action, as applicable, for the replacement of the part identified in the AD.

4. Issue service instructions or equivalent action, as applicable, to remove the affected structure.

To remove the affected structure, do the following:

(a) Remove the affected structure from service.

(b) Issue service instructions or equivalent action, as applicable, to ensure that serviceable replacement parts are installed.

(c) Issue service instructions or equivalent action, as applicable, to ensure that the affected structure is replaced with a serviceable part.

(d) Issue service instructions or equivalent action, as applicable, to ensure that the affected structure is replaced with a new part.

(e) Reason.

This AD was prompted by a new life limitation that has been introduced for the side brace fitting shaft and side brace-to-airplane fitting pin of the main landing gear (MLG). We are issuing this AD to prevent the loss of structural integrity of the affected part.

(f) Compliance.

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

(g) Revision of Maintenance or Inspection Program.

Within 30 days after the effective date of this AD, the operator must do the following, as applicable, by incorporating the life limit for the part identified in the AD:

1. Revise the Maintenance or Inspection Program, as applicable, by incorporating the life limit for the part identified in the AD.

2. Issue service instructions or equivalent action, as applicable, to add the life limit for the part identified in the AD.

3. Issue service instructions or equivalent action, as applicable, for the replacement of the part identified in the AD.

4. Issue service instructions or equivalent action, as applicable, to remove the affected structure.

Table 1 to Paragraph (g) of this AD—Life limits for the affected parts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airplane model (Serial Nos. (S/Ns))</th>
<th>Part name</th>
<th>Part No.</th>
<th>TLMC manual No.</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Revision No.</th>
<th>Revision date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Within 48 months after the effective date of this AD: Inspect to identify the serial number, serialize, and record the accumulated life of the side brace fitting shaft of the MLG, as applicable, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of the applicable service information in paragraphs (h)(1) through (h)(4) of this AD.


(i) No Reporting Requirement

Although the service information identified in paragraphs (h)(1) through (h)(4) of this AD specifies to submit certain information to the manufacturer, this AD does not include that requirement.

(j) No Alternative Actions and Intervals

After the maintenance or inspection program has been revised, as applicable, as required by paragraph (g) of this AD, no alternative actions (e.g., inspections) or intervals may be used unless the actions or intervals are approved as an alternative method of compliance (AMOC) in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph (k)(1) of this AD.

(k) Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office, ANE–170, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if required, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the AC0, send it to ATTN: Program Manager, Continuing Operational Safety, FAA, New York ACO, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, NY 11590; telephone 516–228–7300; fax 516–794–5311. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office.

(2) Contacting the Manufacturer: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer, the action must be accomplished using a method approved by the Manager, New York ACO, ANE–170, FAA; or Transport Canada Civil Aviation (TCCA); or Bombardier, Inc.'s TCCA Design Approval Organization (DAO). If approved by the DAO, the approval must include the DAO-authorized signature.

(l) Related Information


(5) For service information identified in this AD, contact Bombardier, Inc., 400 Côte-Vertu Road West, Dorval, Québec H4S 1Y9, Canada; Widebody Customer Response Center North America toll-free telephone 1–866–538–1247 or direct-dial telephone 1–514–855–2999; fax 514–855–7401; email ac.yui@aero.bombardier.com; Internet http://www.bombardier.com. You may view this service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 18, 2017.

Michael Kaszycyki,
Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
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BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

Proposed Amendment of Class E Airspace, Alexander City, AL

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This action proposes to amend Class E airspace at Alexander City, AL, due to the decommissioning of the Alexander City non-directional beacon (NDB), which requires airspace reconfiguration at Thomas C Russell Field Airport. Controlled airspace is necessary for the safety and management of instrument flight rules (IFR) operations at the airport. This action also would update the geographic coordinates of the airport.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before July 24, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on this proposal to: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., West Bldg Ground Floor Rm W12–140, Washington, DC 20590; Telephone: 1–(800)–647–5527, or (202) 366–9826. You must identify the Docket No. FAA– 2016–9549; Airspace Docket No. 17–ASO–5, at the beginning of your comments. You may also submit and review received comments through the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov. You may review the public docket containing the proposal, any comments received, and any final disposition in person in the Dockets Office between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays.

FAA Order 7400.11A, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, and subsequent amendments can be viewed on line at http://www.faa.gov/air_traffic/publications/. For further information, you can contact the airspace Policy Group, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–8783. The Order is also available for inspection at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of FAA Order 7400.11A at NARA, call (202) 741–6030, or go to http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.

FAA Order 7400.11, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, is published yearly and effective on September 15.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Fornito, Operations Support Group, Eastern Service Center, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404) 305–6364.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority for This Rulemaking

The FAA’s authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code, Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency’s authority. This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority as it would amend Class E airspace at Thomas C Russell Field Airport, Alexander City,