

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-489-501]

Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products From Turkey: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2015–2016

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to a request by interested parties, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on welded carbon steel standard pipe and tube products (welded pipe and tube) from Turkey. The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2015, to April 30, 2016. This review covers 14 companies. The preliminary results are listed below in the section titled “Preliminary Results of Review.” Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Effective June 6, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Baker or Chelsey Simonovich; AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-2924 or 202-482-1979, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

The Department published the notice of initiation of this administrative review¹ on July 7, 2016.² This review covers 14 companies: Borusan Istikbal Ticaret T.A.S. (Borusan Istikbal) and Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Borusan Mannesmann) (collectively, Borusan);³ Toscelik Profil

¹ Wheatland Tube Company, Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., and Borusan Istikbal Ticaret requested the instant administrative review.

² See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 81 FR 44265 (July 7, 2016) (*Initiation Notice*); see also *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 81 FR 53122 (August 11, 2016).

³ In prior segments of this proceeding, we treated Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. and Borusan Istikbal Ticaret T.A.S. as a single entity. See, e.g., *Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products from Turkey: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2013–2014*, 80 FR 76674, 76674 n.2 (December 10, 2015) (*Welded Pipe and Tube from Turkey 2013–2014*).

ve Sac Endustrisi A.S., Tosyali Dis Ticaret A.S., and Toscelik Metal Ticaret A.S. (Toscelik Metal) (collectively, Toscelik);⁴ Borusan Birlesik Boru Fabrikalari San ve Tic (Borusan Birlesik); Borusan Gemlik Boru Tesisleri A.S. (Borusan Gemlik); Borusan Ihracat Ithalat ve Dagitim A.S. (Borusan Ihracat); Borusan Ithicat ve Dagitim A.S. (Borusan Ithicat); Tubeco Pipe and Steel Corporation (Tubeco); Erbosan Erciyas Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Erbosan); and Yucel Boru ve Profil Endustrisi A.S., Yucelboru Ihracat Ithalat ve Pazarlama A.S., and Cayirova Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (collectively, the Yucel Group).

On May 11, 2017, the Department extended the deadline for issuing its preliminary results of this administrative review to May 31, 2017.⁵ For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this administrative review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁶ A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an Appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>, and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of

We preliminarily determine that there is no evidence on the record for altering our treatment of Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. and Borusan Istikbal Ticaret T.A.S., as a single entity. The record does not support treating the following companies as part of the Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S./Borusan Istikbal Ticaret T.A.S. entity: (1) Borusan Birlesik; (2) Borusan Gemlik; (3) Borusan Ihracat; (4) Borusan Ithicat; and (5) Tubeco. Accordingly, as discussed *infra*, each of these five companies will be assigned the rate applicable to companies not selected for individual examination in this review.

⁴ In prior segments of this proceeding, we treated Toscelik Profil ve Sac Endustrisi A.S., Tosyali Dis Ticaret A.S., and Toscelik Metal as a single entity. See, e.g., *Welded Pipe and Tube from Turkey 2013–2014*. We preliminarily determine that there is no evidence on the record for altering our treatment of Toscelik Profil ve Sac Endustrisi A.S., Tosyali Dis Ticaret A.S., and Toscelik Metal as a single entity. The petitioners requested a review of Toscelik Metal, and there has been no withdrawal of that request. Therefore, Toscelik Metal will be reviewed as part of the single entity Toscelik.

⁵ See Memorandum, “Circular Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products from Turkey: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated May 11, 2017.

⁶ See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products from Turkey; 2015–2016” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is welded pipe and tube. The welded pipe and tube subject to the order is currently classifiable under subheading 7306.30.10.00, 7306.30.50.25, 7306.30.50.32, 7306.30.50.40, 7306.30.50.55, 7306.30.50.85, and 7306.30.50.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) and (2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

On July 18, 2016, Erbosan submitted a letter to the Department certifying that it had no sales, shipments, or entries of the subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.⁷ Erbosan further certified that it did not know or have reason to know that any of its customers would subsequently export or sell Erbosan’s merchandise to the United States during the POR. On August 8, 2016, Borusan Istikbal, Borusan Birlesik, Borusan Gemlik, Borusan Ihracat, Borusan Ithicat, and Tubeco submitted letters to the Department certifying that they each individually had no sales, shipments, or entries of the subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.⁸ On May 1, 2017, consistent

⁷ See Letter from Erbosan, Re: No Shipment Certification of Erbosan Erciyas Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. in the 2015–2016 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order Involving Certain Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe from Turkey, dated July 18, 2016.

⁸ See Letter from Borusan Istikbal, Borusan Birlesik, Borusan Gemlik, Borusan Ihracat, Borusan Ithicat, and Tubeco, Re: Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Turkey, Case No. A-489-501: No Shipment Letter, dated August 8, 2016.

with our practice, the Department issued a “No Shipment Inquiry” to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to confirm that there were no entries of welded pipe and tube from Turkey exported by Erbosan, Borusan Istikbal, Borusan Birlesik, Borusan Gemlik, Borusan Ihracat, Borusan Ithicat, or Tubeco during the POR.⁹ We received no information from CBP contrary to the claims of these companies.

Based on the foregoing, we preliminarily determine that Erbosan, Borusan Birlesik, Borusan Gemlik, Borusan Ihracat, Borusan Ithicat, and Tubeco each had no shipments during the POR. Also, consistent with our practice, the Department finds that it is not appropriate to rescind the review with respect to these six companies, but rather to complete the review with respect to them, and to issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of this review.¹⁰ Thus, if we continue to find that Erbosan, Borusan Birlesik, Borusan Gemlik, Borusan Ihracat, Borusan Ithicat, and Tubeco had no shipments of subject merchandise in the final results, we will instruct CBP to liquidate any

existing entries of subject merchandise produced by them, but exported by other parties, at the rate for the intermediate reseller, if available, or at the all-others rate.¹¹

Furthermore, as noted above, Borusan Istikbal also submitted a no-shipment certification on August 8, 2017. However, we continue to find Borusan Istikbal to be part of the single entity, Borusan, and we find no record evidence that warrants altering this treatment. Therefore, because we find Borusan to have had shipments during this POR, we have not made a preliminary determination of no-shipments with respect to Borusan Istikbal.

Rates for Non-Examined Companies

The statute and the Department’s regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to companies not selected for examination when the Department limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, the Department looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation, for

guidance when calculating the rate for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally “an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}”

In this review, we have preliminarily calculated a weighted-average dumping margin for Borusan that is not zero, *de minimis*, or determined entirely on the basis of facts available. Accordingly, the Department preliminarily assigned to the companies not individually examined (*i.e.*, the Yucel Group) the 1.35 percent weighted-average dumping margin calculated for Borusan.

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that the weighted-average dumping margins for the period May 1, 2015 through April 30, 2016 are as follows:

Producer or exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S./Borusan Istikbal Ticaret T.A.S	1.35
Toscelik Profil ve Sac Endustrisi A.S./Tosyalı Dis Ticaret A.S./Toscelik Metal Ticaret A.S	0.00
Yucel Boru ve Profil Endustrisi A.S	1.35
Yucelboru Ihracat Ithalat ve Pazarlama A.S	1.35
Cayirova Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S	1.35

Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department intends to disclose to interested parties the calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results within five days of the date of publication of this notice.¹² Interested parties may submit cases briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.¹³ Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the due date for filing case briefs.¹⁴ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of

authorities.¹⁵ Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS.¹⁶

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.¹⁷ Requests should contain: (1) The party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs.

In order to be properly filed, all ACCESS submissions must successfully receive an electronically filed document in its entirety by 5 p.m. Eastern Time.

Unless otherwise extended, the Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rates

Upon completion of the administrative review, the Department shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review.

⁹ See CBP message number 7121305, dated May 1, 2017.

¹⁰ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

¹¹ See, e.g., *Magnesium Metal from the Russian Federation: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 26922, 26923 (May 13, 2010), unchanged in *Magnesium Metal from the Russian Federation: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 56989 (September 17, 2010).

¹² See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

¹³ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(i).

¹⁴ See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

¹⁵ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

¹⁶ See 19 CFR 351.303.

¹⁷ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

If either Borusan's or Toscelik's weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent) in the final results of this review, we will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales and the total entered value of the sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where either a respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For the companies which were not selected for individual review, we will assign an assessment rate based on the methodology described in the "Rate for Non-Examined Companies" section, above.

With respect to Erbosan, Borusan Birllesik, Borusan Gemlik, Borusan Ithracat, Borusan Ithicat, and Tubeco, if we continue to find that these companies had no shipments of subject merchandise in the final results, we will instruct CBP to liquidate any existing entries of merchandise produced by these companies, but exported by other parties, at the rate for the intermediate reseller, if available, or at the all-others rate.¹⁸

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Toscelik will be zero, unless there is change in Toscelik's dumping margin in the final results of this review; (2) the cash deposit rate for Borusan will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (3) for other manufacturers and exporters covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which that manufacturer

or exporter participated; (4) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of subject merchandise; and (5) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 14.74 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.¹⁹ These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(1)(b)(4).

Dated: May 31, 2017.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
5. Rates for Non-Examined Companies
6. Comparisons to Normal Value
7. Product Comparisons
8. Date of Sale
9. Export Price
10. Normal Value
11. Currency Conversion
12. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2017-11666 Filed 6-5-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-967]

Aluminum Extrusions From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Rescission of Review in Part; 2015-2016

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on aluminum extrusions from the People's Republic of China (PRC). The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2015, through April 30, 2016. These preliminary results cover 191 companies and the PRC-wide entity for which an administrative review was initiated. The PRC-wide entity did not respond to the Department's initial quantity and value questionnaires (Q&Vs), and therefore the Department is preliminarily applying adverse facts available (AFA) to the PRC-wide entity. The Department selected the following companies as mandatory respondents: Kam Kiu Aluminium Products Sdn. Bhd. (Kam Kiu) and tenKsolar (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. (tenKsolar). The Department preliminarily determines that Kam Kiu did not demonstrate eligibility for a separate rate and is, therefore, part of the PRC-wide entity. The Department further preliminarily determines that because we lack sufficient record information to allow the Department to calculate a margin for tenKsolar's reported sales of subject merchandise into the United States during the POR, the rate applied to tenKsolar's merchandise upon entry continues to be the AD rate applied to tenKsolar, except to adjust for countervailable duty (CVD) subsidies determined under the parallel aluminum extrusions CVD order. If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results of this review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Effective June 6, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah Scott or Mark Flessner, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone:

¹⁸ See, e.g., *Magnesium Metal from the Russian Federation: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 26922, 26923 (May 13, 2010), unchanged in *Magnesium Metal from the Russian Federation: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 56989 (September 17, 2010).

¹⁹ See *Antidumping Duty Order; Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products from Turkey*, 51 FR 17784 (May 15, 1986).