

The registration deadline to attend the meeting in-person or via teleconference, and to request to make a brief oral statement at the meeting, is June 22, 2017. See the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for instructions of how to register.

ADDRESSES: The public peer review meeting will be held at the St. Gregory Hotel, located at 2033 M Street NW., Washington, DC. The phone number for the teleconference line will be provided to registered observers prior to the meeting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions regarding logistics or registration for the external peer review meeting should be directed to ERG, 110 Hartwell Avenue, Lexington, MA 02421; by email peerreview@erg.com (subject line: Lead in Drinking Water Peer Review); or by phone: (781) 674-7362 (ask for Laurie Waite).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Registration Instructions

To attend the peer review meeting as an observer, either in-person or via teleconference, register no later than June 22, 2017. You may register (1) online at <https://projects.erg.com/conferences/peerreview/register-lead-drinkwater.htm>; (2) by sending an email to peerreview@erg.com (subject line: Lead in Drinking Water Peer Review Meeting) and include your name, title and affiliation, full address, email and phone number, or (3) by phone at (781) 674-7362 (ask for Laurie Waite). Please indicate which day(s) you plan to attend the meeting and whether you plan to attend the meeting via teleconference or in-person. Space is limited and registrations will be accepted on a first-come, first-served basis. There will be a limited amount of time for oral statements from the public at the beginning of the peer review meeting on the first day. If you wish to make an oral statement during the meeting, you must notify ERG of your request to speak by registering no later than June 22, 2017. ERG will accept requests to make oral statements on a first-come, first-served basis, and may limit the amount of time for each speaker as well as the number of speakers due to time constraints. ERG will notify speakers of specific time limits for their oral statements prior to the meeting.

II. Information on the Draft Lead Modeling Report and Peer Review Charge Questions

EPA announced the release of the draft lead modeling report and draft peer review charge questions for purposes of public comment on January

19, 2017, in the **Federal Register** (82 FR 6546). The original 45-day public comment period ended on March 6, 2017, but the public comment period was extended 30 days to April 5, 2017, due to stakeholders' request. EPA will consider peer reviewer and public comments when finalizing the draft lead modeling report. EPA also obtained public comment on the draft peer review charge questions. The final charge questions, the draft lead modeling report and public comments submitted during the public comment period may be viewed at <https://www.regulations.gov>. (Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OW-2016-0686).

III. Final List of Peer Reviewers

Consistent with guidelines for the peer review of highly influential scientific assessments, EPA tasked ERG, a contractor, to assemble a panel of experts to evaluate the draft lead modeling report. ERG received 14 nominations in response to EPA's January 19, 2017, **Federal Register** notice (82 FR 6546) requesting nominations and identified over 40 additional potential candidates through its own search process. From this set, a total of 26 experts responded to ERG to indicate their interest in and qualifications for this review. After evaluating these 26 individuals against the selection criteria described in the **Federal Register** notice, ERG identified an interim list of 13 qualified candidates. EPA solicited public comments on the interim list on March 20, 2017, in the **Federal Register** (82 FR 14361). ERG considered the public comments received and consulted with EPA's Science Integrity Official. Considering all these inputs, ERG selected 8 final peer reviewers who, collectively, best meet EPA's selection criteria, provide expertise spanning the multiple subject matter areas covered by the draft lead modeling report, and to the extent feasible, best provide a balance of perspectives. The final 8 selected peer reviewers are provided as follows.

Name of Peer Reviewer, Degree, Place of Employment

1. Panos Georgopoulos, Ph.D., Rutgers University
2. Philip Goodrum, Ph.D., Integral Consulting, Inc.
3. Ian von Lindern, Ph.D., TerraGraphics International
4. Anne Loccisano, Ph.D., Exponent, Inc.
5. Marc A. Nascarella, Ph.D., Massachusetts Department of Public Health

6. Michèle Prévost, Ph.D., Polytechnique Montreal
7. P. Barry Ryan, Ph.D., Emory University
8. Kathleen Vork, Ph.D., California Office of Environment Health Hazard Assessment

EPA requests that no individual or organization in any way contact the peer reviewers regarding the subject of the peer review meeting, send them written materials regarding the subject of the meeting, or make any offers or requests to any of them that appear to be linked to their participation in the peer review. ERG will direct the reviewers to report any such contacts directly to ERG, and take appropriate action in consultation with EPA to ensure the independence and impartiality of the peer review.

IV. Information About the Peer Review Meeting

EPA has charged the peer reviewers with evaluating and preparing written comments on the draft lead modeling report. Specifically, reviewers will work individually to provide general comments, their overall impressions of the draft lead modeling report, and responses to the charge questions. Reviewers will also consider the appropriateness of the quality, accuracy, and relevance of the data in the lead modeling report. Prior to the meeting, ERG will provide the peer reviewers with a summary of the public comments (along with the full text of all comments) on the draft lead modeling report and peer review charge questions that were submitted to EPA's public docket (Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OW-2016-0686) during the public comment period.

Dated: May 8, 2017.

Michael H. Shapiro,
Acting Assistant Administrator, Office of Water.

[FR Doc. 2017-10933 Filed 5-25-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY

[No. 2017-N-06]

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Federal Housing Finance Agency.

ACTION: 30-Day notice of submission of information collection for approval from Office of Management and Budget.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), the

Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA or the Agency) is seeking public comments concerning a new information collection known as “Contractor Workforce Inclusion Good Faith Efforts.” This information collection has not yet been assigned a control number by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). FHFA intends to submit the information collection to OMB for review and approval of a three-year control number.

DATES: Interested persons may submit comments on or before June 26, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of the Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for the Federal Housing Finance Agency, Washington, DC 20503, Fax: (202) 395-3047, Email: *OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov*. Please also submit comments to FHFA, identified by “Proposed Collection; Comment Request: Contractor Workforce Inclusion Good Faith Efforts, (No. 2017-N-06)” by any of the following methods:

- *Agency Web Site:* www.fhfa.gov/open-for-comment-or-input.
- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. If you submit your comment to the *Federal eRulemaking Portal*, please also send it by *email* to FHFA at RegComments@fhfa.gov to ensure timely receipt by the agency.

- *Mail/Hand Delivery:* The mailing address for comments is: Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel, Federal Housing Finance Agency, 400 Seventh Street SW., Eighth Floor, Washington, DC 20219. Courier/Hand Delivery packages must be delivered on business days between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m.

We will post all public comments we receive without change, including any personal information you provide, such as your name and address, email address, and telephone number, on the FHFA Web site at <http://www.fhfa.gov>. In addition, copies of all comments received will be available for examination by the public on business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m., at the Federal Housing Finance Agency, Eighth Floor, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20219. To make an appointment to inspect comments, please call the Office of General Counsel at (202) 649-3804.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sharron Levine, Director, Office of Minority and Women Inclusion, Sharron.Levine@fhfa.gov, (202) 649-3496; Karen Lambert, Associate General Counsel, Karen.Lambert@fhfa.gov, (202)

649-3094; or Eric Raudenbush, Associate General Counsel, Eric.Raudenbush@fhfa.gov, (202) 649-3084 (these are not toll-free numbers); Federal Housing Finance Agency, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20219. The Telecommunications Device for the Hearing Impaired is (800) 877-8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Need for and Use of the Information Collection

Section 342(a)(1)(A) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the Dodd-Frank Act) requires FHFA and certain other Federal agencies each to establish an Office of Minority and Women Inclusion (OMWI) responsible for all matters of the agency relating to diversity in management, employment, and business activities.¹ Section 342(c)(1) requires the OMWI Director at each agency to develop and implement standards and procedures to ensure, to the maximum extent possible, the fair inclusion and utilization of minorities, women, and minority- and women-owned businesses in all business and activities of the agency at all levels, including procurement, insurance, and all types of contracts. Section 342(c)(2) requires that the OMWI Director include in the agency’s procedures for evaluating contract proposals and hiring service providers a component that gives consideration to the diversity of an applicant, to the extent consistent with applicable laws. That statutory provision also requires that each agency’s procedures include a written statement that a contractor shall ensure, to the maximum extent possible, the fair inclusion of women and minorities in the workforce of the contractor and, as applicable, subcontractors.

Further, section 342(c)(3)(A) of the Dodd-Frank Act requires that each agency’s standards and procedures include a procedure for determining whether an agency contractor or subcontractor has failed to make a good faith effort to include minorities and women in its workforce. If the OMWI Director determines that a contractor or subcontractor has failed to make such a good faith effort, section 342(c)(3)(B)(i) provides that the OMWI Director shall recommend to the agency administrator that the contract be terminated. Section 342(c)(3)(B)(ii) provides that, upon receipt of such a recommendation, the agency administrator may either terminate the contract, make a referral to the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP) of the

Department of Labor, or take other appropriate action.

As a means of implementing the requirements of section 342(c) of the Dodd-Frank Act, FHFA developed a Minority and Women Inclusion Clause (MWI Clause) that it now includes in all Agency contracts with a dollar value greater than the “simplified acquisition threshold”—currently, \$150,000—established in the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR).² The MWI Clause requires a contractor to confirm its commitment to equal opportunity in employment and contracting, and to implement that commitment by ensuring, to the maximum extent possible consistent with applicable law, the fair inclusion of minorities and women in its workforce. The MWI Clause also requires that a contractor include the substance of the MWI Clause in all subcontracts with a dollar value greater than \$150,000 awarded under the contract. (Hereinafter, contractors that are subject to the MWI Clause and subcontractors that are subject to a similar clause required to be included in a subcontract are referred to as “covered” contractors and subcontractors.)

Finally, the MWI Clause requires a contractor to provide, when requested by FHFA, documentation demonstrating that the contractor, as well as any covered subcontractor, has made a good faith effort to ensure the fair inclusion of minorities and women in its workforce. The MWI Clause provides that such documentation may include, but is not limited to: (1) The contractor’s total number of employees, and the number of minority and women employees, by race, ethnicity, and gender (e.g., an EEO-1 Employer Information Report (Form EEO-1)); (2) a list of the subcontracts the contractor awarded including the dollar amount, date of the award, and the ownership status of the subcontractor by race, ethnicity, and/or gender; (3) information similar to that required under the first item above for each subcontractor; and (4) the contractor’s plan to ensure that minorities and women have appropriate opportunities to enter and advance within its workforce, including outreach efforts (hereinafter, a “workforce inclusion plan”). A request for documentation by FHFA pursuant to this provision of the MWI Clause would constitute a “collection of information” within the meaning of the PRA.

While FHFA has included the MWI Clause in all contracts with a dollar value greater than \$150,000

¹ 12 U.S.C. 5452.

² See FAR 2.101. The FAR appears at 48 CFR chapter 1.

consummated since November 7, 2013, the Agency has not, to this point, asked any contractor or covered subcontractor to provide documentation pursuant to the clause. FHFA is now developing procedures that the OMWI Director will follow in determining whether covered contractors and subcontractors have made good faith efforts to comply with the MWI Clause. The Agency expects that, once it adopts those procedures, it will begin to request the types of documentation described in the MWI Clause from covered contractors and subcontractors.

The purpose of this information collection is to fulfill the requirements of section 342(c)(3)(B) of the Dodd-Frank Act. The collected information will allow FHFA's OMWI Director to determine whether covered contractors and subcontractors have complied with their contractual obligations to make good faith efforts to ensure, to the maximum extent possible consistent with applicable law, the fair inclusion of minorities and women in their respective workforces.

B. Burden Estimate

FHFA estimates that the average annual burden imposed on all respondents by this information collection over the next three years will be 368 hours. Because, as explained below, the amount of burden imposed upon a contractor by this information collection will differ depending upon whether the contractor has 50 or more employees, FHFA has based its total burden estimate on two separate sets of calculations—(I) one for contractors and subcontractors with 50 or more employees (48 hours); and (II) another for contractors and subcontractors with fewer than 50 employees (320 hours).

FHFA includes the MWI Clause in Agency contracts with a dollar value greater than \$150,000. Under the MWI Clause, FHFA may also request information about covered subcontractors' ownership status, workforce demographics, and workforce inclusion plans. Contractors would request this information from their covered subcontractors, who, because the substance of the MWI Clause would be included in their subcontracts, would have a contractual obligation to keep records and report data as required under the MWI Clause.

FHFA data on the dollar value of contracts awarded by the Agency from the beginning of fiscal year 2013 through the third quarter of fiscal year 2016 shows that 63 contractors were subject to the MWI Clause. FHFA believes that 44 of those contractors have 50 or more employees, while 19

contractors have fewer than 50 employees. FHFA estimates that no more than two subcontracts with a dollar value of \$150,000 or more were awarded by Agency contractors during that same time period. Both of those subcontractors have 50 or more employees each. Thus, over the preceding three years, a total of 65 contractors and subcontractors were subject to the MWI Clause—46 of which have 50 or more employees and 19 of which have fewer than 50 employees.

Based on these figures, FHFA estimates that, on average over the next three years, 48 contractors and subcontractors with 50 or more employees and 20 contractors or subcontractors with fewer than 50 employees will be subject to the MWI Clause at any given time. For purposes of these burden estimates, FHFA has assumed that each contractor or subcontractor will provide documentation under the MWI Clause once per year, although it is unlikely that the Agency will actually request documentation from every contractor and subcontractor in every year.

I. Documentation Submitted by Contractors With 50 or More Employees

FHFA estimates that the average annual burden on contractors with 50 or more employees will be 48 hours (0 recordkeeping hours + 48 reporting hours).

Because Federal contractors with 50 or more employees are already required to maintain the same types of records that may be requested pursuant to the MWI Clause under regulations implementing Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964³ and Executive Order 11246 (E.O. 11246),⁴ this information collection will not impose new recordkeeping burdens on such contractors or subcontractors. FAR 52.222–26, Equal Opportunity, requires that such contractors' contracts and subcontracts include a clause implementing E.O. 11246. OFCCP regulations require each contractor with 50 or more employees and a Federal contract or subcontract of \$50,000 or more to maintain records on the race, ethnicity, gender, and EEO–1 job category of each employee.⁵ OFCCP regulations also require each such contractor to: (1) Demonstrate that it has made a good faith effort to remove identified barriers, expand employment opportunities, and produce measureable

results;⁶ and (2) develop and maintain a written program summary describing the policies, practices, and procedures that the contractor uses to ensure that applicants and employees received equal opportunities for employment and advancement.⁷ In lieu of creating and maintaining a separate workforce inclusion plan to submit in satisfaction of the MWI Clause, a contractor or subcontractor with 50 or more employees could submit the written program summary that it is already required to maintain under the OFCCP regulations to demonstrate its good faith efforts to ensure the fair inclusion of minorities and women in its workforce.

With respect to reporting burden, FHFA estimates that it will take each contractor or subcontractor with 50 or more employees approximately one hour to retrieve, review, and submit the documentation specified in the MWI Clause. Thus, the estimate of the annual burden upon contractors or subcontractors with 50 or more employees associated with reporting requirements under this information collection is 48 hours (48 respondents × 1 hour per respondent).

II. Documentation Submitted by Contractors With Fewer Than 50 Employees

FHFA estimates that the average annual burden on contractors and subcontractors with fewer than 50 employees will be 320 hours (300 recordkeeping hours + 20 reporting hours).

OFCCP regulations require contractors with fewer than 50 employees to maintain records on the race, ethnicity, and gender of each employee.⁸ FHFA believes that such contractors also keep EEO–1 job category information in the normal course of business, despite the fact that they are not required by law to do so. However, contractors or subcontractors with fewer than 50 employees may not have the type of written program summary that is required of larger contractors under the OFCCP regulations or any similar document that could be submitted as a workforce inclusion plan under the MWI Clause. Accordingly, such contractors or subcontractors may need to create a workforce inclusion plan to comply with the MWI Clause.

In order to estimate the burden associated with creating a workforce inclusion plan, FHFA considered the OFCCP's burden estimates for the time needed to develop the written program

³ 42 U.S.C. 2000e, *et seq.*

⁴ Exec. Order No. 11246, 30 FR 12319 (Sept. 28, 1965).

⁵ See 41 CFR 60–1.7.

⁶ See 41 CFR 60–2.17.

⁷ See 41 CFR 60–2.31.

⁸ See 41 CFR 60–3.4.

summaries required under its regulations.⁹ In its OMB Supporting Statement, the OFCCP estimated that a contractor with 1 to 100 employees would take approximately 73 burden hours to create an initial written program summary. While the OFCCP regulations require contractors to perform time-consuming quantitative analyses when developing their written program summaries, such analyses would not be required in connection with the creation of a workforce inclusion plan. For this reason, FHFA believes that a contractor could develop a workforce inclusion plan in about one-third of the time that it would take to develop the written program summary required under the OFCCP regulations.

FHFA estimates that a contractor or subcontractor with fewer than 50 employees would spend approximately 25 hours creating a workforce inclusion plan for the first time. The Agency estimates that each contractor would then spend approximately 10 hours annually in updating and maintaining its plan. This results in an estimated average annual recordkeeping burden over the next three years on each contractor or subcontractor with fewer than 50 employees of 15 hours [(25 + 10) / 3 years]. Thus, FHFA estimates that the average annual recordkeeping burden on all contractors and subcontractors with fewer than 50 employees over the next three years will be 300 hours (20 respondents × 15 hours per respondent).

As with larger entities, FHFA estimates that it will take each contractor and subcontractor with fewer than 50 employees approximately one hour to retrieve, review, and submit the documentation specified in the MWI Clause. Thus, FHFA estimates that the average annual reporting burden on all contractors and subcontractors with fewer than 50 employees over the next three years will be 20 hours (20 respondents × 1 hour per respondent).

C. Comment Request

In accordance with the requirements of 5 CFR 1320.8(d), FHFA published an initial notice requesting comments regarding this information collection in the **Federal Register** on November 18, 2016.¹⁰ The 60-day comment period closed on January 17, 2017. No comments were received.

In accordance with the requirements of 5 CFR 1320.10(a), FHFA is publishing

this second notice to request comments regarding the following: (1) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of FHFA functions, including whether the information has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of FHFA's estimates of the burdens of the collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on members and project sponsors, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments should be submitted in writing to both OMB and FHFA as instructed above in the Comments section.

Dated: May 19, 2017.

Kevin Winkler,

Chief Information Officer, Federal Housing Finance Agency.

[FR Doc. 2017-10879 Filed 5-25-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-01791 Docket 2017-0053 Sequence 5]

Information Collection; Service Contracts Reporting Requirements

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of request for public comments regarding an existing information clearance.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, the Regulatory Secretariat Division will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve a new information collection requirement for Service Contracts Reporting Requirements.

DATES: Submit comments on or before July 25, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in response to OMB Control 9000-0179, by any of the following methods:

- *Regulations.gov:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching the OMB control number. Select the link "Submit a Comment" that corresponds with OMB Control 9000-0179 at the "Submit a Comment"

screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and "OMB Control 9000-0179" on your attached document.

- *Mail:* General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVCB), ATTN: Ms. Joanne Sosa, 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405.

Instructions: Please submit comments only and cite OMB Control 9000-0179, in all correspondence related to this case. Comments received generally will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check www.regulations.gov, approximately two to three days after submission to verify posting (except allow 30 days for posting of comments submitted by mail).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Curtis E. Glover, Sr., Procurement Analyst, Office of Acquisition Policy, at 202-501-1448 or via email at curtis.glover@gsa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

Section 743(a) of Division C of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010 (Pub. L. 111-117) requires executive agencies covered by the Federal Activities Inventory Reform (FAIR) Act (Pub. L. 105-270), except DoD, to submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) annually an inventory of activities performed by service contractors. DoD is exempt from this reporting requirement because 10 U.S.C. 2462 and 10 U.S.C. 2330a(c) already require DoD to develop an annual service contract inventory.

House Report 111-366 notes, in connection with section 743, that, "in the absence of complete and reliable information on the extent of their reliance on service contractors, Federal agencies are not well-equipped to determine whether they have the right balance of contractor and in-house resources needed to accomplish their missions. Therefore, this rule intends to supplement agency annual service contract reporting requirements with the contractor provided service contract reporting information.

The information is to be submitted pursuant to clauses 52.204-14 and 52.204-15. Certain prime service contractors will provide annually—

- a. The contract number, and, as applicable, order number;
- b. The total dollar amount invoiced for services performed during the previous Government fiscal year under the contract;

⁹ See PRA Supporting Statement for the OFCCP Recordkeeping and Requirements-Supply and Service Program, OMB Control No. 1250-0003, at http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewDocument?ref_nbr=201602-1250-001.

¹⁰ See 81 FR 81766 (Nov. 18, 2016).