furnishing the summary, and will include a link to the Commission’s Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) where the full written submission can be found.

By order of the Commission.
Issued: April 26, 2017.
Lisa R. Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2017–08719 Filed 4–28–17; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE–17–018]

Government in the Sunshine Act
Meeting Notice


TIME AND DATE: May 5, 2017 at 11:00 a.m.
STATUS: Open to the public.

Matters to be Considered

1. Agendas for future meetings: None.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
6. Outstanding action jackets: None.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.
Issued: April 26, 2017.
William R. Bishop,
Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2017–08679 Filed 4–28–17; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1050]

Certain Dental Ceramics, Products Therefore, and Methods of Making the Same; Notice of Correction Concerning Institution of Investigation; Correction


ACTION: Correction of notice.

SUMMARY: Correction is made to the April 19, 2017, Notice of Institution of Investigation, which was published on April 25, 2017 (82 FR 19081). The Notice incorrectly states under the section Scope of Investigation after subparagraph (4) that “The Office of Unfair Import Investigations will not participate as a party in this investigation.” The Office of Unfair Import Investigations will participate as a party in this investigation.

Lisa R. Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2017–08679 Filed 4–28–17; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1051]

Certain LTE Wireless Communication Devices and Components Thereof Institution of Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on March 27, 2017, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of LG Electronics, Inc. of the Republic of Korea; LG Electronics America, Inc. of Huntsville Alabama; and LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc. of Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain LTE wireless communication devices and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,891,560 (“the ’560 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.


Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on April 24, 2017, Ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain LTE wireless communication devices and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more claims 1–3 and 7–9 of the ’714 patent; claims 1–4, 7, 10–13, and 16 of the ’456 patent; claims 1, 2, 4, 11, 12, and 14 of the ’173 patent; claims 1–3, 5–9, 11–14, and 16–19 of the ’560 patent; and claims 1–6 of the ’560 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as
INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–860 (Third Review)]

Tin- and Chromium-Coated Steel Sheet From Japan; Institution of a Five-Year Review


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted a review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), as amended, to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on imports of tin- and chromium-coated steel sheet from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. Provisions concerning the conduct of this proceeding may be found in the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure at 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause thereof is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this

required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

[2] Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties and other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:
LG Electronics Alabama, Inc., 201 James Record Road, Huntsville, AL 35824.
(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:
BLU Products, Inc., 10814 NW 33rd Street, Doral, FL 33172.
CT Miami, LLC, 10814 NW 33rd Street, Doral, FL 33172.
(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and
(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause thereof is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this

this proceeding may be viewed online at the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On August 28, 2000, the Department of Commerce issued an antidumping duty order on imports of tin- and chromium-coated steel sheet from Japan (65 FR 52067). Following the first five-year reviews by Commerce and the Commission, effective July 21, 2006, Commerce issued a continuation of the antidumping duty order on imports of tin- and chromium-coated steel sheet from Japan (71 FR 41422). Following the second five-year reviews by Commerce and the Commission, effective June 12, 2012, Commerce issued a continuation of the antidumping duty order on imports of tin- and chromium-coated steel sheet from Japan (77 FR 34938).

The Commission is now conducting a third review pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), to determine whether revocation of the order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. Provisions concerning the conduct of this proceeding may be found in the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure at 19 CFR parts 201, subparts A and B and 19 CFR part 207, subparts A and F. The Commission will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct a full review or an expedited review. The Commission’s determination in any expedited review will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this notice.

Definitions.—The following definitions apply to this review:
(1) Subject Merchandise is the class or kind of merchandise that is within the scope of the five-year review, as defined by the Department of Commerce.
(2) The Subject Country in this review is Japan.
(3) The Domestic Like Product is the domestically produced product or products which are like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the Subject Merchandise. In its original determination and its first and second five-year review determinations, the Commission defined the Domestic Like Product as tin- and chromium-coated steel sheet corresponding to Commerce’s definition of the scope.
(4) The Domestic Industry is the U.S. producers as a whole of the Domestic Like Product, or those producers whose collective output of the Domestic Like