(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 6, 2017.

Lisa R. Barton.
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2017–07319 Filed 4–11–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1047]

Certain Semiconductor Devices and Consumer Audiovisual Products Containing the Same Institution of Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on March 7, 2017, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Broadcom Corporation of Irvine, California. A letter supplementing the complaint was filed on March 22, 2017. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain semiconductor devices and consumer audiovisual products containing the same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–14 of the '844 patent; claims 11–30 of the '059 patent; claims 1–5 and 7 of the '171 patent; claims 1, 10, 11, 16, 17 and 22 of the '104 patent; and claims 1–4 of the '967 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute.

The complaint requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of the Secretary, Docket Services Division, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–1802.


Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on April 6, 2017, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain semiconductor devices and consumer audiovisual products containing the same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–14 of the '844 patent; claims 11–30 of the '059 patent; claims 1–5 and 7 of the '171 patent; claims 1, 10, 11, 16, 17 and 22 of the '104 patent; and claims 1–4 of the '967 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Broadcom Corporation, 5300 California Avenue, Irvine, CA 92617

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

MediaTek Inc., No. 1, Dusing 1st Road, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu City 30078, Taiwan

MediaTek USA Inc., 2840 Junction Avenue, San Jose, CA 95134

MStar Semiconductor Inc., 4F–1, No. 26, Tai-Yuan Street, Chupi Hsinchu Hsien, 302, Taiwan

Sigma Designs Inc., 47467 Fremont Boulevard, Fremont, CA 94538

LG Electronics Inc., Twin Tower 128, Seoul 150–721, Republic of Korea

LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., 1000 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632

Funai Electric Company, Ltd., 7–7–1 Nakagaito, Daito City, Osaka 574–0013, Japan

Funai Corporation, Inc., 201 Route 17 North, Suite 903, Rutherford, NJ 07070

P&F USA, Inc., 2555 Marconi Drive, Suite 300, Alpharetta, GA 30005

Vizio, Inc., 39 Tesla, Irvine, CA 92618

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

The Office of Unfair Import Investigations will not participate as a party in this investigation.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR. 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR. 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of
time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 6, 2017.

Lisa R. Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2017–07322 Filed 4–11–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–977]

Certain Arrowheads With Deploying Blades and Components Thereof and Packaging Therefor; Final Commission Determination of Violation; Issuance of a General Exclusion Order and a Cease and Desist Order; and Termination of the Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has terminated the above-captioned investigation with a finding of violation of section 337, and has issued a general exclusion order directed against infringing arrowheads with deploying blades and components thereof and packaging therefor, and a cease and desist order directed against respondent Shenzhen Zowaysoon Trading Company Ltd. ("Zowaysoon Trading") of Shenzhen, China. The Commission has terminated the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on December 22, 2015, based on a complaint filed on behalf of FeraDyne Outdoors LLC and Out RAGE LLC, both of Cartersville, Georgia. 80 FR 79612–13. The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain arrowheads with deploying blades and components thereof and packaging therefor by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. RE44,144 ("the '144 patent"); 6,517,454 ("the '454 patent"); 8,758,176 ("the '176 patent"); 8,986,141 ("the '141 patent"); 9,068,806 ("the '806 patent"); 7,771,298 ("the '298 patent"); D710,962 ("the D'962 patent"); D711,489 ("the D'489 patent"); and of U.S. Trademark Registration No. 4,812,058 ("the RAGE mark"). The complaint further alleged the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission’s notice of investigation named the following nine respondents: Zowaysoon Trading; Linyi Junxing Sports Equipment Co., Ltd. ("Junxing Sports") of Shandong, China; Ningbo Forever Best Import & Export Co., Ltd. ("Forever Best") of Jiangsu, China; Xiamen Zhongxinyuan Industry & Trade Co., Ltd. ("Zhongxinyuan Industry") and Xiamen Zhongxinyuan Industry & Trade Ltd. ("Zhongxinyuan Industry"), both of Fujian, China; and Zhengzhou IRQ Trading Limited Company ("IRQ Trading") and Zhengzhou Paiiao Trade Co., Ltd. ("Paiiao Trade"), both of Henan, China. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations ("OUII") is also a party to the investigation.

On April 28, 2016, complainants filed a motion for summary determination of a violation of section 337 pursuant to section 337(g)(2) and Commission Rule 210.16(c)(2) to support its request for entry of a general exclusion order with respect to all asserted intellectual property. OUII filed a response in support of the motion.

On May 10, 2016, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ’s ID (Order No. 6) finding the following seven respondents in default: Junxing Sports, Forever Best, Linkboy Outdoor, Zowaysoon Trading, Zhongxinyuan Industry, IRQ Trading, and Paiiao Trade. On June 23, 2016, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ’s ID (Order No. 8) finding Xinhongyou Industrial in default. On June 28, 2016, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ’s ID (Order No. 9) terminating the investigation as to (1) Faith Sports based on withdrawal of the complaint as to Faith Sports; and (2) claims 2–3, 5, and 8 of the '545 patent; claims 5 and 10 of the '298 patent; claim 3 of the '176 patent; claim 8 of the '141 patent; and claim 3 of the '806 patent based on withdrawal of these patent claims against all named respondents.

The ALJ issued the subject ID on August 22, 2016, granting complainants’ motion for summary determination. The ALJ found that all eight defaulting respondents met the importation requirement and that complainants satisfied the domestic industry requirement. See 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(1)(B), (g)(2). The ID finds that a violation of section 337 has occurred based on its finding that each of the defaulting respondents’ accused products infringe one or more of the asserted claims of the patents at issue and infringe the trademark at issue as established by substantial, reliable, and probative evidence in accordance with Commission Rule 210.16(c)(2). No petitions for review of the ID were filed. The ID also contained the ALJ’s recommended determination on remedy and bonding. The ALJ recommended issuance of a general exclusion order with respect to the asserted intellectual property, but did not recommend issuance of cease and desist orders directed against the defaulting respondents.

On October 6, 2016, the Commission issued notice of its determination to review in part the ALJ’s ID. On review, the Commission (1) corrected typographical errors on pages 14, 18, and 24 of the ID; (2) modified page 8 of the ID; and (3) determined to take no position on the ID’s finding that complainants satisfy the economic prong of the domestic industry.