services to feature a “buy” button that a consumer must click on in order to obtain digital content, and they offered differing views as to what consumers believe they have obtained when they click on such a button.

The goal of this meeting is to explore issues and facilitate a discussion on how best to ensure that license terms related to copyright are clearly and effectively communicated to potential consumers in the online environment. We will not address whether the first sale doctrine should be applicable to digital transmissions, which the White Paper discussed at length (see Background Section above), or what license terms should or should not be imposed, but will focus on non-legislative solutions, which may include voluntary best practices.

One discussion topic will focus on what copyright-related terms and conditions are important to communicate to consumers in the online environment. Some examples of possible terms include: Ownership (i.e., whether ownership is transferred); use restrictions (e.g., restrictions for noncommercial purposes; geographical limitations; limits to a certain number of viewings or devices); and/or transfer conditions (e.g., restrictions on resale or other distribution).

Another discussion topic will focus on identifying best practices for how to inform consumers about the intellectual property rights associated with the content they are accessing or acquiring, and what activities they are permitted to engage in without implicating those rights. Questions to be addressed may include:

- What term or terms can clearly communicate what consumers are paying for?
- What term or terms should not be used (e.g., “buy,” “own,” or “purchase”) in a digital transaction that is not a sale?
- Would a standardized form of notice, placed in or accessed from a Web site or app be helpful?
- Would standard icons or symbols be helpful in communicating the terms, and what might those look like?
- Are there consumer messaging models from other fields (e.g., in the consumer privacy context) that can provide useful lessons or examples in this area?

Finally, participants should be prepared to discuss whether additional work should be done to identify best practices in this area, and if so, in what forum and how.

Public Meeting

On April 18, 2017, the Task Force will hold a public meeting to hear views on these issues, including on the process going forward. We seek participation and comment from interested stakeholders, including in particular online services that offer digital transmissions of works to consumers, as well as creators, right holders, consumers, marketing professionals, user interface designers, public interest groups, and academics.

The agenda for the public meeting will be available no later than the week prior to the meeting, and the meeting will be webcast and transcribed. The agenda and webcast information will be available on the Internet Policy Task Force Web site, http://www.ntia.doc.gov/internetpolicytaskforce, and the USPTO’s Web site, https://www.uspto.gov/learning-and-resources/ip-policy/copyright/internet-policy-taskforce.

The meeting will be open to members of the public to attend, space permitting, on a first-come, first-served basis. Registration is required and will be available on site on the day of the meeting, space permitting. Persons who have pre-registered (and received confirmation) will have seating held until 15 minutes before the program begins. Pre-registration for the meeting is available at: http://www.event.com/d/fvfq5h4w.

The meeting will be physically accessible to people with disabilities. Individuals requiring accommodation, such as sign language interpretation, real-time captioning of the webcast or other ancillary aids, should communicate their needs to Nadine Herbert, Office of Policy and International Affairs, United States Patent and Trademark Office, Madison Building, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314; telephone (571) 272–9300, at least seven (7) business days prior to the meeting. Attendees should arrive at least one-half hour prior to the start of the meeting, and must present valid government-issued photo identification upon arrival. Members of the public will have an opportunity to make comments at the meeting.


Michelle K. Lee,
Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.
Kathy D. Smith,
Chief Counsel, National Telecommunications and Information Administration.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Notice of Intent To Prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for Land-Water Interface and Service Pier Extension at Naval Base Kitsap Bangor, Washington; Correction

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DoD.
ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy (Navy) published in the Federal Register on March 13, 2017, a Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Land-Water Interface (LWI) and Service Pier Extension (SPE) at Naval Base Kitsap Bangor, Washington. The NOI referenced an incorrect project Web site address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Kimberly Kler, LWI/SPE Supplemental EIS Project Manager, 360–396–0927.

Correction

In the Federal Register of March 13, 2017 (82 FR 13437), in the third column, correct the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT caption to read:


A. M. Nichols,
Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General’s Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[B FR Doc. 2017–05527 Filed 3–20–17; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3810–FF–P

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

Sunshine Act Notice

AGENCY: Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board.
ACTION: Notice of closed meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b), and the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board’s (Board) regulations implementing the Government in the Sunshine Act, notice is hereby given of the Board’s closed meeting described below.

DATES: 10:00 a.m.–11:00 a.m., March 23, 2017.

BILLING CODE 3150–16–P