which is a tubular steel product used in the drilling of an oil well is within the scope of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders; February 12, 2016.

A–570–970 and C–570–971: Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People’s Republic of China

Requestor: Old Master Products, Inc. (“Old Master”); Old Master’s two-layer wood flooring products are not within the scope of the Orders on multilayered wood flooring from the PRC because they lack the expressed requirement of two or more layers or plies of wood veneer in combination with a core; February 4, 2016.

A–570–970 and C–570–971: Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People’s Republic of China

Requestor: Jiashan Huijiale Decoration Material Co., Ltd. (“Jiashan Huijiale”); Jiashan Huijiale’s two-layer engineered wood flooring panel with bottom-surface inlays is not within the scope of the Orders on multilayered wood flooring from the PRC, because it lacks the requisite two or more layers or plies of wood veneer in combination with a core; February 29, 2016.

A–570–875: Non-Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings From the People’s Republic of China

Requestor: SIGMA Corporation (“SIGMA”); SIGMA’s list of 94 ductile iron pipe fittings are covered by the scope of the non-malleable pipe fittings order because they meet all of the ASME and UL specifications characterizing it as subject merchandise; January 13, 2016.

A–570–956 and C–570–957: Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe From the People’s Republic of China

Commercial Honing LLC dba Commercial Fluid Power (“Commercial Honing”); Commercial Honing’s 12 sizes of mechanical tubing are outside the scope of the Orders on seamless carbon and alloy steel standard, line, and pressure pipe from the PRC because they meet the exclusion language of the scope. However, one size of Commercial Honing’s mechanical tubing falls within the scope of the Orders because it does not meet the requirements set forth in the exclusion language; February 25, 2016.

Interested parties are invited to comment on the completeness of this list of completed scope and anticircumvention inquiries. Any comments should be submitted to the Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., APO/Dockets Unit, Room 18022, Washington, DC 20230.

This notice is published in accordance with 19 CFR 351.225(o).

Dated: March 9, 2017.

Gary Tavenor,
Associate Assistant Secretary for
Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with January anniversary dates. In accordance with the Department’s regulations, we are initiating those administrative reviews.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department has received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), for administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with January anniversary dates.

All deadlines for the submission of various types of information, certifications, or comments or actions by the Department discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting time.

Notice of No Sales

If a producer or exporter named in this notice of initiation had no exports, sales, or entries during the period of review (“POR”), it must notify the Department within 30 days of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. All submissions must be filed electronically at http://access.trade.gov in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303. Such submissions are subject to verification in accordance with section 782(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”). Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(1)(i), a copy must be served on every party on the Department’s service list.

Respondent Selection

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews initiated pursuant to requests made for the orders identified below, except for the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”), the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) data for U.S. imports during the period of review. We intend to place the CBP data on the record within five days of publication of the initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 30 days of publication of the initiation Federal Register notice.

Comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection should be submitted seven days after the placement of the CBP data on the record of this review. Parties wishing to submit rebuttal comments should submit those comments five days after the deadline for the initial comments.

In the event the Department decides it is necessary to limit individual examination of respondents and conduct respondent selection under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act:

In general, the Department has found that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be “collapsed” (i.e., treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, the Department will not conduct collapsing analyses at the respondent selection phase of this review and will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of this antidumping proceeding (i.e., investigation, administrative review, new shipper review or changed circumstances review). For any company subject to this review, if the Department determined, or continued to treat, that company as collapsed with others, the Department will assume that such companies continue to operate in the same manner and will collapse them for respondent selection purposes. Otherwise, the Department will not collapse companies.
for purposes of respondent selection. Parties are requested to (a) identify which companies subject to review previously were collapsed, and (b) provide a citation to the proceeding in which they were collapsed. Further, if companies are requested to complete the Quantity and Value (“Q&V”) Questionnaire for purposes of respondent selection, in general each company must report volume and value data separately for itself. Parties should not include data for any other party, even if they believe they should be treated as a single entity with that other party. If a company was collapsed with another company or companies in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding where the Department considered collapsing that entity, complete Q&V data for that collapsed entity must be submitted.

Respondent Selection—Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the PRC

In the event that the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination in the antidumping duty administrative review of wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC, for the purposes of this segment of the proceeding, i.e., the 2016 review period, the Department intends to select respondents based on volume data contained in responses to a Q&V questionnaire. All parties are hereby notified that they must timely respond to the Q&V questionnaire. The Department’s Q&V questionnaire along with certain additional questions will be available in a document package on the Department’s Web site at http://enforcement.trade.gov/download/prc-wbf/index.html on the date this notice is published. The responses to the Q&V questionnaire should be filed with the respondents’ Separate Rate Application or Separate Rate Certification (see the “Separate Rates” section below) and their response to the additional questions and must be received by the Department by no later than 30 days after publication of this notice. Please be advised that due to the time constraints imposed by the statutory and regulatory deadlines for antidumping duty administrative reviews, the Department does not intend to grant any extensions for the submission of responses to the Q&V questionnaire.

Deadline for Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), a party that has requested a review may withdraw that request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The regulation provides that the Department may extend this time if it is reasonable to do so. In order to provide parties additional certainty with respect to when the Department will exercise its discretion to extend this 90-day deadline, interested parties are advised that the Department does not intend to extend the 90-day deadline unless the requestor demonstrates that an extraordinary circumstance has prevented it from submitting a timely withdrawal request. Determinations by the Department to extend the 90-day deadline will be made on a case-by-case basis.

Separate Rates

In proceedings involving non-market economy (“NME”) countries, the Department begins with a rebuttable presumption that all companies within the country are subject to government control and, thus, should be assigned a single antidumping duty deposit rate. It is the Department’s policy to assign all exporters of merchandise subject to an administrative review in an NME country this single rate unless an exporter can demonstrate that it is sufficiently independent so as to be entitled to a separate rate.

To establish whether a firm is sufficiently independent from government control of its export activities to be entitled to a separate rate, the Department analyzes each entity exporting the subject merchandise. In accordance with the separate rates criteria, the Department assigns separate rates to companies in NME cases only if respondents can demonstrate the absence of both de jure and de facto government control over export activities.

All firms listed below that wish to qualify for separate rate status in the administrative reviews involving NME countries must complete, as appropriate, either a separate rate application or certification, as described below. In addition, all firms that wish to qualify for separate-rate status in the antidumping duty administrative review of wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC must complete, as appropriate, either a separate-rate certification or application, as described below, and respond to the additional questions and the Q&V questionnaire on the Department’s Web site at http://enforcement.trade.gov/download/prc-wbf/index.html. For these administrative reviews, in order to demonstrate separate rate eligibility, the Department requires entities for whom a review was requested, that were assigned a separate rate in the most recent segment of this proceeding in which they participated, to certify that they continue to meet the criteria for obtaining a separate rate. The Separate Rate Certification form will be available on the Department’s Web site at http://enforcement.trade.gov/nme/nme-sep-rate.html on the date of publication of this Federal Register notice. In responding to the certification, please follow the “Instructions for Filing the Certification” in the Separate Rate Certification. Separate Rate Certifications are due to the Department no later than 30 calendar days after publication of this Federal Register notice. The deadline and requirement for submitting a Certification applies equally to NME-owned firms, wholly foreign-owned firms, and foreign sellers who purchase and export subject merchandise to the United States. For the antidumping duty administrative review of wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC, Separate Rate Certifications, as well as a response to the Q&V questionnaire and the additional questions in the document package, are due to the Department no later than 30 calendar days after publication of this Federal Register notice.

Entities that currently do not have a separate rate from a completed segment of the proceeding should timely file a Separate Rate Application to demonstrate eligibility for a separate rate in this proceeding. In addition, companies that received a separate rate in a completed segment of the proceeding that have subsequently made changes, including, but not limited to, changes to corporate structure, acquisitions of new companies or facilities, or changes to their official company name, should timely file a Separate Rate Application to demonstrate eligibility for a separate rate in this proceeding. The Separate Rate Status Application will be available on the Department’s Web site at http://enforcement.trade.gov/nme/nme-sep-rate.html on the date of publication of this Federal Register notice. In responding to the Separate Rate Status Application, refer to the instructions contained in the application. Separate Rate Status
Applications are due to the Department no later than 30 calendar days of publication of this Federal Register notice. For the antidumping duty administrative review of wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC, Separate Rate Status Applications, as well as a response to the Q&V questionnaire and the additional questions in the document package, are due to the Department no later than 30 calendar days after publication of this Federal Register notice. The deadline and requirement for submitting a Separate Rate Status Application applies equally to NME-owned firms, wholly foreign-owned firms, and foreign sellers that purchase and export subject merchandise to the United States.

For exporters and producers who submit a separate-rate status application or certification and subsequently are selected as mandatory respondents, these exporters and producers will no longer be eligible for separate rate status unless they respond to all parts of the questionnaire as mandatory respondents.

Furthermore, this notice constitutes public notification to all firms for which an antidumping duty administrative review of wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC has been requested, and that are seeking separate rate status in the review, that they must submit a timely separate rate application or certification (as appropriate) as described above, and a timely response to the Q&V questionnaire and the additional questions in the document package on the Department’s Web site in order to receive consideration for separate-rate status. In other words, the Department will not give consideration to any timely separate rate certification or application made by parties who failed to respond in a timely manner to the Q&V questionnaire and the additional questions. All information submitted by respondents in the antidumping duty administrative review of wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC is subject to verification. As noted above, the separate rate certification, the separate rate application, the Q&V questionnaire, and the additional questions will be available on the Department’s Web site on the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register.

Initiation of Reviews

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we are initiating administrative reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings. We intend to issue the final results of these reviews not later than January 31, 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antidumping Duty Proceedings</th>
<th>Period to be reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The People’s Republic of China: Potassium Permanganate A–570–001</td>
<td>01/1/16–12/31/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chongqing Changyuan Group Limited.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Accelerator Limited.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The People’s Republic of China: Multilayered Wood Flooring A–570–970</td>
<td>12/1/15–11/30/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Limited and Double F Limited</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The People’s Republic of China: Wooden Bedroom Furniture A–570–890</td>
<td>01/1/16–12/31/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beutter Furniture Mfg. Co.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Beauty Furniture Co. Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.F. Kent Co., Inc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.F. Kent Hospitality, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Century Distribution Systems, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changshu Htc Import &amp; Export Co., Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearwise Co., Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decca Furniture Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dongguan Chengcheng Furniture Co., Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dongguan Fortune Furniture Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dongguan Jinfeng Creative Furniture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dongguan Kingstone Furniture Co., Ltd.; Kingstone Furniture Co., Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dongguan Nova Furniture Co., Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dongguan Singways Furniture Co., Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dongguan Sunrise Furniture Co., Ltd., Taicang Sunrise Wood Industry, Co., Ltd., Shanghai Sunrise Furniture Co., Ltd., Fairmont Designs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dongguan Zhisheng Furniture Co., Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorbest Ltd.; Rui Feng Woodwork Co., Ltd. aka Rui Feng Woodwork (Dongguan) Co., Ltd.; Rui Feng Lumber Development Co., Ltd. aka Rui Feng Lumber Development (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eurosa (Kunshan) Co., Ltd.; Eurosa Furniture Co., (PTE) Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evergo Furniture Manufacturing Co., Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleetwood Fine Furniture LP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortune Furniture Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foshan Bailan Imp. &amp; Exp. Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foshan Shunde Longjiang Zhishang Furniture Factory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fujian Lianfu Forestry Co., Ltd. (aka Fujian Wonder Pacific Inc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Well International (HK) Ltd. (Exporter) Zhangzhou Xym Furniture Product Co., Ltd. (Producer)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guangzhou Maria Yee Furnishings Ltd., Pyla HK Ltd., Maria Yee, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haining Kareno Furniture Co., Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hang Hai Woodcrafts Art Factory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hangzhou Cadman Trading Co., Ltd. (Exporter) Haining Changbei Furniture Co., Ltd. (Producer)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hualing Furniture (China) Co., Ltd.; Tony House Manufacture (China) Co., Ltd.; Buysell Investments Ltd.; Tony House Industries Co., Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiangmen Kinwai Furniture Decoration Co., Ltd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Jiangmen Kinwai International Furniture Co., Ltd.
Jiangsu Dare Furniture Co., Ltd.
Jiangsu Xiangsheng Bedtime Furniture Co., Ltd.
Jiangsu Yuexing Furniture Group Co., Ltd.
Jiant Furniture Co. Ltd.
JiaShan Zhenxuan Furniture Co., Ltd.
K Wee & Co., Ltd
Kunshan Summit Furniture Co., Ltd.
Nanjing JianTai Woodwork Co., Ltd., Fortune Glory Industrial Ltd.(H.K. Ltd.)
Nantong Wangzhuang Furniture Co. Ltd.
Nantong Yangzi Furniture Co., Ltd.
Nathan International Ltd.; Nathan Rattan Factory
Orient International Holding Shanghai Foreign Trade Co., Ltd.
Passwell Corporation; Pleasant Wave Ltd.
Perfect Line Furniture Co., Ltd.
PuTian Jinggong Furniture Co., Ltd.
Qingdao Liangmu Co., Ltd.
Restonic (Dongguan) Furniture Ltd.; Restonic Far East (Samoa) Ltd.
Rizhao Sanmu Woodworking Co., Ltd.
Shanghai Jian Pu Export & Import Co., Ltd.
Shenyang Shining Dongxing Furniture Co., Ltd.
Shenzhen Diamond Furniture Co., Ltd.
Shenzhen Furniture Co., Ltd.
Shenzhen Jiafa High Grade Furniture Co., Ltd.; Golden Lion International Trading Ltd.
Shenzhen New Fudu Furniture Co., Ltd.
Shenzhen Wonderful Furniture Co., Ltd.
Shenzhen Xingli Furniture Co., Ltd.
Shing Mark Enterprise Co., Ltd.; Carven Industries Limited (BVI); Carven Industries Limited (HK); Dongguan Zhenxin Furniture Co., Ltd.; Dongguan Yongpeng Furniture Co., Ltd.
Stanwood Industries Ltd.
Sunforce Furniture (Hui-Yang) Co., Ltd.; Sun Fung Wooden Factory; Sun Fung Co.; Shin Fung Furniture Co., Ltd.; Stupendous International Co., Ltd.
Superwood Co., Ltd.; Lianjiang Zongyu Art Products Co., Ltd.
Techniwood Industries Ltd.; Ningbo Furniture Industries Ltd.; Ningbo Hengrun Furniture Co., Ltd.
Tradewinds Furniture Ltd. (Successor-In-Interest to Nanhai JianTai Woodwork Co. Ltd.); Fortune Glory Industrial Ltd. (H.K. Ltd.)
Weimei Furniture Co., Ltd.
Woodworth Wooden Industries (Dong Guan) Co., Ltd.
Wuxi Yushe Furniture Co., Ltd.
Xiamen Yongquan Sci-Tech Development Co., Ltd.
Yeh Brothers World Trade Inc.
Yihua Timber Industry Co., Ltd.; Guangdong Yihua Timber Industry Co., Ltd.
Zhangjiagang Daye Hotel Furniture Co., Ltd.
Zhangzhou Guohui Industrial & Trade Co., Ltd.
Zhong Shun Wood Art Co.
Zhongshan Fookyik Furniture Co., Ltd.
Zhongshan Golden King Furniture Industrial Co., Ltd.
Zhoushan For-Strong Wood Co., Ltd.

**Countervailing Duty Proceedings**

The People's Republic of China: Multilayered Wood Flooring\(^7\) C–570–971 ................................................................. 1/1/15–12/31/15

Hangzhou Dazhuang Floor Co. (dba Dasso Industrial Group Co., Ltd.)

**Suspension Agreements**

None.

**Duty Absorption Reviews**

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under 19 CFR 351.211 or a determination under 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or suspended investigation (after sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the

\(^{4}\) In the initiation notice that published on February 13, 2017 (82 FR 10457) the POR for the above referenced case was incorrect. The period listed above is the correct POR for this case.

\(^{5}\) The company listed above was inadvertently omitted from the initiation notice that published on February 13, 2017 (82 FR 10457).

\(^{6}\) The department inadvertently omitted Double F Limited from the initiation notice that published on February 13, 2017 (82 FR 10457).

\(^{7}\) The department inadvertently omitted dba from the company listed above in the initiation notice that published on February 13, 2017 (82 FR 10457).
name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

**Gap Period Liquidation**

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures ‘gap’ period, of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the POR.

**Administrative Protective Orders and Letters of Appearance**

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with the procedures outlined in the Department’s regulations at 19 CFR 351.305. Those procedures apply to administrative reviews included in this notice of initiation. Parties wishing to participate in any of these administrative reviews should ensure that they meet the requirements of these procedures (e.g., the filing of separate letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d)).

**Factual Information Requirements**

The Department’s regulations identify five categories of factual information in 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21), which are summarized as follows: (i) Evidence submitted in response to questionnaires; (ii) evidence submitted in support of allegations; (iii) publicly available information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c) or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2); (iv) evidence placed on the record by the Department; and (v) evidence other than factual information described in (i)-(iv). These regulations require any party, when submitting factual information, to specify under which subsection of 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21) the information is being submitted and, if the information is submitted to rebut, clarify, or correct factual information already on the record, to provide an explanation identifying the information already on the record that the factual information seeks to rebut, clarify, or correct. The regulations, at 19 CFR 351.301, also provide specific time limits for such factual submissions based on the type of factual information being submitted. Please review the final rule, available at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/2013/1304frn/2013-08227.txt, prior to submitting factual information in this segment.

Any party submitting factual information in an antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information. Parties are hereby reminded that revised certification requirements are in effect for company/government officials as well as their representatives. All segments of any antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceedings initiated on or after August 16, 2011 should use the formats for the revised certifications provided at the end of the Final Rule. The Department intends to reject factual submissions in any proceeding segments if the submitting party does not comply with applicable revised certification requirements.

**Extension of Time Limits Regulation**

Parties may request an extension of time limits before a time limit established under Part 351 expires, or as otherwise specified by the Secretary. See 19 CFR 351.302. In general, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after the time limit established under Part 351 expires. For submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after 10:00 a.m. on the due date. Examples include, but are not limited to: (1) Case and rebuttal briefs, filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309; (2) factual information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c), or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2), filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3) and rebuttal, clarification and correction filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3)(iv); (3) comments concerning the selection of a surrogate country and surrogate values and rebuttal; (4) comments concerning U.S. Customs and Border Protection data; and (5) quantity and value questionnaires. Under certain circumstances, the Department may elect to specify a different time limit by which extension requests will be considered untimely for submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously. In such a case, the Department will inform parties in the letter or memorandum setting forth the deadline (including a specified time) by which extension requests must be filed to be considered timely. This modification also requires that an extension request must be made in a separate, stand-alone submission, and clarifies the circumstances under which the Department will grant untimely-filed requests for the extension of time limits. These modifications are effective for all segments initiated on or after October 21, 2013. Please review the final rule, available at http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-09-20/html/2013-22853.htm, prior to submitting factual information in these segments.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: March 9, 2017.

Gary Taverman,
Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[PR Doc. 2017–05166 Filed 3–14–17; 8:45 am]

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

**Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Advance Notification of Sunset Reviews**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**Background**

Every five years, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct a review to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation suspended under section 704 or 734 of the Act would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy (as the case may be) and of material injury.

**Upcoming Sunset Reviews for April 2017**

The following Sunset Reviews are scheduled for initiation in April 2017 and will appear in that month’s Notice of Initiation of Five-Year Sunset Reviews (“Sunset Reviews”).