PART 73—SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:


§ 73.72 [Amended]

2. § 73.72 is amended as follows:

R–7201  Farallon De Medinilla Island, Mariana Islands [Amended]

By removing “Using agency. Commander, Naval Forces, Marianas,” and adding in its place “Using agency. Commander, Joint Region, Marianas.”

R–7201A  Farallon De Medinilla Island, Mariana Islands [New]

Boundaries. That airspace between a 3 NM radius and a 12 NM radius of lat. 16°01′04″ N., long. 146°03′31″ E.

Designated altitudes. Surface to FL 600.

Time of designation. By NOTAM 12 hours in advance.

Controlling agency. FAA, Guam CERAP.

Using agency. Commander, Joint Region Marianas.

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 7, 2017.

Gemechu Gegelu,

Acting Manager, Airspace Policy Group.

[FR Doc. 2017–04952 Filed 3–10–17; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

18 CFR Part 12

Safety of Water Power Projects and Project Works

CFR Correction

In Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 1 to 399, revised as of April 1, 2016, the term “Energy Projects Licensing” is replaced by the term “Energy Projects” in the following locations: Page 214, § 12.2(a) and (b) and § 12.3(b)(3); page 218, § 12.22(a)(1) introductory text and (a)(2) introductory text; and page 221, § 12.31(e), § 12.33(a), and § 12.34.

[FR Doc. 2017–04952 Filed 3–10–17; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 1301–00–D

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


Approval of California Air Plan; Owens Valley Serious Area Plan for the 1987 24-Hour PM_{10} Standard

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action to approve a state implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of California to meet Clean Air Act (CAA or “Act”) requirements applicable to the Owens Valley PM_{10} nonattainment area (NA). The Owens Valley PM_{10} NA is classified as a “Serious” nonattainment area for the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for particulate matter of ten microns or less (PM_{10}). The submitted SIP revision is the “Great Basin Unified Air Pollution Control District 2016 Owens Valley Planning Area PM_{10} State Implementation Plan” (“2016 PM_{10} Plan” or “Plan”). The State’s obligation to submit the 2016 PM_{10} Plan was triggered by the EPA’s 2007 finding that the Owens Valley PM_{10} NA had failed to meet its December 31, 2006, deadline to attain the PM_{10} NAAQS. The CAA requires a Serious PM_{10} nonattainment area that fails to meet its attainment deadline to submit a plan providing for attainment of the PM_{10} NAAQS and for an annual reduction in PM_{10} emissions of not less than five percent per year until attainment. Our December 12, 2016 proposed rule provides the background and rationale for this action.

II. Public Comments and the EPA’s Response to Comments

The EPA provided a 30-day public comment period on our proposed action. The comment period ended on January 11, 2017. We received two public comment letters: One from the Timbisha Shoshone Tribe and one from the Big Pine Paiute Tribe of the Owens Valley. The submitted comment letters, which we have summarized and responded to below, are in our docket.

Comment 1: The Timbisha Shoshone Tribe expressed its support for our approval of the 2016 PM_{10} Plan.

Response 1: The EPA appreciates the Timbisha Shoshone Tribe’s support of our approval.

Comment 2: The Big Pine Paiute Tribe’s (“Tribe”) comment letter acknowledged the effectiveness of the

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ginger Vagenas, EPA Region IX, 415–972–3964, vagenas.ginger@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, the terms “we,” “us,” and “our” mean EPA.

Table of Contents

I. Summary of Proposed Action
II. Public Comments and the EPA’s Response to Comments
III. EPA’s Final Action
IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Summary of Proposed Action

On December 12, 2016, the EPA proposed to approve the Owens Valley 2016 PM_{10} Plan, which the State of California submitted on June 9, 2016, as meeting all relevant statutory and regulatory requirements under the CAA. As discussed in our proposed rule, the Owens Valley PM_{10} NA is a Serious PM_{10} nonattainment area that is located in the southern portion of the Owens Valley in Inyo County, California. California’s obligation to submit the 2016 PM_{10} Plan was triggered by the EPA’s June 6, 2007 finding that the Owens Valley PM_{10} NA had failed to meet its December 31, 2006 deadline to attain the PM_{10} NAAQS. The CAA requires a Serious PM_{10} NA that fails to meet its attainment deadline to submit a plan providing for attainment of the PM_{10} NAAQS and for an annual emission reduction in PM_{10} or PM_{10} precursors of not less than five percent per year until attainment. Our December 12, 2016 proposed rule provides the background and rationale for this action.

II. Public Comments and the EPA’s Response to Comments

The EPA provided a 30-day public comment period on our proposed action. The comment period ended on January 11, 2017. We received two public comment letters: One from the Timbisha Shoshone Tribe and one from the Big Pine Paiute Tribe of the Owens Valley. The submitted comment letters, which we have summarized and responded to below, are in our docket.

Comment 1: The Timbisha Shoshone Tribe expressed its support for our approval of the 2016 PM_{10} Plan.

Response 1: The EPA appreciates the Timbisha Shoshone Tribe’s support of our approval.

Comment 2: The Big Pine Paiute Tribe’s (“Tribe”) comment letter acknowledged the effectiveness of the