

Dated: February 28, 2017.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

## Appendix

### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Methodology
  - A. NV Comparisons
    - 1. Determination of Comparison Method
    - 2. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
  - B. Product Comparisons
  - C. EP and CEP
  - D. NV
    - 1. Home Market Viability and Selection of Comparison Market
    - 2. Affiliated Party Transactions and Arm's-Length Test
    - 3. Level of Trade (LOT)
  - E. Cost of Production (COP) Analysis
    - 1. Calculation of COP
    - 2. Test of Comparison Market Sales Prices
    - 3. Results of the COP Test
  - F. Calculation of NV Based on Comparison Market Prices
  - G. Calculation of NV Based on CV
  - H. Currency Conversion
- V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2017-04273 Filed 3-3-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-051]

#### Certain Hardwood Plywood Products From the People's Republic of China: Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** Effective March 6, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Amanda Brings at (202) 482-3927 or Ryan Mullen at (202) 482-5260, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On December 8, 2016, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an antidumping duty investigation of certain hardwood plywood products from the People's Republic of China.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Hardwood Plywood Products From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Less-*

Section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.205(b)(1) state that the Department will make a preliminary determination no later than 140 days after the date of the initiation. Accordingly, the preliminary determination of this antidumping duty investigation is currently due no later than April 27, 2017.

#### Postponement of Preliminary Determination

On February 21, 2017, the Coalition for Fair Trade in Hardwood Plywood and its individual members (Petitioners), made a timely request, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.205(e), for postponement of the preliminary determination, in order to facilitate the Department's analysis of the data and questionnaire responses submitted by respondents.<sup>2</sup> Because there are no compelling reasons to deny the request, in accordance with section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act, the Department is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determination by 50 days.

For the reasons stated above, the Department, in accordance with section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act, is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determination to no later than 190 days after the date on which the Department initiated this investigation. Therefore, the new deadline for the preliminary determination is June 16, 2017. In accordance with section 735(a)(1) of the Act, the deadline for the final determination of this investigation will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determination, unless postponed at a later date.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: February 27, 2017.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2017-04264 Filed 3-3-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

*Than-Fair-Value Investigation*, 81 FR 91125 (December 16, 2016).

<sup>2</sup> See Letter to the Acting Secretary of Commerce from Petitioners, re: "Certain Hardwood Plywood Products from the People's Republic of China: Petitioner's Request to Extend Preliminary Determination" (February 21, 2017).

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-201-842]

#### Large Residential Washers From Mexico: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015-2016

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on large residential washers from Mexico. The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2015, through January 31, 2016. The review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Electrolux Home Products, Inc., Electrolux Home Products Corp. N.V., and Electrolux Home Products de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (collectively, Electrolux). We preliminarily determine that sales of subject merchandise by Electrolux have been made at prices below normal value (NV). We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Effective March 6, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ross Belliveau or Rebecca Janz, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4952 or (202) 482-2972, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are all large residential washers and certain subassemblies thereof from Mexico. The products are currently classifiable under subheadings 8450.20.0040 and 8450.20.0080 of the Harmonized Tariff System of the United States (HTSUS). Products subject to this order may also enter under HTSUS subheadings 8450.11.0040, 8450.11.0080, 8450.90.2000, and 8450.90.6000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to this scope is dispositive.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> For a full description of the scope of the order see Memorandum from Gary Taverman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, entitled "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the 2015-2016 Administrative Review of the

## Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1)(B) and (2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Constructed export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. NV is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an Appendix to this notice.

## Preliminary Results of the Review

As a result of this review, the Department preliminarily determines that a weighted-average margin of 1.24 percent exists for Electrolux for the period February 1, 2015, through January 31, 2016.

## Disclosure and Public Comment

We will disclose the calculations performed to parties in this segment of the proceeding within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Interested parties may submit case briefs not later than seven days after the date on which the final verification report is issued in this proceeding.<sup>2</sup> Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be submitted no later than five days after the deadline date for case briefs.<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief

summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number; the number of participants; and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, the Department intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a time and date to be determined.<sup>4</sup> Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

All documents must be filed electronically using ACCESS. An electronically filed request must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time.

The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, unless the deadline is extended.<sup>5</sup>

## Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.<sup>6</sup>

We will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the examined sales to that importer. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c), or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.<sup>7</sup> The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results

of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.<sup>8</sup>

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 41 days after the publication date of the final results of this review.

## Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Electrolux will be the rate established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not participating in this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 36.52 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.<sup>9</sup> These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

## Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Antidumping Duty Order on Large Residential Washers from Mexico," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

<sup>2</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c).

<sup>3</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

<sup>4</sup> *Id.*

<sup>5</sup> See section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

<sup>6</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b).

<sup>7</sup> See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

<sup>8</sup> See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

<sup>9</sup> See *Large Residential Washers From Mexico and the Republic of Korea: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 78 FR 11148 (February 15, 2013).

Dated: February 27, 2017.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

## Appendix

### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Methodology
  - A. Comparisons to Normal Value
  - B. Determination of Comparison Method
  - C. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
- V. Product Comparisons
- VI. Constructed Export Price
- VII. Normal Value
  - A. Home Market Viability and Selection of Comparison Market
  - B. Affiliated Party Transactions and Arm's-Length Test
  - C. Level of Trade
  - D. Cost of Production (COP) Analysis
    1. Calculation of Cost of Production
    2. Test of Comparison Market Sales Prices
    3. Results of the COP Test
  - E. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices
  - F. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Constructed Value
- VIII. Currency Conversion
- IX. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2017-04272 Filed 3-3-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-549-822]

#### Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Thailand; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2015–2016

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp (shrimp) from Thailand. The review covers 160 producers/exporters of the subject merchandise. The Department selected two mandatory respondents for individual examination: A Foods 1991 Co., Limited and May Ao Foods Co., Ltd. (collectively, Mayao); and Thai Union/Pakfood, which consists of Thai Union Group Public Co., Ltd. (also known as Thai Union Frozen Products Public Co., Ltd.), Thai Union Seafood Company Limited, Pakfood Public Company Limited, Asia Pacific (Thailand) Co., Ltd., Chaophraya Cold

Storage Co. Ltd., Okeanos Co. Ltd., Okeanos Food Co. Ltd., and Takzin Samut Co. Ltd. The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2015, through January 31, 2016. We preliminarily determine that sales to the United States have been made below normal value and, therefore, are subject to antidumping duties. Additionally, we preliminarily determine that certain companies for which we initiated a review did not have any shipments during the POR. If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results of this review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Effective March 6, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Andrew Medley or Alice Maldonado, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4987 and (202) 482-4682, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is certain frozen warmwater shrimp.<sup>1</sup> The product is currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers: 0306.17.00.03, 0306.17.00.06, 0306.17.00.09, 0306.17.00.12, 0306.17.00.15, 0306.17.00.18, 0306.17.00.21, 0306.17.00.24, 0306.17.00.27, 0306.17.00.40, 1605.21.10.30, and 1605.29.10.10. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.

##### Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price and constructed export price are calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

<sup>1</sup> For a complete description of the scope of the Order, see Memorandum from James Maeder, Senior Director, Office I, for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, entitled "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Thailand," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>, and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as the Appendix to this notice.

#### Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Among the companies under review, five companies properly filed statements reporting that they made no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.<sup>2</sup> Based on the certifications submitted by four of these companies and our analysis of CBP information, we preliminarily determine that the following companies had no reviewable transactions during the POR: (1) Calsonic Kansei (Thailand) Co., Ltd.; (2) Lucky Union Foods Co., Ltd.; (3) Marine Gold Products Ltd. (Marine Gold);<sup>3</sup> and (4) Thai Union Manufacturing Company Limited (Thai Union Manufacturing). The Department finds that it is not appropriate to preliminarily rescind the review with respect to these companies but, rather, to complete the review with respect to these companies and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of this review.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>2</sup> For a full explanation of the Department's analysis, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>3</sup> Shrimp produced and exported by Marine Gold was excluded from the AD Thailand order effective February 1, 2012. See *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Review, and Revocation of Order (in Part)*; 2011–2012, 78 FR 42497, 42499 (July 16, 2013) (2011–2012 Thai Shrimp). Accordingly, we are conducting this administrative review with respect to Marine Gold only for shrimp produced in Thailand where Marine Gold acted as either the producer or the exporter (but not both).

<sup>4</sup> See, e.g., *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Thailand; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Review, Preliminary Determination of No Shipments*; 2012–2013, 79 FR 15951, 15952 (March 24, 2014), unchanged in *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Thailand: Final Results of*