

4. If a ticking is to be substituted on a qualified mattress prototype, how are candidate tickings for a substitution selected? Other than ticking classification, what factors or features are important when selecting a ticking material? Please explain the benefits and/or concerns related to structure (e.g., knit, woven, nonwoven), fiber content, or other factors that may affect the decision. Is effect on compliance with the Open Flame Standard a consideration in the selection process?

5. How do different ticking design features, when used in combination with flat areas or non-designed sections, impact the placement of cigarettes during the test (e.g., color patterns, weave pattern features, heat-bonded sections, quilted sections, 3-D designs, etc.)?

#### B. The Open Flame Standard

Under the Open Flame Standard, a prototype is tested and serves as a model for production. The Open Flame Standard distinguishes between a qualified prototype, confirmed prototype, and subordinate prototype. CPSC staff is interested in learning about stakeholder experiences related to prototyping to meet the requirements of the Open Flame Standard so that staff can provide appropriate guidance on these topics.

6. What are the materials, components and, methods of assembly used to comply with the performance requirements of the Open Flame Standard?

7. Does the fiber content, barrier type, material construction, and method of assembly impact the performance of a mattress tested using the procedure in 16 CFR 1633.7?

8. What conditions might influence a decision to include specific technologies to comply with the Open Flame Standard (e.g., inherently flame resistant material, topically applied flame retardant chemical treatment, FR thread, etc.)?

9. A subordinate prototype is a mattress set that is based on a qualified or confirmed prototype and is the same as the qualified or confirmed prototype, except with respect to length and/or width, not depth; ticking material, unless the ticking of the qualified prototype has characteristics designed to improve test performance; and/or any component, material, design or method of assembly, so long as the manufacturer can demonstrate on an objectively reasonable basis that such differences will not cause the mattress set to exceed the test criteria of the Open Flame Standard. See 16 CFR 1633.4(b).

Please provide examples of how the subordinate prototype provisions are implemented in production.

10. For purposes of the Open Flame Standard, each factory location is considered a manufacturer. Prototype pooling is a cooperative arrangement—whereby one or more manufacturers build mattress sets based on a qualified prototype produced by another manufacturer or prototype developer. A manufacturer who relies on another manufacturer's or prototype developer's qualified prototype must perform a confirmation test on the mattress set it manufactures. See 16 CFR 1633.5.

What are some examples of how a prototype pooling arrangement may be accomplished? How frequently are confirmation tests performed, as described in 16 CFR 1633.2(r)?

11. What types of quality assurance programs are in use? What controls, inspection procedures, and production testing schemes are most effective? When mattresses are produced by a secondary firm under contract for a primary firm (e.g., under private label) or are imported, what quality assurance controls are in place to ensure that the mattresses that are produced are the same as those used in the qualified and/or confirmed prototype on which they are based?

Dated: January 26, 2017.

**Todd A. Stevenson,**

*Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2017-02058 Filed 1-31-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6355-01-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER17-848-000]

#### Iron Horse Battery Storage, LLC; Supplemental Notice That Initial Market-Based Rate Filing Includes Request for Blanket Section 204 Authorization

This is a supplemental notice in the above-referenced proceeding of Iron Horse Battery Storage, LLC's application for market-based rate authority, with an accompanying rate tariff, noting that such application includes a request for blanket authorization, under 18 CFR part 34, of future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214

of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing protests with regard to the applicant's request for blanket authorization, under 18 CFR part 34, of future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability, is February 15, 2017.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper, using the FERC Online links at <http://www.ferc.gov>. To facilitate electronic service, persons with Internet access who will eFile a document and/or be listed as a contact for an intervenor must create and validate an eRegistration account using the eRegistration link. Select the eFiling link to log on and submit the intervention or protests.

Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 5 copies of the intervention or protest to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The filings in the above-referenced proceeding are accessible in the Commission's eLibrary system by clicking on the appropriate link in the above list. They are also available for electronic review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an eSubscription link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

Dated: January 26, 2017.

**Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2017-02086 Filed 1-31-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP17-29-000]

#### North Baja Pipeline, LLC; Notice of Application

Take notice that on January 6, 2017, North Baja Pipeline, LLC (NBP) 700 Louisiana Street, Suite 700, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP17-29-000, an application pursuant to

section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations, requesting authorization to permanently abandon certain facilities and unsubscribed firm capacity at NBP's Ehrenberg Compressor Station located in Ehrenberg, Arizona. Specifically, NBP requests to abandon two compressor units; one of which is the station spare unit. Effectively, NBP will abandon 7,200 of certificated horsepower and 18,450 Dth/day of unsubscribed firm southbound capacity. Also, NBP is providing its notification regarding the temporary abandonment approved by the Commission in the January 6, 2014 "Order Approving Abandonment" in Docket No. CP14-1-000, all as more fully set forth in the application, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. The filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or call toll-free, (866) 208-3676 or TTY, (202) 502-8659.

Any questions regarding this application should be directed to Linda Farquhar, Manager, Project Determinations and Regulatory Administration, North Baja Pipeline, LLC, 700 Louisiana Street, Suite 700, Houston, Texas 77002, or phone by: (832) 320-5685, or by email: [linda\\_farquhar@transcanada.com](mailto:linda_farquhar@transcanada.com).

Pursuant to section 157.9 of the Commission's rules, 18 CFR 157.9, within 90 days of this Notice the Commission staff will either: Complete its environmental assessment (EA) and place it into the Commission's public record (eLibrary) for this proceeding; or issue a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review. If a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review is issued, it will indicate, among other milestones, the anticipated date for the Commission staff's issuance of the final environmental impact statement (FEIS) or EA for this proposal. The filing of the EA in the Commission's public record for this proceeding or the issuance of a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review will serve to notify federal and state agencies of the timing for the completion of all necessary reviews, and the subsequent need to complete all federal authorizations within 90 days of the date of issuance of the Commission staff's FEIS or EA.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party

to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date stated below, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 7 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commentors will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commentors will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commentors will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original

and 5 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov), or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

*Comment Date:* 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on February 7, 2017.

Dated: January 17, 2017.

**Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2017-02067 Filed 1-31-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 2221-031]

#### Empire District Electric Company; Notice of Application Accepted for Filing and Soliciting Comments, Motions To Intervene, and Protests

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

- a. *Application Type:* Transfer of Project Lands.
- b. *Project No:* 2221-031.
- c. *Date Filed:* December 5, 2016.
- d. *Applicant:* Empire District Electric Company.
- e. *Name of Project:* Ozark Beach Hydroelectric Project.
- f. *Location:* The project is located on the White River in Taney County, Missouri.
- g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791a-825r.
- h. *Applicant Contact:* Kyle Slagle, Plant Manager; 3292 State Highway Y, Forsyth, MO, 6565; (417) 625-5183; [kslagle@empiredistrict.com](mailto:kslagle@empiredistrict.com).
- i. *FERC Contact:* Krista Sakallaris, (202) 502-6302, [Krista.Sakallaris@ferc.gov](mailto:Krista.Sakallaris@ferc.gov).
- j. *Deadline for filing comments, motions to intervene, and protests:* February 24, 2017.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filing. Please file motions to intervene, protests, comments, or recommendations using the Commission's eFiling system at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling.asp>. Commentors can submit brief comments up to 6,000 characters, without prior