

on public comments, but there have been very few substantial changes to the alternatives under consideration. Changes include adding additional specificity on the number of planned trips for special ferry service to Fort Baker and identifying the preferred alternative to include developing the primary embarkation site as Pier 31½ as well as providing occasional ferry service to Fort Baker.

*Range of Alternatives:* The Final EIS describes and analyzes four alternatives.

*No-Action Alternative:* Ferry service to Alcatraz Island would continue from Pier 31½, controlled by the Port of San Francisco, with no changes to management or site operations and infrastructure. This alternative serves as the environmental baseline from which potential effects of the three “action” alternatives were compared.

*Pier 31½ Alternative:* Retrofit existing structures (parts of piers 31, 33 and associated bulkhead buildings) and establish long-term ferry service and embarkation site operations at Pier 31½ along the Embarcadero. A third berth would be constructed to support ferry travel to other GGNRA sites. This is the “agency-preferred” alternative for the Alcatraz Ferry Embarkation site. This alternative also includes consideration of limited ferry service to/from Fort Baker.

*Pier 41 Alternative:* Retrofit and expand existing structures and establish long-term embarkation at Pier 41, controlled by the Port of San Francisco in Fisherman’s Wharf. A third berth would be constructed to support ferry travel to other GGNRA sites.

*Pier 3 Alternative:* Retrofit existing structures and establish a long-term embarkation site at Pier 3 in Fort Mason, a federal property managed by GGNRA. A third berth between Piers 1 and 2 would also be constructed.

In the future, the selected embarkation site would include additional ferry services from the primary embarkation site to provide recreational ferry service to other destinations in the Bay, as well as Bay excursions, which would enhance the connectivity and accommodation of visitor demands to other GGNRA destinations. The details associated with providing any such potential ferry service to particular locations other than Alcatraz Island and Fort Baker would be analyzed in future environmental documents.

The NPS will execute a Record of Decision no sooner than 30 days following EPA’s notice published in the **Federal Register** announcing filing and release of the Final EIS. The official responsible for approval of the Alcatraz

Ferry Embarkation project is the Regional Director of the Pacific West Region, and subsequently the General Superintendent, GGNRA, will be responsible for implementation.

Dated: November 4, 2016.

**Laura E. Joss,**

*Regional Director, Pacific West Region.*

[FR Doc. 2017–01469 Filed 1–23–17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312–52–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1037]

### Certain Graphics Processors, DDR Memory Controllers, and Products Containing the Same Institution of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on December 16, 2016, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of ZiiLabs Inc., Ltd. of Bermuda. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain graphics processors, DDR memory controllers, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,677,952 (‘952 patent’); U.S. Patent No. 6,950,350 (‘350 patent’); U.S. Patent No. 7,518,616 (‘616 patent’); and U.S. Patent No. 8,643,659 (‘659 patent’). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access

to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

*Authority:* The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2016).

*Scope of Investigation:* Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on January 17, 2017, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain graphics processors, DDR memory controllers, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–8 of the ‘952 patent; claims 1–16 of the ‘350 patent; claims 1–8 of the ‘616 patent; and claims 1–20 of the ‘659 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding Administrative Law Judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties or other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is:  
ZiiLabs Inc., Ltd., Clarendon House, 2 Church Street, Hamilton, HM11, Bermuda

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., One AMD Place, P.O. Box 3453, Sunnyvale, CA 94088-3453

Lenovo Group Ltd., Shangdi Information Industry Base, No. 6 Chuang Ye Road, Haidan District, 10085 Beijing, China

Lenovo Holding Co., Inc., 1009 Think Place, Morrisville, NC 27650

Lenovo (United States) Inc., 1009 Think Place, Morrisville, NC 27650

LG Electronics, Inc., LG Twin Towers, 20, Yeouido-dong, Yeongdeungpo-gu, Seoul 150-721, Republic of Korea

LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., 1000 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632

LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc., 10101 Old Grove Road, San Diego, CA 92131

MediaTek, Inc., No. 1, Dusing Rd. 1, Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu City 30078, Taiwan

MediaTek USA Inc., 2860 Junction Ave., San Jose, CA 95134

Motorola Mobility LLC, 600 N. U.S. Highway 45, Libertyville, IL 60048

Qualcomm Inc., 5775 Morehouse Drive, San Diego, CA 92121

Sony Corporation, 1-7-1 Konan, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108-0075, Japan

Sony Corporation of America, 25 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10022-33211

Sony Electronics, Inc., 16535 Via Esprillo Building 1, San Diego, CA 92127

Sony Mobile Communications (USA) Inc., 2207 Bridgepoint Parkway, San Mateo, CA 94404

Sony Computer Entertainment Inc., 1-7-1 Konan, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108-0075, Japan

Sony Interactive Entertainment LLC, 2207 Bridgepoint Parkway, San Mateo, CA 94404

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of

investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 18, 2017.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2017-01530 Filed 1-23-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-1091 (Second Review)]

### Artists' Canvas From China; Scheduling of an Expedited Five-Year Review

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on artists' canvas from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* January 6, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christopher Cassise (708-5408), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the

Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Background.*—On January 6, 2017, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (81 FR 68049, October 3, 2016) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

*Staff report.*—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on February 1, 2017, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

*Written submissions.*—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,<sup>2</sup> and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before February 6, 2017 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by February 6, 2017. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's

<sup>1</sup> A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

<sup>2</sup> The Commission has found the response submitted by Tara Materials, Inc. to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (*see* 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).