DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs

AGENCY: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), HHS.

ACTION: Revised Mandatory Guidelines by the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

SUMMARY: The Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS" or "Department") has revised the Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs (Guidelines), 73 FR 71858 (November 25, 2008) for urine testing.

DATES: Effective Date: October 1, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles LoDico, M.S., F-ABFT, Division of Workplace Programs, Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP), SAMHSA mail to: 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 16N03A, Rockville, MD 20857, telephone (240) 276–2600 or email at charles.lodico@samhsa.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In particular, these revised Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs using Urine (UrMG) allow federal executive branch agencies to test for additional Schedule II drugs of the Controlled Substances Act (i.e., oxycodone, oxymorphone, hydrocodone and hydromorphone) in federal drug-free workplace programs, remove methylenedioxymethylamphetamine (MDA) from the authorized drugs in Section 3.4, add methylenedioxymethylamphetamine (MDA) as an initial test analyte, raise the lower pH cutoff from 3 to 4 for identifying specimens as adulterated, require MRO requalification training and re-examination at least every five years after initial MRO certification, and allow federal agencies to authorize collection of an alternate specimen (e.g., oral fluid) when a donor in their program is unable to provide a sufficient amount of urine specimen at the collection site. Many of the wording changes and reorganization of the UrMG were made for clarity, to use current scientific terminology or preferred scientific and technical guidelines for federal workplace drug testing programs and established standards for certification of laboratories engaged in urine drug testing for federal agencies. As required, HHS originally published the Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs (Guidelines) in the Federal Register [FR] on April 11, 1988 [53 FR 11979]. The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) subsequently revised the Guidelines on June 9, 1994 [59 FR 29908], September 30, 1997 [62 FR 51118], November 13, 1998 [63 FR 63483], April 13, 2004 [69 FR 19644], and November 25, 2008 [73 FR 71858] with an effective date of May 1, 2010 (correct effective date published on December 10, 2008; [73 FR 75122]). The effective date of the Guidelines was further changed to October 1, 2010 on April 30, 2010 [75 FR 22809].

The proposed Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs using Urine (UrMG) published in the Federal Register on May 15, 2015 (80 FR 28101) include revisions to the initial and confirmatory drug test analytes and methods for urine testing, the cutoff for reporting a urine specimen as adulterated based on low pH, and the requalification requirements for individuals serving as Medical Review Officers (MROs) and, where appropriate, include references to the use of an alternate specimen in federal workplace drug testing programs. References to an alternate specimen are not applicable until final Guidelines are implemented for the use of the alternative specimen matrix. The Department published a separate Notice in the May 15, 2015 Federal Register (80 FR 28054) proposing Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs using Oral Fluid (OFMG) to allow federal agencies to collect and test oral fluid specimens in their workplace drug testing programs. There was a 60-day public comment period for both Federal Register Notices, during which 125 commenters submitted comments on the proposed changes to the Guidelines. These commenters were comprised of individuals, organizations, and private sector companies. The comments are available for public view at http://www.regulations.gov/. All comments were reviewed and taken into consideration in the preparation of the revised Guidelines. The issues and concerns raised in the public comments for the UrMG are set out below. Similar comments are considered together in the discussion.

Costs and Benefits

The Department requested comments on costs and benefits. One commenter disagreed that the cost increase for laboratories to add analytes to regulated testing will be minimal, stating that significant costs would be incurred for information technology (IT) development, as well as incremental costs for additional immunoassays (if required); for additional calibrators, controls, and internal standards; and for increased confirmatory testing costs (including data review and result certification) based on an expected increased positivity rate for opioids. One commenter disagreed with the Department’s estimated 3% cost increase for Medical Review Officers (MROs) and estimated that the increase will be 10%. The commenters did not provide any substantive evidence or data to support these comments. The Department recognizes that there will be start-up costs to laboratories to implement testing for the additional analytes for regulated specimens including administrative costs, and agrees that the estimated increased costs for some MROs may exceed the 3% estimate. The Department’s cost analysis was based on information provided by multiple HHS-certified laboratories and MROs, as well as the estimated number of additional positives resulting from the inclusion of the new opioid analytes. Costs are expected to vary among individual laboratories and MROs, depending on their processes and testing populations. Additional information on the estimated costs associated with these Guidelines is included under Regulatory Impact and Notices below.

Proposed New Analytes: Oxycodone, Oxymorphone, Hydrocodone, and Hydromorphone

Seven commenters specifically agreed with the addition of these drugs to the Guidelines. Two commenters expressed concerns over the added drugs, indicating that individuals who follow their physician’s treatment plan of taking legally prescribed medication would produce positive tests, leading to greater reliance on MROs to determine whether tests are truly positive (as a result of illegal use) or are positive due to prescribed usage of the drugs, and a greater number of workers will be subject to scrutiny and their medical

Summary of Public Comments and HHS’s Response

The following comments were directed to the information and questions in the preamble.

The Department requested comments on costs and benefits. One commenter disagreed that the cost increase for laboratories to add analytes to regulated testing will be minimal, stating that significant costs would be incurred for information technology (IT) development, as well as incremental costs for additional immunoassays (if required); for additional calibrators, controls, and internal standards; and for increased confirmatory testing costs (including data review and result certification) based on an expected increased positivity rate for opioids. One commenter disagreed with the Department’s estimated 3% cost increase for Medical Review Officers (MROs) and estimated that the increase will be 10%. The commenters did not provide any substantive evidence or data to support these comments. The Department recognizes that there will be start-up costs to laboratories to implement testing for the additional analytes for regulated specimens including administrative costs, and agrees that the estimated increased costs for some MROs may exceed the 3% estimate. The Department’s cost analysis was based on information provided by multiple HHS-certified laboratories and MROs, as well as the estimated number of additional positives resulting from the inclusion of the new opioid analytes. Costs are expected to vary among individual laboratories and MROs, depending on their processes and testing populations. Additional information on the estimated costs associated with these Guidelines is included under Regulatory Impact and Notices below.

Proposed New Analytes: Oxycodone, Oxymorphone, Hydrocodone, and Hydromorphone

Seven commenters specifically agreed with the addition of these drugs to the Guidelines. Two commenters expressed concerns over the added drugs, indicating that individuals who follow their physician’s treatment plan of taking legally prescribed medication would produce positive tests, leading to greater reliance on MROs to determine whether tests are truly positive (as a result of illegal use) or are positive due to prescribed usage of the drugs, and a greater number of workers will be subject to scrutiny and their medical
records examined at length. One of the commenters maintained that such testing would exceed the legal mandate under Executive Order No. 12564 and the promulgation of scientific Guidelines by HHS pursuant to it. The Guidelines include requirements to protect individuals’ privacy while maintaining public safety, including procedures for MRO review to verify legitimate drug use and maintain the confidentiality of donor drug testing records. The Department provides additional guidance in the Medical Review Officer Manual for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs. The inclusion of these additional drugs in the Guidelines is within the scope of the Department’s regulatory authority to test for illegal drug use under Section 503(a)(1)(A)(ii)(II) of Public Law 100–71 and Executive Order No. 12564.

New Analytes—Cutoff Concentrations

Eight commenters addressed the proposed cutoffs for the added drugs: Three agreed with the proposed cutoffs; four disagreed with the cutoffs for one or more of the added drugs. Of these, three commenters stated that the cutoffs are too low: Two of these commenters believe that these cutoffs will unnecessarily identify workers using prescription drugs and one commenter noted that these cutoffs will affect accurate quantitation in routine specimens. The Department recognizes that the added analytes will result in an increased number of positive opioid results requiring MRO review, and has incorporated requirements for MRO requalification and retraining at least every five years. Additional guidance and information on the added drugs will be provided in the Medical Review Officer Guidance Manual for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs. The Department disagrees that the cutoffs will affect accurate quantitation in routine specimens. Information from HHS-certified laboratories indicates that testing at these cutoffs can be accomplished with current instrumentation. However, the Department has raised the confirmatory test cutoffs for oxycodone and oxymorphone from 50 ng/mL to 100 ng/mL. These higher cutoffs are supported by a single dose study which showed similar detection rates for oxycodone and oxymorphone using either a 50 ng/mL or 100 ng/mL cutoff. Use of the 100 ng/mL confirmatory test cutoff is expected to be less analytically challenging for laboratories.

One commenter suggested changing the oxycodone and oxymorphone initial test cutoff to 300 ng/mL and changing the hydrocodone and hydromorphone initial test cutoff to 100 ng/mL to equate the detection times for these drugs. One commenter requested that the Department provide the justification and data used to determine the cutoff levels for the added opioids. The Department raised the oxycodone and oxymorphone confirmatory test cutoffs to 100 ng/mL as described above. The Department has evaluated the comments and has concluded that no further change is needed. The selection of cutoff concentration is not based solely on the factor of detection times and must take into consideration a variety of factors, both pharmacological and chemical. Drug potency, disposition in urine, impact and prevalence must be considered. For example, oxycodone is approximately twice as potent as hydrocodone and may be prescribed in lower doses, thus a cutoff lower than that for hydrocodone is warranted. Therefore, in selecting the cutoffs, the Department considered the detection times of equipotent doses as well as dispositional patterns of each drug in urine. Data on the disposition of hydrocodone and oxycodone in urine following administration of a single dose can be found in two recently published scientific articles.12

Medical Review Officer (MRO) Requalification—Continuing Education Units (CEUs)

The Department requested comments on requiring MRO requalification and extending education units (CEUs) and on the optimum number of credits and the appropriate CEU accreditation bodies should CEUs be required as part of MRO requalification. Three commenters agreed with requiring MRO recertification, but disagreed with the addition of CEU requirements to the Guidelines. Two commenters disagreed with specifying the number of CEUs required. Two commenters indicated that certification entities already enforce training requirements and recommended that acceptance of CEUs be handled by MRO certification boards, not the Department. Two commenters recommended a requirement of annual CEUs: One suggested 16 CEUs and the other recommended three CEUs. One commenter recommended 12 CEUs prior to initial certification, eight CEUs every five years, and also recommended two CEUs related to the new requirements/topics within two years of implementation of the revised Guidelines. The Department has evaluated the comments and has concluded that continuing education units will remain with the MRO certification entities and will not be included in the Guidelines. The Department has removed references to MRO training entities in Sections 13.2 and 13.3, because training documentation is maintained by MRO certification entities. The Department agrees with the comment that MROs should receive training on revisions to the Guidelines, and has added item Section 13.3(b) to require such training prior to the effective date of revised Guidelines.

Discussion of Sections

The Department has not included a discussion in the preamble of any sections for which public comments were not submitted or where minor typographical or grammatical changes were made.

Subpart A—Applicability

1.5 What do the terms used in these Guidelines mean?

One commenter disagreed with the definition for “dilute specimen” because it does not include numerical values for creatinine and specific gravity. The Department has concluded that no change is needed; the analytical (numerical) criteria for a dilute specimen are provided in Section 3.8.

One commenter requested that “external service provider” be defined, because this is a new term included in the proposed Guidelines. The Department agrees and has added the definition.

The Department has added the definition for “gender identity” to Section 1.5. This term is now used in Guidelines sections addressing observed and monitored collections as described in this preamble under Sections 4.4, 8.1, 8.10, and 8.12. Gender identity means an individual’s internal sense of being male or female, which may be different from an individual’s sex assigned at birth.

Two commenters disagreed with the proposed definition for “invalid result” which indicated that an invalid result was reported only when an HHS-certified laboratory could not complete testing or obtain a valid drug test result. The Department agrees with the commenters and has reinstated the definition from the Guidelines effective October 1, 2010 (73 FR 71858).

To address comments described in this preamble under Section 13.1, the Department deleted the definition for “non-medical use of a drug.” Two commenters found the definition of “specimen” confusing, because the term “sample” used in the definition was also defined as a representative portion of a donor’s specimen. The
Department agrees, and has reinstated some wording for the definition of “specimen” from the Guidelines effective October 1, 2010 (73 FR 71858) for clarity.

1.6 What is an agency required to do to protect employee records?

One commenter suggested that the non-applicability of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (HITECH) should be clearly stated in the Guidelines. The Department has evaluated the comment and has concluded that the applicability of HIPAA and other relevant privacy laws is clearly stated in Section 1.6. Accordingly, except for minor rewording for clarity, no further revisions are necessary.

1.7 What is a refusal to take a federally regulated drug test?

One commenter noted that per Sections 8.4(c) and 8.9(b), when a collector finds an adulterant or substitution product or observes an attempt to substitute a urine specimen, this prompts a direct observed collection, not a refusal to test. The commenter suggested bringing an adulterant or a substitution product to the collection site should be a refusal to test. The Department has evaluated the comment, and agrees that the collector must report a refusal to test when a donor brings materials for adulterating, substituting, or diluting the specimen to the collection site, or when the collector observes a donor’s clear attempt to tamper with a specimen. The Department has revised Sections 1.7, 8.3(h), 8.4(c), and 8.9(b) accordingly.

One commenter noted that the collector does not report a refusal to test when a donor leaves the collection site before the collection process begins for a pre-employment test. The commenter recommended defining the beginning of the pre-employment test collection process as the point at which the donor is asked to present photo identification. The Department agrees with the suggestion to define the beginning of the collection process specifically for this situation. However, the Department has designated the beginning as the step described in Section 8.4(a), when the collector provides or the donor selects a specimen collection container. The Department has revised Sections 1.7(a)(2) and (3) to include a reference to this section. All subsequent items in Section 1.7(a) (i.e., Items 4–13) apply once the donor has arrived for the pre-employment test collection.

1.8 What are the potential consequences for refusing to take a federally regulated drug test?

The Department reworded Section 1.6(b) to clarify that the requirements in this section apply to donors who fail to appear at the collection site in a reasonable time for any test (except a pre-employment test), as described in Section 1.7(a)(1).

Subpart B—Urine Specimens

2.1 What type of specimen may be collected?

Two commenters requested clarification on the collection/testing scenario where the federal agency authorizes collection of an oral fluid specimen, but the contracted laboratory does not perform oral fluid testing. The Department has evaluated the comments and has concluded that no change is needed. This will be addressed in the federal agency plan.

2.2 Under what circumstances may a urine specimen be collected?

One commenter suggested that the cost of mandatory random drug and alcohol testing among airline pilots outweighs the benefit. The Department has evaluated the comment and has concluded that no change is needed. Airline pilots are subject to drug and alcohol testing under DOT regulations. Therefore, this public comment is not relevant to the Guidelines. In regard to drug testing of federal agency employees and applicants, each federal agency establishes its agency plan based on its mission, its employees’ duties, and the potential consequences to the public health and safety or national security that could result from the failure of an employee to adequately perform their duties and responsibilities.

Subpart C—Urine Specimen Tests

3.1 Which tests are conducted on a urine specimen?

One commenter suggested changing the term “opiates” to “opioids” in the Guidelines. The Department agrees with the commenter and has changed the term “opiates” to “opioids” where appropriate to refer to oxycodone, oxymorphone, hydrocodone, and hydromorphone in addition to codeine, morphine, and 6-acetylmorphine (6-AM). The Department reworded Section 3.2(a) to clarify the additional drug tests that may be performed on federal employee specimens.

3.3 May any of the specimens be used for other purposes?

Section 3.3 states that specimens collected pursuant to Executive Order 12564, Public Law 100–71, and these Guidelines may not be used for purposes other than drug and validity testing in accordance with Subpart C of the Guidelines. One commenter disagreed with prohibiting employees from using their drug test specimens for other purposes (e.g., DNA testing). The Department has evaluated this comment and has concluded that no change is needed.

While the Guidelines do not authorize the release of urine specimens, or portions thereof, to federal employees, the Guidelines afford employees a variety of protections that ensure the identity, security and integrity of their specimens. For example, see Sections 3.3(b), 8.5, 8.6, and 15.1(a).

In addition, under Public Law 100–71, Section 503(a)(1)(A)(ii)(I), HHS is mandated to establish “strict procedures governing the chain of custody of specimens collected for drug testing . . . .” Sections 11.7(a) and 11.20(a) also provide that an “HHS-certified laboratory must control access to the drug testing facility, specimens, aliquots, and records,” and must retain specimens that, among other things, have been reported “drug positive” for a minimum of one year. Therefore, the release of specimens to employees, or to an employee’s designee, is inconsistent with the mandates of the federal drug testing process, and could significantly compromise a specimen’s integrity, security, and an HHS-certified laboratory’s ability to fulfill its regulatory duties under the Guidelines.

One commenter requested further clarification of the phrase “unless otherwise authorized in accordance with [applicable] federal law” in Section 3.3. The phrase “unless otherwise authorized in accordance with applicable federal law” in Section 3.3(a) does not represent a significant change from the intent of the prior Guidelines language. Section 3.3, among others, is intended to prohibit the use of specimens for purposes other than those specifically authorized by the Guidelines. However, there may be circumstances in which federal law authorizes an HHS-certified laboratory to handle a specimen in a manner that differs from the Guidelines. Therefore, the phrase “unless otherwise authorized in accordance with [applicable] federal law” in Section 3.3 of the Guidelines is intended to avoid conflict with other applicable federal law.
It should be noted that Section 3.3 specifically prohibits conducting deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) testing on urine specimens, unless authorized in accordance with applicable federal law.

3.4 What are the drug test cutoff concentrations for urine?

The Department proposed methylenedioxyamphetamine (MDA) and methylenedioxyethylamphetamine (MDEA) as initial test analytes. Three commenters disagreed with the addition of MDA and MDEA as target analytes, stating this change would require modification of current immunoassay reagents, laboratory processes, or both. The commenters noted that this imposes an unnecessary burden for compounds with such low incidence in workplace testing. The Department has evaluated the comments and has removed MDEA from the Guidelines (i.e., MDEA is no longer included as an authorized drug in Section 3.4). The number of positive MDEA specimens reported by HHS-certified laboratories (i.e., information provided to the Department through the NLCP) does not support testing all specimens for MDEA in federal workplace drug testing programs.

Because MDEA is a Schedule I drug, a federal agency may test specimens for MDEA in accordance with Section 3.2 (i.e., on a case-by-case basis for reasonable suspicion or post accident testing, routinely with a waiver from the Secretary). The Department understands that MDA and some other analytes also have a low incidence, but believes that continued testing for these analytes is warranted in a deterrent program. In particular, inclusion of MDA as an initial and confirmatory test analyte is warranted because, in addition to being a drug of abuse, it is a metabolite of MDEA and MDMA.

An HHS-certified laboratory or Instrumented Initial Test Facility (IITF) may group analytes for initial testing. For clarity, the Department has defined the term “grouped analytes” where used in footnote 1 of the table in Section 3.4: “(i.e., two or more analytes that are in the same drug class and have the same initial test cutoff).”

The Department proposed criteria for immunoassays for grouped analytes such as opioids and amphetamines, specifying the minimum cross-reactivity to the other analyte(s) within the group. Two commenters disagreed with the added cross-reactivity requirements, noting this section should not attempt to provide equivalence between immunoassay and other initial testing technologies. These commenters suggested the Department develop separate requirements for initial test methods using an alternate technology or, alternatively, require the combined cross-reactivity of low-reacting compounds (e.g., hydrocodone and hydromorphone for an opiate assay; MDA and MDEA for an amphetamines assay) to be equal to or greater than the cutoff. The other commenter recommended not allowing methods other than immunoassay for urine initial testing. One commenter stated that cross-reactivity specifications for hydromorphone are not necessary, based on their non-regulated testing results (i.e., confirmatory test concentrations detected after using an immunoassay with 60% cross-reactivity for hydromorphone). The Department has evaluated the comments and has concluded that no change is needed for immunoassay cross-reactivity requirements. The requirements in Section 3.4 are necessary to ensure consistency in testing among laboratories using different immunoassay kits, as well as those using different test methods for initial drug testing. Cross-reactivity must be demonstrated and documented by the manufacturer (e.g., package insert) and by the HHS-certified laboratory or IITF (i.e., assay validation studies, reagent lot verification, and batch quality control for any analyte that exhibits less than 100% cross-reactivity). The Department will continue to allow the use of methods other than immunoassay for initial testing.

However, the Department has revised Section 3.4 regarding the use of alternate technology initial tests for THC and benzoylecgonine. Depending on the technology, the confirmatory test cutoff (i.e., 15 ng/mL for THC, 100 ng/mL for benzoylecgonine) must be used as the cutoff for an initial test using an alternate technology to ensure consistent treatment of specimens. For these analytes, the immunoassay test is not specific for the target analyte for the confirmatory test. For example, immunoassays for cannabinoids react with multiple compounds that are excreted as a result of marijuana use. Therefore, it is necessary to use an immunoassay cutoff higher than that of the confirmatory test in order to detect the target analyte (THCA) at or above the confirmatory test cutoff. An initial test using an alternate technology with specificity comparable to the confirmatory test requires use of the confirmatory test cutoff.

Also in Section 3.4, the Department did not specify the target analyte to be used to calibrate an initial test for grouped analytes such as amphetamines or opioids. Three commenters noted that when an immunoassay is calibrated with a low-reacting drug, other analytes may exhibit high cross-reactivity, leading to false initial test positives. Two of these commenters also noted that this may result in possibly different cross-reactivity profiles for some structurally unrelated and concomitantly used prescription and/or over the counter drugs. One commenter noted that the option to “include a control containing the lowest reacting analyte at its cutoff concentration in each batch” was described in the preamble to the proposed Guidelines, but was not specified in Section 3.4 of the Guidelines. It was not the Department’s intent for the laboratory or IITF to calibrate an immunoassay test using an analyte other than that specified by the manufacturer. In the preamble to the proposed UrMG, the Department described using a control containing the lowest reacting analyte at its cutoff concentration to establish the decision point (i.e., when an immunoassay for grouped analytes did not demonstrate at least 80% cross-reactivity to each analyte). The Department has determined that this approach is not necessary, and will not be permitted. There are current immunoassays that meet the requirements of this section for two or more analytes in a group (i.e., analytes in the same drug class that have the same initial cutoff). As indicated in Section 3.4, the laboratory or IITF may use multiple test kits or a single kit to meet the requirements.

3.5 May an HHS-certified laboratory perform additional drug and/or specimen validity tests on a specimen at the request of the Medical Review Officer (MRO)?

One commenter recommended that HHS maintain a list of allowable additional tests and reporting criteria (e.g., threshold for reporting as positive, adulterated, substituted, and/or invalid, and a limit of detection as appropriate), to ensure consistency among laboratories and within the testing program. The Department has evaluated the comment and has concluded that no change is needed. The Department does not want to limit the analytes that may be tested, and will provide guidance to laboratories as needed. It is also noted that the section requires all tests to meet appropriate validation and quality control requirements. The procedures and specimen records for such tests will be reviewed at NLCP inspections. The Department will continue to maintain a list of HHS-certified laboratories that choose to perform additional tests for regulated specimens.
One commenter asked whether an MRO could submit a blanket request to perform additional testing (e.g., additional opioid metabolites) for all confirmatory specimens (i.e., would laboratories be permitted to monitor the additional compounds in all confirmatory test assays?). The Department believes that testing all specimens for additional analytes may not be appropriate for some tests, especially hydrocodone, hydromorphone, oxycodone and oxymorphone. Recent studies show that testing for norhydrocodone and or noroxycodone is not necessary for the interpretation of all results.\textsuperscript{1,2}

Norhydrocodone and noroxycodone metabolites may be helpful for the MRO to interpret test results only when a donor’s prescription does not support the test results. For example, a hydrocodone dose may result in urine concentrations of only hydromorphone metabolite above the cutoff. The presence of norhydrocodone metabolite would support the use of hydromorphone and validate the donor’s prescription. The same could be said for interpreting test results following an oxycodone dose. The presence of noroxycodone metabolite would support the use of oxycodone when only oxymorphone was reported as positive. The Department will provide guidance on these and other additional tests that may provide useful information for the MRO in the Medical Review Officer Guidance Manual for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs. The Department has revised Section 3.5 to clarify that HHS-certified laboratories are authorized to perform additional tests upon MRO request on a case-by-case basis, but are not authorized to routinely perform such tests without prior authorization from the Secretary or designated HHS representative, with the exception of the determination of D,L stereoisomers of amphetamine and methamphetamine. The Department will continue to allow HHS-certified laboratories to test for D,L amphetamine and methamphetamine routinely or upon MRO request. The Department will provide guidance on these and other additional tests that may provide useful information for the MRO (e.g., tetrahydrocannabivarin) in the Medical Review Officer Guidance Manual for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs.

Additional drug and specimen validity testing under Section 3.5 does not include DNA testing.

3.6 What criteria are used to report a urine specimen as adulterated?

Two commenters agreed and one disagreed with raising the lower pH cutoff from 3.0 to 4.0 for identifying specimens as adulterated. One commenter advised caution in changing specimen validity test cutoffs, and indicated that the proposed change will require updates to computer systems for reporting, calibrators, and controls. One commenter indicated that previous review of data (more than 10 years ago) indicated this change would have more than doubled the number of low pH adulterated results reported. The commenter that disagreed with changing the pH cutoff believes HHS does not have enough scientific evidence supporting the change. The Department has evaluated the comments and has concluded that no change is needed to the proposed cutoff (i.e., 4.0). As stated in the preamble to the proposed Guidelines (80 FR 28101), this decision is based on the fact that the physiologically minimum achievable urine pH that can be produced by the kidneys is about pH 4.5. Furthermore, the Department is not aware of any medical conditions or medications that would cause urine pH to be less than 4.5.

3.8 What criteria are used to report a urine specimen as dilute?

One commenter suggested removing the three-decimal place criteria for reporting a specimen as dilute. One commenter indicated that the criteria for reporting a specimen as dilute in Section 3.8 and 11.19(f) were not consistent, and that Section 3.8 does not address the situation when creatinine is between 5 and 20 mg/dL and the specific gravity is less than 1.0020. This section was intended to clarify that only HHS-certified laboratories (and not HHS-certified IITFs) may report a specimen as dilute when the creatinine concentration is greater than or equal to 2.0 mg/dL and less than or equal to 5 mg/dL, and the laboratory must use a four-decimal place refractometer for the specific gravity test. The Department will retain the three-decimal place criteria in Section 3.8(a) because both HHS-certified IITFs and laboratories may use a three-decimal place refractometer for a specific gravity screening test when the creatinine concentration is greater than 5 mg/dL and less than 20 mg/dL. However, the Department agrees that this section did not address all situations, so has revised the wording in Section 3.8(b) to be consistent with the wording in 11.19(f).

3.9 What criteria are used to report an invalid result for a urine specimen?

One commenter suggested increasing the acceptable pH range upper end from 9.0 to 9.5 due to heat during summer months. One commenter recommended that the Department define requirements to be met before a new validity marker is implemented. One commenter suggested that additional biomarkers used to support a result of invalid should be standardized across all HHS-certified laboratories and one solution to donor subversion might be random assignment of collection of alternative specimens. The Department has evaluated the comments and has concluded that no change is needed. A 2006 study on the stability of regulated drug analytes in urine slightly below and within the high pH invalid range supports the pH 9.0 decision point due to the loss of drug analytes at a pH between 9.0 and 9.5.\textsuperscript{3}

Subpart D—Collectors

4.4 What are the requirements to be an observer for a direct observed collection?

One commenter disagreed with the requirement for an observer to be the same gender as the donor, and suggested that a physician or health care professional (regardless of gender) should be allowed to function as an observer. The commenter indicated that gender determination can be challenging (i.e., transgender employees). The Department has evaluated these comments and agrees that all observed collections must be conducted in a professional manner that minimizes discomfort to the donor. The Department has revised Sections 4.4(b), 8.1(b), and 8.10 to allow the donor to be observed by a person whose gender matches the donor’s gender, which is determined by the donor’s gender identity (defined in Section 1.5). The donor’s gender identity may be the same as or different from the donor’s sex assigned at birth. The Department also revised Sections 8.1(b) and 8.12 for monitored collections, to allow the donor to be monitored by a person whose gender matches the donor’s gender, unless the monitor is a medical professional (as described in Section 8.12).

The Department disagrees with the commenter’s suggestion to allow an individual to serve as an observer based solely on their credentials as a physician or health care professional. Such credentials alone would not guarantee that these individuals could appropriately perform the functions of an observer (i.e., as specified in Section 4.4).

The same commenter expressed concerns over the requirement for an observer to have received training, indicating that this would require
documentation and may make finding short notice observers more difficult. The Department disagrees with this comment. These are the same requirements as in the Guidelines effective October 1, 2010 (73 FR 71858). As stated in the preamble to those Guidelines, the training elements are included to ensure that the observer interacts with the donor in a professional manner, respecting the donor's modesty and privacy, and that the collector maintains the confidentiality and integrity of collection information.

Subpart F—Federal Drug Testing Custody and Control Form (CCF)

6.1 What federal form is used to document custody and control?

Two commenters recommended that the Department provide instructions on recording results for the added drugs on the CCF until the Federal CCF is revised. Three commenters recommended that the CCF be revised to address the addition of the oral fluid specimen matrix. One commenter encouraged SAMHSA to modify the CCF to account for collections where multiple specimens are collected during a single collection event. The Department will publish a Federal Register Notice with the revised Federal CCF, including changes for the added analytes, with the same effective date as these Guidelines. Guidance on the use of the revised Federal CCF will be posted on the SAMHSA Web site http://www.samhsa.gov/workplace. In regard to when the collector submits multiple urine specimens (i.e., different voids) collected during the same testing event, the Department has concluded that no change is needed; the collector must use a separate Federal CCF for each specimen.

6.2 What happens if the correct OMB approved Federal CCF is not available or is not used?

One commenter questioned the purpose of a Memorandum for the Record (MFR) obtained from the collector when an incorrect CCF was used for the collection. The commenter suggested that if certain information is required to be in the MFR, these requirements should be specified in the Guidelines. The commenter suggested that if the purpose of the MFR is to correct the collector's behavior (i.e., using an incorrect form), then it would be more effective to reject the specimen upon receipt and indicate that it was rejected due to the use of an incorrect form. The Department has evaluated the comments and has concluded that no change is needed. Section 6.2 describes the information required in the MFR from the collector. However, the Department reworded items 6.2(b) and (c) for clarity.

Subpart H—Urine Specimen Collection Procedure

8.1 What privacy must the donor be given when providing a urine specimen?

As described in this preamble under Section 4.4, the Department has revised Section 8.1(b) to require that the gender of the observer matches the donor’s gender, and that the gender of the monitor matches the donor’s gender unless the monitor is a medical professional as described in Section 8.12.

8.3 What are the preliminary steps in the urine specimen collection procedure?

One commenter was concerned that the Guidelines do not mention alcohol testing, which was added to the Department of Transportation (DOT) program in 1991. Alcohol testing is outside of the scope of the Department’s regulatory authority granted by Executive Order 12564 and Public Law 100–71.

In response to comments described under Sections 1.7 and 8.4 in this preamble, the Department revised Section 8.3(b) to require the collector to report a refusal to test when a donor brings materials for adulterating, substituting, or diluting a specimen to the collection site.

8.4 What steps does the collector take in the collection procedure before the donor provides a urine specimen?

The proposed section included the same requirement as the Guidelines effective October 1, 2010 (73 FR 71858) for the collector to perform an observed collection when the donor exhibits conduct that clearly indicates an attempt to tamper with a specimen (e.g., substitute urine in plain view or an attempt to bring into the collection site an adulterant or urine substitute). One commenter stated that if the collector finds an adulterant or substitution product or observes the donor attempt to substitute a urine specimen, this should be a refusal to test. As noted under Section 1.7 in this preamble, the Department agrees that the collector must report a refusal to test when a donor brings materials for adulterating, substituting, or diluting a specimen to the collection site, or when the collector observes a donor’s clear attempt to tamper with a specimen. The Department has revised Section 8.4 accordingly.

8.5 What steps does the collector take during and after the urine specimen collection procedure?

8.6 What procedure is used when the donor states that they are unable to provide a urine specimen?

Comments on these two sections are addressed here. Numerous commenters expressed concern with the Department’s urine collection policy, stating that 7 to 10% of Americans have a condition ("paruresis"), described as a social anxiety disorder which prevents a person from producing urine on demand or in the presence of other people. These commenters stated that if the government wants to seek the largest group of qualified applicants, the Guidelines should specify that a diagnosis of paruresis means non-urine (i.e., oral fluid) testing will automatically be provided, and that donors should not have to attempt to provide a urine specimen first. The Department has evaluated the comments and has concluded that no change is needed. The Guidelines will allow a federal agency to use any authorized specimen types (e.g., urine, oral fluid, or both) in their drug testing programs. The Guidelines will continue to require that the donor be allowed reasonable attempts to provide a urine specimen as described in Sections 8.5 and 8.6, and allow collection of an authorized alternate specimen (i.e., oral fluid).

Three commenters disagreed with the requirement for the collector to contact the agency representative for authorization to collect an alternate specimen each time a donor is unable to provide a sufficient volume. These commenters suggested that the Guidelines allow this to be addressed in established standard protocols for the agency. The Department agrees with the commenters. Each federal agency may decide whether to require notification in each case or whether to provide a standard protocol for collectors to follow. Sections 8.5 and 8.6 have been revised accordingly.

Also in regard to Section 8.6, one commenter indicated that some employers may wish to retain urine testing as the primary test due to a longer detection window. This commenter raised concern that some donors may claim they are unable to provide a urine specimen so that an alternative specimen (i.e., OF) with a shorter detection window will be collected. The commenter suggested that the Guidelines be changed to indicate that an alternative specimen...
may be collected when a donor is physiologically unable to provide a urine specimen, and not just when the donor states that they are unable to provide a urine specimen. The Department disagrees; collectors are not qualified to conduct a medical evaluation to verify or refute the donor’s claim. It will be the agency’s decision to collect urine or an authorized alternate specimen, and Sections 13.6 and 13.7 include procedures for medical evaluation as needed during the MRO review process.

The Department reworded Section 8.5(d) to clarify that the collector must record comments on both CCFs when two specimens from the same collection event are forwarded to a laboratory.

8.7 If the donor is unable to provide a urine specimen, may another specimen type be collected for testing?

The Department proposed within Section 8.7 that when the donor is unable to provide a urine specimen, another specimen type may be collected only if specifically authorized by the agency. One commenter disagreed with the agency’s policy on alternate specimen types. The Department has concluded that no change is needed for Section 8.7 in response to this comment. The Guidelines will continue to require that the donor be allowed reasonable attempts to provide a urine specimen as described in Sections 8.5 and 8.6. The Department has revised those sections to allow a federal agency to either require notification in each case or provide a standard protocol for collectors to follow when the donor is unable to provide a urine specimen. The Department has reworded this section to state “Yes, if . . . .” rather than “No, unless . . . .” in response to a federal agency’s comment and to enhance clarity. The meaning of this section remains the same.

8.8 How does the collector prepare the urine specimens?

In response to a federal agency comment, the Department deleted a sentence in item 8.8(h) that required the collector to send a copy of the Federal CCF to the HHS-certified laboratory or IITF. The Department agreed with the federal agency that this instruction is redundant because item 8.8(g) instructs the collector to distribute copies of the Federal CCF as required.

8.9 When is a direct observed collection conducted?

The proposed section included requirements for the collector to perform an observed collection when the donor exhibits conduct that clearly indicates an attempt to tamper with a specimen or the collector observed materials brought by the donor to the collection site for the purpose of adulterating, substituting, or diluting the specimen. One commenter stated that if the collector finds an adulterant or substitution product or observes the donor attempt to substitute a urine specimen, this should be a refusal to test. As noted in this preamble under Sections 1.7 and 8.4, the Department agrees that the collector must report a refusal to test when a donor brings materials to the collection site, or when the collector observes a donor’s clear attempt to tamper with a specimen. The Department has revised Section 8.9 accordingly.

8.10 How is a direct observed collection conducted?

To address a comment described in this preamble under Section 4.4, the Department has revised Section 8.10 to allow the donor to be observed by an observer whose gender matches the donor’s gender. At the beginning of the observed collection, the collector requests that the donor document the donor’s gender on the Federal CCF and initial the annotation. An observer of the same gender is provided, and the collector records the name and gender of the observer on the Federal CCF.

8.12 How is a monitored collection conducted?

To address a comment described in this preamble under Section 4.4, the Department has revised Section 8.12 to allow the donor to be monitored by a monitor whose gender matches the donor’s gender, unless the monitor is a medical professional (e.g., nurse, doctor, physician’s assistant, technologist, or technician licensed or certified to practice in the jurisdiction in which the collection takes place). As described in Section 8.10, at the beginning of the monitored collection, the collector follows the same procedure as for observer selection in Section 8.10(b). That is, the collector requests that the donor document the donor’s gender on the Federal CCF and initial the annotation. A monitor of the same gender is provided, and the collector records the name and gender of the monitor on the Federal CCF. A medical professional may serve as the monitor, regardless of gender.

Subpart I—HHS Certification of Laboratories and IITFs

9.5 What are the qualitative and quantitative specifications of performance testing (PT) samples?

One commenter noted that, because proposed initial test requirements allow calibration with a low-reacting analyte, PT schemes would likely need to be designed based on the specific implementation at each laboratory. The commenter provided an example: When an immunosay is calibrated with a drug/metabolite that exhibits 50% cross-reactivity, the intended analyte (“calibrant”) at the cutoff concentration would elicit a response well in excess of the cutoff. This could result in inaccurate initial test results (i.e., a positive initial test result for a specimen containing the calibrant at a concentration below the cutoff). The commenter stated that this result could be scored as a “false positive” PT result. The Department has evaluated the comment and has concluded that no change is needed. As noted above regarding Section 3.4, it was not the Department’s intent for the laboratory or IITF to calibrate an immunosay test using an analyte other than that specified by the manufacturer. NLCP PT schemes are designed based on known cross-reactivity profiles of the initial tests used by HHS-certified laboratories.

Also in regard to proposed Section 9.5, one commenter suggested that the Guidelines use the same wording as in the Guidelines effective October 1, 2010 (73 FR 71858) for retest PT sample specifications (i.e., “… may be as low as. . . .” rather than the proposed wording “… may be less than. . . .”). The Department agrees and has reinstated wording from Section 9.3 of the Guidelines effective October 1, 2010 (73 FR 71858) into Section 9.5(a)(1)(ii).

Subpart J—Blind Samples Submitted by an Agency

10.1 What are the requirements for federal agencies to submit blind samples to HHS-certified laboratories or IITFs?

Two commenters disagreed with the proposed limit to the number of blind samples required (i.e., a maximum of 400 blind samples per year) in Section 10.1(b). The commenters indicated that for a large agency, there is a very large difference between 3% and 400 samples and suggested keeping only the 3% requirement. Another commenter disagreed with the 3% requirement for
blind samples and requested that the amount to be lowered to 1% to lessen the burden on employers. One commenter suggested that the wording be modified to clarify that employers are responsible for ensuring blind samples are sent to the laboratories, but that collectors are tasked with submitting the blind samples. The Department has evaluated the comments and has concluded that no change is needed. The 400 sample limit was added to reduce the burden on large agencies based on the Department’s review of agencies’ blind testing programs. The wording in Section 10.1(a) clearly describes the responsibilities of the federal agency and the role of the collector in blind sample submission; however, the Department reworded Section 10.3(a) for clarity as described below.

10.3 How is a blind sample submitted to an HHS-certified laboratory?

The Department has reworded Section 10.3(a) to clarify that the collector sends a blind sample to a laboratory or IITF as a split specimen (i.e., Bottle A and Bottle B).

Subpart K—Laboratory

11.10 What are the requirements for an initial drug test?

One commenter noted that HHS previously required initial and confirmatory testing using different techniques, and asked whether this requirement had been removed with allowance of technologies other than immunoassay for initial testing. The commenter expressed concern that an error in the initial drug test could be repeated in the confirmatory drug test using the same method. The Department has evaluated the comments and has concluded that no change is needed. The Guidelines maintain the requirement for initial and confirmatory tests on two separate aliquots to report a result other than negative. The NLCP will review validation and quality control records, as well as specimen records, to ensure that the initial and confirmatory testing methods meet Guidelines requirements and provide scientifically and forensically supportable results.

Also in regard to the proposed Section 11.10, one commenter asked whether non-FDA cleared immunoassays were included in the category of alternate initial drug test technology. The Department has evaluated the comment and has concluded that no change is needed. This section clearly distinguishes initial tests using immunoassay from those using an alternate technology. Furthermore, Section 1.5 includes the definition for “alternate technology initial drug test.”

11.11 What must an HHS-certified laboratory do to validate an initial drug test?

One commenter noted that an immunoassay initial test calibrated with a low-reacting analyte may not be able to meet Guidelines requirements for performance of the test around the cutoff concentration. The Department has evaluated the comments and has concluded that no change is needed. All tests must be validated by the HHS-certified laboratory to meet the requirements prior to use for regulated drug testing.

One commenter noted that the requirement in section 11.11(b) for reagent verification prior to use is an operational, not a validation, requirement. The Departments agrees with the commenter but has concluded that no change is needed. While this section addresses initial drug test validation requirements, the verification of each new reagent lot is essential to verify that lot-to-lot differences have not significantly affected assay performance as demonstrated and documented during validation. Therefore, this is the most appropriate section of the Guidelines to include the requirement.

11.12 What are the batch quality control requirements when conducting an initial drug test?

One commenter noted that this and other sections use inconsistent terminology when describing quality controls samples relative to the cutoff concentration (i.e., “25 percent above the cutoff.” “75 percent of the cutoff”). The commenter suggested that the Department use one version consistently. The Department has considered the comment and has concluded that no change is needed. These terms have been used in the Guidelines, in NLCP documents, and in other guidance to HHS-certified laboratories without issue.

One commenter asked whether the added analytes affect quality control content requirements. The Department has evaluated the comment and has concluded that no change is needed. The initial drug test quality control requirements in the Guidelines apply to each analyte used to calibrate the test (i.e., immunoassay or alternate technology initial drug test). When a single immunoassay test is used for two or more analytes in a drug class, the HHS-certified laboratory or IITF must include a control in accordance with item 11.12(a)(2) for each analyte that has less than 100% cross-reactivity with the assay, to demonstrate that the requirement for at least 80% cross-reactivity has been met.

11.13 What are the batch quality control requirements when conducting confirmatory drug test?

One commenter requested clarification for the requirement for a drug-free control in initial and confirmatory drug test batches (i.e., whether the control should contain no drug or whether the control should not contain the specific analyte for that test). The Department has evaluated the comment and has concluded that no change is needed. These Guidelines sections list the requirement for “at least one control certified to contain no drug or drug metabolite,” meaning that the control must contain no regulated drug analytes.

11.14 What are the analytical and quality control requirements for conducting specimen validity tests?

One commenter noted the proposed changes in the lower pH cutoff for identifying adulterated specimens and lower pH decision point for identifying invalid specimens may cause additional costs for manufacturers and laboratories. The Department has evaluated the comment and has concluded that no change is needed. The Department recognizes that the revised cutoff will necessitate changes by HHS-certified laboratories as well as by manufacturers of commercial quality control samples; however, the 4.0 pH cutoff is supported by scientific studies and workplace drug testing data, and is expected to reduce the incidence of undetected attempts to subvert the drug test.

11.19 What are the requirements for an HHS-certified laboratory to report a test result?

One commenter suggested that the Department remove the requirement for
an executed CCF as the official report for “non-negative” specimens and permit the use of an electronic report with the required information. The Department has evaluated the comment and has concluded that no change is needed. The Federal CCF serves as the chain of custody for the specimen from the time of collection until receipt by the laboratory and also contains the certification statement signed by the certifying scientist. The Federal CCF may be paper or electronic.

11.21 How long must an HHS-certified laboratory retain records?

In Section 11.21, the Department proposed that laboratories be allowed to convert hardcopy records to electronic records for storage and then discard the hardcopy records after six months. One commenter stated their assumption that this section did not require laboratories to convert electronic records to hardcopy records and maintain them for six months. This assumption is correct; the intent is to allow laboratories to maintain records in electronic format for the required storage period. The Department has concluded that no change is needed.

11.22 What statistical summary reports must an HHS-certified laboratory provide for urine testing?

One commenter asked why the proposed Guidelines include a requirement for a copy of the semiannual statistical summary report to be sent to the Secretary or designated HHS representative. The Department included the requirement in Section 11.22 (and in Section 12.19 for IITFs) to facilitate compilation of statistical information for the federal drug-free workplace program. This will not place an additional burden on the test facilities other than transmission of the report. The Department will continue to evaluate the effectiveness of this requirement.

Subpart M—Medical Review Officer (MRO)

13.1 Who may serve as an MRO?

Three commenters disagreed with the term “nonmedical use of a drug” used in Section 13.1 (and defined in Section 1.5) and indicated that the term changes the role of an MRO from review, verify and “report a non-negative result” to review, verify and “interpret before reporting a result as negative or nonmedical use of a drug.” Two commenters disagreed with use of “interpretation of results” to supplant “alternative medical explanation.” One commenter noted that this perceived change in the MRO’s role represents an unjustified shifting of risk to the MRO. One commenter believes the term presents a possible legal flaw to the Guidelines, stating that this term is legally different from “safety concern” and places MROs in the position of being in conflict with the prescribing physician and subject to lawsuits. This commenter stated that even a lack of a finding of nonmedical use could be an issue if the donor subsequently had an accident after using the drug. The same commenter submitted five recommendations related to inclusion of prescription drugs in federal workplace drug testing programs, to address the commenter’s concerns with the proposed Guidelines. These five specific recommendations pertain to matters that are outside the scope of these Guidelines, and therefore are not addressed in the Department’s response below.

The responsibilities of an MRO to interpret results have largely remained the same between the Guidelines effective October 1, 2010 (73 FR 71858) and these Guidelines. As stated in Section 13.5(c) of these Guidelines, “if the donor provides a legitimate medical explanation (e.g., a valid prescription) for the positive result, the MRO reports the test result as negative to the agency.” Accordingly, the intent of the Guidelines, in this context, is to confirm whether a positive drug test is the result of drug use under a valid prescription. Furthermore, the term “alternate medical explanation” has never been used in the Guidelines, but has been used in the HHS Medical Review Officer Manual for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs.

For the reasons above, the Department believes that the definition of “nonmedical use of a drug” and the requirement for a physician serving as an MRO to have knowledge of this topic do not fundamentally change the MRO’s responsibilities. However, to address the commenters’ concerns, the Department has removed this term from the Guidelines (i.e., revised Sections 1.5 and 13.1).

The Department proposed within Section 13.1 who may serve as an MRO. One commenter requested clarification that it is the federal agency’s burden to ensure that the MRO is certified. One commenter asked how the laboratory will be informed that an MRO has met requirements for re-qualification. The Department evaluated the comments and concluded that no change is needed. The MRO is an employee or a contractor of the agency. Therefore, it is the agency’s responsibility to ensure that the MRO meets the Guidelines qualification requirements.

Two commenters disagreed with the requirement for MRO recertification every five years, and recommended that MROs complete training every three years. Five commenters stated support for five year requalification and examination requirements. The Department has evaluated the comments and has concluded that no change is needed. The Department will keep the five-year recertification requirement as proposed.

13.2 How are nationally recognized entities or subspecialty boards that certify MROs approved?

One commenter agreed with MRO certification/training entities submitting the delivery method and content of the MRO examination as applicable along with other required documents. One commenter agreed with extending time from one to two years for approved MRO certification/training entities’ resubmission of qualifications for HHS approval. The commenter noted that they would support further extension to 3 years. One commenter recommended that approval of MRO educational courses and content be at the discretion of the MRO certification entities, not HHS. Since the certification entities and their examinations are subject to HHS oversight and approval, the commenter noted that it may be burdensome for HHS to review and approve the courses and content, and be a disincentive to development of new courses. One commenter recommended that examinations be allowed to be in-person or online with appropriate security precautions for each delivery method. The Department has evaluated the comments and agrees that the submission of training materials to HHS would possibly discourage the development of new training courses. Therefore, the review of MRO educational courses and content will not be part of the approval process for MRO certification entities. As described under Medical Review Officer (MRO) requalification—continuing education units (CEUs) in this preamble, the Department has removed references to MRO training entities in Section 13.2, because training documentation is maintained by MRO certification entities. The Department will only require the MRO certification entities to submit their examination and any other necessary supporting examination materials (e.g., answers, examination statistics or background information on questions) that will help in the Department’s evaluation of the examination. The Department will
review and evaluate the examination delivery method (e.g., in-person or online) when reviewing submitted training materials to ensure that the delivery method employs appropriate security and identification procedures.

13.3 What training is required before a physician may serve as an MRO?

Five commenters disagreed and one commenter agreed with the added requirement for MRO training to include information about how to discuss substance misuse and abuse and how to access those services. The Department has evaluated the comments and has revised Section 13.3 to remove this requirement. Federal agencies may provide this information to employees and applicants to facilitate their access to effective treatment and support recovery. The Department provides information to the public on help and treatment for substance misuse and abuse, and how to access those services, on the SAMHSA Web site http://www.samhsa.gov/.

One commenter stated that the Department should add a requirement for MRO training on what constitutes a refusal to test. One commenter suggested that the Department should add a requirement for MRO training on when and how to report safety concerns to employers when prescription and/or over-the-counter medications may affect performance. The Department has evaluated the comments and has concluded that no change is needed.

Criteria for reporting a refusal to test are covered under the topics listed in Section 13.3 such as items (a)(4) training on the Guidelines and (a)(5) procedures for interpretation, review, and reporting of results. When a donor provides a legitimate medical explanation for a positive drug test result (e.g., a valid prescription), the Guidelines do not require MROs to contact federal agency employers for the purpose of reporting a safety concern. Accordingly, MRO training related to reporting “safety concerns” does not relate to a mandatory function under the Guidelines and, therefore, is not an essential component of required MRO training. The Department will provide additional guidance in the HHS Medical Review Officer Guidance Manual for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs.

In addition, the Department revised Section 13.3 as described under Medical Review Officer (MRO) requalification—continuing education units (CEUs) in this preamble. The Department removed references to MRO training entities, because training documentation is maintained by MRO certification entities, and added item 13.3(b) to require MRO training on revised Guidelines prior to their effective date.

13.4 What are the responsibilities of an MRO?

One commenter suggested creating a subset of medical professionals trained specifically to determine fitness for duty since an MRO cannot determine fitness for duty over the telephone. The Department has evaluated the comment and has concluded that no change is needed. Fitness for duty evaluations fall outside the purview of the Guidelines.

13.5 What must MRO do when reviewing a urine specimen’s test results?

The Department has revised Section 13.5(d)(1) to include an example of documentation to support a medical explanation for a positive drug test result.

Three commenters disagreed with MRO procedures for “a positive result for opiates” (i.e., requirement for clinical evidence of illegal use in addition to positive result) and noted that the proposed Guidelines wording was not changed to clarify that the described procedures do not apply to the added opioids. The Department agrees with the commenters and has revised Section 13.5(d) to clarify that the procedures do not apply to the added opioid analytes. Wording in Section 13.5(d)(2)(i) regarding “clinical evidence of illegal use” was also edited for clarity and for consistency with the wording in the OFMG.

One commenter disagreed with requirements concerning two separate specimens collected at a single test event and sent to the laboratory for testing (e.g., a urine specimen outside the acceptable temperature range and the subsequently collected specimen). The proposed Guidelines require that, when one of the two specimens is negative and other is not, the MRO reports only the verified result other than negative. This commenter suggested that the MRO cancel the negative result. The Department has evaluated the comments and has concluded no change is needed. Cancellation of the test may be confusing in the situation referenced by the commenter and lead to inappropriate specimen recollection. Both the MRO and the federal agency employer will receive their Federal CCF copies with explanatory collector remarks in Step 2 including the specimen identification number of the associated specimen, and the MRO may provide additional comment in the MRO’s report.

The Department also revised Section 13.5(d) to reflect the policy of the Department that passive exposure to marijuana smoke and ingestion of food products containing marijuana are not acceptable medical explanations for a positive drug test result. Individuals who are passively exposed to marijuana smoke or who consume food products containing marijuana can pose public safety and/or security risks.45 Marijuana is listed as a Schedule I drug under the Controlled Substances Act.

13.6 What action does the MRO take when the collector reports that the donor did not provide a sufficient amount of urine for a drug test?

One commenter suggested the Guidelines define “appropriate expertise” of a physician with a list of conditions and an appropriate type of physician in an appendix. The same commenter requested medical referral information on the employer’s actions when a donor could not provide a urine specimen and then could not provide an oral fluid specimen. The Department has evaluated the comments and has concluded that no change is needed. A physician who is a trained MRO will have the knowledge necessary to identify another physician with appropriate expertise for the medical evaluation. The Department will provide additional guidance in the HHS Medical Review Officer Guidance Manual for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs as appropriate when alternate specimen types (e.g., oral fluid) are allowed in federal workplace drug testing programs.

The Department clarified the definition of “permanent or long-term medical conditions” in Section 13.6(b)(1) based on a federal agency comment.

Subpart O—Criteria for Rejecting a Specimen for Testing

15.1 What discrepancies require an HHS-certified laboratory or an HHS-certified IITF to report a specimen as rejected for testing?

The Department revised wording in items a and b of this section, and included three additional fatal flaws as items f–h, to reflect fatal flaws for regulated donor specimens that have been identified by HHS-certified laboratories. These fatal flaws were addressed in NLCP guidance sent to all HHS-certified and applicant laboratories and IITFs on August 9, 2016. In addition, the Department revised this section to include an additional item i to allow a laboratory or IITF to reject a specimen when they identify a flaw that
prevents testing or affects the forensic defensibility of the drug test, and cannot be corrected. This general item enables laboratories and IITFs to reject specimens with fatal flaws that may be rare, but do occur. It is not possible to list all such flaws in the Guidelines.

15.3 What discrepancies are not sufficient to require an HHS-certified laboratory or an HHS-certified IITF to reject a urine specimen for testing or an MRO to cancel a test?

Two commenters indicated that inclusion of some items as insignificant discrepancies contradicts guidance provided to HHS-certified laboratories and IITFs in NLCP Notices, which required laboratories to attempt to recover missing information. One of these commenters suggested that if these items are important, they should be removed from the “insignificant” list. Two commenters disagreed with the Guidelines designating the listed omissions and discrepancies as “insignificant only when they occur no more than once per month.” The Department has evaluated the comments. The listed discrepancies would not result in rejection or cancellation. NLCP Notices requiring laboratory action are consistent with this section. However, the Department has reworded section 15.3 to not classify these errors as insignificant. While these types of errors do not warrant laboratory rejection of a specimen or MRO cancellation of a test, as noted in section 15.3(c), corrective action must be initiated when they occur more than once a month.

The commenters indicated that this section implies that the MRO must keep a log of insignificant errors by laboratory and by collection site in order to track frequency. The commenters noted that this is an unenforceable policy, that this should be a duty of inspectors of laboratories and collection sites, and that requiring MROs to keep these types of logs would create significant extra costs. One commenter suggested that item 15.3(c) be modified for the MRO to advise the collector or laboratory to retrain staff on relevant procedures to ensure that collections are completed correctly (rather than directing them to immediately take corrective action). The Department has evaluated the comments and has concluded that no change is needed. This section is the same as in the Guidelines effective October 1, 2010 (73 FR 71858).

One commenter suggested modifying 15.3(a)(5) to read “donor identification number” which would include a social security number or an employee identification number since many employers no longer use social security numbers for employee identification. The Department agrees and has revised Section 15.3(a)(5) to include “employee identification number” in addition to “Social Security Number.”

15.4 What discrepancies may require an MRO to cancel a test?

One commenter suggested adding the scenario where the donor did not sign the CCP because the collector forgot to ask the donor to sign, rather than the donor’s refusal to sign. The Department has evaluated the comment and has concluded that no change is needed. As stated in Section 15.4, the MRO contacts the collector “to obtain a statement to verify that the donor refused to sign the MRO copy.”

Regulatory Impact and Notices

Executive Orders 13563 and 12866

Executive Order 13563 of January 18, 2011 (Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review) states “Our regulatory system must protect public health, welfare, safety, and our environment while promoting economic growth, innovation, competitiveness, and job creation.” Consistent with this mandate, Executive Order 13563 requires agencies to tailor “regulations to impose the least burden on society, consistent with obtaining regulatory objectives.” Executive Order 13563 also requires agencies to “identify and consider regulatory approaches that reduce burdens and maintain flexibility and freedom of choice” while selecting “those approaches that maximize net benefits.” This notice presents a regulatory approach that will reduce burdens to providers and to consumers while continuing to provide adequate protections for public health and welfare.

The Department has examined the impact of the Guidelines under Executive Order 12866, which directs federal agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, when regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity). According to Executive Order 12866, a regulatory action is “significant” if it meets any one of a number of specified conditions, including having an annual effect on the economy of $100 million; adversely affecting in a material way a sector of the economy, competition, or jobs; or if it raises novel legal or policy issues. The Guidelines do establish additional regulatory requirements and allow an activity that was otherwise prohibited. The Administrative Procedure Act (APA) delineates an exception to its rulemaking procedures for “a matter relating to agency management or personnel” 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(2). Because the Guidelines issued by the Secretary govern federal workplace drug testing programs, HHS has taken the position that the Guidelines are a “matter relating to agency management or personnel” and, thus, are not subject to the APA’s requirements for notice and comment rulemaking. This position is consistent with Executive Order 12564 regarding Drug-Free Workplaces, which directs the Secretary to promulgate scientific and technical guidelines for executive agency drug testing programs. However, the statute under which the mandatory guidelines were created (Pub. L. 100–71, section 503(a)(3)) required notice and comment apart from the APA. This provision provides the following:

(3) Notwithstanding any provision of chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code, the mandatory guidelines to be published pursuant to subsection (a)(l)(A)(ii) shall be published and made effective exclusively according to the provisions of this paragraph. Notice of the mandatory guidelines proposed by the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall be published in the Federal Register, and interested persons shall be given not less than 60 days to submit written comments on the proposed mandatory guidelines. Following review and consideration of written comments, final mandatory guidelines shall be published in the Federal Register and shall become effective upon publication.

The Department included a Regulatory Impact and Notices section with cost and benefits analysis and burden estimates in the May 15, 2015 Federal Register Notice for the proposed UrMG (80 FR 28101), and requested public comment on all figures and assumptions. The Department’s projections were developed using information from current HHS-certified urine testing laboratories, with input from DOT and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), and cost analysis was based on information provided by multiple HHS-certified laboratories and MROs. The Department received no substantive data or evidence through public comments in favor of changing the estimated costs and benefits provided in the Department’s May 2015 Federal Register Notice for the UrMG, and therefore, has retained the analysis and estimates provided in that notice below. Comments that related to the costs and benefits of this rule are summarized and discussed above in the Summary of Public Comments and
HHS’s Response under the heading 

Costs and Benefits.

Need for Revisions to the Guidelines

The inclusion of oxycodone, oxymorphone, hydrocodone and hydromorphone in the URMG was recommended by the DTAB, reviewed by the Department’s Prescription Drug Subcommittee of the Behavioral Health Coordinating Committee, and approved by the SAMHSA Administrator in January 2012. This action is supported by various data, described in this preamble. In addition, in 2008, 12 percent of military personnel admitted to the illicit use of prescription medications. Prevalence testing by the Department of Defense (DoD) in 2009 indicated that prescription drug abuse exceeded illegal drug abuse. Because of this, hydrocodone and hydromorphone testing was added to the regular DoD drug testing panel in 2011.

Costs

Costs associated with the implementation of testing for oxycodone, oxymorphone, hydrocodone and hydromorphone will be minimal because the Department has determined that all HHS certified laboratories testing specimens from federal agencies are currently conducting tests for one or more of these analytes on non-regulated urine specimens. Likewise, there will be minimal costs associated with changing initial testing to include MDA since the current immunoassays can be adapted to test for this analyte. Laboratory personnel are currently trained and test methods have been implemented. However, there will be some administrative costs associated with adding these analytes. Prior to being allowed to test regulated specimens for these compounds, HHS certified laboratories will be required to demonstrate that their performance meets Guideline requirements by testing three (3) groups of PT samples. The Department will provide the PT samples through the National Laboratory Certification Program (NLCP) at no cost to the certified laboratories. Based on costs charged for specimen testing, laboratory costs to conduct the PT testing would range from $900 to $1,800 for each certified laboratory.

In Section 3.4, the Department included criteria for calibrating initial tests for grouped analytes such as opiates and amphetamines, and specified the cross-reactivity of the immunoassay to the other analyte(s) within the group. These Guidelines allow the use of methods other than immunoassay for initial testing. An immunoassay manufacturer may incur costs if they choose to alter their existing product and resubmit the immunoassay for FDA clearance.

For the added opiate analytes, the two immunoassays currently used for oxycodone and oxymorphone meet the requirements, and two of the three existing opiate immunoassays used in certified laboratories meet the requirements for hydrocodone and hydromorphone analysis. The opiate immunoassay that does not have sufficient cross-reactivity would be acceptable as an initial test under these Guidelines when the lowest-reacting analyte, hydromorphone, is used to establish a decision point. Therefore, the Department assumes that all certified laboratories will elect to use existing immunoassays. Thus, the costs associated with implementing the initial tests for these analytes is expected to be de minimis.

For amphetamines, one of the three existing methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) immunoassays used in certified laboratories meets the requirements. The remaining two exhibit insufficient cross-reactivity for MDA. These two immunoassays would be acceptable as an initial test under these Guidelines when the lowest-reacting analyte, MDA, is used to establish a decision point. An immunoassay manufacturer may incur costs if they choose to alter their existing product and resubmit the immunoassay for FDA clearance. Again, the Department assumes that certified laboratories will use the existing immunoassays and incur de minimis costs.

Once the testing has been implemented, the cost per specimen for initial testing for the added analytes will range from $0.06 to $0.20 due to reagent costs. Current costs for each confirmatory test range from $5.00 to $10.00 for each specimen reported positive, due to sample preparation and analysis costs. Based on information from non-regulated workplace drug testing for these analytes and testing performed by the Department on de-identified federally regulated specimens in 2011, approximately 1% of the submitted specimens is expected to be confirmed as positive for the added analytes. Therefore, the added cost for confirmatory testing will be $0.05 to $0.10 per submitted specimen. This would indicate that the cost per specimen submitted for testing will increase by $0.11–$0.30. Annual recurring testing costs in the table below are based on an estimated number of 6,145,500 specimens.

The addition of the Schedule II prescription medications will require MRO review to verify legitimate drug use. Based on the positivity rates from non-regulated workplace drug testing for these analytes and the additional review of specimens confirmed positive for prescription medications, MRO costs are estimated to increase by approximately 3%. The burden of this 3% cost increase is expected to shift gradually from MROs to agencies as agencies’ existing contracts expire and they renegotiate the terms of new contracts, with an increase to the total cost of a federal drug test over time to between $0.60–$1.35. This cost would indicate a total cost of $3,687,300 to $8,296,425 in the urine testing program. A federal agency may also incur additional costs (e.g., additional managerial effort to arrange substitute workers) when an employee tests positive for a prescription medication and is removed from duties during the MRO verification process.

The additional costs for testing and MRO review will be incorporated into the overall cost for the federal agency submitting the specimen to the laboratory. The estimation of costs incurred is based upon overall cost to the federal agency because the review of positive specimens is usually based on all specimens submitted from an agency, rather than individual specimen testing costs or MRO review of positive specimens. Agencies may also incur some costs for training of federal employees such as drug program coordinators due to implementation of the revised Guidelines. Based on current training modules offered to drug program coordinators, and other associated costs including travel for 90% of drug program coordinators, the estimated total training cost for a one-day training session would be between $108,000 and $138,000 (i.e., assuming 8 hours of time multiplied by a GS 12/13 wage including benefits and overhead adjustments). The Department will offer the choice of online or in-person training. This will eliminate travel costs for those federal agencies who choose to use online training.

7931
Benefits

The potential benefits of deterring use of oxycodone, oxymorphone, hydrocodone and hydromorphone are the prevention of their side effects (e.g., anxiety, dizziness, drowsiness, fatigue, and other neurological effects), which will result in a healthier and more alert workforce as well as avoid the issues associated with addiction and rehabilitation. Since the personnel tested under this program are in positions that are safety sensitive, potential benefits include decreased risk of transportation accidents, decreased risk of low-probability high consequence events, more responsible workforce in positions of public trust, and potentially reducing individuals’ dependence or addiction and the personal benefits associated with those conditions.

Considering the potential health and performance costs of narcotic abuse, the benefits to the federal workplace and the individuals within that workplace justify the inclusion of oxycodone, oxymorphone, hydrocodone and hydromorphone in Federal Workplace Drug Testing programs.

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

For the reasons outlined above, the Secretary has determined that the Guidelines will not have a significant impact upon a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act [5 U.S.C. 605(b)]. The flexibility added by the UrMG will not require addition expenditures. Therefore, a final regulatory flexibility analysis is not required for this notice.

The Secretary has determined that the Guidelines are not a major rule for the purpose of congressional review. For the purpose of congressional review, a major rule is one which is likely to cause an annual effect on the economy of $100 million; a major increase in costs or prices; significant effects on competition, employment, productivity, or innovation; or significant effects on the ability of U.S.-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets. This is not a major rule under the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (SBREFA) of 1996.

Unfunded Mandates

The Secretary has examined the impact of the Guidelines under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4). This notice does not trigger the requirement for a written statement under section 202(a) of the UMRA because the Guidelines do not impose a mandate that results in an expenditure of $100 million (adjusted annually for inflation) or more by either state, local, and tribal governments in the aggregate or by the private sector in any one year.

Environmental Impact

The Secretary has considered the environmental effects of the UrMG. No information or comments have been received that would affect the agency’s determination there would be a significant impact on the human environment and that neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

Executive Order 13132: Federalism

The Secretary has analyzed the Guidelines in accordance with Executive Order 13132: Federalism. Executive Order 13132 requires federal agencies to carefully examine actions to determine if they contain policies that have federalism implications or that preempt state law. As defined in the Order, “policies that have federalism implications” refer to regulations, legislative comments or proposed legislation, and other policy statements or actions that have substantial direct effects on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

In this notice, the Secretary revised the standards for certification of laboratories engaged in urine fluid drug testing for federal agencies and the use of urine testing in federal drug-free workplace programs. The Department of Health and Human Services, by authority of Section 503 of Public Law 100–71, 5 U.S.C. Section 7301, and Executive Order No. 12564, establishes the scientific and technical guidelines for federal workplace drug testing programs and establishes standards for certification of laboratories engaged in urine drug testing for federal agencies. Because the Mandatory Guidelines govern standards applicable to the management of federal agency personnel, there should be little, if any, direct effect on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Accordingly, the Secretary has determined that the Guidelines do not contain policies that have federalism implications.

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

The Guidelines contain information collection requirements which are subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 [the PRA 44 U.S.C. 3507(d)]. Information collection and recordkeeping requirements which would be imposed on laboratories engaged in drug testing for federal agencies concern quality assurance and

---

### RECURRING ANNUAL COSTS SUMMARY TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Lower bound</th>
<th>Upper bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reagent Costs</td>
<td>$368,730.00</td>
<td>$1,229,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Confirmatory tests</td>
<td>307,275.00</td>
<td>614,550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRO Costs</td>
<td>3,687,300.00</td>
<td>8,296,425.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total annual costs</td>
<td>4,363,305.00</td>
<td>10,140,075.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### UPFRONT (ONE-TIME) COSTS SUMMARY TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Lower bound</th>
<th>Upper bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Performance Testing Training</td>
<td>$27,900.00</td>
<td>$55,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>135,900.00</td>
<td>193,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
quality control documentation, reports, performance testing, and inspections as set out in subparts H, I, K, L, M and N. Information collection and recordkeeping requirements which would be imposed on MROs engaged in drug testing services for federal agencies concern drug testing result review and reports as set out in subparts M and N. To facilitate ease of use and uniform reporting, a Federal CCF for each type of specimen collected will be developed as referenced in section 6.1. The Department will submit the information collection and recordkeeping requirements contained in the Guidelines to OMB for review and approval prior to the effective date of the final Guidelines. Information collections changed by these Guidelines are not effective until approved by OMB.

Privacy Act

The Secretary has determined that the Guidelines do not contain information collection requirements constituting a system of records under the Privacy Act. The Federal Register notice announcing the Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs using Urine is not a system of records as noted in the information collection/recordkeeping requirements below. As required, HHS originally published the Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs (Guidelines) in the Federal Register on April 11, 1988 [53 FR 11979]. SAMHSA subsequently revised the Guidelines on June 9, 1994 [59 FR 29908], September 30, 1997 [62 FR 51118], November 13, 1998 [63 FR 63483], April 13, 2004 [69 FR 19644], and November 25, 2008 [73 FR 71858] with an effective date of May 1, 2010 (correct effective date published on December 10, 2008 [73 FR 75122]). The effective date of the Guidelines was further changed to October 1, 2010 on April 30, 2010 [75 FR 22809].

Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 6, 2000) requires SAMHSA to develop an accountable process to ensure “meaningful and timely input by tribal officials in the development of regulatory policies that have tribal implications.” “Policies that have tribal implications” as defined in the Executive Order, include regulations that have “substantial direct effects on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the federal government and the Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the federal government and Indian tribes.” The Guidelines do not have tribal implications. The Guidelines will not have substantial direct effects on tribal governments, on the relationship between the federal government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the federal government and Indian tribes, as specified in Executive Order 13175.

Information Collection/Record Keeping Requirements

The information collection requirements (i.e., reporting and recordkeeping) in the current Guidelines (73 FR 71858) are approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under control number 0930–0158. The Federal Drug Testing Custody and Control Form used to document the collection and chain of custody of urine specimens at the collection site, for laboratories to report results, and for Medical Review Officers to make a determination, the National Laboratory Certification Program (NLCP) application, the NLCP Laboratory Information Checklist, and recordkeeping requirements in the current Guidelines, as approved under control number 0930–0158, will remain in effect until these final Guidelines are effective and OMB approves the revised information collection. OMB will assign a new control number to account for changes associated with the final Guidelines.

The title, description and respondent description of the information collections are shown in the following paragraphs with an estimate of the annual reporting, disclosure and recordkeeping burden. Included in the estimate is the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

Title: The Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs using Urine Specimens

Description: The Mandatory Guidelines establish the scientific and technical guidelines for federal drug testing programs and establish standards for certification of laboratories engaged in drug testing for federal agencies under authority of Public Law 100–71, 5 U.S.C. 7301 note, and Executive Order No. 12564. Federal drug testing programs test applicants to sensitive positions, individuals involved in accidents, individuals for cause, and random testing of persons in sensitive positions.

Description of Respondents: Individuals or households; businesses; or other-for-profit; not-for-profit institutions.

The burden estimates in the tables below are based on the following number of respondents: 38,000 donors who apply for employment in testing designated positions, 100 collectors, 30 urine specimen testing laboratories, 1 IITF, and 100 MROs.

ESTIMATE OF ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Responses/respondent</th>
<th>Hours/respondent</th>
<th>Total hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.2(a)(1)</td>
<td>Laboratory or IITF ¹ required to submit application for certification.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.12(a)(3)</td>
<td>Materials to submit to become an HHS inspector</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.3(a)</td>
<td>Laboratory submits qualifications of RP to HHS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.4(c)</td>
<td>Laboratory submits information to HHS on new RP or alternate RP.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.22</td>
<td>Specifications for laboratory semi-annual statistical report of test results to each federal agency.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3(a)</td>
<td>IITF ¹ submits qualifications of RT to HHS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.4(c)</td>
<td>IITF ¹ submits information to HHS on new RT or alternate RT.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.19</td>
<td>Specifications for IITF ¹ semi-annual statistical report of test results to each federal agency.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ESTIMATE OF ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Responses/respondent</th>
<th>Hours/response</th>
<th>Total hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13.9 and 14.7</td>
<td>Specifies that MRO must report all verified primary and split specimen test results to the federal agency.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.05 (3 min)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.1(b) &amp; 16.5(a)</td>
<td>Specifies content of request for informal review of suspension/proposed revocation of certification.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>Specifies information appellant provides in first written submission when laboratory suspension/revocation is proposed.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>Requires appellant to notify reviewing official of resolution status at end of abeyance period.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.7(a)</td>
<td>Specifies contents of appellant submission for review.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.9(a)</td>
<td>Specifies content of appellant request for expedited review of suspension or proposed revocation.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.9(c)</td>
<td>Specifies contents of review file and briefs.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Although IITFs are allowed under the Guidelines effective October 1, 2010 (73 FR 71858), SAMHSA has not received any IITF applications for certification to test federally regulated specimens. IITF numbers are provided in this analysis as placeholders for administrative purposes.

### ESTIMATE OF ANNUAL DISCLOSURE BURDEN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Responses/respondent</th>
<th>Hours/response</th>
<th>Total hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.3(a), 8.5(f)(2)(iii), 8.6(b)(2), 11.23, 11.24</td>
<td>Collector must contact federal agency point of contact.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05 (3 min)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.20, 12.21</td>
<td>Information on drug test that IITF(^1) must provide to federal agency upon request or to donor through MRO.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.8(b)</td>
<td>MRO must inform donor of right to request split specimen test when a positive, adulterated, or substituted result is reported.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>211</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,706</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Although IITFs are allowed under the Guidelines effective October 1, 2010 (73 FR 71858), SAMHSA has not certified any IITFs to test federally regulated specimens. IITF numbers are provided in this analysis as placeholders for administrative purposes.

### ESTIMATE OF ANNUAL RECORDKEEPING BURDEN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Responses/respondent</th>
<th>Hours/response</th>
<th>Total hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.3, 8.5, 8.8</td>
<td>Collector completes Federal CCF for specimen collected.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>0.07 (4 min)</td>
<td>2,534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.8(d) &amp; (f)</td>
<td>Donor initials specimen labels/seals and signs statement on the Federal CCF.</td>
<td>38,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.08 (5 min)</td>
<td>3,167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Guidelines contain a number of recordkeeping requirements that SAMHSA considers not to be an additional recordkeeping burden. In subpart D, a trainer is required to document the training of an individual to be a collector (Section 4.3[a][3]) and the documentation must be maintained in the collector’s training file (Section 4.3[c]). Because this is required by the current Guidelines and is consistent with general forensic requirements, SAMHSA believes this training documentation is common practice and is not considered an additional burden. In subpart F, if a collector uses an incorrect form to collect a federal agency specimen, the collector is required to provide a statement (Section 6.2[b]) explaining why an incorrect form was used to document collecting the specimen. SAMHSA believes this is an extremely infrequent occurrence and does not create a significant additional recordkeeping burden. Subpart H [Sections 8.4(c), 8.5(d)(2), 8.5(e)(1) and (2)] requires collectors to enter any information on the Federal CCF of any unusual findings during the urine specimen collection procedure. These recordkeeping requirements are an integral part of the collection procedure and are essential to documenting the chain of custody for the specimens collected. The burden for these entries are included in the recordkeeping burden estimated to complete the Federal CCF and is, therefore, not considered an additional recordkeeping burden. Subpart K describes a number of recordkeeping requirements for laboratories associated with their testing procedures, maintaining chain of custody, and keeping records [i.e., Sections 11.1(a) and (d); 11.2(b), (c), and (d); 11.6(b); 11.7(c); 11.8; 11.11(a); 11.14(a); 11.17; 11.21(a), (b), and (c); 11.22; 11.23(a) and 11.24. These recordkeeping requirements are necessary for any laboratory to conduct forensic drug testing and to ensure the scientific supportability of the test results. Therefore, they are considered to be standard business practice and are not considered a burden for this analysis.

Thus the total annual response burden associated with the testing of urine specimens by the laboratories and IITFs is estimated to be 15,518 hours (that is, the sum of the total hours from the above tables). This is in addition to the 1,788,809 hours currently approved by OMB under control number 0930–0158 for urine testing under the current Guidelines.

As required by section 3507(d) of the PRA, the Secretary submitted a copy of these proposed Guidelines to OMB for its review. Comments on the information collection requirements were specifically solicited in order to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of HHS’s functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) evaluate the accuracy of HHS’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.
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The Mandatory Guidelines using Urine Specimens as revised are hereby adopted in accordance with section 503 of Public Law 100–71 and Executive Order 12564.

Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs Using Urine Specimens

Subpart A—Applicability

1.1 To whom do these Guidelines apply?
1.2 Who is responsible for developing and implementing these Guidelines?
1.3 How does a federal agency request a change from these Guidelines?
1.4 How are these Guidelines revised?
1.5 What do the terms used in these Guidelines mean?
1.6 What is an agency required to do to protect employee records?
Subpart B—Urine Specimens
2.1 What type of specimen may be collected?
2.2 Under what circumstances may a urine specimen be collected?
2.3 How is each urine specimen collected?
2.4 What volume of urine is collected?
2.5 How does the collector split the urine specimen?
2.6 When may an entity or individual release a urine specimen?

Subpart C—Urine Specimen Tests
3.1 Which tests are conducted on a urine specimen?
3.2 May a specimen be tested for additional drugs?
3.3 May any of the specimens be used for other purposes?
3.4 What are the drug test cutoff concentrations for urine?
3.5 May an HHS-certified laboratory perform additional drug and/or specimen validity tests on a specimen at the request of the Medical Review Officer (MRO)?
3.6 What criteria are used to report a urine specimen as adulterated?
3.7 What criteria are used to report a urine specimen as substituted?
3.8 What criteria are used to report a urine specimen as dilute?
3.9 What criteria are used to report an invalid result for a urine specimen?

Subpart D—Collectors
4.1 Who may collect a specimen?
4.2 Who may not collect a specimen?
4.3 What are the requirements to be a collector?
4.4 What are the requirements to be an observer for a direct observed collection?
4.5 What are the requirements to be a trainer for collectors?
4.6 What must a federal agency do before a collector is permitted to collect a specimen?

Subpart E—Collection Sites
5.1 Where can a collection for a drug test take place?
5.2 What are the requirements for a collection site?
5.3 Where must collection site records be stored?
5.4 How long must collection site records be stored?
5.5 How does the collector ensure the security and integrity of a specimen at the collection site?
5.6 What are the privacy requirements when collecting a urine specimen?

Subpart F—Federal Drug Testing Custody and Control Form
6.1 What federal form is used to document custody and control?
6.2 What happens if the correct OMB-approved Federal CCF is not available or is not used?

Subpart G—Urine Specimen Collection Containers and Bottles
7.1 What is used to collect a urine specimen?
7.2 What are the requirements for a urine collection container and specimen bottles?
7.3 What are the minimum performance requirements for a urine collection container and specimen bottles?

Subpart H—Urine Specimen Collection Procedure
8.1 What privacy must the donor be given when providing a urine specimen?
8.2 What must the collector ensure at the collection site before starting a urine specimen collection?
8.3 What are the preliminary steps in the urine specimen collection procedure?
8.4 What steps does the collector take in the collection procedure before the donor provides a urine specimen?
8.5 What steps does the collector take during and after the urine specimen collection procedure?
8.6 What procedure is used when the donor states that they are unable to provide a urine specimen?
8.7 If the donor is unable to provide a urine specimen, may another specimen type be collected for testing?
8.8 How does the collector prepare the urine specimens?
8.9 When is a direct observed collection conducted?
8.10 How is a direct observed collection conducted?
8.11 When is a monitored collection conducted?
8.12 How is a monitored collection conducted?
8.13 How does the collector report a donor’s refusal to test?
8.14 What are a federal agency’s responsibilities for a collection site?

Subpart I—HHS Certification of Laboratories and IITFs
9.1 Who has the authority to certify laboratories and IITFs to test urine specimens for federal agencies?
9.2 What is the process for a laboratory or IITF to become HHS-certified?
9.3 What is the process for a laboratory or IITF to maintain HHS certification?
9.4 What is the process when a laboratory or IITF does not maintain its HHS certification?
9.5 What are the qualitative and quantitative specifications of performance testing (PT) samples?
9.6 What are the PT requirements for an applicant laboratory?
9.7 What are the PT requirements for an HHS-certified urine laboratory?
9.8 What are the PT requirements for an HHS-certified IITF?
9.9 What are the PT requirements for an HHS-certified IITF?
9.10 What are the inspection requirements for an applicant laboratory or IITF?
9.11 What are the maintenance inspection requirements for an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF?
9.12 Who can inspect an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF and when may the inspection be conducted?
9.13 What happens if an applicant laboratory or IITF does not satisfy the minimum requirements for either the PT program or the inspection program?
9.14 What happens if an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF does not satisfy the minimum requirements for either the PT program or the inspection program?
9.15 What factors are considered in determining whether revocation of a laboratory’s or IITF’s HHS certification is necessary?
9.16 What factors are considered in determining whether to suspend a laboratory’s or an IITF’s HHS certification?
9.17 How does the Secretary notify an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF that action is being taken against the laboratory or IITF?
9.18 May a laboratory or IITF that had its HHS certification revoked be recertified to test federal agency specimens?
9.19 Where is the list of HHS-certified laboratories and IITFs published?

Subpart J—Blind Samples Submitted by an Agency
10.1 What are the requirements for federal agencies to submit blind samples to HHS-certified laboratories or IITFs?
10.2 What are the requirements for blind samples?
10.3 How is a blind sample submitted to an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF?
10.4 What happens if an inconsistent result is reported for a blind sample?

Subpart K—Laboratory
11.1 What must be included in the HHS-certified laboratory’s standard operating procedure manual?
11.2 What are the responsibilities of the responsible person (RP)?
11.3 What scientific qualifications must the RP have?
11.4 What happens when the RP is absent or leaves an HHS-certified laboratory?
11.5 What qualifications must an individual have to certify a result reported by an HHS-certified laboratory?
11.6 What qualifications and training must other personnel of an HHS-certified laboratory have?
11.7 What security measures must an HHS-certified laboratory maintain?
11.8 What are the laboratory chain of custody requirements for specimens and aliquots?
11.9 What test(s) does an HHS-certified laboratory conduct on a urine specimen received from an IITF?
11.10 What are the requirements for an initial drug test?
11.11 What must an HHS-certified laboratory do to validate an initial drug test?
11.12 What are the batch quality control requirements when conducting an initial drug test?
11.13 What are the requirements for a confirmatory drug test?
11.14 What must an HHS-certified laboratory do to validate a confirmatory
drug test?
11.15 What are the batch quality control requirements when conducting a confirmatory drug test?
11.16 What are the analytical and quality control requirements for conducting specimen validity tests?
11.17 What must an HHS-certified laboratory do to validate a specimen validity test?
11.18 What are the requirements for conducting each specimen validity test?
11.19 What are the requirements for an HHS-certified laboratory to report a test result?
11.20 How long must an HHS-certified laboratory retain specimens?
11.21 How long must an HHS-certified laboratory retain records?
11.22 What statistical summary reports must an HHS-certified laboratory provide for urine testing?
11.23 What HHS-certified laboratory information is available to a federal agency?
11.24 What HHS-certified laboratory information is available to a federal employee?
11.25 What types of relationships are prohibited between an HHS-certified laboratory and an MRO?
11.26 What type of relationship can exist between an HHS-certified laboratory and an HHS-certified IITF?

Subpart L—Instrumented Initial Test Facility (IITF)
12.1 What must be included in the HHS-certified IITF’s standard operating procedure manual?
12.2 What are the responsibilities of the responsible technician (RT)?
12.3 What qualifications must the RT have?
12.4 What happens when the RT is absent or leaves an HHS-certified IITF?
12.5 What qualifications must an individual have to certify a result reported by an HHS-certified IITF?
12.6 What qualifications and training must other personnel of an HHS-certified IITF have?
12.7 What security measures must an HHS-certified IITF maintain?
12.8 What are the IITF chain of custody requirements for specimens and aliquots?
12.9 What are the requirements for an initial drug test?
12.10 What must an HHS-certified IITF do to validate an initial drug test?
12.11 What are the batch quality control requirements when conducting an initial drug test?
12.12 What are the analytical and quality control requirements for conducting specimen validity tests?
12.13 What must an HHS-certified IITF do to validate a specimen validity test?
12.14 What are the requirements for conducting each specimen validity test?
12.15 What are the requirements for an HHS-certified IITF to report a test result?
12.16 How does an HHS-certified IITF handle a specimen that tested positive, adulterated, substituted, or invalid at the IITF?
12.17 How long must an HHS-certified IITF retain a specimen?
12.18 How long must an HHS-certified IITF retain records?
12.19 What statistical summary report must an HHS-certified IITF provide?
12.20 What type of statistical summary report information is available to a federal employee?
12.21 What types of relationships are prohibited between an HHS-certified IITF and an MRO?
12.22 What type of relationship can exist between an HHS-certified IITF and an HHS-certified laboratory?

Subpart M—Medical Review Officer (MRO)
13.1 Who may serve as an MRO?
13.2 How are nationally recognized entities or subspecialty boards that certify MROs approved?
13.3 What training is required before a physician may serve as an MRO?
13.4 What are the responsibilities of an MRO?
13.5 What must an MRO do when reviewing a urine specimen’s test results?
13.6 What action does the MRO take when the collector reports that the donor did not provide a sufficient amount of urine for a drug test?
13.7 What happens when an individual is unable to provide a sufficient amount of urine for a federal agency applicant/pre-employment test, a follow-up test, or a return-to-duty test because of a permanent or long-term medical condition?
13.8 Who may request a test of a split (B) specimen?
13.9 How does an MRO report a primary (A) specimen test result to an agency?
13.10 What types of relationships are prohibited between an MRO and an HHS-certified laboratory or an HHS-certified IITF?

Subpart N—Split Specimen Tests
14.1 When may a split (B) specimen be tested?
14.2 How does an HHS-certified laboratory test a split (B) specimen when the primary (A) specimen was reported positive?
14.3 How does an HHS-certified laboratory test a split (B) urine specimen when the primary (A) specimen was reported adulterated?
14.4 How does an HHS-certified laboratory test a split (B) urine specimen when the primary (A) specimen was reported substituted?
14.5 Who receives the split (B) specimen result?
14.6 What action(s) does an MRO take after receiving the split (B) urine specimen result from the second HHS-certified laboratory?
14.7 How does an MRO report a split (B) specimen test result to an agency?
14.8 How long must an HHS-certified laboratory retain a split (B) specimen?

Subpart O—Criteria for Rejecting a Specimen for Testing
15.1 What discrepancies require an HHS-certified laboratory or an HHS-certified IITF to report a specimen as rejected for testing?
15.2 What discrepancies require an HHS-certified laboratory or an HHS-certified IITF to report a specimen as rejected for testing unless the discrepancy is corrected?
15.3 What discrepancies are not sufficient to require an HHS-certified laboratory or an HHS-certified IITF to reject a urine specimen for testing or an MRO to cancel a test?
15.4 What discrepancies may require an MRO to cancel a test?

Subpart P—Laboratory or IITF Suspension/Revocation Procedures
16.1 When may the HHS certification of a laboratory or IITF be suspended?
16.2 What definitions are used for this subpart?
16.3 Are there any limitations on issues subject to review?
16.4 Who represents the parties?
16.5 When must a request for informal review be submitted?
16.6 What is an abeyance agreement?
16.7 What procedures are used to prepare the review file and written argument?
16.8 When is there an opportunity for oral presentation?
16.9 Are there expedited procedures for review of immediate suspension?
16.10 Are any types of communications prohibited?
16.11 How are communications transmitted by the reviewing official?
16.12 What are the authority and responsibilities of the reviewing official?
16.13 What administrative records are maintained?
16.14 What are the requirements for a written decision?
16.15 Is there a review of the final administrative action?

Subpart A—Applicability
Section 1.1 To whom do these Guidelines apply?
(a) These Guidelines apply to:
(1) Executive Agencies as defined in 5 U.S.C. 105;
(2) The Uniformed Services, as defined in 5 U.S.C. 2101(3) (but excluding the Armed Forces as defined in 5 U.S.C. 2101(2));
(3) Any other employing unit or authority of the federal government except the United States Postal Service, the Postal Rate Commission, and employing units or authorities in the Judicial and Legislative Branches; and
(4) The Intelligence Community, as defined by Executive Order 12333, is subject to these Guidelines only to the extent agreed to by the head of the affected agency;
(5) Laboratories and instrumented initial test facilities (IITFs) that provide drug testing services to the federal agencies;
(6) Collectors who provide specimen collection services to the federal agencies; and
Section 1.2 Who is responsible for developing and implementing these Guidelines?

(a) Executive Order 12564 and Public Law 100–71 require the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) to establish scientific and technical guidelines for federal workplace drug testing programs.

(b) The Secretary has the responsibility to implement these Guidelines.

Section 1.3 How does a federal agency request a change from these Guidelines?

(a) Each federal agency must ensure that its workplace drug testing program complies with the provisions of these Guidelines unless a waiver has been obtained from the Secretary.

(b) To obtain a waiver, a federal agency must submit a written request to the Secretary that describes the specific change for which a waiver is sought and a detailed justification for the change.

Section 1.4 How are these Guidelines revised?

(a) To ensure the full reliability and accuracy of specimen tests, the accurate reporting of test results, and the integrity and efficacy of federal drug testing programs, the Secretary may make changes to these Guidelines to reflect improvements in the available science and technology.

(b) The changes will be published in final as a notice in the Federal Register.

1The NRC-related information in this notice pertains to individuals subject to drug testing conducted pursuant to 10 CFR part 26, “Fitness for Duty Programs” (i.e., employees of certain NRC-regulated entities).

Although HHS has no authority to regulate the transportation industry, the Department of Transportation (DOT) does have such authority. DOT is required by law to develop requirements for its regulated industry that "incorporate the scientific and technical guidelines dated April 11, 1988, and any amendments to those guidelines . . ." See 49 U.S.C. 20140(c)(2). In carrying out its mandate, DOT requires by regulation at 49 CFR part 40 that its federal-transportation-regulated employers use only HHS-certified laboratories in the testing of employees, 49 CFR 40.81, and incorporates the scientific and technical aspects of the HHS Mandatory Guidelines.
HHS-certified laboratory, a Medical Review Officer (MRO), or, for urine, an HHS-certified Instrumented Initial Test Facility (IITF).

Failed to Reconfirm. The result reported for a split (B) specimen when a second HHS-certified laboratory is unable to corroborate the result reported for the primary (A) specimen.

Federal Drug Testing Custody and Control Form (Federal CCF). The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approved form that is used to document the collection and chain of custody of a specimen from the time the specimen is collected until it is received by the test facility (i.e., HHS-certified laboratory or, for urine, HHS-certified IITF). It may be a paper (hardcopy), electronic, or combination electronic and paper format (hybrid). The form may also be used to report the test result to the Medical Review Officer.

Gender Identity. Gender identity means an individual’s internal sense of being male or female, which may be different from an individual’s sex assigned at birth.

HHS. The Department of Health and Human Services.

Initial Drug Test. An analysis used to differentiate negative specimens from those requiring further testing.

Initial Specimen Validity Test. The first analysis used to determine if a specimen is invalid, adulterated, or (for urine) diluted or substituted.

Instrumented Initial Test Facility (IITF). A permanent location where (for urine) initial testing, reporting of results, and recordkeeping are performed under the supervision of a responsible technician.

Invalid Result. The result reported by an HHS-certified laboratory in accordance with the criteria established in Section 3.9 when a positive, negative, adulterated, or substituted result cannot be established for a specific drug or specimen validity test.

Laboratory. A permanent location where initial and confirmatory drug testing, reporting of results, and recordkeeping are performed under the supervision of a responsible person.

Limit of Detection. The lowest concentration at which the analyte (e.g., drug or drug metabolite) can be identified.

Limit of Quantification. For quantitative assays, the lowest concentration at which the identity and concentration of the analyte (e.g., drug or drug metabolite) can be accurately established.

Lot. A number of units of an item (e.g., reagents, quality control material) manufactured from the same starting materials within a specified period of time for which the manufacturer ensures that the items have essentially the same performance characteristics and expiration date.

Medical Review Officer (MRO). A licensed physician who reviews, verifies, and reports a specimen test result to the federal agency.

Negative Result. The result reported by an HHS-certified laboratory or (for urine) an HHS-certified IITF to an MRO when a specimen contains no drug and/or drug metabolite; or the concentration of the drug or drug metabolite is less than the cutoff for that drug or drug class.

Oral Fluid Specimen. An oral fluid specimen is collected from the donor’s oral cavity and is a combination of physiological fluids produced primarily by the salivary glands.

Oxidizing Adulterant. A substance that acts alone or in combination with other substances to oxidize drug or drug metabolites to prevent the detection of the drugs or drug metabolites, or affects the reagents in either the initial or confirmatory drug test.

Performance Testing (PT) Sample. A program-generated sample sent to a laboratory or (for urine) to an IITF to evaluate performance.

Positive Result. The result reported by an HHS-certified laboratory when a specimen contains a drug or drug metabolite equal to or greater than the confirmation cutoff concentration.

Reconfirmed. The result reported for a split (B) specimen when the second HHS-certified laboratory corroborates the original result reported for the primary (A) specimen.

Rejected for Testing. The result reported by an HHS-certified laboratory or (for urine) HHS-certified IITF when no tests are performed on a specimen because of a fatal flaw or an unrecovered correctable error (see Sections 15.1 and 15.2).

Responsible Person (RP). The person who assumes professional, organizational, educational, and administrative responsibility for the day-to-day management of an HHS-certified laboratory.

Responsible Technician (RT). The person who assumes professional, organizational, educational, and administrative responsibility for the day-to-day management of an HHS-certified IITF.

Sample. A performance testing sample, calibrator or control used during testing, or a representative portion of a donor’s specimen.

Secretary. The Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Specimen. Fluid or material collected from a donor at the collection site for the purpose of a drug test.

Split Specimen Collection (for Urine). A collection in which the specimen collected is divided into a primary (A) specimen and a split (B) specimen, which are independently sealed in the presence of the donor.

Standard. Reference material of known purity or a solution containing a reference material at a known concentration.

Substituted Specimen. A specimen that has been submitted in place of the donor’s urine, as evidenced by creatinine and specific gravity values that are outside the physiologically producible ranges of human urine.

Section 1.6 What is an agency required to do to protect employee records?

Consistent with 5 U.S.C. 552a and 48 CFR 24.101–24.104, all agency contracts with laboratories, IITFs, collectors, and MROs must require that they comply with the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 552a. In addition, the contracts must require compliance with employee access and confidentiality provisions of Section 503 of Public Law 100–71. Each federal agency must establish a Privacy Act System of Records or modify an existing system or use any applicable Government-wide system of records to cover the records of employee drug test results. All contracts and the Privacy Act System of Records must specifically require that employee records be maintained and used with the highest regard for employee privacy.

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) Privacy Rule (Rule), 45 CFR parts 160 and 164, Subparts A and E, may be applicable to certain health care providers with whom a federal agency may contract. If a health care provider is a HIPAA covered entity, the provider must protect the individually identifiable health information it maintains in accordance with the requirements of the Rule, which includes not using or disclosing the information except as permitted by the Rule and ensuring there are reasonable safeguards in place to protect the privacy of the information. For more information regarding the HIPAA Privacy Rule, please visit http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/hipaa.

Section 1.7 What is a refusal to take a federally regulated drug test?

(a) As a donor for a federally regulated drug test, you have refused to take a federally regulated drug test if you:

(1) Fail to appear for any test (except a pre-employment test) within a
reasonable time, as determined by the federal agency, consistent with applicable agency regulations, after being directed to do so by the federal agency:

(2) Fail to remain at the collection site until the collection process is complete with the exception of a donor who leaves the collection site before the collection process begins for a pre-employment test as described in section 8.4(a);

(3) Fail to provide a specimen (e.g., urine or another authorized specimen type) for any drug test required by these Guidelines or federal agency regulations with the exception of a donor who leaves the collection site before the collection process begins for a pre-employment test as described in section 8.4(a);

(4) In the case of a direct observed or monitored collection, fail to permit the observation or monitoring of your provision of a specimen when required as described in Sections 8.9 and 8.10;

(5) Fail to provide a sufficient amount of urine when directed, and it has been determined, through a required medical evaluation, that there was no legitimate medical explanation for the failure as determined by the process described in Section 13.6;

(6) Fail or decline to participate in an alternate specimen collection (e.g., oral fluid) as directed by the federal agency or collector (i.e., as described in Section 8.6);

(7) Fail to undergo a medical examination or evaluation, as directed by the MRO as part of the verification process (i.e., Section 13.6) or as directed by the federal agency. In the case of a federal agency applicant/pre-employment drug test, the donor is deemed to have refused to test on this basis only if the federal agency applicant/pre-employment test is conducted following a contingent offer of employment. If there was no contingent offer of employment, the MRO will cancel the test;

(8) Fail to cooperate with any part of the testing process (e.g., refuse to empty pockets when directed by the collector, disrupt the collection process, fail to wash hands after being directed to do so by the collector);

(9) For an observed collection, fail to follow the observer’s instructions related to the collection process;

(10) Bring materials to the collection site for the purpose of adulterating, substituting, or diluting the specimen;

(11) Attempt to adulterate, substitute, or dilute the specimen;

(12) Possess or wear a prosthetic or other device that could be used to interfere with the collection process; or

(13) Admit to the collector or MRO that you have adulterated or substituted the specimen.

Section 1.8 What are the potential consequences for refusing to take a federally regulated drug test?

(a) As a federal agency employee or applicant, a refusal to take a test may result in the initiation of disciplinary or adverse action, up to and including removal from, or non-selection for, federal employment.

(b) When a donor has refused to participate in a part of the collection process, including failing to appear in a reasonable time for any test except a pre-employment test as described in Section 1.7(a)(1), the collector must terminate the collection process and take action as described in Section 8.13. Required action includes immediately notifying the federal agency’s designated representative by any means (e.g., telephone or secure fax machine) that ensures that the refusal notification is immediately received and, if a Federal CCF has been initiated, documenting the refusal on the Federal CCF, signing and dating the Federal CCF, and sending all copies of the Federal CCF to the federal agency’s designated representative.

(c) When documenting a refusal to test during the verification process as described in Sections 13.4, 13.5, and 13.6, the MRO must complete the MRO copy of the Federal CCF to include:

(1) Checking the refusal to test box;

(2) Providing a reason for the refusal in the remarks line; and

(3) Signing and dating the MRO copy of the Federal CCF.

Subpart B—Urine Specimens

Section 2.1 What type of specimen may be collected?

A federal agency may collect urine and/or an alternate specimen type for its workplace drug testing program. Only specimen types authorized by these Guidelines or specifically authorized by the federal agency may be tested for marijuana and cocaine metabolites as provided under Section 3.4;

(b) Is authorized to test each specimen for opioids, amphetamines, and phencyclidine, as provided under Section 3.4; and

(c) Must ensure that the following specimen validity tests are conducted on each urine specimen:

(1) Determine the creatinine concentration on every specimen;

(2) Determine the specific gravity on every specimen for which the creatinine concentration is less than 20 mg/dL;

(3) Determine the pH on every specimen; and

(4) Perform one or more specimen validity tests for oxidizing adulterants on every specimen.

(d) If a specimen exhibits abnormal characteristics (e.g., unusual odor or color, semi-solid characteristics), causes reactions or responses characteristic of an adulterant during initial or confirmatory drug tests (e.g., non-recovery of internal standard, unusual
response), or contains an unidentified substance that interferes with the confirmatory analysis, then additional testing may be performed.

Section 3.2 May a specimen be tested for additional drugs?
(a) On a case-by-case basis, a specimen may be tested for additional drugs, if a federal agency is conducting the collection for reasonable suspicion or post accident testing. A specimen collected from a federal agency employee may be tested by the federal agency for any drugs listed in Schedule I or II of the Controlled Substances Act. The federal agency must request the HHS-certified laboratory to test for the additional drug, include a justification to test a specific specimen for the drug, and ensure that the HHS-certified laboratory has the capability to test for the drug and has established properly validated initial and confirmatory analytical methods. If an initial test procedure is not available upon request for a suspected Schedule I or Schedule II drug, the federal agency can request an HHS-certified laboratory to test for the drug by analyzing two separate aliquots of the specimen in two separate testing batches using the confirmatory analytical method. Additionally, the split (B) specimen will be available for testing if the donor requests a retest at another HHS-certified laboratory.
(b) A federal agency covered by these Guidelines must petition the Secretary in writing for approval to routinely test for any drug class not listed in Section 3.1. Such approval must be limited to the use of the appropriate science and technology and must not otherwise limit agency discretion to test for any drug tested under paragraph (a) of this section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial test analyte</th>
<th>Initial test cutoff ¹</th>
<th>Confirmatory test analyte</th>
<th>Confirmatory test cutoff concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana metabolites (THCA) ² ...(B) cocaine metabolism (Benzoylcegonine).</td>
<td>50 ng/mL, 150 ng/mL ³</td>
<td>THCA</td>
<td>15 ng/mL, 100 ng/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Codeine/Morphine</td>
<td>2,000 ng/mL</td>
<td>Codeine</td>
<td>2,000 ng/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocodone/Hydmorphone</td>
<td>300 ng/mL</td>
<td>Hydrocodone</td>
<td>100 ng/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxycodone/Oxymorphone</td>
<td>100 ng/mL</td>
<td>Oxycodone</td>
<td>100 ng/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Acetylmorphine</td>
<td>10 ng/mL</td>
<td>6-Acetylmorphine</td>
<td>10 ng/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phencyclidine</td>
<td>25 ng/mL</td>
<td>Phenylecclidine</td>
<td>25 ng/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamine/Methamphetamine</td>
<td>500 ng/mL</td>
<td>Amphetamine</td>
<td>250 ng/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDMA / MDA ⁴ / MDA ⁵</td>
<td>500 ng/mL</td>
<td>MDMA</td>
<td>250 ng/mL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ For grouped analytes (i.e., two or more analytes that are in the same drug class and have the same initial test cutoff):

Immunoassay: The test must be calibrated with one analyte from the group identified as the target analyte. The cross-reactivity of the immunoassay to the other analyte(s) within the group must be 80 percent or greater; if not, separate immunoassays must be used for the analytes within the group.

Alternate technology: Either one analyte or all analytes from the group must be used for calibration, depending on the technology. At least one analyte within the group must have a concentration equal to or greater than the initial test cutoff or, alternatively, the sum of the analytes present (i.e., equal to or greater than the laboratory’s validated limit of quantification) must be equal to or greater than the initial test cutoff.

² An immunoassay must be calibrated with the target analyte, Dehydromethocaine (DHC) and/or (THCA).

³ Alternate technology (THCA and benzoylcegonine): The confirmatory test cutoff must be used for an alternate technology initial test that is specific for the target analyte (i.e., 15 ng/mL for THCA, 100 ng/mL for benzoylcegonine).

⁴ Methylenedioxyamphetamine (MDMA).

⁵ Methylenedioxyamphetamine (MDA).

Section 3.3 May any of the specimens be used for other purposes?
(a) Specimens collected pursuant to Executive Order 12564, Public Law 100–71, and these Guidelines must only be tested for drugs and to determine their validity in accordance with Subpart C of these Guidelines. Use of specimens by donors, their designees, or any other entity, for other purposes (e.g., deoxyribonucleic acid, DNA, testing) is prohibited unless authorized in accordance with applicable federal law.
(b) These Guidelines are not intended to prohibit federal agencies specifically authorized by law to test a specimen for additional classes of drugs in its workplace drug testing program.

Section 3.4 What are the drug test cutoff concentrations for urine?

(a) The pH is less than 4 or equal to or greater than 11 using either a pH meter or a colorimetric pH test for the initial test on the first aliquot and a pH meter for the confirmatory test on the second aliquot.
(b) The nitrite concentration is equal to or greater than 500 mcg/mL using either a nitrite colorimetric test or a general oxidant colorimetric test for the initial test on the first aliquot and a different confirmatory test (e.g., multi-wavelength spectrophotometry, ion chromatography, capillary electrophoresis) on the second aliquot;

Section 3.5 May an HHS-certified laboratory perform additional drug and/or specimen validity tests on a specimen at the request of the Medical Review Officer (MRO)?
An HHS-certified laboratory is authorized to perform additional drug and/or specimen validity tests on a case-by-case basis as necessary to provide information that the MRO would use to report a verified drug test result (e.g., tetrahydrocannabinol, specimen validity tests using biomarkers). An HHS-certified laboratory is not authorized to routinely perform additional drug and/or specimen validity tests at the request of an MRO without prior authorization from the Secretary or designated HHS representative, with the exception of the determination of D,L stereoisomers of amphetamine and methamphetamine. All tests must meet appropriate validation and quality control requirements in accordance with these Guidelines.

Section 3.6 What criteria are used to report a urine specimen as adulterated?
An HHS-certified laboratory reports a primary (A) specimen as adulterated when:

(a) The pH is less than 4 or equal to or greater than 11 using either a pH meter or a colorimetric pH test for the initial test on the first aliquot and a pH meter for the confirmatory test on the second aliquot;
(b) The nitrite concentration is equal to or greater than 500 mcg/mL using either a nitrite colorimetric test or a general oxidant colorimetric test for the initial test on the first aliquot and a different confirmatory test (e.g., multi-wavelength spectrophotometry, ion chromatography, capillary electrophoresis) on the second aliquot;
(c) The presence of chromium (VI) is verified using either a general oxidant colorimetric test (with an equal to or greater than 50 mcg/mL chromium (VI)-equivalent cutoff) or a chromium (VI) colorimetric test (chromium (VI) concentration equal to or greater than 50 mcg/mL) for the initial test on the first aliquot and a different confirmatory test (e.g., multi-wavelength spectrophotometry, ion chromatography, atomic absorption spectrophotometry, capillary electrophoresis, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry) with the chromium (VI) concentration equal to or greater than the limit of quantitation (LOQ) of the confirmatory test on the second aliquot;

(d) The presence of halogen (e.g., bleach, iodine, fluoride) is verified using either a general oxidant colorimetric test (with an equal to or greater than 200 mcg/mL nitrite-equivalent cutoff or an equal to or greater than 50 mcg/mL chromium (VI)-equivalent cutoff) or halogen colorimetric test (halogen concentration equal to or greater than the LOQ) for the initial test on the first aliquot and a different confirmatory test (e.g., multi-wavelength spectrophotometry, ion chromatography, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry) with a specific halogen concentration equal to or greater than the LOQ of the confirmatory test on the second aliquot;

(e) The presence of glutaraldehyde (pyridinium chlorochromate) is verified using either an aldehyde test (aldehyde present) or the characteristic immunosassay response on one or more drug immunoassay tests for the initial test on the first aliquot and a different confirmatory test (e.g., GC/MS) for the confirmatory test with the glutaraldehyde concentration equal to or greater than the LOQ of the analysis on the second aliquot;

(f) The presence of pyridine (pyridinium chlorochromate) is verified using either a general oxidant colorimetric test (with an equal to or greater than 200 mcg/mL nitrite-equivalent cutoff or an equal to or greater than 50 mcg/mL chromium (VI)-equivalent cutoff) or a chromium (VI) colorimetric test (chromium (VI) concentration equal to or greater than 50 mcg/mL) for the initial test on the first aliquot and a different confirmatory test (e.g., GC/MS) for the confirmatory test with the pyridine concentration equal to or greater than the LOQ of the analysis on the second aliquot;

(g) The presence of a surfactant is verified by using a surfactant colorimetric test (with an equal to or greater than 100 mcg/mL dodecylbenzene sulfonate-equivalent cutoff for the initial test on the first aliquot and a different confirmatory test (e.g., multi-wavelength spectrophotometry) with an equal to or greater than 100 mcg/mL dodecylbenzene sulfonate-equivalent cutoff on the second aliquot; or

(h) The presence of any other adulterant not specified in paragraphs (b) through (g) of this section is verified using an initial test on the first aliquot and a different confirmatory test on the second aliquot.

Section 3.7 What criteria are used to report a urine specimen as substituted?

An HHS-certified laboratory reports a primary (A) specimen as substituted when the creatinine concentration is less than 2 mg/dL on both the initial and confirmatory creatinine tests on two separate aliquots (i.e., the same colorimetric test may be used to test both aliquots) and the specific gravity is less than or equal to 1.0010 or equal to or greater than 1.0200 on both the initial and confirmatory specific gravity tests on two separate aliquots (i.e., a refractometer is used to test both aliquots).

Section 3.8 What criteria are used to report a urine specimen as diluted?

A dilute result may be reported only in conjunction with the positive or negative drug test results for a specimen.

(a) An HHS-certified laboratory or an HHS-certified ITTF reports a primary (A) specimen as dilute when the creatinine concentration is less than 2 mg/dL but less than 20 mg/dL and the specific gravity is equal to or greater than 1.002 but less than 1.003 on a single aliquot.

(b) In addition, an HHS-certified laboratory reports a primary (A) specimen as dilute when the creatinine concentration is equal to or greater than 2 mg/dL but less than 20 mg/dL and the specific gravity is greater than 1.001 but less than 1.003.

Section 3.9 What criteria are used to report an invalid result for a urine specimen?

An HHS-certified laboratory reports a primary (A) specimen as an invalid result when:

(a) Inconsistent creatinine concentration and specific gravity results are obtained (i.e., the creatinine concentration is less than 2 mg/dL on both the initial and confirmatory creatinine tests and the specific gravity is greater than 1.0010 but less than 1.0200 on the initial and/or confirmatory specific gravity test, the specific gravity is less than or equal to 1.0010 on both the initial and confirmatory specific gravity tests and the creatinine concentration is equal to or greater than 2 mg/dL on either or both the initial or confirmatory creatinine tests):

(b) The pH is equal to or greater than 4 and less than 4.5 or equal to or greater than 9 and less than 11 using either a colorimetric pH test or pH meter for the initial test and a pH meter for the confirmatory test on two separate aliquots;

(c) The nitrite concentration is equal to or greater than 200 mcg/mL using a nitrite colorimetric test or equal to or greater than the equivalent of 200 mcg/mL nitrite using a general oxidant colorimetric test for both the initial (first) test and the second test or using either initial test and the nitrite concentration is equal to or greater than 200 mcg/mL but less than 500 mcg/mL for a different confirmatory test (e.g., multi-wavelength spectrophotometry, ion chromatography, capillary electrophoresis) on two separate aliquots;

(d) The possible presence of chromium (VI) is determined using the same chromium (VI) colorimetric test with a cutoff equal to or greater than 50 mcg/mL chromium (VI) for both the initial (first) test and the second test on two separate aliquots:

(e) The possible presence of a halogen (e.g., bleach, iodine, fluoride) is determined using the same halogen colorimetric test with a cutoff equal to or greater than the LOQ for both the initial (first) test and the second test on two separate aliquots;

(f) The possible presence of glutaraldehyde is determined by using the same aldehyde test (aldehyde present) or characteristic immunoassay response on one or more drug immunoassay tests for both the initial (first) test and the second test on two separate aliquots;

(g) The possible presence of an oxidizing adulterant is determined by using the same general oxidant colorimetric test (with an equal to or greater than 200 mcg/mL nitrite-equivalent cutoff, an equal to or greater than 50 mcg/mL chromium (VI)-equivalent cutoff, or a halogen concentration is equal to or greater than the LOQ) for both the initial (first) test and the second test on two separate aliquots; or

(h) The possible presence of a surfactant is determined by using the same surfactant colorimetric test with an equal to or greater than 100 mcg/mL dodecylbenzene sulfonate-equivalent cutoff for both the initial (first) test and
the second test on two separate aliquots or a foam/shake test for the initial test;

(i) Interference occurs on the initial drug tests on two separate aliquots (i.e., valid immunoassay or alternate technology initial drug test results cannot be obtained);

(j) Interference with the drug confirmatory assay occurs on two separate aliquots of the specimen and the laboratory is unable to identify the interfering substance;

(k) The physical appearance of the specimen (e.g., viscosity) is such that testing the specimen may damage the laboratory’s instruments; or

(l) The specimen has been tested and the appearances of the primary (A) and the split (B) specimens (e.g., color) are clearly different; or

(m) The concentration of a biomarker is not consistent with that established for human urine for both the initial (first) test and the second test on two separate aliquots.

Subpart D—Collectors

Section 4.1 Who may collect a specimen?

(a) A collector who has been trained to collect urine specimens in accordance with these Guidelines.

(b) The immediate supervisor of a federal employee donor may only collect that donor’s specimen when no other collector is available. The supervisor must be a trained collector.

(c) The hiring official of a federal agency applicant may only collect that federal agency applicant’s specimen when no other collector is available. The hiring official must be a trained collector.

Section 4.2 Who may not collect a specimen?

(a) A federal agency employee who is in a testing designated position and subject to the federal agency drug testing rules must not be a collector for co-workers in the same testing pool or who work together with that employee on a daily basis.

(b) A federal agency applicant or employee must not collect their own drug testing specimen.

(c) An employee working for an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF must not act as a collector if the employee could link the identity of the donor to the donor’s drug test result.

(d) To avoid a potential conflict of interest, a collector must not be related to the employee (e.g., spouse, ex-spouse, relative) or a close personal friend (e.g., fiancée).

Section 4.3 What are the requirements to be a collector?

(a) An individual may serve as a collector if they fulfill the following conditions:

(1) Is knowledgeable about the collection procedure described in these Guidelines;

(2) Is knowledgeable about any guidance provided by the federal agency’s Drug-Free Workplace Program and additional information provided by the Secretary relating to these Guidelines;

(3) Is trained and qualified to collect a urine specimen. Training must include the following:

(i) All steps necessary to complete a urine collection;

(ii) Completion and distribution of the Federal CCF;

(iii) Problem collections;

(iv) Fatal flaws, correctable flaws, and how to correct problems in collections; and

(v) The collector’s responsibility for maintaining the integrity of the collection process, ensuring the privacy of the donor, ensuring the security of the specimen, and avoiding conduct or statements that could be viewed as offensive or inappropriate.

(4) Has demonstrated proficiency in collections by completing five consecutive error-free mock collections.

(i) The five mock collections must include one uneventful collection scenario, one insufficient specimen quantity scenario, one temperature out of range scenario, one scenario in which the donor refuses to sign the Federal CCF, and one scenario in which the collector bottle tamper-evident seal.

(ii) A qualified trainer for collectors must monitor and evaluate the individual being trained, in person or by a means that provides real-time observation and interaction between the trainer and the trainee, and the trainer must attest in writing that the mock collections are error-free.

(b) A trained collector must complete refresher training at least every five years that includes the requirements in paragraph (a) of this section.

(c) The collector must maintain the documentation of their training and provide that documentation to a federal agency when requested.

(d) An individual may not collect specimens for a federal agency until the individual’s training as a collector has been properly documented.

Section 4.4 What are the requirements to be an observer for a direct observed collection?

(a) An individual may serve as an observer for a direct observed collection when the individual has satisfied the requirements:

(1) Is knowledgeable about the direct observed collection procedure described in Section 8.9 of these Guidelines;

(2) Is knowledgeable about any guidance provided by the federal agency’s Drug-Free Workplace Program or additional information provided by the Secretary relating to the direct observed collection procedure described in these Guidelines;

(3) Has received training on the following subjects:

(i) All steps necessary to perform a direct observed collection; and

(ii) The observer’s responsibility for maintaining the integrity of the collection process, ensuring the privacy of individuals being tested, ensuring that the observation is done in a professional manner that minimizes the discomfort to the employee so observed, ensuring the security of the specimen by maintaining visual contact with the collection container until it is delivered to the collector, and avoiding conduct or statements that could be viewed as offensive or inappropriate.

(b) The gender of the observer must be the same as the donor’s gender, which is determined by the donor’s gender identity. The observer selection process is described in Section 8.10(b).

(c) The observer is not required to be a trained collector.

Section 4.5 What are the requirements to be a trainer for collectors?

(a) Individuals are considered qualified trainers for collectors and may train others to collect urine specimens when they have completed the following:

(1) Qualified as a trained collector and regularly conducted urine drug test collections for a period of at least one year or

(2) Completed a “train the trainer” course given by an organization (e.g., manufacturer, private entity, contractor, federal agency).

(b) A qualified trainer for collectors must complete refresher training at least every five years in accordance with the collector requirements in Section 4.3(a).

(c) A qualified trainer for collectors must maintain the documentation of the trainer’s training and provide that documentation to a federal agency when requested.
Section 4.6 What must a federal agency do before a collector is permitted to collect a specimen?

A federal agency must ensure the following:
(a) The collector has satisfied the requirements described in Section 4.3;
(b) The collector, who may be self-employed, or an organization (e.g., third party administrator that provides a collection service, collector training company, federal agency that employs its own collectors) maintains a copy of the training record(s); and
(c) The collector has been provided the name and telephone number of the federal agency representative.

Subpart E—Collection Sites
Section 5.1 Where can a collection for a drug test take place?

(a) A collection site may be a permanent or temporary facility located either at the work site or at a remote site.
(b) In the event that an agency-designated collection site is not accessible and there is an immediate requirement to collect a urine specimen (e.g., an accident investigation), a public restroom may be used for the collection, using the procedures for a monitored collection described in Section 8.12.

Section 5.2 What are the requirements for a collection site?

The facility used as a collection site must have the following:
(a) Provisions to ensure donor privacy during the collection (as described in Section 8.11);
(b) A suitable and clean surface area that is not accessible to the donor for handling the specimens and completing the required paperwork;
(c) A secure temporary storage area to maintain specimens until the specimen is transferred to an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF;
(d) A restricted access area where only authorized personnel may be present during the collection;
(e) A restricted access area for the storage of collection supplies;
(f) The ability to store records securely; and
(g) The ability to restrict the donor access to potential diluents in accordance with Section 8.2.

Section 5.3 Where must collection site records be stored?

Collection site records must be stored at a secure site designated by the collector or the collector’s employer.

Section 5.4 How long must collection site records be stored?

Collection site records (e.g., collector copies of the OMB-approved Federal CCF) must be stored securely for a minimum of 2 years. The collection site may convert hardcopy records to electronic records for storage and discard the hardcopy records after 6 months.

Section 5.5 How does the collector ensure the security and integrity of a specimen at the collection site?

(a) A collector must do the following to maintain the security and integrity of a specimen:
(1) Not allow unauthorized personnel to enter the collection area during the collection procedure;
(2) Perform only one donor collection at a time;
(3) Restrict access to collection supplies before, during and after collection;
(4) Ensure that only the collector and the donor are allowed to handle the unsealed specimen;
(5) Ensure the chain of custody process is maintained and documented throughout the entire collection, storage, and transport procedures;
(6) Ensure that the Federal CCF is completed and distributed as required; and
(7) Ensure that specimens transported to an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF are sealed and placed in transport containers designed to minimize the possibility of damage during shipment (e.g., specimen boxes, padded mailers, or other suitable shipping container), and those containers are securely sealed to eliminate the possibility of undetected tampering;
(b) Couriers, express carriers, and postal service personnel are not required to document chain of custody since specimens are sealed in packages that would indicate tampering during transit to the HHS-certified laboratory or IITF.

Section 5.6 What are the privacy requirements when collecting a urine specimen?

Collections must be performed at a site that provides reasonable privacy (as described in Section 8.11).

Subpart F—Federal Drug Testing Custody and Control Form
Section 6.1 What federal form is used to document custody and control?

The OMB-approved Federal CCF must be used to document custody and control of each specimen at the collection site.

Section 6.2 What happens if the correct OMB-approved Federal CCF is not available or is not used?

(a) The use of a non-federal CCF or an expired Federal CCF is not, by itself, a reason for the HHS-certified laboratory or IITF to automatically reject the specimen for testing or for the MRO to cancel the test.
(b) If the collector does not use the correct OMB-approved Federal CCF, the collector must document that it is a federal agency specimen collection and provide the reason that the incorrect form was used. Based on the information provided by the collector, the HHS-certified laboratory or IITF must handle and test the specimen as a federal agency specimen.
(c) If the HHS-certified laboratory, HHS-certified IITF, or MRO discovers that the collector used an incorrect form, the laboratory, IITF, or MRO must obtain a memorandum for the record from the collector describing the reason the incorrect form was used. If a memorandum for the record cannot be obtained, the laboratory or IITF reports a rejected for testing result to the MRO and the MRO cancels the test. The HHS-certified laboratory or IITF must wait at least 5 business days while attempting to obtain the memorandum before reporting a rejected for testing result to the MRO.

Subpart G—Urine Specimen Collection Containers and Bottles
Section 7.1 What is used to collect a urine specimen?

A single-use collection container with a means (i.e., thermometer) to measure urine temperature and two specimen bottles must be used.

Section 7.2 What are the requirements for a urine collection container and specimen bottles?

(a) The collection container, the thermometer, and the specimen bottles must not substantially affect the composition of drugs and/or metabolites in the urine specimen.
(b) The two specimen bottles must be sealable and non-leaking, and must maintain the integrity of the specimen during storage and transport so that the specimen contained therein can be tested in an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF for the presence of drugs or their metabolites.
(c) The two specimen bottles must be sufficiently transparent to enable an objective assessment of specimen appearance and identification of abnormal physical characteristics without opening the bottle.
Section 7.3  What are the minimum performance requirements for a urine collection container and specimen bottles?

(a) The collection container must be capable of holding at least 55 mL and have a volume marking clearly noting a level of 45 mL.
(b) One of the two specimen bottles must be capable of holding at least 35 mL and the other at least 20 mL, and each must have a volume marking clearly noting the appropriate level (30 mL for the primary specimen and 15 mL for the split specimen).
(c) The thermometer may be affixed to or built into the collection container and must provide graduated temperature readings from 32–38 °C/90–100 °F. Alternatively, the collector may use another technology to measure specimen temperature (e.g., thermal radiation scanning), providing the thermometer does not come into contact with the specimen.

Subpart H—Urine Specimen Collection Procedure

Section 8.1  What privacy must the donor be given when providing a urine specimen?

The following privacy requirements apply when a donor is providing a urine specimen:
(a) Only authorized personnel and the donor may be present in the restricted access area where the collection takes place.
(b) The collector is not required to be the same gender as the donor. The gender of the observer for purposes of a direct observed collection (i.e., as described in Section 8.10) must be the same as the donor’s gender, which is determined by the donor’s gender identity. The gender of the monitor for a monitored collection (i.e., as described in Section 8.12) must be the same as the donor’s gender, unless the monitor is a medical professional (e.g., nurse, doctor, physician’s assistant, technologist, or technician licensed or certified to practice in the jurisdiction in which the collection takes place).
(c) The collector must give the donor visual privacy while providing the specimen. The donor is allowed to provide a urine specimen in an enclosed stall within a multi-stall restroom or in a single person restroom during a monitored collection.

Section 8.2  What must the collector ensure at the collection site before starting a urine specimen collection?

The collector must deter the dilution or substitution of a specimen at the collection site by:
(a) Placing a toilet bluing agent in a toilet bowl or toilet tank, so the reservoir of water in the toilet bowl always remains blue. If no bluing agent is available or if the toilet has an automatic flushing system, the collector shall turn the water supply off to the toilet and flush the toilet to remove the water in the toilet when possible.
(b) Secure other sources of water (e.g., shower or sink) in the enclosure where urination occurs. If the enclosure has a source of water that cannot be disabled or secured, a monitored collection must be conducted in accordance with Section 8.11.

Section 8.3  What are the preliminary steps in the urine specimen collection procedure?

The collector must take the following steps before beginning a urine specimen collection:
(a) If a donor fails to arrive at the collection site at the assigned time, the collector must follow the federal agency policy or contact the federal agency representative to obtain guidance on action to be taken.
(b) When the donor arrives at the collection site, the collector should begin the collection procedure without undue delay. For example, the collection should not be delayed because the donor states that they are unable to urinate or an authorized employer or employer representative is late in arriving.
(c) The collector requests the donor to present photo identification (e.g., driver’s license; employee badge issued by the employer; an alternative photo identification issued by a federal, state, or local government agency). If the donor does not have proper photo identification, the collector shall contact the supervisor of the donor or the federal agency representative who can positively identify the donor. If the donor’s identity cannot be established, the collector must not proceed with the collection.
(d) The collector must provide identification (e.g., employee badge, employee list) if requested by the donor.
(e) The collector explains the basic collection procedure to the donor.
(f) The collector informs the donor that the instructions for completing the Federal Custody and Control Form are located on the back of the Federal CCF or available upon request.
(g) The collector answers any reasonable and appropriate questions the donor may have regarding the collection procedure.
(h) The collector asks the donor to remove any unnecessary outer garments (e.g., coat, jacket) that might conceal items or substances that could be used to adulterate or substitute the urine specimen:
(1) The collector must ensure that all personal belongings (e.g., purse or briefcase) remain with the outer garments; the donor may retain the donor’s wallet.
(2) The collector asks the donor to empty the donor’s pockets and display the contents to ensure no items are present that could be used to adulterate or substitute the specimen.
(3) If no items are present that can be used to adulterate or substitute the specimen, the donor can place the items back into the donor’s pockets and continue the collection procedure.
(i) If an item is present that appears to have been brought to the collection site with the intent to adulterate, substitute, or dilute the specimen, this is considered a refusal to test. The collector must stop the collection and report the refusal to test as described in Section 8.13. If the item appears to be inadvertently brought to the collection site, the collector must secure the item and continue the normal collection procedure.
(j) If the donor refuses to show the collector the items in the donor’s pockets, this is considered a refusal to test. The collector must stop the collection and report the refusal to test as described in Section 8.13.
(k) If an item is present that appears to have been brought to the collection site with the intent to adulterate, substitute, or dilute the specimen, this is considered a refusal to test. The collector must stop the collection and report the refusal to test as described in Section 8.13.

Section 8.4  What steps does the collector take in the collection procedure before the donor provides a urine specimen?

(a) The collector will provide or the donor may select a specimen collection container that is clean, unused, wrapped/sealed in original packaging and compliant with Subpart G. The specimen collection container will be opened in view of the donor.
(b) The collector instructs the donor to provide the specimen in the privacy of a stall or otherwise partitioned area that allows for individual privacy. The collector directs the donor to provide a
specimen of at least 45 mL, to not flush the toilet, and to return with the specimen as soon as the donor has completed the void.

(1) Except in the case of a direct observed collection (i.e., as described in Section 8.10) or a monitored collection (i.e., as described in Section 8.12), neither the collector nor anyone else may go into the room with the donor. 

(2) The collector may set a reasonable time limit for specimen collection.

(c) The collector notes any unusual behavior or appearance of the donor on the Federal CCF. If the collector detects any conduct that clearly indicates an attempt to tamper with a specimen (e.g., substitute urine in plain view or an attempt to bring into the collection site an adulterant or urine substitute), the collector must report a refusal to test in accordance with Section 8.13.

Section 8.5 What steps does the collector take during and after the urine specimen collection procedure?

Integrity and Identity of the Specimen. The collector must take the following steps during and after the donor provides the urine specimen:

(a) The collector must inform the donor that, once the collection procedure has begun, the donor must remain at the collection site (i.e., in an area designated by the collector) until the collection is complete. This includes the wait period (i.e., up to 3 hours) if needed to provide a sufficient specimen as described in step (f)(2) below and in Section 8.6.

(b) After providing the specimen, the donor gives the specimen collection container to the collector. Both the donor and the collector must keep the specimen container in view at all times until the collector seals the specimen bottles as described in Section 8.8.

(c) After the donor has given the specimen to the collector, whenever practical, the donor shall be allowed to wash the donor’s hands and the donor may flush the toilet.

(d) The collector must measure the temperature of the specimen within 4 minutes of receiving the specimen from the donor. The collector records on the Federal CCF whether or not the temperature is in the acceptable range of 32 °C–38 °C/90 °F–100 °F.

(1) The temperature measuring device must accurately reflect the temperature of the specimen and not contaminate the specimen.

(2) If the temperature of the specimen is outside the range of 32 °C–38 °C/90 °F–100 °F, that is a reason to believe that the donor may have adulterated or substituted the specimen. Another specimen must be collected under direct observation in accordance with Section 8.9. The collector must forward both specimens (i.e., from the first and second collections) to an HHS-certified laboratory for testing and record a comment on the Federal CCF for each specimen.

(e) The collector must inspect the specimen to determine if there is any sign indicating that the specimen may not be a valid urine specimen (e.g., unusual color, presence of foreign objects or material, unusual odor).

(1) The collector notes any unusual finding on the Federal CCF. A specimen suspected of not being a valid urine specimen must be forwarded to an HHS-certified laboratory for testing.

(2) When there is any reason to believe that a donor may have adulterated or substituted the specimen, another specimen must be obtained as soon as possible under direct observation in accordance with Section 8.10. The collector must forward both specimens (i.e., from the first and second collections) to an HHS-certified laboratory for testing and record a comment on the Federal CCF for each specimen.

(f) The collector must determine the volume of urine in the specimen container. The collector must never combine urine collected from separate voids to create a specimen.

(1) If the volume is at least 45 mL, the collector will proceed with steps described in Section 8.8.

(2) If the volume is less than 45 mL, the collector discards the specimen and immediately collects a second specimen using the same procedures as for the first specimen (including steps in paragraphs c and d of this section).

(i) The collector may give the donor a reasonable amount of liquid to drink for this purpose (e.g., an 8 ounce glass of water every 30 minutes, but not to exceed a maximum of 40 ounces over a period of 3 hours or until the donor has provided a sufficient urine specimen). However, the donor is not required to drink any fluids during this waiting time.

(ii) If the donor provides a sufficient urine specimen (i.e., at least 45 mL), the collector proceeds with steps described in Section 8.8.

(iii) If the employee has not provided a sufficient specimen (i.e., at least 45 mL) within three hours of the first unsuccessful attempt to provide the specimen, the collector records the reason for not collecting a urine specimen on the Federal CCF, notifies the federal agency’s designated representative for authorization of an alternate specimen to be collected, and sends the appropriate copies of the Federal CCF to the MRO and to the federal agency’s designated representative. The federal agency may choose to provide the collection site with a standard protocol to follow in lieu of requiring the collector to notify the agency’s designated representative for authorization in each case. If an alternate specimen is authorized, the collector may begin the collection procedure for the alternate specimen (see Section 8.7) in accordance with the Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs using the alternative specimen.

(g) If the donor fails to remain present through the completion of the collection, declines to have a direct observed collection as required in steps (d)(2) or (e)(2) above, refuses to provide a second specimen as required in step (f)(2) above, or refuses to provide an alternate specimen as authorized in step (f)(2)(iii) above, the collector stops the collection and reports the refusal to test in accordance with Section 8.13.

Section 8.6 What procedure is used when the donor states that they are unable to provide a urine specimen?

(a) If the donor states that they are unable to provide a urine specimen during the collection process, the collector requests that the donor enter the restroom (stall) and attempt to provide a urine specimen.

(b) If the donor demonstrates their inability to provide a specimen when he or she comes out of the stall with an empty collection container.

(1) If the donor states that they could provide a specimen after drinking some fluids, the collector gives the donor a reasonable amount of liquid to drink for this purpose (e.g., an 8 ounce glass of water every 30 minutes, but not to exceed a maximum of 40 ounces over a period of 3 hours or until the donor has provided a sufficient urine specimen). If the donor simply needs more time before attempting to provide a urine specimen, the donor is not required to drink any fluids during the 3 hour wait time.

(2) If the donor states that they are unable to provide a urine specimen, the collector records the reason for not collecting a urine specimen on the Federal CCF, notifies the federal agency’s designated representative for authorization of an alternate specimen to be collected, and sends the appropriate copies of the Federal CCF to the MRO and to the federal agency’s designated representative. The federal agency may choose to provide the collection site with a standard protocol to follow in lieu of requiring the collector to notify the agency’s designated representative for authorization in each case. If an alternate specimen is authorized, the collector may begin the collection procedure for the alternate specimen (see Section 8.7) in accordance with the Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs using the alternative specimen.
designated representative for authorization in each case. If an alternate specimen is authorized, the collector may begin the collection procedure for the alternate specimen (see Section 8.7) in accordance with the Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs using the alternative specimen.

Section 8.7 If the donor is unable to provide a urine specimen, may another specimen type be collected for testing?

Yes, if the alternate specimen type is authorized by Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs and specifically authorized by the federal agency.

Section 8.8 How does the collector prepare the urine specimens?

(a) All federal agency collections are to be split specimen collections.

(b) The collector, in the presence of the donor, pours the urine from the collection container into two specimen bottles to be labeled “A” and “B”. The collector pours at least 30 mL of urine into Bottle A and at least 15 mL into Bottle B, and caps each bottle.

(c) In the presence of the donor, the collector places a tamper-evident label/seal from the Federal CCF over each specimen bottle cap. The collector records the date of the collection on the tamper-evident labels/seals.

(d) The collector instructs the donor to initial the tamper-evident labels/seals on each specimen bottle. If the donor refuses to initial the labels/seals, the collector notes the refusal on the Federal CCF and continues with the collection process.

(e) The collector must ensure that all the information required on the Federal CCF is provided.

(f) The collector asks the donor to read and sign a statement on the Federal CCF certifying that the specimens identified were collected from the donor. If the donor refuses to sign the certification statement, the collector notes the refusal on the Federal CCF and continues with the collection process.

(g) The collector signs and prints their name on the Federal CCF, completes the Federal CCF, and distributes the copies of the Federal CCF as required.

(h) The collector seals the specimens (Bottle A and Bottle B) in a package and, within 24 hours or during the next business day, sends them to the HHS-certified laboratory or IITF that will be testing the Bottle A urine specimen.

(i) If the specimen and Federal CCF are not immediately transported to an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF, they must remain under direct control of the collector or be appropriately secured under proper specimen storage conditions until transported.

(j) The collector must discard any urine left over in the collection container after both specimen bottles have been appropriately filled and sealed. There is one exception to this requirement: The collector may use excess urine to conduct clinical tests (e.g., protein, glucose) if the collection was conducted in conjunction with a physical examination required by federal agency regulation. Neither the collector nor anyone else may conduct further testing (such as specimen validity testing) on the excess urine.

Section 8.9 When is a direct observed collection conducted?

A direct observed collection procedure must be conducted when:

(a) The agency has authorized a direct observed collection because:

(1) The donor’s previous drug test result was reported by an MRO as positive, adulterated, or substituted; or

(2) The HHS-certified laboratory reports to the MRO that a specimen is invalid, and the MRO reported to the agency that there was not a legitimate medical explanation for the result; or

(3) The MRO reported to the agency that the primary bottle (A) specimen was positive, adulterated, or substituted; or

(4) The MRO reported to the agency that the secondary bottle (B) specimen was positive, adulterated, or substituted; or

(5) The MRO reported to the agency that the specimen was positive, adulterated, or substituted; or

(6) The collector then continues with the routine collection procedure in Section 4.4.

(b) At the point in a routine collection where the donor enters the restroom with the collection container, a direct observed collection includes the following additional steps:

(1) The observer enters the restroom with the donor;

(2) The observer must directly watch the urine go from the donor’s body into the collection container (the use of mirrors or video cameras is not permitted);

(3) The observer must not touch or handle the collection container unless the observer is also serving as the collector;

(4) After the donor has completed urinating into the collection container:

(i) The same person serves as the observer and collector, that person may receive the collection container from the donor while they are both in the restroom;

(ii) If the observer is not serving as the collector, the observer leaves the restroom and the donor hands the collection container directly to the collector. The observer must maintain visual contact of the collection container until the donor hands the container to the observer.

(5) The collector checks the box for an observed collection on the Federal CCF and writes the name of the observer and the reason for an observed collection on the Federal CCF; and

(6) The collector then continues with the routine collection procedure in Section 8.3.
Section 8.11 When is a monitored collection conducted?

(a) In the event that an agency-designated collection site is not available and there is an immediate requirement to collect a specimen (e.g., an accident investigation), a public restroom may be used for the collection, using the procedures for a monitored collection described in Section 8.12.

(b) If the enclosure used by the donor to provide a specimen has a source of water that cannot be disabled or secured, a monitored collection must be conducted.

(c) If the donor declines to permit a collection to be monitored when required, the collector reports a refusal to test (i.e., as described in Section 8.13).

Section 8.12 How is a monitored collection conducted?

A monitored collection is the same as that for a routine collection, except that a monitor accompanies the donor into the restroom to check for signs that the donor may be tampering with the specimen. The monitor remains in the restroom, but outside the stall, while the donor is providing the specimen. A person of the same gender as the donor shall serve as the monitor, unless the monitor is a medical professional (e.g., nurse, doctor, physician’s assistant, technologist, or technician licensed or certified to practice in the jurisdiction in which the collection takes place). The same procedures used for selecting an observer of the appropriate gender in Section 8.10(b) must be used to select the monitor for the purposes of Section 8.12, unless the monitor is a medical professional as described above. The monitor may be an individual other than the collector and need not be a qualified collector.

(a) The collector secures the restroom being used for the monitored collection so that no one except the employee and the monitor can enter the restroom until after the collection has been completed.

(b) The monitor enters the restroom with the donor.

(c) The monitor must not watch the employee urinate into the collection container. If the monitor hears sounds or makes other observations indicating an attempt by the donor to tamper with a specimen, there must be an additional collection under direct observation in accordance with Section 8.9.

(d) The monitor must not touch or handle the collection container unless the monitor is also the collector.

(e) After the donor has completed urinating into the collection container:

(1) If the same person serves as the monitor and collector, that person may receive the collection container from the donor while they are both in the restroom;

(2) If the monitor is not serving as the collector, the donor and monitor leave the restroom and the donor hands the collection container directly to the collector. The monitor must ensure that the employee takes the collection container directly to the collector as soon as the employee has exited the enclosure.

(f) If the monitor is not serving as the collector, the collector writes the name of the monitor on the Federal CCF.

(g) The collector then continues with the routine collection procedure in Section 8.3.

Section 8.13 How does the collector report a donor’s refusal to test?

If there is a refusal to test as defined in Section 1.7, the collector stops the collection, discards any urine collected and reports the refusal to test by:

(a) Notifying the federal agency by means (e.g., telephone, email, or secure fax) that ensures that the notification is immediately received,

(b) Documenting the refusal to test on the Federal CCF, and

(c) Sending all copies of the Federal CCF to the federal agency’s designated representative.

Section 8.14 What are a federal agency’s responsibilities for a collection site?

(a) A federal agency must ensure that collectors and collection sites satisfy all requirements in subparts D, E, F, G, and H.

(b) A federal agency (or only one federal agency when several agencies are using the same collection site) must inspect 5 percent or up to a maximum of 50 collection sites each year, selected randomly from those sites used to collect agency specimens (e.g., virtual, onsite, or self-evaluation).

(c) A federal agency must investigate reported collection site deficiencies (e.g., specimens reported “rejected for testing” by an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF) and take appropriate action which may include a collection site self-assessment (i.e., using the Collection Site Checklist for the Collection of Urine Specimens for Federal Agency Workplace Drug Testing Programs) or an inspection of the collection site. The inspections of these additional collection sites may be included in the 5 percent or maximum of 50 collection sites inspected annually.

Subpart I—HHS Certification of Laboratories and IITFs

Section 9.1 Who has the authority to certify laboratories and IITFs to test urine specimens for federal agencies?

(a) The Secretary has broad discretion to take appropriate action to ensure the full reliability and accuracy of drug testing and reporting, to resolve problems related to drug testing, and to enforce all standards set forth in these Guidelines. The Secretary has the authority to issue directives to any HHS-certified laboratory or IITF including suspending the use of certain analytical procedures when necessary to protect the integrity of the testing process; ordering any HHS-certified laboratory or IITF to undertake corrective actions to respond to material deficiencies identified by an inspection or through performance testing; ordering any HHS-certified laboratory or IITF to send specimens or specimen aliquots to another HHS-certified laboratory for retesting when necessary to ensure the accuracy of testing under these Guidelines; ordering the review of results for specimens tested under the Guidelines for private sector clients to the extent necessary to ensure the full reliability of drug testing for federal agencies; and ordering any other action necessary to address deficiencies in drug testing, analysis, specimen collection, chain of custody, reporting of results, or any other aspect of the certification program.

(b) A laboratory or IITF is prohibited from stating or implying that it is certified by HHS under these Guidelines to test urine specimens for federal agencies unless it holds such certification.

Section 9.2 What is the process for a laboratory or IITF to become HHS-certified?

(a) A laboratory or IITF seeking HHS certification must:

(1) Submit a completed OMB-approved application form (i.e., the applicant laboratory or IITF provides detailed information on both the administrative and analytical procedures to be used for federally regulated specimens);

(2) Have its application reviewed as complete and accepted by HHS;

(3) Successfully complete the PT challenges in 3 consecutive sets of initial PT samples;

(4) Satisfy all the requirements for an initial inspection; and

(5) Receive notification of certification from the Secretary before testing specimens for federal agencies.
Section 9.3 What is the process for a laboratory or IITF to maintain HHS certification?

(a) To maintain HHS certification, a laboratory or IITF must:

(1) Successfully participate in both the maintenance PT and inspection programs (i.e., successfully test the required quarterly sets of maintenance PT samples, undergo an inspection 3 months after being certified, and undergo maintenance inspections at a minimum of every 6 months thereafter);

(2) Respond in an appropriate, timely, and complete manner to required corrective action requests if deficiencies are identified in the maintenance PT performance, during the inspections, operations, or reporting; and

(3) Satisfactorily complete corrective remedial actions, and undergo special inspection and special PT sets to maintain or restore certification when material deficiencies occur in either the PT program, inspection program, or in operations and reporting.

Section 9.4 What is the process when a laboratory or IITF does not maintain its HHS certification?

(a) A laboratory or IITF that does not maintain its HHS certification must:

(1) Stop testing federally regulated specimens;

(2) Ensure the security of federally regulated specimens and records throughout the required storage period described in Sections 11.20, 11.21, 12.18, and 14.8;

(3) Ensure access to federally regulated specimens and records in accordance with Sections 11.23, 11.24, 12.20, 12.21, and Subpart P; and

(4) Follow the HHS suspension and revocation procedures when imposed by the Secretary, follow the HHS procedures in Subpart P that will be used for all actions associated with the suspension and/or revocation of HHS-certification.

Section 9.5 What are the qualitative and quantitative specifications of performance testing (PT) samples?

(a) PT samples used to evaluate drug tests will be prepared using the following specifications:

(1) PT samples may contain one or more of the drugs and drug metabolites in the drug classes listed in Section 3.4 and must satisfy one of the following parameters:

(i) The concentration of a drug or metabolite will be at least 20 percent above the initial test cutoff concentration for the drug or drug metabolite;

(ii) The concentration of a drug or metabolite may differ from 9.5(a)(1)(i) and 9.5(a)(1)(ii) for a special purpose.

(2) A PT sample may contain an interfering substance, an adulterant, or satisfy the criteria for a substituted specimen, dilute specimen, or invalid result.

(3) A negative PT sample will not contain a measurable amount of a target analyte.

(b) PT samples used to evaluate specimen validity tests shall satisfy, but are not limited to, one of the following criteria:

(1) The nitrite concentration will be at least 20 percent above the cutoff;

(2) The pH will be between 1.5 and 5.0 or between 8.5 and 12.5;

(3) The concentration of an oxidant will be at a level sufficient to challenge a laboratory’s ability to identify and confirm the oxidant;

(4) The creatinine concentration will be between 6 and 20 mg/dL; or

(5) The specific gravity will be less than or equal to 1.0050 or between 1.0170 and 1.0230.

(c) For each PT cycle, the set of PT samples going to each HHS-certified laboratory or IITF will vary but, within each calendar year, each HHS-certified laboratory or IITF will analyze essentially the same total set of samples.

(d) The laboratory or IITF must (to the greatest extent possible) handle, test, and report a PT sample in a manner identical to that used for a donor specimen, unless otherwise specified.

Section 9.6 What are the PT requirements for an applicant laboratory?

(a) An applicant laboratory that seeks certification under these Guidelines must satisfy the following criteria on three consecutive sets of PT samples:

(1) Have no false positive results;

(2) Correctly identify, confirm, and report at least 90 percent of the total drug challenges for an individual drug over the three sets of PT samples;

(3) Correctly identify at least 80 percent of the drug challenges for each initial drug test over the three sets of PT samples;

(4) For the confirmatory drug tests, correctly determine the concentrations [i.e., no more than ±20 percent or ±2 standard deviations (whichever is larger) from the appropriate reference or peer group means] for at least 80 percent of the drug challenges for an individual drug over the three sets of PT samples;

(b) Failure to satisfy these requirements will result in disqualification.
Section 9.7 What are the PT requirements for an HHS-certified urine laboratory?

(a) A laboratory certified under these Guidelines must satisfy the following criteria on the maintenance PT samples:
   (1) Have no false positive results;
   (2) Correctly identify, confirm, and report at least 90 percent of the total drug challenges over two consecutive PT cycles;
   (3) Correctly identify at least 80 percent of the drug challenges for each initial drug test over two consecutive PT cycles;
   (4) For the confirmatory drug tests, correctly determine that the concentrations for at least 80 percent of the total drug challenges are no more than ±20 percent or ±2 standard deviations (whichever is larger) from the appropriate reference or peer group means over two consecutive PT cycles;
   (5) For the confirmatory drug tests, obtain no more than one drug concentration on a PT sample that differs by more than ±50 percent from the appropriate reference or peer group mean over two consecutive PT cycles;
   (6) For each confirmatory drug test, correctly identify and determine that the concentrations for at least 50 percent of the drug challenges for an individual drug are no more than ±20 percent or ±2 standard deviations (whichever is larger) from the appropriate reference or peer group means over two consecutive PT cycles;
   (7) Correctly identify at least 80 percent of the total specimen validity testing challenges over two consecutive PT cycles;
   (8) Correctly identify at least 80 percent of the challenges for each individual specimen validity test over two consecutive PT cycles;
   (9) For quantitative specimen validity tests, obtain quantitative values for at least 80 percent of the total challenges over two consecutive PT cycles that satisfy the following criteria:
      (i) Nitrite and creatinine concentrations are no more than ±20 percent or ±2 standard deviations from the appropriate reference or peer group mean;
      (ii) pH values are no more than ±0.3 pH units from the appropriate reference or peer group mean using a pH meter; and
      (iii) Specific gravity values are no more than ±0.003 specific gravity units from the appropriate reference or peer group mean when the mean is less than 1.0100 and specific gravity values are no more than ±0.0004 specific gravity units from the appropriate reference or peer group mean when the mean is equal to or greater than 1.0100;
   (10) Obtain no more than one quantitative value over 2 consecutive PT cycles on a specimen validity test PT sample that differs from the appropriate reference or peer group mean by more than ±50 percent for nitrite and creatinine concentrations, ±0.8 pH units using a pH meter, ±0.0006 specific gravity units when the mean is less than 1.0100, or ±0.0007 specific gravity units when the mean is equal to or greater than 1.0100; and
   (11) Do not report any PT sample as adulterated with a compound that is not present in the sample, adulterated based on pH when the appropriate reference or peer group mean is within the acceptable pH range, or substituted when the appropriate reference or peer group means for both creatinine and specific gravity are within the acceptable range.
   (b) Failure to participate in all PT cycles or to satisfy these requirements may result in suspension or revocation of an HHS-certified laboratory’s certification.

Section 9.8 What are the PT requirements for an applicant IITF?

(a) An applicant IITF that seeks certification under these Guidelines must satisfy the following criteria on three consecutive sets of PT samples:
   (1) Correctly identify at least 90 percent of the total drug challenges over the three sets of PT samples;
   (2) Correctly identify at least 80 percent of the drug challenges for each individual drug test over the three sets of PT samples;
   (3) Correctly identify at least 80 percent of the total specimen validity test challenges over the three sets of PT samples;
   (4) Correctly identify at least 80 percent of the challenges for each individual specimen validity test over the three sets of PT samples;
   (5) For quantitative specimen validity tests, obtain quantitative values for at least 80 percent of the total specimen validity test challenges over two consecutive PT cycles that satisfy the following criteria:
      (i) Creatinine concentrations are no more than ±20 percent or ±2 standard deviations (whichever is larger) from the appropriate reference or peer group mean; and
      (ii) Specific gravity values are no more than ±0.001 specific gravity units from the appropriate reference or peer group mean; and
   (6) Obtain no more than one quantitative value over 2 consecutive PT cycles on a specimen validity test PT sample that differs from the appropriate reference or peer group mean by more than ±50 percent for creatinine concentration, or ±0.002 specific gravity units for specific gravity.
   (b) Failure to participate in all PT cycles or to satisfy these requirements may result in suspension or revocation of an HHS-certified IITF’s certification.

Section 9.9 What are the PT requirements for an HHS-certified IITF?

(a) An IITF certified under these Guidelines must satisfy the following criteria on the maintenance PT samples to maintain its certification:
   (1) Correctly identify at least 90 percent of the total drug challenges over two consecutive PT cycles;
   (2) Correctly identify at least 80 percent of the drug challenges for each individual drug test over two consecutive PT cycles;
   (3) Correctly identify at least 80 percent of the total specimen validity test challenges over two consecutive PT cycles;
   (4) Correctly identify at least 80 percent of the challenges for each individual specimen validity test over two consecutive PT cycles;
   (5) For quantitative specimen validity tests, obtain quantitative values for at least 80 percent of the total specimen validity test challenges over two consecutive PT cycles that satisfy the following criteria:
      (i) Creatinine concentrations are no more than ±20 percent or ±2 standard deviations (whichever is larger) from the appropriate reference or peer group mean; and
      (ii) Specific gravity values are no more than ±0.001 specific gravity units from the appropriate reference or peer group mean; and
   (6) Must not obtain any quantitative value on a specimen validity test PT sample that differs from the appropriate reference or peer group mean by more than ±50 percent for creatinine concentration, or ±0.002 specific gravity units for specific gravity.
   (b) Failure to satisfy these requirements will result in disqualification.

Section 9.10 What are the inspection requirements for an applicant laboratory or IITF?

(a) An applicant laboratory or IITF is inspected by a team of two inspectors.
   (b) Each inspector conducts an independent review and evaluation of all aspects of the laboratory’s or IITF’s testing procedures and facilities using an inspection checklist.
Section 9.11 What are the maintenance inspection requirements for an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF?

(a) An HHS-certified laboratory or IITF must undergo an inspection 3 months after becoming certified and at least every 6 months thereafter.

(b) An HHS-certified laboratory or IITF is inspected by one or more inspectors. The number of inspectors is determined according to the number of specimens reviewed. Additional information regarding inspections is available from SAMHSA.

(c) Each inspector conducts an independent evaluation and review of the HHS-certified laboratory’s or IITF’s procedures, records, and facilities using guidance provided by the Secretary.

(d) To remain certified, an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF must continue to satisfy the minimum requirements as stated in these Guidelines.

Section 9.12 Who can inspect an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF and when may the inspection be conducted?

(a) An individual may be selected as an inspector for the Secretary if they satisfy the following criteria:

(1) Has experience and an educational background similar to that required for either a responsible person or a certifying scientist for an HHS-certified laboratory as described in Subpart K or as a responsible technician for an HHS-certified IITF as described in Subpart L;

(2) Has read and thoroughly understands the policies and requirements contained in these Guidelines and in other guidance consistent with these Guidelines provided by the Secretary;

(3) Submits a resume and documentation of qualifications to HHS;

(4) Attends approved training; and

(5) Performs acceptably as an inspector on an inspection of an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF.

(b) The Secretary or a federal agency may conduct an inspection at any time.

Section 9.13 What happens if an applicant laboratory or IITF does not satisfy the minimum requirements for either the PT program or the inspection program?

If an applicant laboratory or IITF fails to satisfy the requirements established for the initial certification process, the laboratory or IITF must start the certification process from the beginning.

Section 9.14 What happens if an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF does not satisfy the minimum requirements for either the PT program or the inspection program?

(a) If an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF fails to satisfy the minimum requirements for certification, the laboratory or IITF is given a period of time (e.g., 5 or 30 working days depending on the nature of the deficiency) to provide any explanation for its performance and evidence that all deficiencies have been corrected.

(b) A laboratory’s or IITF’s HHS certification may be revoked, suspended, or no further action taken depending on the seriousness of the deficiencies and whether there is evidence that the deficiencies have been corrected and that current performance meets the requirements for certification.

(c) An HHS-certified laboratory or IITF may be required to undergo a special inspection or to test additional PT samples to address deficiencies.

(d) If an HHS-certified laboratory’s or IITF’s certification is revoked or suspended in accordance with the process described in Subpart P, the laboratory or IITF is not permitted to test federally regulated specimens until the suspension is lifted or the laboratory or IITF has successfully completed the certification requirements as a new applicant laboratory or IITF.

Section 9.15 What factors are considered in determining whether revocation of a laboratory’s or IITF’s HHS certification is necessary?

(a) The Secretary shall revoke certification of an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF in accordance with these Guidelines if the Secretary determines that revocation is necessary to ensure fully reliable and accurate drug and specimen validity test results and reports.

(b) The Secretary shall consider the following factors in determining whether revocation is necessary:

(1) Unsatisfactory performance in analyzing and reporting the results of drug and specimen validity tests (e.g., an HHS-certified laboratory reporting a false positive result for an employee’s drug test);

(2) Unsatisfactory participation in performance testing or inspections;

(3) A material violation of a certification standard, contract term, or other condition imposed on the HHS-certified laboratory or IITF by a federal agency using the laboratory’s or IITF’s services;

(4) Conviction for any criminal offense committed as an incident to operation of the HHS-certified laboratory or IITF; or

(5) Any other cause that materially affects the ability of the HHS-certified laboratory or IITF to ensure fully reliable and accurate drug test results and reports.

(c) The period and terms of revocation shall be determined by the Secretary and shall depend upon the facts and circumstances of the revocation and the need to ensure accurate and reliable drug testing.

Section 9.16 What factors are considered in determining whether to suspend a laboratory’s or IITF’s HHS certification?

(a) The Secretary may immediately suspend (either partially or fully) a laboratory’s or IITF’s HHS certification to conduct drug testing for federal agencies if the Secretary has reason to believe that revocation may be required and that immediate action is necessary to protect the interests of the United States and its employees.

(b) The Secretary shall determine the period and terms of suspension based upon the facts and circumstances of the suspension and the need to ensure accurate and reliable drug testing.

Section 9.17 How does the Secretary notify an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF that action is being taken against the laboratory or IITF?

(a) When laboratory’s or IITF’s HHS certification is suspended or the Secretary seeks to revoke HHS certification, the Secretary shall immediately serve the HHS-certified laboratory or IITF with written notice of the suspension or proposed revocation by facsimile, mail, personal service, or registered or certified mail, return receipt requested. This notice shall state the following:

(1) The reasons for the suspension or proposed revocation;

(2) The terms of the suspension or proposed revocation; and

(3) The period of suspension or proposed revocation.

(b) The written notice shall state that the laboratory or IITF will be afforded an opportunity for an informal review of the suspension or proposed revocation if it so requests in writing within 30 days of the date the laboratory or IITF received the notice, or if expedited review is requested, within 3 days of the date the laboratory or IITF received the notice. Subpart P contains detailed procedures to be followed for an informal review of the suspension or proposed revocation.

(c) A suspension must be effective immediately. A proposed revocation
must be effective 30 days after written notice is given or, if review is requested, upon the reviewing official’s decision to uphold the proposed revocation. If the reviewing official decides not to uphold the suspension or proposed revocation, the suspension must terminate immediately and any proposed revocation shall not take effect.

(d) The Secretary will publish in the Federal Register the name, address, and telephone number of any HHS-certified laboratory or IITF that has its certification revoked or suspended under Section 9.13 or Section 9.14, respectively, and the name of any HHS-certified laboratory or IITF that has its suspension lifted. The Secretary shall provide to any member of the public upon request the written notice provided to a laboratory or IITF that has its HHS certification suspended or revoked, as well as the reviewing official’s written decision which upholds or denies the suspension or proposed revocation under the procedures of Subpart P.

Section 9.18 May a laboratory or IITF that had its HHS certification revoked be recertified to test federal agency specimens?

Following revocation, a laboratory or IITF may apply for recertification. Unless otherwise provided by the Secretary in the notice of revocation under Section 9.17 or the revocation decision under Section 16.9(e) or 16.14(a), a laboratory or IITF which has had its certification revoked may reapply for HHS certification as an applicant laboratory or IITF.

Section 9.19 Where is the list of HHS-certified laboratories and IITFs published?

(a) The list of HHS-certified laboratories and IITFs is published monthly in the Federal Register. This notice is also available on the Internet at http://www.samhsa.gov/workplace.

(b) An applicant laboratory or IITF is not included on the list.

Subpart J—Laboratory

Section 10.1 What are the requirements for federal agencies to submit blind samples to HHS-certified laboratories or IITFs?

(a) Each federal agency is required to submit blind samples for its workplace drug testing program. The collector must send the blind samples to the HHS-certified laboratory or IITF that the collector sends employee specimens.

(b) Each federal agency must submit at least 3 percent blind samples along with its donor specimens based on the projected total number of donor specimens collected per year (up to a maximum of 400 blind samples). Every effort should be made to ensure that blind samples are submitted quarterly.

(c) Approximately 75 percent of the blind samples submitted each year by an agency must be negative, 15 percent must be positive for one or more drugs, and 10 percent must either be adulterated or substituted.

Section 10.2 What are the requirements for blind samples?

(a) Drug positive blind samples must be validated by the supplier as to their content using appropriate initial and confirmatory tests.

(b) Drug positive blind samples must be fortified with one or more of the drugs or metabolites listed in Section 3.4.

(c) Drug positive blind samples must contain concentrations of drugs between 1.5 and 2 times the initial drug test cutoff concentration.

(d) Drug positive blind samples must be validated by the supplier as negative using appropriate initial and confirmatory tests.

(e) A blind sample that is adulterated must be validated using appropriate initial and confirmatory specimen validity tests, and have the characteristics to clearly show that it is an adulterated sample at the time of validation.

(f) A blind sample that is substituted must be validated using appropriate initial and confirmatory specimen validity tests, and have the characteristics to clearly show that it is a substituted sample at the time of validation.

Section 10.3 How is a blind sample submitted to an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF?

(a) A blind sample must be submitted as a split specimen (specimens A and B) with the current Federal CCF that the HHS-certified laboratory or IITF uses for donor specimens. The collector provides the required information to ensure that the Federal CCF has been properly completed and provides fictitious initials on the specimen label/
Section 11.2 What are the responsibilities of the responsible person (RP)?

(a) Manage the day-to-day operations of the HHS-certified laboratory even if another individual has overall responsibility for alternate areas of a multi-specialty laboratory.

(b) Ensure that there are sufficient personnel with adequate training and experience to supervise and conduct the work of the HHS-certified laboratory. The RP must ensure the continued competency of laboratory staff by documenting their in-service training, reviewing their work performance, and verifying their skills.

(c) Maintain a complete and current SOP manual that is available to all personnel of the HHS-certified laboratory and ensure that it is followed. The SOP manual must be reviewed, signed, and dated by the RP(s) when procedures are first placed into use and when changed or when a new individual assumes responsibility for the management of the HHS-certified laboratory. The SOP must be reviewed and documented by the RP annually.

(d) Maintain a quality assurance program that ensures the proper performance and reporting of all test results; verify and monitor acceptable analytical performance for all controls and calibrators; monitor quality control testing; and document the validity, reliability, accuracy, precision, and performance characteristics of each test and test system.

(e) Initiate and implement all remedial actions necessary to maintain satisfactory operation and performance of the HHS-certified laboratory in response to the following: Quality control systems not within performance specifications; errors in result reporting or in analysis of performance testing samples; and inspection deficiencies. The RP must ensure that specimen results are not reported until all corrective actions have been taken and that the results provided are accurate and reliable.

Section 11.3 What scientific qualifications must the RP have?

The RP must have documented scientific qualifications in analytical toxicology.

Minimum qualifications are:

(a) Certification or licensure as a laboratory director by the state in forensic or clinical laboratory toxicology, a Ph.D. in one of the natural sciences, or training and experience comparable to a Ph.D. in one of the natural sciences with training and laboratory/research experience in biology, chemistry, and pharmacology or toxicology;

(b) Experience in forensic toxicology with emphasis on the collection and analysis of biological specimens for drugs of abuse;

(c) Experience in forensic applications of analytical toxicology (e.g., publications, court testimony, conducting research on the pharmacology and toxicology of drugs of abuse) or qualify as an expert witness in forensic toxicology;

(d) Fulfillment of the RP responsibilities and qualifications, as demonstrated by the HHS-certified laboratory’s performance and verified upon interview by HHS-trained inspectors during each on-site inspection; and

(e) Qualify as a certifying scientist.

Section 11.4 What happens when the RP is absent or leaves an HHS-certified laboratory?

(a) HHS-certified laboratories must have multiple RPs or one RP and an alternate RP. If the RP(s) are concurrently absent, an alternate RP must be present and qualified to fulfill the responsibilities of the RP.

(1) If an HHS-certified laboratory is without the RP and alternate RP for 14 calendar days or less (e.g., temporary absence due to vacation, illness, or business trip), the HHS-certified laboratory may continue operations and testing of federal agency specimens under the direction of a certifying scientist.

(2) The Secretary, in accordance with these Guidelines, will suspend a laboratory’s HHS certification for all specimens if the laboratory does not have an RP or alternate RP for a period of more than 14 calendar days. The suspension will be lifted upon the Secretary’s approval of a new permanent RP or alternate RP.

(b) If the RP leaves an HHS-certified laboratory:

(1) The HHS-certified laboratory may maintain certification and continue testing federally regulated specimens under the direction of an alternate RP for a period of up to 180 days while seeking to hire and receive the Secretary’s approval of the RP’s replacement.

(2) The Secretary, in accordance with these Guidelines, will suspend a laboratory’s HHS certification for all federally regulated specimens if the laboratory does not have a permanent RP within 180 days. The suspension will be lifted upon the Secretary’s approval of the new permanent RP.

(c) To nominate an individual as an RP or alternate RP, the HHS-certified laboratory must submit the following documents to the Secretary: The candidate’s current resume or curriculum vitae, copies of diplomas and licensures, a training plan (not to exceed 90 days) to transition the candidate into the position, an itemized comparison of the candidate’s qualifications to the minimum RP qualifications described in the Guidelines, and have official academic transcript(s) submitted from the candidate’s institution(s) of higher learning. The candidate must be found qualified during an on-site inspection of the HHS-certified laboratory. The HHS-certified laboratory must fulfill additional inspection and PT criteria as required prior to conducting federally regulated testing under a new RP.

Section 11.5 What qualifications must an individual have to certify a result reported by an HHS-certified laboratory?

(a) A certifying scientist must have:

(1) At least a bachelor’s degree in the chemical or biological sciences or medical technology, or equivalent;

(2) Training and experience in the analytical methods and forensic procedures used by the HHS-certified laboratory relevant to the results that the individual certifies; and

(3) Training and experience in reviewing and reporting forensic test results and maintaining chain of custody, and an understanding of appropriate remedial actions in response to problems that may arise.

(b) A certifying technician must have:

(1) Training and experience in the analytical methods and forensic procedures used by the HHS-certified laboratory relevant to the results that the individual certifies; and
(2) Training and experience in reviewing and reporting forensic test results and maintaining chain of custody, and an understanding of appropriate remedial actions in response to problems that may arise.

Section 11.6 What qualifications and training must other personnel of an HHS-certified laboratory have?

(a) All HHS-certified laboratory staff (e.g., technicians, administrative staff) must have the appropriate training and skills for the tasks they perform.

(b) Each individual working in an HHS-certified laboratory must be properly trained (i.e., receive training in each area of work that the individual will be performing, including training in forensic procedures related to their job duties) before they are permitted to work independently with federally regulated specimens. All training must be documented.

Section 11.7 What security measures must an HHS-certified laboratory maintain?

(a) An HHS-certified laboratory must control access to the drug testing facility, specimens, aliquots, and records.

(b) Authorized visitors must be escorted at all times, except for individuals conducting inspections (i.e., for the Department, a federal agency, a state, or other accrediting agency) or emergency personnel (e.g., firefighters and medical rescue teams).

(c) An HHS-certified laboratory must maintain records documenting the identity of the visitor and escort, date, time of entry and exit, and purpose for access to the secured area.

Section 11.8 What are the laboratory chain of custody requirements for specimens and aliquots?

(a) HHS-certified laboratories must use chain of custody procedures (internal and external) to maintain control and accountability of specimens from the time of receipt at the laboratory through completion of testing, reporting of results, during storage, and continuing until final disposition of the specimens.

(b) HHS-certified laboratories must use chain of custody procedures to document the handling and transfer of aliquots throughout the testing process until final disposal.

(c) The chain of custody must be documented using either paper copy or electronic procedures.

(d) Each individual who handles a specimen or aliquot must sign and complete the appropriate entries on the chain of custody form when the specimen or aliquot is handled or transferred, and every individual in the chain must be identified.

(e) The date and purpose must be recorded on an appropriate chain of custody form each time a specimen or aliquot is handled or transferred.

Section 11.9 What test(s) does an HHS-certified laboratory conduct on a urine specimen received from an IITF?

An HHS-certified laboratory must test the specimen in the same manner as a specimen that had not been previously tested.

Section 11.10 What are the requirements for an initial drug test?

(a) An initial drug test may be:

(1) An immunoassay or
(2) An alternate technology (e.g., spectrometry, spectroscopy).

(b) An HHS-certified laboratory must validate an initial drug test before testing specimens.

(c) Initial drug tests must be accurate and reliable for the testing of specimens when identifying drugs or their metabolites.

(d) An HHS-certified laboratory may conduct a second initial drug test using a method with different specificity, to rule out cross-reacting compounds. This second initial drug test must satisfy the batch quality control requirements specified in Section 11.12.

Section 11.11 What must an HHS-certified laboratory do to validate an initial drug test?

(a) An HHS-certified laboratory must demonstrate and document the following for each initial drug test:

(1) The ability to differentiate negative specimens from those requiring further testing;
(2) The performance of the test around the cutoff concentration, using samples at several concentrations between 0 and 150 percent of the cutoff concentration;
(3) The effective concentration range of the test (linearity);
(4) The potential for carryover;
(5) The potential for interfering substances; and
(6) The potential matrix effects if using an alternate technology.

(b) Each new lot of reagent must be verified prior to being placed into service.

(c) Each initial drug test using an alternate technology must be re-verified periodically or at least annually.

Section 11.12 What are the batch quality control requirements when conducting an initial drug test?

(a) Each batch of specimens must contain the following controls:

(1) At least one control certified to contain no drug or drug metabolite;
(2) At least one positive control with the drug or drug metabolite targeted at a concentration 25 percent above the cutoff;
(3) At least one control with the drug or drug metabolite targeted at a concentration 75 percent of the cutoff; and
(4) At least one control that appears as a donor specimen to the analysts.

(b) Calibrators and controls must be used at least 10 percent of the aliquots analyzed in each batch.

(c) Chain of custody requirements for maintaining chain of custody procedures to specimens. (internal and external) to maintain control and accountability of specimens, and fecal samples.

(d) Each individual who handles a specimen or aliquot must sign and complete the appropriate entries on the chain of custody form when the specimen or aliquot is handled or transferred, and every individual in the chain must be identified.

(e) The date and purpose must be recorded on an appropriate chain of custody form each time a specimen or aliquot is handled or transferred.

Section 11.9 What test(s) does an HHS-certified laboratory conduct on a urine specimen received from an IITF?

An HHS-certified laboratory must test the specimen in the same manner as a specimen that had not been previously tested.

Section 11.10 What are the requirements for an initial drug test?

(a) An initial drug test may be:

(1) An immunoassay or
(2) An alternate technology (e.g., spectrometry, spectroscopy).

(b) An HHS-certified laboratory must validate an initial drug test before testing specimens.

(c) Initial drug tests must be accurate and reliable for the testing of specimens when identifying drugs or their metabolites.

(d) An HHS-certified laboratory may conduct a second initial drug test using a method with different specificity, to rule out cross-reacting compounds. This second initial drug test must satisfy the batch quality control requirements specified in Section 11.12.

Section 11.11 What must an HHS-certified laboratory do to validate an initial drug test?

(a) An HHS-certified laboratory must demonstrate and document the following for each initial drug test:

(1) The ability to differentiate negative specimens from those requiring further testing;
(2) The performance of the test around the cutoff concentration, using samples at several concentrations between 0 and 150 percent of the cutoff concentration;
(3) The effective concentration range of the test (linearity);
(4) The potential for carryover;
(5) The potential for interfering substances; and
(6) The potential matrix effects if using an alternate technology.

(b) Each new lot of reagent must be verified prior to being placed into service.

(c) Each initial drug test using an alternate technology must be re-verified periodically or at least annually.

Section 11.12 What are the batch quality control requirements when conducting an initial drug test?

(a) Each batch of specimens must contain the following controls:

(1) At least one control certified to contain no drug or drug metabolite;
(2) At least one positive control with the drug or drug metabolite targeted at a concentration 25 percent above the cutoff;
(3) At least one control with the drug or drug metabolite targeted at a concentration 75 percent of the cutoff; and
(4) At least one control that appears as a donor specimen to the analysts.

(b) Calibrators and controls must total at least 10 percent of the aliquots analyzed in each batch.

(c) Chain of custody requirements for maintaining chain of custody procedures to specimens. (internal and external) to maintain control and accountability of specimens, and fecal samples.

(d) Each individual who handles a specimen or aliquot must sign and complete the appropriate entries on the chain of custody form when the specimen or aliquot is handled or transferred, and every individual in the chain must be identified.

(e) The date and purpose must be recorded on an appropriate chain of custody form each time a specimen or aliquot is handled or transferred.

Section 11.9 What test(s) does an HHS-certified laboratory conduct on a urine specimen received from an IITF?

An HHS-certified laboratory must test the specimen in the same manner as a specimen that had not been previously tested.

Section 11.10 What are the requirements for an initial drug test?

(a) An initial drug test may be:

(1) An immunoassay or
(2) An alternate technology (e.g., spectrometry, spectroscopy).

(b) An HHS-certified laboratory must validate an initial drug test before testing specimens.

(c) Initial drug tests must be accurate and reliable for the testing of specimens when identifying drugs or their metabolites.

(d) An HHS-certified laboratory may conduct a second initial drug test using a method with different specificity, to rule out cross-reacting compounds. This second initial drug test must satisfy the batch quality control requirements specified in Section 11.12.

Section 11.11 What must an HHS-certified laboratory do to validate an initial drug test?

(a) An HHS-certified laboratory must demonstrate and document the following for each initial drug test:

(1) The ability to differentiate negative specimens from those requiring further testing;
(2) The performance of the test around the cutoff concentration, using samples at several concentrations between 0 and 150 percent of the cutoff concentration;
(3) The effective concentration range of the test (linearity);
(4) The potential for carryover;
(5) The potential for interfering substances; and
(6) The potential matrix effects if using an alternate technology.

(b) Each new lot of reagent must be verified prior to being placed into service.

(c) Each initial drug test using an alternate technology must be re-verified periodically or at least annually.

Section 11.12 What are the batch quality control requirements when conducting an initial drug test?

(a) Each batch of specimens must contain the following controls:

(1) At least one control certified to contain no drug or drug metabolite;
(2) At least one positive control with the drug or drug metabolite targeted at a concentration 25 percent above the cutoff;
(3) At least one control with the drug or drug metabolite targeted at a concentration 75 percent of the cutoff; and
(4) At least one control that appears as a donor specimen to the analysts.

(b) Calibrators and controls must total at least 10 percent of the aliquots analyzed in each batch.

(c) Chain of custody requirements for maintaining chain of custody procedures to specimens. (internal and external) to maintain control and accountability of specimens, and fecal samples.

(d) Each individual who handles a specimen or aliquot must sign and complete the appropriate entries on the chain of custody form when the specimen or aliquot is handled or transferred, and every individual in the chain must be identified.

(e) The date and purpose must be recorded on an appropriate chain of custody form each time a specimen or aliquot is handled or transferred.
(3) At least one positive control with the drug or drug metabolite targeted at 25 percent above the cutoff; and
(4) At least one control targeted at or less than 40 percent of the cutoff.
(b) Calibrators and controls must total at least 10 percent of the aliquots analyzed in each batch.

Section 11.16 What are the analytical and quality control requirements for conducting specimen validity tests?
(a) Each invalid, adulterated, or substituted specimen validity test result must be based on an initial specimen validity test on one aliquot and a confirmatory specimen validity test on a second aliquot:
(b) The HHS-certified laboratory must establish acceptance criteria and analyze calibrators and controls as appropriate to verify and document the validity of the test results (required specimen validity tests are addressed in Section 11.18); and
(c) Controls must be analyzed concurrently with specimens.

Section 11.17 What must an HHS-certified laboratory do to validate a specimen validity test?
An HHS-certified laboratory must demonstrate and document for each specimen validity test the appropriate performance characteristics of the test, and re-validate the test periodically, or at least annually. Each new lot of reagent must be verified prior to being placed into service.

Section 11.18 What are the requirements for conducting each specimen validity test?
(a) The requirements for measuring creatinine concentration are as follows:
(1) The creatinine concentration must be measured to one decimal place on both the initial creatinine test and the confirmatory creatinine test;
(2) The initial creatinine test must have the following calibrators and controls:
(i) A calibrator at 2 mg/dL;
(ii) A control in the range of 1.0 mg/dL to 1.5 mg/dL;
(iii) A control in the range of 3 mg/dL to 20 mg/dL;
(iv) A control in the range of 21 mg/dL to 25 mg/dL.
(3) The confirmatory creatinine test (performed on those specimens with a creatinine concentration less than 2 mg/dL on the initial test) must have the following calibrators and controls:
(i) A calibrator at 2 mg/dL;
(ii) A control in the range of 1.0 mg/dL to 1.5 mg/dL;
(iii) A control in the range of 3 mg/dL to 4 mg/dL.
(b) The requirements for measuring specific gravity are as follows:
(1) For specimens with initial creatinine test results greater than 5 mg/dL and less than 20 mg/dL, laboratories may perform a screening test using a refractometer that measures urine specific gravity to at least three decimal places to identify specific gravity values that are acceptable (equal to or greater than 1.003) or dilute (equal to or greater than 1.002 and less than 1.003). Specimens must be subjected to an initial specific gravity test using a four decimal place refractometer when the initial creatinine test result is less than or equal to 5 mg/dL or when the screening specific gravity test result using a three decimal place refractometer is less than 1.002.
(2) The screening specific gravity test must have the following calibrators and controls:
(i) A calibrator or control at 1.000;
(ii) One control targeted at 1.002;
(iii) One control in the range of 1.004 to 1.018.
(3) For the initial and confirmatory specific gravity tests, the refractometer must report and display specific gravity to four decimal places. The refractometer must be interfaced with a laboratory information management system (LIMS), computer, and/or generate a paper copy of the digital electronic display to document the numerical values of the specific gravity test results;
(4) The initial and confirmatory specific gravity tests must have the following calibrators and controls:
(i) A calibrator or control at 1.0000;
(ii) One control targeted at 1.0020;
(iii) One control in the range of 1.0040 to 1.0180; and
(iv) One control equal to or greater than 1.0200 but not greater than 1.0250.
(c) Requirements for measuring pH are as follows:
(1) Colorimetric pH tests that have the dynamic range of 3 to 12 to support the 4 and 11 pH cutoffs and pH meters must be capable of measuring pH to one decimal place. Colorimetric pH tests, dipsticks, and pH paper (i.e., screening tests) that have a narrow dynamic range and do not support the cutoffs may be used only to determine if an initial pH specimen validity test must be performed;
(2) For the initial and confirmatory pH tests, the pH meter must report and display pH to at least one decimal place. The pH meter must be interfaced with a LIMS, computer, and/or generate a paper copy of the digital electronic display to document the numerical values of the pH test results;
(3) pH screening tests must have, at a minimum, the following controls:
(i) One control below the lower decision point in use;
(ii) One control between the decision points in use; and
(iii) One control above the upper decision point in use;
(4) An initial colorimetric pH test must have the following calibrators and controls:
(i) One calibrator at 4;
(ii) One calibrator at 11;
(iii) One control in the range of 3 to 3.8;
(iv) One control in the range of 4.2 to 5;
(v) One control in the range of 5 to 9;
(vi) One control in the range of 10 to 10.8; and
(vii) One control in the range of 11.2 to 12;
(5) An initial pH meter test, if a pH screening test is not used, must have the following calibrators and controls:
(i) One calibrator at 3;
(ii) One calibrator at 7;
(iii) One calibrator at 10;
(iv) One control in the range of 3 to 3.8;
(v) One control in the range of 4.2 to 5;
(vi) One control in the range of 10 to 10.8; and
(vii) One control in the range of 11.2 to 12;
(6) An initial pH meter test (if a pH screening test is used) or confirmatory pH meter test must have the following calibrators and controls when the result of the preceding pH test indicates that the pH is below the lower decision point in use:
(i) One calibrator at 4;
(ii) One calibrator at 7;
(iii) One control in the range of 3 to 3.8; and
(iv) One control in the range of 4.2 to 5; and
(7) An initial pH meter test (if a pH screening test is used) or confirmatory pH meter test must have the following calibrators and controls when the result of the preceding pH test indicates that the pH is above the upper decision point in use:
(i) One calibrator at 7;
(ii) One calibrator at 10;
(iii) One control in the range of 10 to 10.8; and
(iv) One control in the range of 11.2 to 12.
(d) Requirements for performing oxidizing adulterant tests are as follows:
(1) The initial test must include an appropriate calibrator at the cutoff specified in Sections 11.19(d)(2), (3), or (4) for the compound of interest, a control without the compound of interest (i.e., a certified negative control), and at least one control with
one of the compounds of interest at a measurable concentration; and
(2) A confirmatory test for a specific oxidizing adulterant must use a different analytical method than that used for the initial test. Each confirmatory test batch must include an appropriate calibrator, a control without the compound of interest (i.e., a certified negative control), and a control with the compound of interest at a measurable concentration.
(e) The requirements for measuring the nitrite concentration are that the initial and confirmatory nitrite tests must have a calibrator at the cutoff concentration, a control without nitrite (i.e., certified negative urine), one control in the range of 200 mcg/mL to 250 mcg/mL, and one control in the range of 500 mcg/mL to 625 mcg/mL.

Section 11.19 What are the requirements for an HHS-certified laboratory to report a test result?

(a) Laboratories must report a test result to the agency’s MRO within an average of 5 working days after receipt of the specimen. Reports must use the Federal CCF and/or an electronic report. Before any test result can be reported, it must be certified by a certifying scientist or a certifying technician (as appropriate).
(b) A primary (A) specimen is reported negative when each initial drug test is negative or if the specimen is negative upon confirmatory drug testing, and the specimen does not meet invalid criteria as described in items (h)(1) through (h)(12) below.
(c) A primary (A) specimen is reported positive for a specific drug or drug metabolite when both the initial drug test is positive and the confirmatory drug test is positive in accordance with Section 3.4.
(d) A primary (A) urine specimen is reported adulterated when:
(1) The pH is less than 4 or equal to or greater than 11 using either a pH meter or a colorimetric pH test for the initial test on the first aliquot and a pH meter for the confirmatory test on the second aliquot;
(2) The nitrate concentration is equal to or greater than 500 mcg/mL using either a nitrate colorimetric test or a general oxidant colorimetric test for the initial test on the first aliquot and a different confirmatory test (e.g., multi-wavelength spectrophotometry, ion chromatography, capillary electrophoresis) on the second aliquot;
(3) The presence of chromium (VI) concentration equal to or greater than 50 mcg/mL for the initial test on the first aliquot and a different confirmatory test (e.g., multi-wavelength spectrophotometry) with an equal to or greater than 100 mcg/mL dodecylbenzene sulfonate-equivalent cutoff on the second aliquot; or
(4) The presence of halogen (e.g., bleach, iodine, fluoride) is verified using either a general oxidant colorimetric test (with an equal to or greater than 200 mcg/mL nitrite-equivalent cutoff or an equal to or greater than 50 mcg/mL chromium (VI)-equivalent cutoff) or a chromium (VI) colorimetric test for both the initial and confirmatory test on the second aliquot; or
(5) The presence of glutaraldehyde is verified using an initial test on the first aliquot and a different confirmatory test (e.g., multi-wavelength spectrophotometry, ion chromatography, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry) with a specific glutaraldehyde concentration equal to or greater than the LOQ of the confirmatory test on the second aliquot;
(6) The presence of pyridine (pyridinium chlorochromate) is verified using either a general oxidant colorimetric test (with an equal to or greater than 200 mcg/mL nitrite-equivalent cutoff or an equal to or greater than 50 mcg/mL chromium (VI)-equivalent cutoff) or a chromium (VI) colorimetric test (chromium (VI) concentration equal to or greater than 50 mcg/mL) for the initial test on the first aliquot and a different confirmatory method (e.g., GC/MS) for the confirmatory test with the glutaraldehyde concentration equal to or greater than the LOQ of the analysis on the second aliquot;
(7) The presence of a surfactant is verified by using a surfactant colorimetric test with an equal to or greater than 100 mcg/mL dodecylbenzene sulfonate-equivalent cutoff on the second aliquot; or
(8) The presence of any other adulterant not specified in paragraphs (d)(2) through (d)(7) of this section is verified using an initial test on the first aliquot and a different confirmatory test on the second aliquot.
(e) A primary (A) urine specimen is reported substituted when the creatinine concentration is less than 2 mg/dL and the specific gravity is less than or equal to 1.0010 or greater than 1.0200 on both the initial and confirmatory creatinine tests (i.e., the same colorimetric test may be used to test both aliquots) and on both the initial and confirmatory specific gravity tests (i.e., a refractometer is used to test both aliquots) on separate aliquots.
(f) A primary (A) urine specimen is reported diluted when the creatinine concentration is equal to or greater than 2 mg/dL but less than 20 mg/dL and the specific gravity is greater than 1.0010 but less than 1.0030 on a single aliquot. For a specimen that has an invalid result for one of the reasons stated in items (h)(4) through (h)(12) below, the HHS-certified laboratory shall contact the MRO and both will decide if testing by another HHS-certified laboratory would be useful in being able to report a positive or adulterated result. If no further testing is necessary, the HHS-certified laboratory then reports the invalid result to the MRO.
(g) A primary (A) urine specimen is reported as an invalid result when:
(1) Inconsistent creatinine concentration and specific gravity results are obtained (i.e., the creatinine concentration is less than 2 mg/dL on both the initial and confirmatory creatinine tests and the specific gravity is greater than 1.0010 but less than 1.0200 on the initial and/or confirmatory specific gravity test, the specific gravity is less than or equal to 2 mg/dL but less than 20 mg/dL on either or both the initial or confirmatory creatinine tests); (2) The pH is equal to or greater than 4 and less than 4.5 or equal to or greater than 9 and less than 11 using either a colorimetric pH test or pH meter for the initial test and a pH meter for the confirmatory test on two separate aliquots;
(3) The nitrite concentration is equal to or greater than 200 mcg/mL using a nitrate colorimetric test or equal to or greater than the equivalent of 200 mcg/mL nitrite using a general oxidant colorimetric test for both the initial (first) test and the second test or using...
either initial test and the nitrite concentration is equal to or greater than 200 mcg/mL but less than 500 mcg/mL for a different confirmatory test (e.g., multi-wavelength spectrophotometry, ion chromatography, capillary electrophoresis) on two separate aliquots;

(4) The possible presence of chromium (VI) is determined using the same chromium (VI) colorimetric test with a cutoff equal to or greater than 50 mcg/mL chromium (VI) for both the initial (first) test and the second test on two separate aliquots;

(5) The possible presence of a halogen (e.g., bleach, iodine, fluoride) is determined using the same halogen colorimetric test with a cutoff equal to or greater than the LOQ for both the initial (first) test and the second test on two separate aliquots or relying on the odor of the specimen as the initial test;

(6) The possible presence of glutaraldehyde is determined by using the same aldehyde test (aldehyde present) or characteristic immunoassay response on one or more drug immunoassay tests for both the initial (first) test and the second test on two separate aliquots;

(7) The possible presence of an oxidizing adulterant is determined by using the same general oxidant colorimetric test (with an equal to or greater than 200 mcg/mL nitrite-equivalent cutoff, an equal to or greater than 50 mcg/mL chromium (VI)-equivalent cutoff, or a halogen concentration is equal to or greater than the LOQ) for both the initial (first) test and the second test on two separate aliquots;

(8) The possible presence of a surfactant is determined by using the same surfactant colorimetric test with an equal to or greater than 100 mcg/mL dodecylbenzene sulfonate-equivalent cutoff for both the initial (first) test and the second test on two separate aliquots or a foam/shake test for the initial test;

(9) Interference occurs on the initial drug tests on two separate aliquots (i.e., valid initial drug test results cannot be obtained);

(10) Interference with the confirmatory drug test occurs on at least two separate aliquots of the specimen and the HHS-certified laboratory is unable to identify the interfering substance;

(11) The physical appearance of the specimen is such that testing the specimen may damage the laboratory’s instruments; or

(12) The physical appearances of the A and B specimens are clearly different (note: A is tested).

(i) An HHS-certified laboratory shall reject a primary (A) specimen for testing when a fatal flaw occurs as described in Section 15.1 or when a correctable flaw as described in Section 15.2 is not recovered. The HHS-certified laboratory will indicate on the Federal CCF that the specimen was rejected for testing and provide the reason for reporting the rejected for testing result.

(j) An HHS-certified laboratory must report all positive, adulterated, substituted, and invalid test results for a urine specimen. For example, a specimen can be positive for a specific drug and adulterated.

(k) An HHS-certified laboratory must report the confirmatory concentration of each drug or drug metabolite reported for a positive result.

(l) An HHS-certified laboratory must report numerical values of the specimen validity test results that support a specimen that is reported adulterated, substituted, or invalid (as appropriate).

(m) When the concentration of a drug or drug metabolite exceeds the validated linear range of the confirmatory test, HHS-certified laboratories may report to the MRO that the quantitative value exceeds the linear range of the test or that the quantitative value is greater than "insert the actual value for the upper limit of the linear range." or laboratories may report a quantitative value above the upper limit of the linear range that was obtained by diluting an aliquot of the specimen to achieve a result within the method’s linear range and multiplying the result by the appropriate dilution factor.

(n) HHS-certified laboratories may transmit test results to the MRO by various electronic means (e.g., teleprinter, facsimile, or computer). Transmissions of the reports must ensure confidentiality and the results may not be reported verbally by telephone. Laboratories and external service providers must ensure the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of the data and limit access to any data transmission, storage, and retrieval system.

(o) HHS-certified laboratories must facsimile, courier, mail, or electronically transmit a legible image or copy of the completed Federal CCF.

Section 11.20 How long must an HHS-certified laboratory retain specimens?

(a) An HHS-certified laboratory must retain specimens that were reported as positive, adulterated, substituted, or as an invalid result for a minimum of 1 year.

(b) Retained specimens must be kept in secured frozen storage (−20 °C or less) to ensure their availability for retesting during an administrative or judicial proceeding.

(c) Federal agencies may request that the HHS-certified laboratory retain a specimen for an additional specified period of time and must make that request within the 1-year period.

Section 11.21 How long must an HHS-certified laboratory retain records?

(a) An HHS-certified laboratory must retain all records generated to support test results for at least 2 years. The laboratory may convert hardcopy records to electronic records for storage and then discard the hardcopy records after 6 months.

(b) A federal agency may request the HHS-certified laboratory to maintain a documentation package (as described in Section 11.23) that supports the chain of custody, testing, and reporting of a donor’s specimen that is under legal challenge by a donor. The federal agency’s request to the laboratory must be in writing and must specify the period of time to maintain the documentation package.

(c) An HHS-certified laboratory may retain records other than those included in the documentation package beyond the normal 2-year period of time.

Section 11.22 What statistical summary reports must an HHS-certified laboratory provide for urine testing?

(a) HHS-certified laboratories must provide to each federal agency for which they perform testing a semiannual statistical summary report that must be submitted by mail, facsimile, or email within 14 working days after the end of the semiannual period. The summary report must not include any personal identifying information. A copy of the semiannual statistical summary report will also be sent to the Secretary or designated HHS representative. The semiannual statistical report contains the following information:

(1) Reporting period (inclusive dates);

(2) HHS-certified laboratory name and address;

(3) Federal agency name;
(4) Number of specimen results reported;
(5) Number of specimens collected by reason for test;
(6) Number of specimens reported negative and the number reported negative/dilute;
(7) Number of specimens rejected for testing because of a fatal flaw;
(8) Number of specimens rejected for testing because of an uncorrected flaw;
(9) Number of specimens tested positive by each initial drug test;
(10) Number of specimens reported positive;
(11) Number of specimens reported positive for each drug and drug metabolite;
(12) Number of specimens reported adulterated;
(13) Number of specimens reported substituted; and
(14) Number of specimens reported as invalid result.

(b) An HHS-certified laboratory must make copies of an agency’s test results available when requested to do so by the Secretary or by the federal agency for which the laboratory is performing drug-testing services.

(c) An HHS-certified laboratory must ensure that a qualified individual is available to testify in a proceeding against a federal employee when the proceeding is based on a test result reported by the laboratory.

Section 11.23 What HHS-certified laboratory information is available to a federal agency?

(a) Following a federal agency’s receipt of a positive, adulterated, or substituted drug test report, the federal agency may submit a written request for copies of the records relating to the drug test results or a documentation package as described in Section 11.23(b) and any relevant certification, review, or revocation of certification records.

(b) Standard documentation packages provided by an HHS-certified laboratory must contain the following items:

(1) A cover sheet providing a brief description of the procedures and tests performed on the donor’s specimen;
(2) A table of contents that lists all documents and materials in the package by page number;
(3) A copy of the Federal CCF with any attachments, internal chain of custody records for the specimen, memoranda (if any) generated by the HHS-certified laboratory, and a copy of the electronic report (if any) generated by the HHS-certified laboratory;
(4) A brief description of the HHS-certified laboratory’s initial drug and specimen validity testing procedures, instrumentation, and batch quality control requirements;
(5) Copies of the initial test data for the donor’s specimen with all calibrators and controls and copies of all internal chain of custody documents related to the initial tests;
(6) A brief description of the HHS-certified laboratory’s confirmatory drug (and specimen validity, if applicable) testing procedures, instrumentation, and batch quality control requirements;
(7) Copies of the confirmatory test data for the donor’s specimen with all calibrators and controls and copies of all internal chain of custody documents related to the confirmatory tests; and
(8) Copies of the résume or curriculum vitae for the RP(s) and the certifying technician or certifying scientist of record.

Section 11.24 What HHS-certified laboratory information is available to a federal employee?

A federal employee who is the subject of a workplace drug test may submit a written request through the MRO and/or the federal agency requesting copies of any records relating to the employee’s drug test results or a documentation package as described in Section 11.23(b) and any relevant certification, review, or revocation of certification records. Federal employees, or their designees, are not permitted access to their specimens collected pursuant to Executive Order 12564, Public Law 100–71, and these Guidelines.

Section 11.25 What types of relationships are prohibited between an HHS-certified laboratory and an MRO?

An HHS-certified laboratory must not enter into any relationship with a federal agency’s MRO that may be construed as a potential conflict of interest or derive any financial benefit by having a federal agency use a specific MRO.

This means an MRO may be an employee of the agency or a contractor for the agency; however, an MRO shall not be an employee or agent of or have any financial interest in the HHS-certified laboratory for which the MRO is reviewing drug testing results. Additionally, an MRO shall not derive any financial benefit by having an agency use a specific HHS-certified laboratory or have any agreement with an HHS-certified laboratory that may be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Section 11.26 What type of relationship can exist between an HHS-certified laboratory and an HHS-certified ITTF?

An HHS-certified laboratory can enter into any relationship with an HHS-certified ITTF.

Subpart I.—Instrumented Initial Test Facility (ITTF)

Section 12.1 What must be included in the HHS-certified ITTF’s standard operating procedure manual?

(a) An HHS-certified ITTF must have a standard operating procedure (SOP) manual that describes, in detail, all HHS-certified ITTF operations. When followed, the SOP manual ensures that all specimens are tested consistently using the same procedures.

(b) The SOP manual must include at a minimum, but is not limited to, a detailed description of the following:

(1) Chain of custody procedures;
(2) Accessioning;
(3) Security;
(4) Quality control/quality assurance programs;
(5) Analytical methods and procedures;
(6) Equipment and maintenance programs;
(7) Personnel training;
(8) Reporting procedures; and
(9) Computers, software, and laboratory information management systems.

(c) All procedures in the SOP manual must be compliant with these Guidelines and all guidance provided by the Secretary.

(d) A copy of all procedures that have been replaced or revised and the dates on which the procedures were in effect must be maintained for two years.

Section 12.2 What are the responsibilities of the responsible technician (RT)?

(a) Manage the day-to-day operations of the HHS-certified ITTF even if another individual has overall responsibility for alternate areas of a multi-specialty facility.

(b) Ensure that there are sufficient personnel with adequate training and experience to supervise and conduct the work of the HHS-certified ITTF. The RT must ensure the continued competency of ITTF personnel by documenting their in-service training, reviewing their work performance, and verifying their skills.

(c) Maintain a complete and current SOP manual that is available to all personnel of the HHS-certified ITTF, and ensure that it is followed. The SOP manual must be reviewed, signed, and dated by the RT when procedures are
first placed into use or changed or when a new individual assumes responsibility for the management of the HHS-certified IITF. The SOP must be reviewed and documented by the RT annually.

(d) Maintain a quality assurance program that ensures the proper performance and reporting of all test results; verify and monitor acceptable analytical performance for all controls and calibrators; monitor quality control testing; and document the validity, reliability, accuracy, precision, and performance characteristics of each test and test system.

(e) Initiate and implement all remedial actions necessary to maintain satisfactory operation and performance of the HHS-certified IITF in response to the following: Quality control systems not within performance specifications, errors in result reporting or in analysis of performance testing samples, and inspection deficiencies. The RT must ensure that specimen results are not reported until all corrective actions have been taken and that the results provided are accurate and reliable.

Section 12.3 What qualifications must the RT have?

An RT must:
(a) Have at least a bachelor’s degree in the chemical or biological sciences or medical technology, or equivalent;
(b) Have training and experience in the analytical methods and forensic procedures used by the HHS-certified IITF;
(c) Have training and experience in reviewing and reporting forensic test results and maintaining chain of custody, and an understanding of appropriate remedial actions in response to problems that may arise;
(d) Be found to fulfill RT responsibilities and qualifications, as demonstrated by the HHS-certified IITF’s performance and verified upon interview by HHS-trained inspectors during each on-site inspection; and
(e) Qualify as a certifying technician.

Section 12.4 What happens when the RT is absent or leaves an HHS-certified IITF?

(a) HHS-certified IITFs must have an RT and an alternate RT. When an RT is absent, an alternate RT must be present and qualified to fulfill the responsibilities of the RT.

(1) If an HHS-certified IITF is without the RT and alternate RT for 14 calendar days or less (e.g., temporary absence due to vacation, illness, business trip), the HHS-certified IITF may continue operations and testing of federal agency specimens under the direction of a certifying technician.

(2) The Secretary, in accordance with these Guidelines, will suspend an IITF’s HHS certification for all specimens if the IITF does not have an RT or alternate RT for a period of more than 14 calendar days. The suspension will be lifted upon the Secretary’s approval of a new permanent RT or alternate RT.

(b) If the RT leaves an HHS-certified IITF:

(1) The HHS-certified IITF may maintain certification and continue testing federally regulated specimens under the direction of an alternate RT for a period of up to 180 days while seeking to hire and receive the Secretary’s approval of the RT’s replacement.

(2) The Secretary, in accordance with these Guidelines, will suspend an IITF’s HHS certification for all federally regulated specimens if the IITF does not have a permanent RT within 180 days. The suspension will be lifted upon the Secretary’s approval of the new permanent RT.

(c) To nominate an individual as the RT or alternate RT, the HHS-certified IITF must submit the following documents to the Secretary: The candidate’s current résumé or curriculum vitae, copies of diplomas and licenses, a training plan (not to exceed 90 days) to transition the candidate into the position, an itemized comparison of the candidate’s qualifications to the minimum RT qualifications described in the Guidelines, and have official academic transcript(s) submitted from the candidate’s institution(s) of higher learning. The candidate must be found qualified during an on-site inspection of the HHS-certified IITF.

(d) The HHS-certified IITF must fulfill additional inspection and PT criteria as required prior to conducting federally regulated testing under a new RT.

Section 12.5 What qualifications must an individual have to certify a result reported by an HHS-certified IITF?

A certifying technician must have:
(a) Training and experience in the analytical methods and forensic procedures used by the HHS-certified IITF relevant to the results that the individual certifies; and
(b) Training and experience in reviewing and reporting forensic test results and maintaining chain of custody, and an understanding of appropriate remedial actions in response to problems that may arise.

Section 12.6 What qualifications and training must other personnel of an HHS-certified IITF have?

(a) All HHS-certified IITF staff (e.g., technicians, administrative staff) must have the appropriate training and skills for the tasks they perform.

(b) Each individual working in an HHS-certified IITF must be properly trained (i.e., receive training in each area of work that the individual will be performing, including training in forensic procedures related to their job duties) before they are permitted to work independently with federally regulated specimens. All training must be documented.

Section 12.7 What security measures must an HHS-certified IITF maintain?

(a) An HHS-certified IITF must control access to the drug testing facility, specimens, aliquots, and records.

(b) Authorized visitors must be escorted at all times except for individuals conducting inspections (i.e., for the Department, a federal agency, a state, or other accrediting agency) or emergency personnel (e.g., firefighters and medical rescue teams).

(c) An HHS-certified IITF must maintain records documenting the identity of the visitor and escort, date, time of entry and exit, and purpose for the access to the secured area.

Section 12.8 What are the IITF chain of custody requirements for specimens and aliquots?

(a) HHS-certified IITFs must use chain of custody procedures (internal and external) to maintain control and accountability of specimens from the time of receipt at the IITF through completion of testing, reporting of results, during storage, and continuing until final disposition of the specimens.

(b) HHS-certified IITFs must use chain of custody procedures to document the handling and transfer of aliquots throughout the testing process until final disposal.

(c) The chain of custody must be documented using either paper copy or electronic procedures.

(d) Each individual who handles a specimen or aliquot must sign and complete the appropriate entries on the chain of custody form when the specimen or aliquot is handled or transferred, and every individual in the chain must be identified.

(e) The date and purpose must be recorded on an appropriate chain of custody form each time a specimen or aliquot is handled or transferred.
Section 12.9 What are the requirements for an initial drug test?
(a) An initial drug test may be:
(1) An immunoassay or
(2) An alternate technology (e.g., spectrophotometry, spectroscopy).
(b) An HHS-certified IITF must validate an initial drug test before conducting specimen validity tests; and
(c) Initial drug tests must be accurate and reliable for the testing of urine specimens when identifying drugs or their metabolites.
(d) An HHS-certified IITF must conduct a second initial drug test using a method with different specificity, to rule out cross-reacting compounds. This second initial drug test must satisfy the batch quality control requirements specified in Section 12.11.

Section 12.10 What must an HHS-certified IITF do to validate an initial drug test?
(a) An HHS-certified IITF must demonstrate and document the following for each initial drug test:
(1) The ability to differentiate negative specimens from those requiring further testing;
(2) The performance of the test around the cutoff concentration, using samples at several concentrations between 0 and 150 percent of the cutoff concentration;
(3) The effective concentration range of the test (linearity);
(4) The potential for carryover;
(5) The potential for interfering substances; and
(6) The potential matrix effects if using an alternate technology.
(b) Each new lot of reagent must be verified prior to being placed into service.
(c) Each initial drug test using an alternate technology must be re-verified periodically or at least annually.

Section 12.11 What are the batch quality control requirements when conducting an initial drug test?
(a) Each batch of specimens must contain the following calibrators and controls:
(1) At least one control certified to contain no drug or drug metabolite;
(2) At least one positive control with the drug or drug metabolite targeted at a concentration 25 percent above the cutoff;
(3) At least one control with the drug or drug metabolite targeted at a concentration 75 percent of the cutoff; and
(4) At least one control that appears as a donor specimen to the analysts.
(b) Calibrators and controls must total at least 10 percent of the aliquots analyzed in each batch.

Section 12.12 What are the analytical and quality control requirements for conducting specimen validity tests?
(a) Each specimen validity test result must be based on performing a single test on one aliquot.
(b) The HHS-certified IITF must establish acceptance criteria and analyze calibrators and controls as appropriate to verify and document the validity of the test results in accordance with Section 12.14; and
(c) Controls must be analyzed concurrently with specimens.

Section 12.13 What must an HHS-certified IITF do to validate a specimen validity test?
An HHS-certified IITF must demonstrate and document for each specimen validity test the appropriate performance characteristics of the test, and must re-verify the test periodically, or at least annually. Each new lot of reagent must be verified prior to being placed into service.

Section 12.14 What are the requirements for conducting each specimen validity test?
(a) The requirements for measuring creatinine concentration are as follows:
(1) The creatinine concentration must be measured to one decimal place on the test;
(2) The creatinine test must have the following calibrators and controls:
(i) A calibrator at 2 mg/dL;
(ii) A control in the range of 1.0 mg/dL to 1.5 mg/dL;
(iii) A control in the range of 3 mg/dL to 20 mg/dL; and
(iv) A control in the range of 21 mg/dL to 25 mg/dL;
(b) The requirements for measuring specific gravity are as follows:
(1) For specimens with creatinine test results greater than 5 mg/dL and less than 20 mg/dL, an IITF must perform a screening test using a refractometer to identify specific gravity values that are acceptable (equal to or greater than 1.003) or dilute (equal to or greater than 1.002 and less than 1.003);
(2) Specimens must be forwarded to an HHS-certified laboratory when the creatinine test result is less than or equal to 5 mg/dL or when the screening specific gravity test result is less than 1.002.
(c) The screening specific gravity test must have the following calibrators and controls:
(i) A calibrator or control at 1.000;
(ii) One control targeted at 1.002; and
(iii) One control in the range of 1.004 to 1.010.
(d) The requirements for measuring pH are as follows:
(1) The IITF may perform the pH test using a pH meter, colorimetric pH test, dipsticks, or pH paper. Specimens must be forwarded to an HHS-certified laboratory when the pH is less than 4.5 or equal to or greater than 9.0.
(2) The pH test must have, at a minimum, the following calibrators and controls:
(i) One control below 4.5;
(ii) One control between 4.5 and 9.0;
(iii) One control above 9.0; and
(iv) One or more calibrators as appropriate for the test. For a pH meter: calibrators at 4, 7, and 10.
(d) The requirements for measuring the nitrite concentration are that the nitrite test must have a calibrator at 200 mcg/mL nitrite, a control without nitrite (i.e., certified negative urine), one control in the range of 200 mcg/mL to 250 mcg/mL, and one control in the range of 500 mcg/mL to 625 mcg/mL. Specimens with a nitrite concentration equal to or greater than 200 mcg/mL must be forwarded to an HHS-certified laboratory;
(e) Requirements for performing oxidizing adulterant tests are that the test must include an appropriate calibrator at the cutoff specified in Sections 11.19(d)(3), (4), or (6) for the compound of interest, a control without the compound of interest (i.e., a certified negative control), and at least one control with one of the compounds of interest at a measurable concentration. Specimens with an oxidizing adulterant result equal to or greater than the cutoff must be forwarded to an HHS-certified laboratory.

Section 12.15 What are the requirements for an HHS-certified IITF to report a test result?
(a) An HHS-certified IITF must report a test result to the agency’s MRO within an average of 3 working days after receipt of the specimen. Reports must use the Federal CCF and/or an electronic report. Before any test result can be reported, it must be certified by a certifying technician.
(b) A primary (A) specimen is reported negative when each drug test is negative and each specimen validity test result indicates that the specimen is a valid urine specimen.
(c) A primary (A) urine specimen is reported dilute when the creatinine concentration is greater than 5 mg/dL but less than 20 mg/dL and the specific gravity is equal to or greater than 1.002 but less than 1.003.
(d) An HHS-certified IITF shall reject a urine specimen for testing when a fatal flaw occurs as described in Section 15.1 or when a correctable flaw as described
in Section 15.2 is not recovered. The HHS-certified IITF will indicate on the Federal CCF that the specimen was rejected for testing and provide the reason for reporting the rejected for testing result.

(e) HHS-certified IITFs may transmit test results to the MRO by various electronic means (e.g., teleprinter, facsimile, or computer). Transmissions of the reports must ensure confidentiality and the results may not be reported verbally by telephone. IITFs and external service providers must ensure the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of the data and limit access to any data transmission, storage, and retrieval system.

(f) HHS-certified IITFs must facsimile, courier, mail, or electronically transmit a legible image or copy of the completed Federal CCF and/or forward a computer-generated electronic report. The computer-generated report must contain sufficient information to ensure that the test results can accurately represent the content of the custody and control form that the MRO received from the collector.

(g) For rejected specimens, IITFs must facsimile, courier, mail, or electronically transmit a legible image or copy of the completed Federal CCF.

Section 12.16 How does an HHS-certified IITF handle a specimen that tested positive, adulterated, substituted, or invalid at the IITF?

(a) The remaining specimen is resealed using a tamper-evident label/seal;

(b) The individual resealing the remaining specimen initials and dates the tamper-evident label/seal; and

(c) The resealed specimen and split specimen and the Federal CCF are sealed in a leak-proof plastic bag, and are sent to an HHS-certified laboratory under chain of custody within one day after completing the drug and specimen validity tests.

Section 12.17 How long must an HHS-certified IITF retain a specimen?

A specimen that is negative, negative/dilute, or rejected for testing is discarded.

Section 12.18 How long must an HHS-certified IITF retain records?

(a) An HHS-certified IITF must retain all records generated to support test results for at least 2 years. The IITF may convert hardcopy records to electronic records for storage and then discard the hardcopy records after six months.

(b) A federal agency may request the HHS-certified IITF to maintain a documentation package (as described in Section 12.20) that supports the chain of custody, testing, and reporting of a donor’s specimen that is under legal challenge by a donor. The federal agency’s request to the IITF must be in writing and must specify the period of time to maintain the documentation package.

(c) An HHS-certified IITF may retain records other than those included in the documentation package beyond the normal two-year period of time.

Section 12.19 What statistical summary reports must an HHS-certified IITF provide?

(a) HHS-certified IITFs must provide to each federal agency for which they perform testing a semiannual statistical summary report that must be submitted by mail, facsimile, or email within 14 working days after the end of the semiannual period. The summary report must not include any personal identifying information. A copy of the semiannual statistical summary report will also be sent to the Secretary or designated HHS representative. The semiannual statistical report contains the following information:

1. Reporting period (inclusive dates);
2. HHS-certified IITF name and address;
3. Federal agency name;
4. Total number of specimens tested;
5. Number of specimens collected by reason for test;
6. Number of specimens reported negative and the number reported negative/dilute;
7. Number of specimens rejected for testing because of a fatal flaw;
8. Number of specimens rejected for testing because of an uncorrected flaw;
9. Number of specimens tested positive by each initial drug test; and
10. Number of specimens forwarded to an HHS-certified laboratory for testing.

(b) An HHS-certified IITF must make copies of an agency’s test results available when requested to do so by the Secretary or by the federal agency for which the IITF is performing drug-testing services.

(c) An HHS-certified IITF must ensure that a qualified individual is available to testify in a proceeding against a federal employee when the proceeding is based on a test result reported by the IITF.

Section 12.20 What HHS-certified IITF information is available to a federal agency?

(a) Following a federal agency’s receipt of a positive, adulterated, or substituted drug test report from a laboratory, the federal agency may submit a written request for copies of the IITF records relating to the drug test results or a documentation package or any relevant certification, review, or revocation of certification records.

(b) Standard documentation packages provided by an HHS-certified IITF must contain the following items:

1. A cover sheet providing a brief description of the procedures and tests performed on the donor’s specimen;
2. A table of contents that lists all documents and materials in the package by page number;
3. A copy of the Federal CCF with any attachments, internal chain of custody records for the specimen, memoranda (if any) generated by the HHS-certified IITF, and a copy of the electronic report (if any) generated by the HHS-certified IITF;
4. A brief description of the HHS-certified IITF’s drug and specimen validity testing procedures, instrumentation, and batch quality control requirements;
5. Copies of all test data for the donor’s specimen with all calibrators and controls and copies of all internal chain of custody documents related to the tests; and
6. Copies of the résumé or curriculum vitae for the RT and for the certifying technician of record.

Section 12.21 What HHS-certified IITF information is available to a federal employee?

A federal employee who is the subject of a drug test may provide a written request through the MRO and/or the federal agency requesting access to any records relating to the employee’s drug test results or a documentation package (as described in Section 12.20) and any relevant certification, review, or revocation of certification records.

Section 12.22 What types of relationships are prohibited between an HHS-certified IITF and an MRO?

An HHS-certified IITF must not enter into any relationship with a federal agency’s MRO that may be construed as a potential conflict of interest or derive any financial benefit by having a federal agency use a specific MRO.

This means an MRO may be an employee of the agency or a contractor for the agency; however, an MRO shall not be an employee or agent of or have any financial interest in the HHS-certified IITF for which the MRO is reviewing drug testing results. Additionally, an MRO shall not derive any financial benefit by having an agency use a specific HHS-certified IITF or have any agreement with an HHS-certified IITF that may be construed as a potential conflict of interest.
Section 12.23 What type of relationship can exist between an HHS-certified IITF and an HHS-certified laboratory?

An HHS-certified IITF can enter into any relationship with an HHS-certified laboratory.

Subpart M—Medical Review Officer (MRO)

Section 13.1 Who may serve as an MRO?

(a) A currently licensed physician who has:
   (1) A Doctor of Medicine (M.D.) or Doctor of Osteopathy (D.O.) degree;
   (2) Knowledge regarding the pharmacology and toxicology of illicit drugs;
   (3) The training necessary to serve as an MRO as set out in Section 13.3;
   (4) Satisfactorily passed an initial examination administered by a nationally recognized entity or a subspecialty board that has been approved by the Secretary to certify MROs; and
   (5) At least every five years from initial certification, completed requalification training on the topics in Section 13.3 and satisfactorily passed a requalification examination administered by a nationally recognized entity or a subspecialty board that has been approved by the Secretary to certify MROs.

Section 13.2 How are nationally recognized entities or subspecialty boards that certify MROs approved?

All nationally recognized entities or subspecialty boards which seek approval by the Secretary to certify physicians as MROs for federal workplace drug testing programs must submit their qualifications, a sample examination, and other necessary supporting examination materials (e.g., answers, previous examination statistics or other background examination information, if requested). Approval will be based on an objective review of qualifications that include a copy of the MRO applicant application form, documentation that the continuing education courses are accredited by a professional organization, and the delivery method and content of the examination. Each approved MRO certification entity must resubmit their qualifications for approval every two years. The Secretary shall publish at least every two years a notice in the Federal Register listing those entities and subspecialty boards that have been approved. This notice is also available on the Internet at http://www.samhsa.gov/workplace/drug-testing.

Section 13.3 What training is required before a physician may serve as an MRO?

(a) A physician must receive training that includes a thorough review of the following:
   (1) The collection procedures used to collect federal agency specimens;
   (2) How to interpret test results reported by HHS-certified IITFs and laboratories (e.g., negative, negative/dilute, positive, adulterated, substituted, rejected for testing, and invalid);
   (3) Chain of custody, reporting, and recordkeeping requirements for federal agency specimens;
   (4) The HHS Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs for all authorized specimen types; and
   (5) Procedures for interpretation, review (e.g., donor interview for legitimate medical explanations, review of documentation provided by the donor to support a legitimate medical explanation), and reporting of results specified by any federal agency for which the individual may serve as an MRO;
   (b) Certified MROs must complete training on any revisions to these Guidelines prior to their effective date, to continue serving as an MRO for federal agency specimens.

Section 13.4 What are the responsibilities of an MRO?

(a) The MRO must review all positive, adulterated, rejected for testing, invalid, and (for urine) substituted test results.
   (b) Staff under the direct, personal supervision of the MRO may review and report negative and (for urine) negative/dilute test results to the agency’s designated representative. The MRO must review at least 5 percent of all negative results reported by the MRO staff to ensure that the MRO staff are properly performing the review process.
   (c) The MRO must discuss potential invalid results with the HHS-certified laboratory, as addressed in Section 11.19(g) to determine whether testing at another HHS-certified laboratory may be warranted.
   (d) After receiving a report from an HHS-certified laboratory or (for urine) HHS-certified IITF, the MRO must:
      (1) Review the information on the MRO copy of the Federal CCF that was received from the collector and the report received from the HHS-certified laboratory or HHS-certified IITF;
      (2) Interview the donor when required;
      (3) Make a determination regarding the test result; and
      (4) Report the verified result to the federal agency.
   (e) The MRO must maintain records for a minimum of two years while maintaining the confidentiality of the information. The MRO may convert hardcopy records to electronic records for storage and discard the hardcopy records after six months.
   (f) The MRO must conduct a medical examination or a review of the examining physician’s findings and make a determination of refusal to test or cancelled test when a collector reports that the donor was unable to provide a specimen, as addressed in Section 8.6.

Section 13.5 What must an MRO do when reviewing a urine specimen’s test results?

(a) When the HHS-certified laboratory or HHS-certified IITF reports a negative result for the primary (A) specimen, the MRO reports a negative result to the agency.
   (b) When the HHS-certified laboratory or HHS-certified IITF reports a negative/dilute result for the primary (A) urine specimen, the MRO reports a negative/dilute result to the agency and directs the agency to immediately collect another specimen from the donor.
   (1) If the recollected specimen provides a negative or negative/dilute result, the MRO reports a negative result to the agency, with no further action required.
   (2) If the recollected specimen provides a result other than negative or negative/dilute, the MRO follows the procedures in 13.5(c) through (f) for the recollected specimen.
   (c) When the HHS-certified laboratory reports multiple results for the primary (A) urine specimen, as the MRO, you must follow the verification procedures described in 13.5(c) through (f) and:
      (1) Report all verified positive and/or refusal to test results to the federal agency.
      (2) If an invalid result was reported in conjunction with a positive, adulterated, or substituted result, do not report the verified invalid result to the federal agency at this time. The MRO reports the verified invalid result(s) for the primary (A) urine specimen only if the split specimen is tested and reported as a failure to reconfirm as described in Section 14.6(l).
      (d) When the HHS-certified laboratory reports a positive result for the primary (A) specimen, the MRO must contact the donor to determine if there is any legitimate medical explanation for the positive result.
      (1) If the donor provides documentation (e.g., a valid
prescription) to support a legitimate medical explanation for the positive result, the MRO reports the test result as negative to the agency. If the laboratory also reports that the urine specimen is dilute, the MRO reports a negative/dilute result to the agency and directs the agency to immediately collect another specimen from the donor. The MRO follows the procedures in 13.5(b)(1) or (2) for the recollected specimen.

(i) Passive exposure to marijuana smoke is not a legitimate medical explanation for a positive THCA result.

(ii) Ingestion of food products containing marijuana is not a legitimate medical explanation for a positive THCA result.

(2) If the donor is unable to provide a legitimate medical explanation, the MRO reports a positive result to the agency for all drugs except codeine and/or morphine (see below). If the laboratory also reports that the urine specimen is dilute, the MRO may choose not to report the dilute result.

(i) For codeine and/or morphine less than 15,000 ng/mL and no legitimate medical explanation: the MRO must determine if there is clinical evidence of illegal use (in addition to the test result) to report a positive result to the agency. If there is no clinical evidence of illegal use, the MRO reports a negative result to the agency. However, this requirement does not apply if the laboratory confirms the presence of 6-acetylmorphine (i.e., the presence of this metabolite is proof of heroin use).

(ii) For codeine and/or morphine equal to or greater than 15,000 ng/mL and no legitimate medical explanation: the MRO reports a positive result to the agency. Consumption of food products must not be considered a legitimate medical explanation for the donor having morphine or codeine at or above this concentration.

(e) When the HHS-certified laboratory reports an adulterated or substituted result for the primary (A) urine specimen, the MRO contacts the donor to determine if the donor has a legitimate medical explanation for the adulterated or substituted result.

(1) If the donor provides a legitimate medical explanation, the MRO reports a negative result to the federal agency.

(2) If the donor is unable to provide a legitimate explanation, the MRO reports a refusal to test to the federal agency because the urine specimen was adulterated or substituted.

(f) When the HHS-certified laboratory reports an invalid result for the primary (A) urine specimen, the MRO must contact the donor to determine if there is a legitimate explanation for the invalid result. In the case of an invalid result based on pH of 9.0 to 9.5, when an employee has no other medical explanation for the pH in this range, the MRO must consider whether there is evidence of elapsed time and high temperature that could account for the pH value. The MRO may contact the collection site, HHS-certified ITTF, and/or HHS-certified laboratory to discuss time and temperature issues (e.g., time elapsed from collection to receipt at the testing facility, likely temperature conditions between the time of the collection and transportation to the testing facility, specimen storage conditions).

(1) If the donor provides a legitimate explanation (e.g., a prescription medication) or if the MRO determines that time and temperature account for the pH in the 9.0 to 9.5 range, the MRO reports a test cancelled result with the reason for the invalid result and informs the federal agency that a recollection is not required because there is a legitimate explanation for the invalid result.

(2) If the donor is unable to provide a legitimate explanation or if the MRO determines that time and temperature fail to account for the pH in the 9.0—9.5 range, the MRO reports a test cancelled result with the reason for the invalid result and directs the federal agency to immediately collect another urine specimen from the donor using a direct observed collection.

(i) If the specimen collected under direct observation provides a valid result, the MRO follows the procedures in 13.5(a) through (e).

(ii) If the specimen collected under direct observation provides an invalid result, the MRO reports this specimen as test cancelled and recommends that the agency collect another authorized specimen type (e.g., oral fluid).

(g) When two separate specimens collected during the same testing event were sent to the HHS-certified laboratory for testing (e.g., the collector sent a urine specimen out of temperature range and the subsequently collected specimen—urine or another authorized specimen type), as the MRO, you must follow the verification procedures described in Sections 13.4, 13.5, and 13.6, and:

(1) If both specimens were verified negative, report the result as negative.

(2) If one specimen was verified negative and the other was not (i.e., the specimen was verified as negative/dilute or as positive, adulterated, substituted, and/or invalid), report only the verified result(s) other than negative. For example, if you verified one specimen as negative and the other as a refusal to test because the specimen was substituted, report only the refusal to the federal agency.

(3) If both specimens were verified as positive, adulterated, and/or substituted, report all results. For example, if you verified one specimen as positive and the other as a refusal to test because the specimen was adulterated, report the positive and the refusal results to the federal agency.

(4) If one specimen has been verified and the HHS-certified laboratory has not reported the result(s) of the other specimen.

(i) Report verified result(s) of positive, adulterated, or substituted immediately and do not wait to receive the result(s) of the other specimen.

(ii) Do not report a verified result of negative, negative/dilute, or invalid for the first specimen to the federal agency. Hold the report until results of both specimens have been received and verified.

(5) When the HHS-certified laboratory reports an invalid result for one or both specimens, follow the procedures in paragraph c above.

(h) When the HHS-certified laboratory or HHS-certified ITTF reports a rejected for testing result for the primary (A) specimen, the MRO reports a test cancelled result to the agency and recommends that the agency collect another specimen from the donor. The recollected specimen must be the same type (i.e., urine).

Section 13.6 What action does the MRO take when the collector reports that the donor did not provide a sufficient amount of urine for a drug test?

(a) When another specimen type (e.g., oral fluid) was collected as authorized by the federal agency, the MRO reviews and reports the test result in accordance with the Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs using the alternative specimen.

(b) When the federal agency did not authorize the collection of an alternative specimen, the MRO consults with the federal agency. The federal agency immediately directs the donor to obtain, within five days, an evaluation from a licensed physician, acceptable to the MRO, who has expertise in the medical issues raised by the donor’s failure to provide a specimen. The MRO may perform this evaluation if the MRO has appropriate expertise.

(1) For purposes of this section, a medical condition includes an ascertainable physiological condition (e.g., a urinary system dysfunction) or a medically documented pre-existing
psychological disorder, but does not include unsupported assertions of “situational anxiety” or dehydration. Permanent or long-term medical conditions are those physiological, anatomical, or psychological abnormalities documented as being present prior to the attempted collection, and considered not amenable to correction or cure for an extended period of time. Examples would include destruction (any cause) of the glomerular filtration system leading to renal failure; unrepairable traumatic disruption of the urinary tract; or a severe psychiatric disorder focused on genitourinary matters. Acute or temporary medical conditions, such as cystitis, urethritis or prostatitis, though they might interfere with collection for a limited period of time, cannot receive the same exceptional consideration as the permanent or long-term conditions discussed in the previous sentence.

(2) As the MRO, if another physician will perform the evaluation, you must provide the other physician with the following information and instructions:

(i) That the donor was required to take a federally regulated drug test, but was unable to provide a sufficient amount of urine to complete the test;

(ii) The consequences of the appropriate federal agency regulation for refusing to take the required drug test;

(iii) That, after completing the evaluation, the referral physician must agree to provide a written statement to the MRO with a recommendation for one of the determinations described in paragraph (b)(3) of this section and the basis for the recommendation. The statement must not include detailed information on the employee’s medical condition beyond what is necessary to explain the referral physician’s conclusion.

(3) As the MRO, if another physician performed the evaluation, you must consider and assess the referral physician’s recommendations in making your determination. You must make one of the following determinations and report it to the federal agency in writing:

(i) A medical condition as defined in paragraph (b)(1) of this section has, or with a high degree of probability could have, precluded the employee from providing a sufficient amount of urine, and is highly likely to prevent the employee from providing a sufficient amount of urine for a very long or indefinite period of time. As the MRO, you must follow the requirements of Section 13.7, as appropriate. If Section 13.7 is not applicable, you report a test cancelled result to the federal agency and recommend that the agency authorize collection of an alternative specimen type (e.g., oral fluid) for any subsequent drug tests for the donor.

(ii) That, after completing the evaluation, the referral physician must report a refusal to test to the federal agency.

(iii) There is not an adequate basis for determining that a medical condition has, or with a high degree of probability could have, precluded the employee from providing a sufficient amount of urine. As the MRO, you must report a refusal to test to the federal agency.

(4) When a federal agency receives a report from the MRO indicating that a test is cancelled as provided in paragraph (b)(3)(i) of this section, the agency takes no further action with respect to the donor. When a test is cancelled as provided in paragraph (b)(3)(ii) of this section, the agency takes no further action with respect to the donor other than designation of collection of an alternate specimen type (i.e., authorized by the Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs) for any subsequent collections, in accordance with the federal agency plan. The donor remains in the random testing pool.

13.7 What happens when an individual is unable to provide a sufficient amount of urine for a federal agency employment test, a follow-up test, or a return-to-duty test because of a permanent or long-term medical condition?

(a) This section concerns a situation in which the donor has a medical condition that precludes the donor from providing a sufficient specimen for a federal agency applicant/pre-employment test, a follow-up test, or a return-to-duty test and the condition involves a permanent or long-term disability and the federal agency does not authorize collection of an alternative specimen. As the MRO in this situation, you must do the following:

(1) You must determine if there is clinical evidence that the individual is an illicit drug user. You must make this determination by personally conducting, or causing to be conducted, a medical evaluation and through consultation with the donor’s physician and/or the physician who conducted the evaluation under Section 13.6.

(2) If you do not personally conduct the medical evaluation, you must ensure that one is conducted by a licensed physician acceptable to you.

(b) If the medical evaluation reveals no clinical evidence of drug use, as the MRO, you must report the result to the federal agency as a negative test with written notations regarding results of both the evaluation conducted under Section 13.6 and any further medical examination. This report must state the basis for the determination that a permanent or long-term medical condition exists, making provision of a sufficient urine specimen impossible, and for the determination that no signs and symptoms of drug use exist. The MRO recommends that the agency authorize collection of an alternate specimen type (e.g., oral fluid) for any subsequent collections.

(c) If the medical evaluation reveals clinical evidence of drug use, as the MRO, you must report the result to the federal agency as a cancelled test with written notations regarding results of both the evaluation conducted under Section 13.6 and any further medical examination. This report must state that a permanent or long-term medical condition (as defined in Section 13.6(b)(1)) exists, making provision of a sufficient urine specimen impossible, and state the reason for the determination that signs and symptoms of drug use exist. Because this is a cancelled test, it does not serve the purposes of a negative test (e.g., the federal agency is not authorized to allow the donor to begin or resume performing official functions, because a negative test is needed for that purpose).

Section 13.8 Who may request a test of a split (B) specimen?

(a) For a positive, adulterated, or substituted result reported on a primary (A) specimen, a donor may request through the MRO that the split (B) specimen be tested by a second HHS-certified laboratory to verify the result reported by the first HHS-certified laboratory.

(b) The donor has 72 hours from the time the MRO notified the donor that the donor’s specimen was reported positive, adulterated, or (for urine) substituted to request a test of the split (B) specimen. The MRO must inform the donor that the donor has the opportunity to request a test of the split (B) specimen when the MRO informs the donor that a positive, adulterated, or (for urine) substituted result is being reported to the federal agency on the primary (A) specimen.
Section 13.9 How does an MRO report a primary (A) specimen test result to an agency?

(a) The MRO must report all verified results to an agency using the completed MRO copy of the Federal CCF or a separate report using a letter/memorandum format. The MRO may use various electronic means for reporting (e.g., teleprinter, facsimile, or computer). Transmissions of the reports must ensure confidentiality. The MRO and external service providers must ensure the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of the data and limit access to any data transmission, storage, and retrieval system.

(b) A verified result may not be reported to the agency until the MRO has completed the review process.

(c) The MRO must send a copy of either the completed MRO copy of the Federal CCF or the separate letter/memorandum report for all positive, adulterated, and (for urine) substituted results.

(d) The MRO must not disclose numerical values of drug test results to the agency.

Section 13.10 What types of relationships are prohibited between an MRO and an HHS-certified laboratory or an HHS-certified IITF?

An MRO must not be an employee, agent of, or have any financial interest in an HHS-certified laboratory or an HHS-certified IITF for which the MRO is reviewing drug test results.

This means an MRO must not derive any financial benefit by having an agency use a specific HHS-certified laboratory or HHS-certified IITF, or have any agreement with the HHS-certified laboratory or the HHS-certified IITF that may be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Subpart N—Split Specimen Tests**

Section 14.1 When may a split (B) specimen be tested?

(a) The donor may request, verbally or in writing, through the MRO that the split (B) specimen be tested at a different (i.e., second) HHS-certified laboratory when the primary (A) specimen was determined by the MRO to be positive, adulterated, or (for urine) substituted.

(b) A donor has 72 hours to initiate the request after being informed of the result by the MRO. The MRO must document in the MRO’s records the verbal request from the donor to have the split (B) specimen tested.

(c) If a split (B) urine specimen cannot be tested by a second HHS-certified laboratory (e.g., insufficient specimen, lost in transit, split not available, no second HHS-certified laboratory available to perform the test), the MRO reports to the federal agency that the test must be cancelled and the reason for the cancellation. The MRO directs the federal agency to ensure the immediate recollection of another urine specimen from the donor under direct observation, with no notice given to the donor of this collection requirement until immediately before the collection.

(d) If a donor chooses not to have the split (B) specimen tested by a second HHS-certified laboratory, a federal agency may have a split (B) specimen retested as part of a legal or administrative proceeding to defend an original positive, adulterated, or (for urine) substituted result.

Section 14.2 How does an HHS-certified laboratory test a split (B) specimen when the primary (A) specimen was reported positive?

(a) The testing of a split (B) specimen for a drug or metabolite is not subject to the testing cutoff concentrations established.

(b) The HHS-certified laboratory is only required to confirm the presence of the drug or metabolite that was reported positive in the primary (A) specimen.

(c) For a split (B) urine specimen, if the second HHS-certified laboratory fails to reconfirm the presence of the drug or drug metabolite that was reported by the first HHS-certified laboratory, the second laboratory must conduct specimen validity tests in an attempt to determine the reason for being unable to reconfirm the presence of the drug or drug metabolite. The second laboratory should conduct the same specimen validity tests as it would conduct on a primary (A) urine specimen and reports those results to the MRO.

Section 14.3 How does an HHS-certified laboratory test a split (B) urine specimen when the primary (A) specimen was reported adulterated?

(a) An HHS-certified laboratory must use one of the following criteria to reconfirm an adulterated result when testing a split (B) urine specimen:

1. pH must be measured using the laboratory’s confirmatory pH test with the appropriate cutoff (i.e., either less than 4 or equal to or greater than 11);

2. Nitrite must be measured using the laboratory’s confirmatory nitrite test with a cutoff concentration of equal to or greater than 500 mcg/mL;

3. Surfactant must be measured using the laboratory’s confirmatory surfactant test with a cutoff concentration of equal to or greater than 100 mcg/mL.

(b) Failed to reconfirm a single or all drug positive results and adulterated. If the donor provides a legitimate medical explanation for the adulteration result, the MRO reports a failed to reconfirm [specify drug(s)] and cancels both tests. If there is no legitimate medical explanation, the MRO reports a failed to reconfirm [specify drug(s)] and a refusal.
to test to the agency and indicates the adulterant that is present in the specimen. The MRO gives the donor 72 hours to request that Laboratory A retest the primary (A) specimen for the adulterant. If Laboratory A reconfirms the adulterant, the MRO reports refusal to test and indicates the adulterant present. If Laboratory A fails to reconfirm the adulterant, the MRO cancels both tests and directs the agency to immediately collect another specimen using a direct observed collection procedure. The MRO shall notify the appropriate regulatory office about the failed to reconfirm and cancelled test.

(c) Failed to reconfirm a single or all drug positive results and substituted. If the donor provides a legitimate medical explanation for the substituted result, the MRO reports a failed to reconfirm [specify drug(s)] and cancels both tests. If there is no legitimate medical explanation, the MRO reports a failed to reconfirm [specify drug(s)] and a refusal to test (substituted) to the agency. The MRO gives the donor 72 hours to request Laboratory A to review the creatinine and specific gravity results for the primary (A) specimen. If the original creatinine and specific gravity results confirm that the specimen was substituted, the MRO reports a refusal to test (substituted) to the agency. If the original creatinine and specific gravity results from Laboratory A fail to confirm that the specimen was substituted, the MRO cancels both tests and directs the agency to immediately collect another specimen using a direct observed collection procedure. The MRO shall notify the HHS office responsible for coordination of the drug-free workplace program about the failed to reconfirm and cancelled test.

(d) Failed to reconfirm a single or all drug positive results and not adulterated or substituted. The MRO reports to the agency a failed to reconfirm result [specify drug(s)], cancels both tests, and notifies the HHS office responsible for coordination of the drug-free workplace program.

(e) Failed to reconfirm a single or all drug positive results and invalid result. The MRO reports to the agency a failed to reconfirm result [specify drug(s) and give the reason for the invalid result], cancels both tests, directs the agency to immediately collect another specimen using a direct observed collection procedure, and notifies the HHS office responsible for coordination of the drug-free workplace program.

(f) Failed to reconfirm one or more drugs, reconfirmed one or more drugs, and adulterated. The MRO reports to the agency a reconfirmed result [specify drug(s)] and a failed to reconfirm result [specify drug(s)]. The MRO tells the agency that it may take action based on the reconfirmed drug(s) although Laboratory B failed to reconfirm one or more drugs and found that the specimen was adulterated. The MRO shall notify the HHS office responsible for coordination of the drug-free workplace program regarding the test results for the specimen.

(g) Failed to reconfirm one or more drugs, reconfirmed one or more drugs, and substituted. The MRO reports to the agency a reconfirmed result [specify drug(s)] and a failed to reconfirm result [specify drug(s)] and cloudy. The MRO tells the agency that it may take action based on the reconfirmed drug(s) although Laboratory B failed to reconfirm one or more drugs and found that the specimen was substituted. The MRO shall notify the HHS office responsible for coordination of the drug-free workplace program regarding the test results for the specimen.

(h) Failed to reconfirm one or more drugs, reconfirmed one or more drugs, and not adulterated or substituted. The MRO reports a reconfirmed result [specify drug(s)] and a failed to reconfirm result [specify drug(s)] and cloudy. The MRO tells the agency that it may take action based on the reconfirmed drug(s) although Laboratory B failed to reconfirm one or more drugs and found that the specimen was substituted. The MRO shall notify the HHS office responsible for coordination of the drug-free workplace program regarding the test results for the specimen.

(i) Failed to reconfirm one or more drugs, reconfirmed one or more drugs, and invalid result. The MRO reports to the agency a reconfirmed result [specify drug(s)] and a failed to reconfirm result [specify drug(s)] and cloudy. The MRO tells the agency that it may take action based on the reconfirmed drug(s) although Laboratory B failed to reconfirm one or more drugs and reported an invalid result. The MRO shall notify the HHS office responsible for coordination of the drug-free workplace program regarding the test results for the specimen.

(j) Failed to reconfirm substitution or adulteration. The MRO reports to the agency a failed to reconfirm result (specify drug and adulterant) and a failed to reconfirm result (substituted) although Laboratory B failed to reconfirm one or more drugs and failed to reconfirm the adulterant.

(k) Failed to reconfirm a single or all drug positive results and reconfirmed an adulterated or substituted result. The MRO reports to the agency a reconfirmed result (adulterated or substituted) and a failed to reconfirm result (specify drug(s)). The MRO tells the agency that it may take action based on the reconfirmed result (adulterated or substituted) although Laboratory B failed to reconfirm the drug(s) result.

(l) Failed to reconfirm a single or all drug positive results and failed to reconfirm the adulterated or substituted result. The MRO reports to the agency a failed to reconfirm result [specify drug(s) and specify adulterant or substituted] and cancels both tests. The MRO shall notify the HHS office responsible for coordination of the drug-free workplace program regarding the test results for the specimen.

(m) Failed to reconfirm at least one drug and reconfirmed the adulterated result. The MRO reports to the agency a reconfirmed result [specify drug(s)] and adulterated) and a failed to reconfirm result [specify drug(s)]. The MRO tells the agency that it may take action based on the reconfirmed drug(s) and the adulterated result although Laboratory B failed to reconfirm one or more drugs.

(n) Failed to reconfirm at least one drug and failed to reconfirm the adulterated result. The MRO reports to the agency a reconfirmed result [specify drug(s)] and adulterated) and a failed to reconfirm result [specify drug(s)]. The MRO tells the agency that it may take action based on the reconfirmed drug(s) and the adulterated result although Laboratory B failed to reconfirm one or more drugs.
Section 14.7 How does an MRO report a split (B) specimen test result to an agency?

(a) The MRO must report all verified results to an agency using the completed MRO copy of the Federal CCF or a separate report using a letter/memorandum format. The MRO may use various electronic means for reporting (e.g., teleprinter, facsimile, or computer). Transmissions of the reports must ensure confidentiality. The MRO and external service providers must ensure the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of the data and limit access to any data transmission, storage, and retrieval system.

(b) A verified result may not be reported to the agency until the MRO has completed the review process.

(c) The MRO must send a copy of either the completed MRO copy of the Federal CCF or the separate letter/memorandum report for all split specimen results.

(d) The MRO must not disclose the numerical values of the drug test results to the agency.

Section 14.8 How long must an HHS-certified laboratory retain a split (B) specimen?

A split (B) specimen is retained for the same period of time that a primary (A) specimen is retained and under the same storage conditions. This applies even for those cases when the split (B) specimen is tested by a second HHS-certified laboratory and the second HHS-certified laboratory does not confirm the original result reported by the first HHS-certified laboratory for the primary (A) specimen.

Subpart O—Criteria for Rejecting a Specimen for Testing

Section 15.1 What discrepancies require an HHS-certified laboratory or an HHS-certified ITF to report a specimen as rejected for testing?

The following discrepancies are considered to be fatal flaws. The HHS-certified laboratory or ITF must stop the testing process, reject the specimen for testing, and indicate the reason for rejecting the specimen on the Federal CCF when:

(a) The specimen ID number on the primary (A) or split (B) specimen label/seal does not match the ID number on the Federal CCF or the ID number is missing either on the Federal CCF or on either specimen label/seal;

(b) The primary (A) specimen label/seal is missing, misapplied, broken, or shows evidence of tampering and the split (B) specimen cannot be re-designated as the primary (A) specimen;

(c) The collector’s printed name and signature are omitted on the Federal CCF;

(d) There is an insufficient amount of specimen for analysis in the primary (A) specimen unless the split (B) specimen can be re-designated as the primary (A) specimen;

(e) The accessioner failed to document the primary (A) specimen seal condition on the Federal CCF at the time of accessioning, and the split (B) specimen cannot be re-designated as the primary (A) specimen;

(f) The specimen was received at the HHS-certified laboratory or ITF without a CCF;

(g) The CCF was received at the HHS-certified laboratory or ITF without a specimen;

(h) The collector performed two separate collections using one CCF; or

(i) The HHS-certified laboratory or ITF identifies a flaw (other than those specified above) that prevents testing or affects the forensic defensibility of the drug test and cannot be corrected.

Section 15.2 What discrepancies are not sufficient to require an HHS-certified laboratory or an HHS-certified ITF to reject a urine specimen for testing or an MRO to cancel a test?

(a) The following omissions and discrepancies on the Federal CCF that are received by the HHS-certified laboratory or ITF should not cause an HHS-certified laboratory or ITF to reject a urine specimen or cause an MRO to cancel a test:

(1) An incorrect laboratory name and address appearing at the top of the form;

(2) Incomplete/incorrect/unreadable employer name or address;

(3) MRO name is missing;

(4) An incorrect date of receipt;

(5) A transposition of numbers in the donor’s Social Security number or employee identification number;

(6) A telephone number is missing/incorrect;

(7) A fax number is missing/incorrect;

(8) A “reason for test” box is not marked;

(9) A “drug tests to be performed” box is not marked;

(10) A “specimen collection” box is not marked;

(11) The “observed” box is not marked (if applicable);

(12) The collection site address is missing;

(13) The collector’s printed name is missing but the collector’s signature is properly recorded;

(14) The time of collection is not indicated;

(15) The date of collection is not indicated;

(16) Incorrect name of delivery service;

(17) The collector has changed or corrected information by crossing out the original information on either the Federal CCF or specimen label/seal without dating and initializing the change; or

(18) The donor’s name inadvertently appears on the HHS-certified laboratory or ITF copy of the Federal CCF or on the tamper-evident labels used to seal the specimen;

(19) The collector failed to check the specimen temperature box and the “Remarks” line did not have a comment regarding the temperature being out of range. If, after at least 5 business days, the collector cannot provide a memorandum for record to attest to the fact that the collector did measure the specimen temperature, the HHS-certified laboratory or ITF may report the test result for the specimen but indicates that the collector could not provide a memorandum to recover the omission.

(20) The accessioner failed to check the specimen temperature box and the “Remarks” line did not have a comment regarding the temperature being out of range. If, after at least 5 business days, the collector cannot provide a memorandum for record to attest to the fact that the collector did measure the specimen temperature, the HHS-certified laboratory or ITF may report the test result for the specimen but indicates that the collector could not provide a memorandum to recover the omission.
(b) The following omissions and discrepancies on the Federal CCF that are made at the HHS-certified laboratory or IITF should not cause an MRO to cancel a test:

1. The testing laboratory or IITF fails to indicate the correct name and address in the results section when a different laboratory or IITF name and address is printed at the top of the Federal CCF;
2. The accessor fails to print their name;
3. The certifying scientist or certifying technician fails to print their name;
4. The certifying scientist or certifying technician accidentally initials the Federal CCF rather than signing for a specimen reported as rejected for testing;
5. The above omissions and discrepancies should occur no more than once a month. The expectation is that each trained collector and HHS-certified laboratory or IITF will make every effort to ensure that the Federal CCF is properly completed and that all the information is correct. When an error occurs more than once a month, the MRO must direct the collector, HHS-certified laboratory, or HHS-certified IITF (whichever is responsible for the error) to immediately take corrective action to prevent the recurrence of the error.

Section 15.4 What discrepancies may require an MRO to cancel a test?

(a) An MRO must attempt to correct the following errors:

1. The donor’s signature is missing on the MRO copy of the Federal CCF and the collector failed to provide a comment that the donor refused to sign the form;
2. The certifying scientist failed to sign the Federal CCF for a specimen being reported drug positive, adulterated, invalid, or (for urine) substituted; or
3. The electronic report provided by the HHS-certified laboratory or HHS-certified IITF does not contain all the data elements required for the HHS standard laboratory or IITF electronic report for a specimen being reported drug positive, adulterated, invalid result, or (for urine) substituted.
4. If error (a)(1) occurs, the MRO must contact the collector to obtain a statement to verify that the donor refused to sign the MRO copy. If, after at least 5 business days, the collector cannot provide such a statement, the MRO must cancel the test.
5. If error (a)(2) occurs, the MRO must obtain a statement from the certifying scientist that they inadvertently forgot to sign the Federal CCF, but did, in fact, properly conduct the certification review. If, after at least 5 business days, the MRO cannot get a statement from the certifying scientist, the MRO must cancel the test.
6. If error (a)(3) occurs, the MRO must contact the HHS-certified laboratory or HHS-certified IITF. If, after at least 5 business days, the laboratory or IITF does not retransmit a corrected electronic report, the MRO must cancel the test.

Subpart P—Laboratory or IITF Suspension/Revocation Procedures

Section 16.1 When may the HHS certification of a laboratory or IITF be suspended?

These procedures apply when:

(a) The Secretary has notified an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF in writing that its certification to perform drug testing under these Guidelines has been suspended or that the Secretary proposes to revoke such certification.
(b) The HHS-certified laboratory or IITF has, within 30 days of the date of such notification or within 3 days of the date of such notification when seeking an expedited review of a suspension, requested in writing an opportunity for an informal review of the suspension or proposed revocation.

Section 16.2 What definitions are used for this subpart?

Appellant. Means the HHS-certified laboratory or IITF which has been notified of its suspension or proposed revocation of its certification to perform testing and has requested an informal review thereof.

Respondent. Means the person or persons designated by the Secretary in implementing these Guidelines.

Reviewing Official. Means the person or persons designated by the Secretary who will review the suspension or proposed revocation. The reviewing official may be assisted by one or more of the official’s employees or consultants in assessing and weighing the scientific and technical evidence and other information submitted by the appellant and respondent on the reasons for the suspension and proposed revocation.

Section 16.3 Are there any limitations on issues subject to review?

The scope of review shall be limited to the facts relevant to any suspension or proposed revocation, the necessary interpretations of those facts, the relevant Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs, and other relevant law. The legal validity of these Guidelines shall not be subject to review under these procedures.

Section 16.4 Who represents the parties?

The appellant’s request for review shall specify the name, address, and telephone number of the appellant’s representative. In its first written submission to the reviewing official, the respondent shall specify the name, address, and telephone number of the respondent’s representative.

Section 16.5 When must a request for informal review be submitted?

(a) Within 30 days of the date of the notice of the suspension or proposed revocation, the appellant must submit a written request to the reviewing official seeking review, unless some other time period is agreed to by the parties. A copy must also be sent to the respondent. The request for review must include a copy of the notice of suspension or proposed revocation, a brief statement of why the decision to suspend or propose revocation is wrong, and the appellant’s request for an oral presentation, if desired.

(b) Within 5 days after receiving the request for review, the reviewing official will send an acknowledgment and advise the appellant of the next steps. The reviewing official will also send a copy of the acknowledgment to the respondent.

Section 16.6 What is an abeyance agreement?

Upon mutual agreement of the parties to hold these procedures in abeyance, the reviewing official will stay these procedures for a reasonable time while the laboratory or IITF attempts to regain compliance with the Guidelines or the parties otherwise attempt to settle the dispute. As part of an abeyance agreement, the parties can agree to extend the time period for requesting review of the suspension or proposed revocation. If abeyance begins after a request for review has been filed, the appellant shall notify the reviewing official at the end of the abeyance period advising whether the dispute has been resolved. If the dispute has not been resolved, the request for review will be dismissed. If the dispute has been resolved, the review procedures will begin at the point at which they were interrupted by the abeyance agreement with such modifications to the procedures as the reviewing official deems appropriate.
Section 16.7 What procedures are used to prepare the review file and written argument?

The appellant and the respondent each participate in developing the file for the reviewing official and in submitting written arguments. The procedures for development of the review file and submission of written argument are:

(a) Appellant’s Documents and Brief. Within 15 days after receiving the acknowledgment of the request for review, the appellant shall submit to the reviewing official the following (with a copy to the respondent):

(1) A review file containing the documents supporting appellant’s argument, tabbed and organized chronologically, and accompanied by an index identifying each document. Only essential documents should be submitted to the reviewing official.

(2) A written statement, not to exceed 20 double-spaced pages, explaining why respondent’s decision to suspend or propose revocation of appellant’s certification is wrong (appellant’s brief).

(b) Respondent’s Documents and Brief. Within 15 days after receiving a copy of the acknowledgment of the request for review, the respondent shall submit to the reviewing official the following (with a copy to the appellant):

(1) A review file containing documents supporting respondent’s decision to suspend or revoke respondent’s certification to perform drug testing, which is tabbed and organized chronologically, and accompanied by an index identifying each document. Only essential documents should be submitted to the reviewing official.

(2) A written statement, not exceeding 20 double-spaced pages in length, explaining the basis for suspension or proposed revocation (respondent’s brief).

(c) Reply Briefs. Within 5 days after receiving the opposing party’s submission, or 20 days after receiving acknowledgment of the request for review, whichever is later, each party may submit a short reply not to exceed 10 double-spaced pages.

(d) Cooperative Efforts. Whenever feasible, the parties should attempt to develop a joint review file.

(e) Excessive Documentation. The reviewing official may take any appropriate step to reduce excessive documentation, including the return of or refusal to consider documentation found to be irrelevant, redundant, or unnecessary.

Section 16.8 When is there an opportunity for oral presentation?

(a) Electing Oral Presentation. If an opportunity for oral presentation is desired, the appellant shall request it at the time it submits its written request for review to the reviewing official. The reviewing official will grant the request if the official determines that the decision-making process will be substantially aided by oral presentations and arguments. The reviewing official may also provide for an oral presentation at the official’s own initiative or at the request of the respondent.

(b) Presiding Official. The reviewing official or designee will be the presiding official responsible for conducting the oral presentation.

(c) Preliminary Conference. The presiding official may hold a prehearing conference (usually a telephone conference call) to consider any of the following: Simplifying and clarifying issues, stipulations and admissions, limitations on evidence and witnesses that will be presented at the hearing, time allotted for each witness and the hearing altogether, scheduling the hearing, and any other matter that will assist in the review process. Normally, this conference will be conducted informally and off the record; however, the presiding official may, at their discretion, produce a written document summarizing the conference or transcribe the conference, either of which will be made a part of the record.

(d) Time and Place of the Oral Presentation. The presiding official will attempt to schedule the oral presentation within 30 days of the date the appellant’s request for review is received or within 10 days of submission of the last reply brief, whichever is later. The oral presentation will be held at a time and place determined by the presiding official following consultation with the parties.

(e) Conduct of the Oral Presentation. (1) General. The presiding official is responsible for conducting the oral presentation. The presiding official may be assisted by one or more of the official’s employees or consultants in conducting the oral presentation and reviewing the evidence. While the oral presentation will be kept as informal as possible, the presiding official may take all necessary steps to ensure an orderly proceeding.

(2) Burden of Proof/Standard of Proof. In all cases, the respondent bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that its decision to suspend or propose revocation is appropriate. The appellant, however, has a responsibility to respond to the respondent’s allegations with evidence and argument to show that the respondent is wrong.

(f) Admission of Evidence. The Federal Rules of Evidence do not apply and the presiding official will generally admit all testimonial evidence unless it is clearly irrelevant, immaterial, or unduly repetitious. Each party may make an opening and closing statement, may present witnesses as agreed upon in the prehearing conference or otherwise, and may question the opposing party’s witnesses. Since the parties have ample opportunity to prepare the review file, a party may introduce additional documentation during the oral presentation only with the permission of the presiding official. The presiding official may question witnesses directly and take such other steps necessary to ensure an effective and efficient consideration of the evidence, including setting time limitations on direct and cross-examinations.

(g) Motions. The presiding official may rule on motions including, for example, motions to exclude or strike redundant or immaterial evidence, motions to dismiss the case for insufficient evidence, or motions for summary judgment. Except for those made during the hearing, all motions and opposition to motions, including argument, must be in writing and be no more than 10 double-spaced pages in length. The presiding official will set a reasonable time for the party opposing the motion to reply.

(h) Transcripts. The presiding official shall have the oral presentation transcribed and the transcript shall be made a part of the record. Either party may request a copy of the transcript and the requesting party shall be responsible for paying for its copy of the transcript.

(i) Obstruction of Justice or Making of False Statements. Obstruction of justice or the making of false statements by a witness or any other person may be the basis for a criminal prosecution under 18 U.S.C. 1505 or 1001.

(j) Post-hearing Procedures. At their discretion, the presiding official may require or permit the parties to submit post-hearing briefs or proposed findings and conclusions. Each party may submit comments on any major prejudicial errors in the transcript.

Section 16.9 Are there expedited procedures for review of immediate suspension?

(a) Applicability. When the Secretary notifies an HHS-certified laboratory or IITF in writing that its certification to perform drug testing has been
Immediately suspended, the appellant may request an expedited review of the suspension and any proposed revocation. The appellant must submit this request in writing to the reviewing official within 3 days of the date the HHS-certified laboratory or IITF received notice of the suspension. The request for review must include a copy of the suspension and any proposed revocation, a brief statement of why the decision to suspend and propose revocation is wrong, and the appellant’s request for an oral presentation, if desired. A copy of the request for review must also be sent to the respondent.

Section 16.9(f) What administrative records are maintained?

The administrative record of review consists of the review file; other submissions by the parties; transcripts or other records of any meetings, conference calls, or oral presentation; evidence submitted at the oral presentation; and orders and other documents issued by the reviewing and presiding officials.

Section 16.14 What are the requirements for a written decision?

(a) Issuance of Decision. The reviewing official shall issue a written decision upholding or denying the suspension or proposed revocation. The decision will set forth the reasons for the decision and describe the basis therefore in the record. Furthermore, the reviewing official may remand the matter to the respondent for further action as the reviewing official deems appropriate.

(b) Date of Decision. The reviewing official will attempt to issue their decision within 15 days of the date of the oral presentation, the date on which the transcript is received, or the date of the last submission by either party, whichever is later. If there is no oral presentation, the decision will normally be issued within 15 days of the date of receipt of the last reply brief. Once issued, the reviewing official will immediately communicate the decision to each party.

(c) Public Notice. If the suspension and proposed revocation are upheld, the revocation will become effective immediately and the public will be notified by publication of a notice in the Federal Register. If the suspension and proposed revocation are denied, the revocation will not take effect and the suspension will be lifted immediately. Public notice will be given by publication in the Federal Register.

Section 16.15 Is there a review of the final administrative action?

Before any legal action is filed in court challenging the suspension or proposed revocation, respondent shall exhaust administrative remedies provided under this subpart, unless otherwise provided by Federal Law. The reviewing official’s decision, under Section 16.9(e) or 16.14(a) constitutes final agency action and is ripe for judicial review as of the date of the decision.

Section 16.10 Are any types of communications prohibited?

Except for routine administrative and procedural matters, a party shall not communicate with the reviewing or presiding official without notice to the other party.

Section 16.11 How are communications transmitted by the reviewing official?

(a) Because of the importance of a timely review, the reviewing official should normally transmit written communications to either party by facsimile, secured electronic transmissions, or overnight mail.

Section 16.12 What are the authority and responsibilities of the reviewing official?

In addition to any other authority specified in these procedures, the reviewing official and the presiding official, with respect to those authorities involving the oral presentation, shall have the authority to issue orders; examine witnesses; take all steps necessary for the conduct of an orderly hearing; rule on requests and motions; grant extensions of time for good reasons; dismiss for failure to meet deadlines or other requirements; order the parties to submit relevant documents, information, or witnesses; remand a case for further action by the respondent; waive or modify these procedures in a specific case, usually with notice to the parties; reconsider a decision of the reviewing official where a party promptly alleges a clear error of fact or law; and to take any other action necessary to resolve disputes in accordance with the objectives of these procedures.

Section 16.13 What administrative records are maintained?

The administrative record of review consists of the review file; other submissions by the parties; transcripts or other records of any meetings, conference calls, or oral presentation; evidence submitted at the oral presentation; and orders and other documents issued by the reviewing and presiding officials.

(a) Issuance of Decision. The reviewing official shall issue a written decision upholding or denying the suspension or proposed revocation. The decision will set forth the reasons for the decision and describe the basis therefore in the record. Furthermore, the reviewing official may remand the matter to the respondent for further action as the reviewing official deems appropriate.

(b) Date of Decision. The reviewing official will attempt to issue their decision within 15 days of the date of the oral presentation, the date on which the transcript is received, or the date of the last submission by either party, whichever is later. If there is no oral presentation, the decision will normally be issued within 15 days of the date of receipt of the last reply brief. Once issued, the reviewing official will immediately communicate the decision to each party.

(c) Public Notice. If the suspension and proposed revocation are upheld, the revocation will become effective immediately and the public will be notified by publication of a notice in the Federal Register. If the suspension and proposed revocation are denied, the revocation will not take effect and the suspension will be lifted immediately. Public notice will be given by publication in the Federal Register.

Section 16.15 Is there a review of the final administrative action?

Before any legal action is filed in court challenging the suspension or proposed revocation, respondent shall exhaust administrative remedies provided under this subpart, unless otherwise provided by Federal Law. The reviewing official’s decision, under Section 16.9(e) or 16.14(a) constitutes final agency action and is ripe for judicial review as of the date of the decision.

Section 16.10 Are any types of communications prohibited?

Except for routine administrative and procedural matters, a party shall not communicate with the reviewing or presiding official without notice to the other party.

Section 16.11 How are communications transmitted by the reviewing official?

(a) Because of the importance of a timely review, the reviewing official should normally transmit written communications to either party by facsimile, secured electronic transmissions, or overnight mail.

Section 16.12 What are the authority and responsibilities of the reviewing official?

In addition to any other authority specified in these procedures, the reviewing official and the presiding official, with respect to those authorities involving the oral presentation, shall have the authority to issue orders; examine witnesses; take all steps necessary for the conduct of an orderly hearing; rule on requests and motions; grant extensions of time for good reasons; dismiss for failure to meet deadlines or other requirements; order the parties to submit relevant documents, information, or witnesses; remand a case for further action by the respondent; waive or modify these procedures in a specific case, usually with notice to the parties; reconsider a decision of the reviewing official where a party promptly alleges a clear error of fact or law; and to take any other action necessary to resolve disputes in accordance with the objectives of these procedures.

Section 16.13 What administrative records are maintained?

The administrative record of review consists of the review file; other submissions by the parties; transcripts or other records of any meetings, conference calls, or oral presentation; evidence submitted at the oral presentation; and orders and other documents issued by the reviewing and presiding officials.

(a) Issuance of Decision. The reviewing official shall issue a written decision upholding or denying the suspension or proposed revocation. The decision will set forth the reasons for the decision and describe the basis therefore in the record. Furthermore, the reviewing official may remand the matter to the respondent for further action as the reviewing official deems appropriate.

(b) Date of Decision. The reviewing official will attempt to issue their decision within 15 days of the date of the oral presentation, the date on which the transcript is received, or the date of the last submission by either party, whichever is later. If there is no oral presentation, the decision will normally be issued within 15 days of the date of receipt of the last reply brief. Once issued, the reviewing official will immediately communicate the decision to each party.

(c) Public Notice. If the suspension and proposed revocation are upheld, the revocation will become effective immediately and the public will be notified by publication of a notice in the Federal Register. If the suspension and proposed revocation are denied, the revocation will not take effect and the suspension will be lifted immediately. Public notice will be given by publication in the Federal Register.

Section 16.15 Is there a review of the final administrative action?

Before any legal action is filed in court challenging the suspension or proposed revocation, respondent shall exhaust administrative remedies provided under this subpart, unless otherwise provided by Federal Law. The reviewing official’s decision, under Section 16.9(e) or 16.14(a) constitutes final agency action and is ripe for judicial review as of the date of the decision.

Section 16.10 Are any types of communications prohibited?

Except for routine administrative and procedural matters, a party shall not communicate with the reviewing or presiding official without notice to the other party.

Section 16.11 How are communications transmitted by the reviewing official?

(a) Because of the importance of a timely review, the reviewing official should normally transmit written communications to either party by facsimile, secured electronic transmissions, or overnight mail.