The statement may have been issued directly to the Hong Kong reexporter or it may be a written statement available to the general public. The statement may be used for more than one reexport from Hong Kong so long as it remains an accurate statement of Hong Kong law.

PART 748—APPLICATIONS (CLASSIFICATION, ADVISORY, AND LICENSE) AND DOCUMENTATION

* * * * *


§ 748.9 Support documents for evaluation of foreign parties in license applications and/or for promoting compliance with license requirements.

* * * * *

(b) Requirements to obtain support documents for license applications. Unless an exception in paragraph (c) of this section applies, a support document is required for certain license applications for:

1. The People’s Republic of China (PRC) other than the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (see §§ 748.10 and 748.11(a)(2));

2. “600 Series Major Defense Equipment” (see § 748.11);

3. Firearms and related commodities to members of the Organization of American States (see § 748.12); and

4. The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People’s Republic of China (see § 748.13).

Note 1 to Paragraph (b): On a case-by-case basis, BIS may require license applicants to obtain a support document for any license application.

Note 2 to Paragraph (b): For End-Use Certificate requirements under the Chemical Weapons Convention, see § 745.2 of the EAR.

* * * * *

(e) * * * *

(1) * * * * The documents issued by the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region that are required pursuant to § 748.13 are not used to evaluate license applications. They must be obtained before shipment and need not be obtained before submitting a license application.

* * * * *

§ 748.13 Hong Kong import and export licenses.

(a) Requirement to obtain the document—(1) Exports and reexports to Hong Kong. An exporter or reexporter must obtain the documents described in paragraph (a)(1)(i) or (a)(1)(ii) of this section before using a license issued by BIS to export or reexport to Hong Kong any item subject to the EAR and controlled on the CCL for NS, MT, NP column 1, or CB reasons. Collectively, the documents issued by Hong Kong must cover all of the items to be exported or reexported pursuant to a license.

(i) A copy of an import license issued to the Hong Kong importer by the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, pursuant to the Hong Kong Import and Export (Strategic Commodities) Regulations, that covers the items to be exported or reexported pursuant to that BIS license for which a Hong Kong import license is required and that is valid on the date of the export or reexport that is subject to the EAR; or

(ii) A copy of a written statement issued by the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region that no import license is required to import into Hong Kong the item(s) to be exported or reexported to Hong Kong. The statement may have been issued directly to the Hong Kong importer or it may be a written statement available to the general public. The statement may be used for more than one export or reexport from Hong Kong so long as it remains an accurate statement of Hong Kong law.

(b) Recordkeeping. The documents required to be obtained by paragraph (a) of this section must be retained and made available to the U.S. Government upon request in accordance with part 762 of the EAR.

PART 762—RECORDKEEPING

* * * * *


§ 762.2 Records to be retained.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(54) § 748.13, Certain Hong Kong import and export licenses.

* * * * *

Dated: January 6, 2017.

Kevin J. Wolf,
Assistant Secretary for Export Administration.
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Amendments to the Export Administration Regulations Implementing an Additional Phase of India-U.S. Export Control Cooperation

AGENCY: Bureau of Industry and Security, Commerce.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: In this final rule, the Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) amends the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) to implement the India-U.S. Joint Statement of June 7, 2016 (June Statement), which recognized the United States and India as Major Defense Partners. This rule amends the EAR by establishing a licensing policy of general approval for exports or
reexports to or transfers within India of items subject to the EAR and controlled only for National Security or Regional Stability reasons. In addition, BIS amends the end use and end user provisions of the Validated End User (VEU) authorization to state that items obtained under authorization VEU in India may be used for either civil or military end uses other than those that are for use in nuclear, “missile,” or chemical or biological weapons activities.

DATES: This rule is effective January 19, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alexander Lopes, Director, Office of Nonproliferation Controls and Treaty Compliance, Bureau of Industry and Security, Phone: (202) 482–3625.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

As announced by President Obama and India’s Prime Minister Singh in a U.S.-India Joint Statement on November 8, 2010, the United States and India formally committed to work together to strengthen the global nonproliferation and export control framework and further transform bilateral export control cooperation to realize the full potential of the global strategic partnership between the two countries. The leaders agreed to take mutual steps to expand cooperation in civil space, defense, and other high-technology sectors. The steps agreed to by the United States included the removal of Indian defense and space-related entities from the Entity List (Supplement No. 4 to part 744 of the EAR) and the realignment of India in U.S. export control regulations. Additionally, the 2010 Joint Statement announced that the United States “intend[ed] to support India’s full membership in the four multilateral export control regimes (Nuclear Suppliers Group, Missile Technology Control Regime, Australia Group, and Wassenaar Arrangement) in a phased manner, and to consult with regime members to encourage the evolution of regime membership criteria,” while maintaining these regimes’ core principles, “as the Government of India took steps towards the full adoption of the regimes’ export control requirements to reflect its prospective membership, with both processes moving forward together.”

To date, BIS has published two rules implementing the President’s and Prime Minister’s commitments. The first rule, published on January 25, 2011 (76 FR 4228), revised certain export and reexport controls for India, including the removal of nine Indian entities from the Entity List. In addition, BIS amended the EAR to remove India from Country Groups D:2, D:3 and D:4, and added India to Country Group A:2.

In the second rule, published January 23, 2015 (80 FR 3463), BIS amended the EAR, in furtherance of the United States’ commitment to the bilateral understanding, by removing India from Crime Control (CC) columns 1 and 3 and from Regional Stability (RS) column 2 on the Commerce Country Chart in Supplement No. 1 to Part 738 of the EAR, because the Government of India had taken appropriate steps to ensure that U.S.-origin items controlled for CC and RS reasons are not reexported from India without a license. Although the second rule removed the license requirement for the majority of items controlled for CC or RS reasons and destined for India, a license requirement remained for items controlled under export control classification numbers (ECCNs) 6A003.b.4.b and 9A515.e for RS column 2 reasons when destined to India.

In addition, BIS published on August 17, 2016, a third rule (81 FR 54721) that was not specific to the bilateral understanding but nonetheless removed a related requirement to include a destination control statement on shipping documents for items controlled for CC columns 1 and 3, and RS column 2 reasons when the items are exported to India.

New Amendments

In this rule, BIS implements an additional step in furtherance of the U.S.-India bilateral understanding and global strategic partnership. On June 7, 2016, the United States and India issued a Joint Statement entitled, “The United States and India: Enduring Global Partners in the 21st Century.” Specifically, in this rule, BIS implements the understanding between the United States and India expressed in the June Joint Statement regarding U.S. export control policy toward India by establishing a new paragraph (b)(6) in §744.2 (National Security) and a new paragraph (b)(5) in §742.6 (Regional Stability). These new provisions establish licensing policies of general approval for exports or reexports to or transfers within India of items subject to the EAR, including “600 series” military items, for civil or military end uses in India or for the ultimate end use by the Government of India, for reexport to a Country Group A:5 country, or for return to the United States, so long as such items are not for use in nuclear, “missile,” or chemical or biological weapons activities. This rule does not amend any other licensing policies in part 742 such as those with respect to Missile Technology items. The rule also does not amend any licensing policies pertaining to naval nuclear propulsion.

The Country Group A:5 countries are listed in Supplement Number 1 to part 740 and are often informally referred to as the “STA–36” countries because they are the list of countries to which exports under License Exception Strategic Trade Authorization are authorized pursuant to the conditions and limitations of section 740.20(b)(3).

In addition, BIS amends the end user and end use provisions of the Validated End User (VEU) authorization in §748.15 (Authorization Validated End-User (VEU)), paragraphs (a) (eligible end user provision) and (d) (end-use restrictions), to allow that items obtained under authorization VEU in India may be used for civil or military end uses other than those that involve items controlled for MT reasons, or if for use in nuclear, “missile,” or chemical or biological weapons activities. Section 748.15(c) does not change the January 23, 2015 (80 FR 3463), amendment to the EAR regarding the export and reexport of Crime Control (CC) columns 1 and 3 items to India. Conforming changes are made to paragraph (7)(ii) in Supplement No. 8 to Part 748 (Information Required in Requests for Validated End-User (VEU) Authorization). No other material changes are made in this rule to the VEU program, such as the process for approving a VEU, VEU compliance obligations, the rules pertaining to VEU in China, or the process of identifying approved VEU and eligible items and facilities in Supplement No. 7 to Part 748.

Export Administration Act

Although the Export Administration Act expired on August 20, 2001, the President, through Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001, 3 CFR, 2001 Comp., p. 783 (2002), as amended by Executive Order 13637 of March 8, 2013, 78 FR 16129 (March 13, 2013), and as extended most recently by the Notice of August 4, 2016, 81 FR 52587 (August 8, 2016), has continued the EAR in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act. BIS continues to carry out the provisions of the Export Administration Act, as appropriate and to the extent permitted by law, pursuant to Executive Order 13222 as amended by Executive Order 13637.

Rulemaking Requirements

1. Executive Orders 13563 and 12866 direct agencies to assess all costs and
benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). Executive Order 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This rule has been determined to be not significant for purposes of Executive Order 12866.

2. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person is required to respond to, nor shall any person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) (PRA), unless that collection of information displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. This rule involves a collection of information approved under OMB control number 0990–0088—Simplified Network Application Process—Redesign System (SNAP–R) and the Multipurpose Export License Application, which carries an annual estimated burden of 31,833 hours. BIS believes that this rule will not have a material impact on that burden because this rule does not increase or decrease BIS’s existing licensing requirements. To the extent that it has any impact, BIS believes that the benefits of this rule justify any additional (and likely minimal) additional burden it might create. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Jasmeet K. Seehra, Office of Management and Budget, by email at jseehra@omb.eop.gov or by fax to (202) 395–7285.

3. This rule does not contain policies with Federalism implications as that term is defined under Executive Order 13132.

4. The provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553) requiring notice of proposed rulemaking and the opportunity for public participation, and a delay in effective date, are inapplicable because this regulation involves a military or foreign affairs function of the United States (see 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1)). This rule advances essential foreign policy, national security, and nonproliferation goals of the United States and a critical strategic partner, India. Subsequent agency deliberations following the June 1 Statement culminated in this framework for regulatory implementation of the Statement. Delay in implementing this rule to obtain public comment or for any other reason would undermine the good faith timelines in which the United States signed, and now implements, the Statement and, therefore, would undermine the foreign policy objectives that the rule is intended to serve. Further, no other law requires that a notice of proposed rulemaking or an opportunity for public comment be given for this rule. Because a notice of proposed rulemaking and an opportunity for public comment are not required for this rule under 5 U.S.C. 553, or by any other law, the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) are not applicable.

List of Subjects
15 CFR Part 742
Exports, Terrorism.

15 CFR Part 748
Administrative practice and procedure, Exports, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, 15 CFR parts 742 and 748 of the EAR (15 CFR parts 730 through 774) are amended as follows:

PART 742—CONTROL POLICY—CCL BASED CONTROLS

1. The authority citation for 15 CFR part 742 continues to read as follows:


2. Section 742.4 is amended by adding paragraph (b)(8) to read as follows:

§ 742.4 National security.

(b) * * *

(8) For India, there is a general policy of approval for license applications to export, reexport, or transfer items, including “600 series” items, for civil or military end uses in India, for ultimate end use by the Government of India, for reexport to countries in Country Group A:5, or for return to the United States, so long as such items are not for use in nuclear, “missile,” or chemical or biological weapons activities.

PART 748—APPLICATIONS (CLASSIFICATION, ADVISORY, AND LICENSE) AND DOCUMENTATION

4. The authority citation for 15 CFR part 748 continues to read as follows:


5. Section 748.15 is amended by revising paragraphs (a)(2) and (d) introductory text to read as follows:

§ 748.15 Authorization Validated End-User (VEU).

(a) * * *

(2) In evaluating an end user for eligibility under authorization VEU, the ERC will consider a range of information, including such factors as: The entity’s record of exclusive engagement in appropriate end-use activities; the entity’s compliance with U.S. export controls; the need for an on-site review prior to approval; the entity’s capability of complying with the requirements of authorization VEU; the entity’s agreement to on-site reviews by representatives of the U.S. Government to ensure adherence to the conditions of the VEU authorization; and the entity’s relationships with U.S. and foreign companies. In addition, when evaluating the eligibility of an end user, the ERC will consider the status of
export controls and the support and adherence to multilateral export control regimes of the government of the eligible destination.

(d) End-use restrictions. Items obtained under authorization VEU in China may be used only for civil end uses and may not be used for any activities described in part 744 of the EAR. Items obtained under authorization VEU in India may be used for either civil or military end uses and may not be used for any activities described in part 744 of the EAR. Exports, reexports, or transfers made under authorization VEU may be made to an end user listed in Supplement No. 7 to this part only if the items will be consigned to and for use by the validated end user. Eligible end-users who obtain items under VEU may only:

6. Paragraph (7)(ii) of the section titled Required Information for Validated End-User Authorization Requests in Supplement No. 8 to part 748 is revised to read as follows:

Supplement No. 8 to Part 748—
Required Information in Requests for Validated End-User (VEU) Authorization

Required Information for Validated End-User Authorization Requests

(7) * * * * *

(ii) Understands and will abide by all authorization VEU end-use restrictions, including the requirement that items received under authorization VEU will only be used for authorized end-uses and may not be used for any activities described in part 744 of the EAR;

Dated: January 6, 2017.

Kevin J. Wolf,
Assistant Secretary for Export Administration.

[FR Doc. 2017–00439 Filed 1–18–17; 8:45 am]
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International Affairs; Antarctic Marine Living Resources Convention Act

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This final rule sets forth changes to the regulations that implement conservation measures adopted by the Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR or Commission). This final rule streamlines and clarifies the regulations for Antarctic marine living resources, shifts deadlines for advance notice of intended fishing activities, distinguishes between first receivers and dealers of Antarctic marine living resources (AMLR), reduces the time for advance notice of imports of Dissostichus species, and adds transshipment notification requirements. The sections of these regulations are reorganized to group requirements related to the trade of Antarctic marine living resources and those that apply to fishing activities. Additionally, this action updates the regulations to reflect Commission-adopted revisions to existing conservation measures and changes made to the Antarctic Marine Living Resources Convention Act through the Illegal, Unreported, and Unregulated Fishing Enforcement Act of 2015.

DATES: This rule is effective February 21, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mi Ae Kim, Office of International Affairs and Seafood Inspection, NMFS (phone 301–427–8365, or email mi.ae.kim@noaa.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The United States is a Contracting Party to the Convention on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (Convention). Under Article VII of the Convention, contracting parties established and agreed to maintain the Commission to give effect to the Convention’s objective—conservation of AMLR. The United States, along with 23 other countries and the European Union, are members of the Commission and meet annually to formulate, adopt and revise conservation measures. Article IX(6) of the Convention requires the Commission to notify conservation measures to all members and, 180 days thereafter, such measures become binding. If a member objects to a measure within 90 days of notification, the measure is not binding on that member and, should that occur, Article IX(6)(d) of the Convention includes a procedure that allows other members to notify that they can no longer accept that measure.

The Antarctic Marine Living Resources Convention Act of 1984 (AMLRCA), codified at 16 U.S.C. 2431, et seq., provides the statutory authority for the United States to carry out its obligations under the Convention, including implementation of Commission-adopted conservation measures. AMLRCA section 305(a)(1) authorizes the Secretary of State, with the concurrence of the Secretary of Commerce and the Director of the National Science Foundation, to decide whether the United States is unable to accept or can no longer accept a Commission-adopted conservation measure (16 U.S.C. 2434(a)(1)). AMLRCA also gives the Secretary of Commerce authority to promulgate regulations as necessary and appropriate to implement the Act. This authority has been delegated to the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries (Assistant Administrator), who has implemented Commission-adopted conservation measures that are binding on the United States under Article IX of the Convention through regulations at 50 CFR part 300, subpart G (AMLR regulations).

Through the “Illegal, Unreported, and Unregulated Fishing Enforcement Act” (IUU Fishing Enforcement Act), Public Law 114–81 (2015), Congress amended AMLRCA section 306, 16 U.S.C. 2435, which specifies unlawful activities; section 307, 16 U.S.C. 2436, which provides the Secretary of Commerce authority to promulgate regulations that are necessary and appropriate to implement AMLRCA; and section 308(a), 16 U.S.C. 2437(a), which specifies the penalties available for violations of the Act. Public Law 114–81 (2015), Title I, 106(1)–(2).

At each annual meeting, the Commission may adopt new conservation measures or revise existing measures. While all conservation measures are subject to revision at the annual meeting, some (particularly those in the fishery regulation category)