

15 days after publication of the final results of this administrative review.

Where the respondent reported reliable entered values, we calculated importer (or customer)-specific *ad valorem* rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to each importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total entered value of the sales to each importer (or customer).<sup>8</sup> Where the Department calculated a weighted-average dumping margin by dividing the total amount of dumping for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions, the Department will direct CBP to assess importer-specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit rates.<sup>9</sup> Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is greater than *de minimis* (i.e., 0.50 percent), the Department will instruct CBP to collect the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation.<sup>10</sup> Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is zero or *de minimis*, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.<sup>11</sup>

Pursuant to the Department's assessment practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide entity rate. Additionally, if the Department determines that an exporter had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (i.e., at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide entity rate.<sup>12</sup>

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the exporters listed above, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, a zero cash deposit rate will be required for that

company); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing producer/exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be eligible for a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 285.63 percent;<sup>13</sup> and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter(s) that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed regarding these final results within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and that subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

#### Administrative Protective Order Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in

accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Dated: January 9, 2017.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix—Issues and Decision Memorandum

Summary  
Background  
Scope of the Order  
Changes Since the Preliminary Results  
Discussion of the Issues  
    Comment 1: Selection of the Primary Surrogate Country  
    Comment 2: Selection of Mexican Surrogate Value Information over the Romanian Surrogate Value Information  
        A. Surrogate Financial Ratios  
        B. Surrogate Values for Certain Other Inputs  
Recommendation  
[FR Doc. 2017-00825 Filed 1-13-17; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

[A-570-601]

#### Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Reinstatement of Shanghai General Bearing Co., Ltd. in the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On July 13, 2016, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the changed circumstances review and intent to reinstate Shanghai General Bearing Co., Ltd. (SGBC/SKF) in the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, (TRBs) from the People's Republic of China (PRC). This review covers TRBs from the PRC manufactured and exported by SGBC/SKF. The period of review is June 1, 2014, through May 31, 2015. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made changes to the margin calculations. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. Further, we continue to determine that SGBC/SKF sold TRBs at less than normal value (NV), and, as a result, we are reinstating SGBC/SKF in the antidumping order on TRBs from the PRC. The final weighted-average dumping margin is listed below in the

<sup>8</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

<sup>9</sup> *Id.*

<sup>10</sup> *Id.*

<sup>11</sup> See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

<sup>12</sup> See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

<sup>13</sup> For an explanation on the derivation of the PRC-wide rate, see *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Chlorinated Isocyanurates from the People's Republic of China*, 70 FR 24502, 24505 (May 10, 2005).

section entitled “Final Results of Review.”

**DATES:** Effective January 17, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Alice Maldonado, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4682.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On July 13, 2016, the Department published the preliminary results of this changed circumstances review and intent to reinstate SGBC/SKF in the antidumping duty order on TRBs from the PRC.<sup>1</sup> This review covers TRBs from the PRC manufactured and exported by SGBC/SKF. The period of review is June 1, 2014, through May 31, 2015.

In August 2016, we received case briefs from the Timken Company (the petitioner) and SGBC/SKF; we also received a letter in lieu of a case brief from Stemco LP (Stemco), an interested party in the proceeding, in which Stemco supported the arguments made in the petitioner’s case brief. In September 2016, we received rebuttal briefs from the petitioner and SGBC/SKF. In October 2016, the Department held a public hearing at the request of the petitioner.

The Department conducted this changed circumstances review in accordance with section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.216(d).

**Scope of the Order**

The merchandise covered by the order<sup>2</sup> includes tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, from the PRC; flange, take up cartridge, and hanger units incorporating tapered roller bearings; and tapered roller housings (except pillow blocks) incorporating tapered rollers, with or without spindles, whether or not for automotive use. These products are currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers 8482.20.00, 8482.91.00.50, 8482.99.15, 8482.99.45, 8483.20.40, 8483.20.80, 8483.30.80, 8483.90.20,

8483.90.30, 8483.90.80, 8708.70.6060, 8708.99.2300, 8708.99.4850, 8708.99.6890, 8708.99.8115, and 8708.99.8180. Although the HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.<sup>3</sup>

**Basis for Reinstatement**

In requesting revocation, pursuant to 19 CFR 353.25(b) (1996) and 19 CFR 353.25(a)(2)(iii) (1996),<sup>4</sup> SGBC/SKF agreed to immediate reinstatement of the order, so long as any exporter or producer is subject to the order, if the Secretary concludes that subsequent to the revocation, SGBC/SKF sold TRBs at less than NV.<sup>5</sup> Under 19 CFR 353.25(a)(2)(iii) (1996), as long as any exporter or producer is subject to an antidumping duty order which remains in force, an entity previously granted a revocation may be reinstated under that order if it is established that the entity has resumed the dumping of subject merchandise.

In this case, because other exporters in the PRC remain subject to the TRBs order, the order remains in effect, and SGBC/SKF may be reinstated in the order. The Department granted SGBC/SKF revocation based, in part, upon its agreement to immediate reinstatement in the antidumping duty order if the Department were to find that the company resumed dumping of TRBs from the PRC.<sup>6</sup>

As discussed in the Issues and Decision Memo, we examined SGBC/SKF’s response and preliminarily found

that SGBC/SKF’s dumping margin for the review period is greater than *de minimis*. Accordingly, we are reinstating SGBC/SKF in the antidumping duty order on TRBs from the PRC.

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this changed circumstances review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memo. A list of the issues which parties raised and to which we respond in the Issues and Decision Memo is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Issues and Decision Memo is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>, and it is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memo can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Issues and Decision Memo and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memo are identical in content.

**Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made changes in the margin calculation for SGBC/SKF. These changes are discussed in the relevant sections of the Issues and Decision Memo.

**Final Results of Review**

The Department determines that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period June 1, 2014, through May 31, 2015:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Shanghai General Bearing Co., Ltd. ....	5.82

**Disclosure**

We intend to disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

Because we established that TRBs from the PRC manufactured and exported by SGBC/SKF are being sold at less than NV, SGBC/SKF is hereby

<sup>1</sup> See *Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Intent To Reinstate Shanghai General Bearing Co., Ltd. in the Antidumping Duty Order*, 81 FR 45282 (July 13, 2016) (CCR Preliminary Results).

<sup>2</sup> See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order; Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished or Unfinished, From the People’s Republic of China*, 52 FR 22667 (June 15, 1987) (Order).

<sup>3</sup> For a complete description of the scope of the Order, see the “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review of Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, from the People’s Republic of China,” from Gary Taverman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, dated concurrently with, and adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memo).

<sup>4</sup> The regulation that was in effect when SGBC/SKF requested revocation was amended in 1997 to become 19 CFR 351.222(b). This regulation was then revoked in 2012. See *Modification to Regulation Concerning the Revocation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 77 FR 29875 (May 21, 2012). However, when revoking this regulation, the Department noted that “[a]ny company that has been revoked from an antidumping . . . order will remain subject to its certified agreement to be reinstated with respect to that order if the Department finds it to have resumed dumping . . . .” See *id.* at 29882.

<sup>5</sup> See *Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People’s Republic of China; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Revocation in Part of Antidumping Duty Order*, 62 FR 6189 (February 11, 1997) (for the 1993–1994 review) (SGBC/SKF Revocation).

<sup>6</sup> See *SGBC/SKF Revocation*, 62 FR at 6214.

reinstated in the antidumping duty order on TRBs from the PRC effective upon the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. We will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to collect a cash deposit equal to the margin listed above on all entries of subject merchandise manufactured and exported by SGBC/SKF that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice. This deposit requirement, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notifications to Interested Parties

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results of review in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216.

Dated: January 10, 2017.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix I

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. Margin Calculations
5. Discussion of the Issues
  - a. Factor Reporting Methodology
  - b. Surrogate Value for Truck Freight
  - c. Ministerial Errors
  - d. Adjustment to Inland Freight for Subcontracted Parts
  - e. Differential Pricing Analysis
6. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2017-00826 Filed 1-13-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### National Institute of Standards and Technology

##### Visiting Committee on Advanced Technology

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Standards and Technology, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) originally published a document announcing an upcoming meeting of the Visiting Committee on Advanced Technology (VCAT or Committee) on January 3, 2017 (82 FR 92). The meeting time, dates, and details have been updated. The VCAT will now meet by webinar in an open session on Wednesday, February 8, 2017 from 1:30 p.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern Time. The VCAT is composed of fifteen members appointed by the NIST Director who are eminent in such fields as business, research, new product development, engineering, labor, education, management consulting, environment, and international relations.

**DATES:** The VCAT will meet by webinar on Wednesday, February 8, 2017, from 1:30 p.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern Time.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be conducted by webinar. Please note instructions under the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this notice for participation.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Serena Martinez, VCAT, NIST, 100 Bureau Drive, Mail Stop 1060, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899-1060, telephone number 301-975-2661. Mrs. Martinez's email address is [serena.martinez@nist.gov](mailto:serena.martinez@nist.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Authority:** 15 U.S.C. 278 and the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, 5 U.S.C. App.

The purpose of this meeting is for the VCAT to review and make recommendations regarding general policy for NIST, its organization, its budget, and its programs within the framework of applicable national policies as set forth by the President and the Congress. The agenda will include an update on NIST, to include safety, and a discussion on future VCAT meeting topics and structure. NIST will also provide a brief update on the Administration and Congressional Landscape. The agenda may change to accommodate Committee business. The final agenda will be posted on the NIST Web site at <http://www.nist.gov/director/vcat/agenda.cfm>.

Members of the public can listen to the discussion by using a toll-free call-in number. When you register by email to Mrs. Serena Martinez, [serena.martinez@nist.gov](mailto:serena.martinez@nist.gov), with your name, organization affiliated with (if any), and email address, the toll-free call-in information, including passcode, will be provided to you. Callers can expect to incur regular charges for calls they initiate over wireless lines,

according to their wireless plan. The Committee will not refund any incurred charges. Callers will incur no charges for calls they initiate over land-line connections to the toll-free call-in number. Individuals and representatives of organizations who would like to offer comments and suggestions related to the Committee's affairs are invited to request a place on the agenda by email to [Stephanie.shaw@nist.gov](mailto:Stephanie.shaw@nist.gov), no later than January 31, 2017 by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time. Approximately one-half hour will be reserved for public comments and speaking times will be assigned on a first-come, first-serve basis. The amount of time per speaker will be determined by the number of requests received, but is likely to be about 3 minutes each. The exact time for public comments will be included in the final agenda that will be posted on the NIST Web site at <http://www.nist.gov/director/vcat/agenda.cfm>. Questions from the public will not be considered during this period. Speakers who wish to expand upon their oral statements, and those who had wished to speak but could not be accommodated on the agenda are invited to submit written statements to VCAT, NIST, 100 Bureau Drive, MS 1060, Gaithersburg, Maryland, 20899, via fax at 301-216-0529 or electronically by email to [stephanie.shaw@nist.gov](mailto:stephanie.shaw@nist.gov).

**Kevin Kimball,**

*NIST Chief of Staff.*

[FR Doc. 2017-00756 Filed 1-13-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-13-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

**RIN 0648-XF158**

##### Endangered Species; File No. 19508

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice; receipt of application.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that Katherine Mansfield, Ph.D., University of Central Florida, 4000 Central Florida Boulevard, Building 20, BIO301, Orlando, FL 32825, has applied in due form for a permit to take loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*), Kemp's ridley (*Lepidochelys kempii*), green (*Chelonia mydas*), hawksbill (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) and leatherback (*Dermochelys coraicea*) sea turtles for purposes of scientific research.