Applicants: Tenaska Alabama II Partners, L.P.
Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Market-Based Rate Tariff Revisions to be effective 12/30/2016.
Filed Date: 12/29/16.
Accession Number: 20161229–5089.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 1/19/17.
Docket Numbers: ER17–700–000.
Applicants: Tenaska Georgia Partners, L.P.
Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Market-Based Rate Tariff Revisions to be effective 12/30/2016.
Filed Date: 12/29/16.
Accession Number: 20161229–5090.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 1/19/17.
Docket Numbers: ER17–701–000.
Applicants: Tenaska Virginia Partners, L.P.
Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: Market-Based Rate Tariff Revisions to be effective 12/30/2016.
Filed Date: 12/29/16.
Accession Number: 20161229–5091.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 1/19/17.
Docket Numbers: ER17–702–000.
Applicants: Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc.
Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: 2016–12–29 SA 2985 MidAmerican-MidAmerican GIA (F499) to be effective 12/30/2016.
Filed Date: 12/29/16.
Accession Number: 20161229–5092.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 1/19/17.
Docket Numbers: ER17–703–000.
Applicants: Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc.
Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: 2016–12–29 SA 2986 MidAmerican-MidAmerican GIA (J501) to be effective 12/30/2016.
Filed Date: 12/29/16.
Accession Number: 20161229–5093.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 1/19/17.
Docket Numbers: ER17–704–000.
Applicants: PacifiCorp.
Description: § 205(d) Rate Filing: BPA NITSA (Yakama) Rev 7 to be effective 12/1/2016.
Filed Date: 12/29/16.
Accession Number: 20161229–5101.
Comments Due: 5 p.m. ET 1/19/17.
Applicants desire to intervene or protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission’s Regulations (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5:00 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date. Protests may be considered, but intervention is necessary to become a party to the proceeding.
For further information and/or to have your
name added to our mailing list, please contact Andrew M. Montañó, at (720) 962–7253 or at the address listed above in the ADDRESSES section. For the most recent information and for announcements, please visit the Project Web site at: www.CosuLine.com.

For general information on DOE’s NEPA review procedures or status of a NEPA review, contact Ms. Carol M. Burgstrom, Director of NEPA Policy and Compliance, GC–54, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585; telephone (202) 506–4600 or (800) 472–2756; or email: askNEPA@hq.doe.gov.

For general information on the Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD) California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review procedures or status of the CEQA review, please contact Ms. Kim Crawford, Environmental Specialist, SMUD, 6201 S. Street, Mail Stop H201, Sacramento, CA 95852–1830; telephone (916) 732–5063; email: kim.crawford@smud.org.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 18, 2015, WAPA announced the Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS for the Colusa-Sutter (CoSu) 500-kV Transmission Line Project (80 FR 79037). An additional notice was published on February 5, 2016, announcing the extension of the scoping period to April 18, 2016 (81 FR 6257). The EIS/EIR will examine the potential environmental effects of the CoSu transmission line. WAPA will prepare the EIS/EIR with the SMUD, the lead agency for CEQA. WAPA will be the lead Federal agency under NEPA. WAPA and SMUD held public scoping meetings from December 2015 to April 2016 to gather public input on the proposed project. As a result of these original public scoping meetings and the scoping comments received, WAPA and SMUD decided to add additional project alternatives closer to the Sacramento area. The new study area is further described below.

Original Alternatives
1. Northern Corridor Alternative: Constructing a new transmission line (approximately 44 miles in length) adjacent to WAPA’s existing 230-kV Olinda-O’Banion and Keswick-O’Banion double circuit transmission lines. The Northern Corridor Alternative would interconnect the existing California-Oregon Transmission Project (COTP) transmission line system near the existing COTP’s Maxwell Series Compensation Substation to WAPA’s CVP transmission system near the existing WAPA’s O’Banion Substation. The new transmission line would require the construction of an additional substation adjacent to the existing Maxwell Series Compensation Substation and an additional substation adjacent to the existing O’Banion Substation.

2. Southern Corridor Alternative: Constructing a new transmission line (approximately 27 miles in length) so that it interconnects along the existing COTP transmission line system approximately 8 miles northwest of the community of Arbuckle, California, and proceeds eastward towards the existing O’Banion Substation. The Southern Corridor Alternative would also require the construction of an additional substation adjacent to the existing COTP transmission line northwest of Arbuckle and an additional substation adjacent to the existing O’Banion Substation.

3. Segment 1 Alternative: Approximately 9 miles in length and just west of the existing O’Banion Substation, this segment would provide an alternate north-to-south route for the Northern Corridor Alternative. Instead of following WAPA’s existing 230-kV Olinda-O’Banion and Keswick-O’Banion double circuit transmission lines to the O’Banion substation, this segment would extend south, at a location approximately 30 miles from the Maxwell Substation, and then continue due east to connect to the O’Banion substation. Under this segment alternative, the new line would be located further away from the Sutter National Wildlife Refuge.

New Alternatives
4. County Road 16 Alternative: Constructing a new transmission line (approximately 27 miles in length) so that it interconnects along the existing COTP transmission line system approximately 8 miles west of the community of Dufour, California, and proceeds eastwardly towards the existing Elverta Substation. The County Road 16 Alternative would also require the construction of an additional substation adjacent to the existing COTP transmission line northwest of Dufour and an additional substation adjacent to the existing Elverta Substation.

5. Segment 2 Alternative: Approximately 9 miles in length and 6 miles northwest of the existing Elverta Substation, this segment would provide an alternate east-to-west route for the County Road 16 Alternative. This segment would extend north in a loop-like fashion, at a location approximately 2.5 miles north of the Sacramento International Airport, and then rejoin the County Road 16 Alternative as it continues due east to connect to the Elverta Substation. Under this segment alternative, the new line would be located further away from the Sacramento International Airport to provide sufficient clearance of transmission structures for airplanes.

6. No Action Alternative: WAPA will also consider a No Action Alternative in the EIS/EIR. Under the No Action Alternative, for the purpose of establishing a baseline for impact analysis and comparison in the EIS/EIR, WAPA would not construct the proposed Project and the environmental impacts associated with construction and operation would not occur.

Public Participation
The EIS/EIR process includes a public scoping period and public scoping meetings; publication, public review and hearing of the Draft EIS/EIR; publication of a Final EIS/EIR; and publication of a ROD.

WAPA and SMUD will hold six additional public scoping meetings at the following times and locations:

(1) Tuesday, January 24, 2017, from 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. at the Sutter Youth Organization Center, 7740 Butte House Road, Sutter, CA 95982;

(2) Thursday, January 26, 2017, from 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. at the Colusa Casino Community Room, 3770 California 45, Colusa, CA 95932;

(3) Tuesday, January 31, 2017, from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. at the Woodland Community and Senior Center Banquet Rooms, 2001 East Street, Woodland, CA 95776;

(4) Tuesday, January 31, 2017, from 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. at the Woodland Community and Senior Center Banquet Rooms, 2001 East Street, Woodland, CA 95776;

(5) Thursday, February 2, 2017, from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. at the Haggin-Grant American Legion Post 521, 6700 8th Street, Rio Linda, CA 95673; and,

(6) Thursday, February 2, 2017, from 5:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. at the Haggin-Grant American Legion Post 521, 6700 8th Street, Rio Linda, CA 95673.

The meetings will be informal, and attendees will be able to speak directly with both WAPA and SMUD representatives about the proposed Project. Attendees also may provide comments at these meetings. For the most recent information and for announcements, please visit the Project Web site at: www.CosuLine.com.

At the conclusion of the NEPA process, WAPA will prepare a ROD. Persons interested in receiving future notices, proposed Project information, copies of the EIS/EIR, and other information on the NEPA review process should contact Mr. Montañó at...
the address listed in the ADDRESSES
section.

The purpose of the additional scoping
meetings is to provide information
about the proposed Project, review
Project maps, answer questions, and
take oral and written comments from
interested parties. All meeting locations
will be handicapped-accessible. Anyone
needing special accommodations should
contact Mr. Montaño to make
arrangements.

The public will have the opportunity
to provide written comments at the
public scoping meetings. Written
comments may also be sent to Mr.
Montaño by email or U.S. Postal Service
mail. To help define the scope of the
EIS/EIR, comments should be received
by WAPA no later than March 7, 2017.
WAPA will consider any comments
received from April 18, 2016 and March
7, 2017 to be timely submitted. All
comments received during the public
scoping period will be considered when
developing project alternatives and
establishing the scope of issues to be
analyzed in the EIS/EIR.

Dated: December 19, 2016.
Mark A. Gabriel,
Administrator.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY

[FR Doc. 2017–00053 Filed 1–5–17; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

Proposed Consent Decree, Clean Air
Act Citizen Suit

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of proposed consent
decree; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section
113(g) of the Clean Air Act, as amended
(“CAA” or the “Act”), notice is hereby
given of a proposed consent decree in
Sierra Club v. McCarthy. Civil Action
No. 1:16–cv–01831–EGS (D. DC). On
September 15, 2016, the Sierra Club
filed a complaint in the United States
District Court for the District of
Columbia, alleging that Gina McCarthy,
in her official capacity as Administrator
of the United States Environmental
Protection Agency (“EPA”), failed to
perform a non-discretionary duty to
grant or deny within 60 days a petition
submitted by Sierra Club on May 3,
2016 requesting that EPA object to a
CAA Title V permit issued by the
Pennsylvania Department of
Environmental Protection (“PDEP”) for
the Scrubgrass Generating Co., L.P.
power plant (“Scrubgrass Plant”),
located in Venango County,
Pennsylvania. The proposed consent
decree would establish a deadline for
EPA to take such action.

DATES: Written comments on the
proposed consent decree must be
received by February 6, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments,
identified by Docket ID number EPA–
HQ–OGC–2016–0778, online at www.regulations.gov. For comments
submitted at www.regulations.gov,
follow the online instructions for
submitting comments. Once submitted,
comments cannot be edited or removed
from www.regulations.gov. The EPA
may publish any comment received to
its public docket. Do not submit
electronically any information you
consider to be Confidential Business
Information (CBI) or other information
whose disclosure is restricted by statute.
Multimedia submissions (audio, video,
etc.) must be accompanied by a written
comment. The written comment is
considered the official comment and
should include discussion of all points
you wish to make. The EPA generally
will not consider comments or comment
contents located outside of the primary
submission (i.e. on the web, cloud, or
other file sharing system). For
additional submission methods, please
contact the person identified in the FOR
FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT
section. For the full EPA public comment policy,
information about CBI or multimedia
submissions, and general guidance on
making effective comments, please visit
http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/
commenting-epa-dockets.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Charles Starrs, Air and Radiation Law
Office (2322A), Office of General
Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection
Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW.,
Washington, DC 20460; telephone: (202)
564–1996; email address:
starrs.charles@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
I. Additional Information About
the Proposed Consent Decree

The proposed consent decree would
resolve a lawsuit filed by Sierra Club
seeking to compel the Administrator to
take actions under CAA section
505(b)(2). Under the terms of the
proposed consent decree, EPA would
agree to sign its response granting or
denying the petition filed by Sierra Club
regarding the Scrubgrass Plant, pursuant
to section 505(b)(2) of the CAA, on or
before May 12, 2017.

Under the terms of the proposed
consent decree, EPA would