

**RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:**

An individual requesting access to records on himself or herself should send a signed, written inquiry to the same address as stated in the Notification Procedure section above. The request letter should be clearly marked, "PRIVACY ACT REQUEST." The written inquiry must be signed and notarized or submitted with certification of identity under penalty of perjury. Requesters should specify the record contents being sought.

**CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:**

An individual requesting corrections or contesting information contained in his or her records must send a signed, written request inquiry to the same address as stated in the Notification Procedure section above. Requesters should reasonably identify the records, specify the information they are contesting and state the corrective action sought and the reasons for the correction with supporting justification showing how the record is incomplete, untimely, inaccurate, or irrelevant. The Department's rules for access, for contesting contents, and for appealing initial determination by the individual concerned appear in 15 CFR part 4, Appendix B.

**RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:**

Subject individuals; other Department of Commerce operating units; OPM, FBI and other Federal, state and local agencies; individuals and organizations that have pertinent knowledge about the subject; and those authorized by the individual to furnish information.

These records may contain information obtained from the individual; persons having knowledge of the individual; persons having knowledge of incidents or other matters of investigative interest to the Department; other U.S. law enforcement agencies and court systems; pertinent records of other Federal, state, or local agencies or foreign governments; pertinent records of private firms or organizations; the intelligence community; and other public sources. The records also contain information obtained from interviews, review of records, and other authorized investigative techniques.

**SYSTEM EXEMPTIONS FROM CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE ACT:**

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j)(2), all information about an individual in the record which meets the criteria stated in 5 U.S.C. 552a(j)(2) are exempted from the notice, access and contest requirements of the agency regulations and from all parts of 5 U.S.C. 552a

except subsections (b), (c)(1) and (2), (e)(4)(A) through (F), (e)(6), (7), (9), (10), and (11), and (i). Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(1), (k)(2) and (k)(5) on condition that the 5 U.S.C. 552a(j)(2) exemption is held to be invalid, all investigatory material in the record which meets the criteria stated in 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(1), (k)(2) and (k)(5) are exempted from the notice, access, and contest requirements (under 5 U.S.C. 552a(c)(3), (d), (e)(1), (e)(4)(G), (H), and (I), and (f)) of the agency regulations because of the necessity to exempt this information and material in order to accomplish the law enforcement function of the agency, to prevent disclosure of classified information as required by Executive Order 13526, to assure the protection of the President, to prevent subjects of investigation from frustrating the investigatory process, to prevent the disclosure of investigative techniques, to fulfill commitments made to protect the confidentiality of information, and to avoid endangering these sources and law enforcement personnel.

**Michael J. Toland,**

*Department of Commerce, Deputy Chief FOIA Officer, Department Privacy Act Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2016-31315 Filed 12-30-16; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 3510-BX-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration**  
[C-475-819]**Certain Pasta From Italy: Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2015**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding the administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on certain pasta from Italy, in part, for the period of review (POR) January 1, 2015, through December 31, 2015, based on the timely withdrawal of requests for review by seven companies; the administrative review continues for Liguori Pastificio dal 1820 S.p.A. (Liguori).

**DATES:** Effective January 4, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mary Kolberg, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1785.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

On July 5, 2016, the Department published the notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the CVD order on certain pasta from Italy for the POR January 1, 2015, through December 31, 2015.<sup>1</sup> On July 29, 2016, Pastificio Zaffiri S.r.l. (Zaffiri), Pastificio Andalini, S.p.A. (Andalini), Premiata Pastificio Afeltra S.r.l. (Afeltra), La Fabbrica della Pasta di Gagnano S.A.S. di Antonio Moccia (La Fabbrica), Pastificio Labor S.R.L. (Labor), and GR.A.M.M. S.R.L. (GR.A.M.M.) each requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of their exports of subject merchandise.<sup>2</sup> On August 1, 2016, Liguori and Tesa SrL (Tesa) also requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of their exports of subject merchandise.<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to the requests received, and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), the Department initiated an administrative review of GR.A.M.M., La Fabbrica, Liguori, Andalini, Labor, Zaffiri, Afeltra, and Tesa.<sup>4</sup>

On November 7, 2016, Tesa timely withdrew its request for administrative review.<sup>5</sup> On December 12, 2016, La Fabbrica, GR.A.M.M., Labor, Afeltra, Zaffiri, and Andalini timely withdrew their requests for an administrative review.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 81 FR 43584 (July 5, 2016).

<sup>2</sup> See letter from Zaffiri, re: "Certain Pasta from Italy, C-475-819; Request for Administrative Review by Pastificio Zaffiri S.r.l.," dated July 29, 2016; see also letter from Andalini, re: "Certain Pasta from Italy, C-475-819; Request for Administrative Review by Pastificio Andalini, S.p.A.," dated July 29, 2016; see also letter from Afeltra, re: "Certain Pasta from Italy, C-475-819; Request for Administrative Review by Premiata Pastificio Afeltra S.r.l.," dated July 29, 2016; see also letter from La Fabbrica, re: "Certain Pasta from Italy, C-475-819; Request for Administrative Review by La Fabbrica della Pasta di Gagnano S.A.S.," dated July 29, 2016; see also letter from Labor, re: "Certain Pasta from Italy, C-475-819; Request for Administrative Review by Labor S.R.L.," dated July 29, 2016; see also letter from GR.A.M.M., re: "Certain Pasta from Italy, C-475-819; Request for Administrative Review by GR.A.M.M. S.R.L.," dated July 29, 2016.

<sup>3</sup> See letter from Liguori, re: "Certain Pasta from Italy: Countervailing Duty Administrative Review Request," dated August 1, 2016; see also letter from Tesa, re: "Pasta from Italy; Request for Administrative Review," dated August 1, 2016.

<sup>4</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 81 FR 62720 (September 12, 2016).

<sup>5</sup> See letter from Tesa, "Pasta from Italy: Withdrawal of request for administrative review," dated November 7, 2016.

<sup>6</sup> See letter from La Fabbrica della Pasta di Gagnano S.A.S., re: "Certain Pasta from Italy, C-475-819; Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review by La Fabbrica della Pasta

### Partial Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party or parties that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the publication date of the notice of initiation of the requested review. As noted above, requests for review were withdrawn, and parties withdrew their requests within 90 days of the publication date of the notice of initiation. Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding this review with respect to Tesa, La Fabbrica, GR.A.M.M., Labor, Afeltra, Zaffiri, and Andalini. The administrative review will continue with respect to Liguori.

### Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess countervailing duties on all appropriate entries of certain pasta from Italy. For the companies for which this review is rescinded, countervailing duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

### Notifications

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order,

di Gragnano S.A.S.,” dated December 12, 2016; *see also* letter from GR.A.M.M. Srl, re: Certain Pasta from Italy, C-475-819; Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review by GR.A.M.M. Srl,” dated December 12, 2016; *see also* letter from Labor Srl, re: “Certain Pasta from Italy, C-475-819; Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review by Labor Srl,” dated December 12, 2016; *see also* letter from Premiato Pastificio Afeltra S.r.l., re: “Certain Pasta from Italy, C-475-819; Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review by Premiato Pastificio Afeltra S.r.l.,” dated December 12, 2016; *see also* letter from Pastificio Zaffiri S.r.l., re: “Certain Pasta from Italy, C-475-819; Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review by Pastificio Zaffiri S.r.l.,” dated December 12, 2016; *see also* letter from Pastificio Andalini, S.p.A., re: “Certain Pasta from Italy, C-475-819; Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review by Pastificio Andalini, S.p.A.,” dated December 12, 2016.

is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: December 28, 2016.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2016-31886 Filed 1-3-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-588-873]

#### **Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Flat Products From Japan: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review, and Intent To Revoke Order in Part**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the “Department”) has initiated a changed circumstances review of, and is preliminarily revoking, in part, the antidumping duty (“AD”) order on certain cold-rolled steel flat products from Japan with respect to certain light gage cold-rolled flat-rolled steel for porcelain enameling meeting the requirements of ASTM A424 Type 1. The Department invites interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Effective January 4, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert Bolling, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3434.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On July 14, 2016, the Department published an AD order on certain cold-rolled steel flat products from Japan.<sup>1</sup>

On November 14, 2016, members of the domestic cold-rolled steel industry, ArcelorMittal USA LLC, AK Steel Corporation, Nucor Corporation, Steel Dynamics Inc., and United States Steel Corporation (collectively, “domestic

producers” or “Petitioners”<sup>2</sup>), requested that the Department conduct a changed circumstances review, to revoke, in part, the AD order on certain cold-rolled steel flat products from Japan with respect to certain light gage cold-rolled flat-rolled steel for porcelain enameling meeting the requirements of ASTM A424 Type 1. We did not receive comments from any other party.

##### **Scope of the Order**

The products covered by this order are certain cold-rolled (coldreduced), flat-rolled steel products, whether or not annealed, painted, varnished, or coated with plastics or other non-metallic substances. The products covered do not include those that are clad, plated, or coated with metal. The products covered include coils that have a width or other lateral measurement (“width”) of 12.7 mm or greater, regardless of form of coil (*e.g.*, in successively superimposed layers, spirally oscillating, etc.). The products covered also include products not in coils (*e.g.*, in straight lengths) of a thickness less than 4.75 mm and a width that is 12.7 mm or greater and that measures at least 10 times the thickness. The products covered also include products not in coils (*e.g.*, in straight lengths) of a thickness of 4.75 mm or more and a width exceeding 150 mm and measuring at least twice the thickness. The products described above may be rectangular, square, circular, or other shape and include products of either rectangular or non-rectangular cross section where such cross-section is achieved subsequent to the rolling process, *i.e.*, products which have been “worked after rolling” (*e.g.*, products which have been beveled or rounded at the edges). For purposes of the width and thickness requirements referenced above:

(1) Where the nominal and actual measurements vary, a product is within the scope if application of either the nominal or actual measurement would place it within the scope based on the definitions set forth above, and

(2) where the width and thickness vary for a specific product (*e.g.*, the thickness of certain products with non-rectangular cross-section, the width of certain products with non-rectangular shape, etc.), the measurement at its greatest width or thickness applies.

<sup>2</sup> Each of these domestic producers was a petitioner in the investigation on cold-rolled steel flat products from Japan. *See Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan: Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances*, 81 FR 11747, 11748 n. 10 (March 7, 2016).

<sup>1</sup> *See Certain Cold-Rolled Steel Flat Products from Japan and the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 45956 (July 14, 2016).