Country, and such merchandise from other countries.

(13) (OPTIONAL) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the Domestic Like Product and Domestic Industry; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

Authority: This proceeding is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.


Lisa K. Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2016–31837 Filed 12–30–16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–379 and 731–TA–788, 792, and 793 (Third Review)]

Stainless Steel Plate From Belgium, South Africa, and Taiwan; Determinations

On the basis of the record developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel plate from Belgium, South Africa, and Taiwan and revocation of the countervailing duty order on stainless steel plate from South Africa would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), instituted these reviews on July 1, 2016 (81 FR 43245) and determined on October 4, 2016 that it would conduct expedited reviews (81 FR 73421, October 25, 2016).

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on December 22, 2016. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4658 (December 2016), entitled Stainless Steel Plate from Belgium, South Africa, and Taiwan: Investigation Nos. 701–

TA–379 and 731–TA–788, 792, and 793 (Third Review).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 28, 2016.

Katherine M. Hiner,
Acting Supervisory Attorney.

[FR Doc. 2016–31837 Filed 12–30–16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–703 (Fourth Review)]

Furfuryl Alcohol From China; Institution of a Five-Year Review


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted a review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), as amended, to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on furfuryl alcohol from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. Pursuant to the Act, interested parties are requested to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the Commission.

DATES: Effective January 3, 2017. To be assured of consideration, the deadline for responses is February 2, 2017. Comments on the adequacy of responses may be filed with the Commission by March 17, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (https://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On June 21, 1995, the Department of Commerce ("Commerce") issued an antidumping duty order on imports of furfuryl alcohol from China (60 FR 32302). Following first five-year reviews by Commerce and the Commission, effective May 4, 2001, Commerce issued a continuation of the antidumping duty order on imports of furfuryl alcohol from China (66 FR 22519). Following second five-year reviews by Commerce and the Commission, effective October 6, 2006, Commerce issued a continuation of the antidumping duty order on imports of furfuryl alcohol from China (71 FR 59072). Following the third five-year reviews by Commerce and the Commission, effective February 16, 2012, Commerce issued a continuation of the antidumping duty order on imports of furfuryl alcohol from China (77 FR 9203). The Commission is now conducting a fourth review pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), to determine whether revocation of the order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. Provisions concerning the conduct of this proceeding may be found in the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure at 19 CFR parts 201, subparts A and B and 19 CFR part 207, subparts A and F. The Commission will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct a full review or an expedited review. The Commission’s determination in any expedited review will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this notice.

Definitions.—The following definitions apply to this review:

(1) Subject Merchandise is the class or kind of merchandise that is within the scope of the five-year review, as defined by the Department of Commerce.

(2) The Subject Country in this review is China.

(3) The Domestic Like Product is the domestically produced product or products which are like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the Subject Merchandise. In its original determination, its first five-year review determination, and its expedited second and third five-year review determinations, the Commission defined the Domestic Like Product as furfuryl alcohol, coextensive with Commerce’s scope.

(4) The Domestic Industry is the U.S. producers as a whole of the Domestic Like Product, or those producers whose collective output of the Domestic Like Product constitutes a major proportion of the total domestic production of the product. In its original determination, the Commission defined the Domestic Industry as QO Chemicals, generally known as Great Lakes, an integrated...