

population displacements or movements. The same range of artifact types and materials were used from the early pre-contact period until historic times. Native consultants explicitly state that population mixing, which did occur on a small scale, would not alter the continuity of the shared group identities of people associated with specific locales. Based on this evidence, continuity of occupation by the Chumash people can be traced for all sites listed in this notice.

#### Determinations Made by the Fowler Museum at UCLA

Officials of the Fowler Museum at UCLA have determined that:

- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(9), the human remains described in this notice represent the physical remains of 4 individuals of Native American ancestry.

- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(3)(A), the 17 objects described in this notice are reasonably believed to have been placed with or near individual human remains at the time of death or later as part of the death rite or ceremony.

- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(2), there is a relationship of shared group identity that can be reasonably traced between the Native American human remains and associated funerary objects and Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Mission Indians of the Santa Ynez Reservation, California.

#### Additional Requestors and Disposition

Lineal descendants or representatives of any Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization not identified in this notice that wish to request transfer of control of these human remains and associated funerary objects should submit a written request with information in support of the request to Wendy G. Teeter, Ph.D., Fowler Museum at UCLA, Box 951549, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1549, telephone (310) 825-1864, email [wteeter@arts.ucla.edu](mailto:wteeter@arts.ucla.edu), by January 9, 2017. After that date, if no additional requestors have come forward, transfer of control of the human remains and associated funerary objects to Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Mission Indians of the Santa Ynez Reservation, California may proceed.

The Fowler Museum is responsible for notifying the Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Mission Indians of the Santa Ynez Reservation, California that this notice has been published.

Dated: November 28, 2016.

**Melanie O'Brien,**

Manager, National NAGPRA Program.

[FR Doc. 2016-29534 Filed 12-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-52-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

[NPS-AKRO-WRST-22338; PPAKAKROR4; PPMRLE1Y.LS0000]

#### Notice of an Open Public Meeting for the Wrangell-St. Elias National Park Subsistence Resource Commission

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Meeting notice.

**SUMMARY:** As required by the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the National Park Service (NPS) is hereby giving notice that the Wrangell-St. Elias National Park Subsistence Resource Commission (SRC) will hold a public meeting to develop and continue work on NPS subsistence program recommendations, and other related regulatory proposals and resource management issues. The NPS SRC program is authorized under Section 808 of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** SRC meetings are open to the public and will have time allocated for public testimony. The public is welcome to present written or oral comments to the SRC. SRC meetings will be recorded and meeting minutes will be available upon request from the Superintendent for public inspection approximately six weeks after the meeting. Before including your address, telephone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you may ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Dates and Locations:** The Wrangell-St. Elias National Park SRC will meet from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. or until business is completed on Wednesday, March 1, 2017, at the Mentasta Lake School in Mentasta Lake Village, AK. On Thursday, March 2, 2017, the Wrangell-St. Elias National Park SRC will reconvene and meet from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. or until business is completed. For more detailed information regarding the meetings, or if you are interested in applying for SRC membership, contact Barbara Cellarius, Subsistence Coordinator, at (907) 822-7236 or by email at [barbara\\_cellarius@nps.gov](mailto:barbara_cellarius@nps.gov) or Clarence Summers, Subsistence Manager, at (907) 644-3603 or via email at [clarence\\_summers@nps.gov](mailto:clarence_summers@nps.gov).

**Proposed meeting agenda:** The agenda may change to accommodate SRC business. The proposed meeting agenda includes the following:

1. Call to Order—Confirm Quorum
2. Welcome and Introduction
3. Review and Adoption of Agenda
4. Approval of Minutes
5. Superintendent's Welcome and Review of the SRC Purpose
6. SRC Membership Status
7. SRC Chair and Members' Reports
8. Superintendent's Report
9. Old Business
10. New Business
11. Federal Subsistence Board Update
12. Alaska Boards of Fish and Game Update
13. National Park Service Reports
  - a. Ranger Update
  - b. Resource Manager's Report
  - c. Subsistence Manager's Report
14. Public and Other Agency Comments
15. Work Session
16. Set Tentative Date and Location for Next SRC Meeting
17. Adjourn Meeting

If this meeting is postponed, the alternate meeting dates are Wednesday, March 8, 2017, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Thursday, March 9, 2017, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. The alternate meeting location is the Kenny Lake School in Kenny Lake, AK. SRC meeting locations and dates may change based on inclement weather or exceptional circumstances. If the meeting dates and locations are changed, the Superintendent will issue a press release and use local newspapers and radio stations to announce the rescheduled meeting.

**Alma Ripps,**

Chief, Office of Policy.

[FR Doc. 2016-29551 Filed 12-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-52-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

[NPS-PWR-GOGA-22437; PPSER003, PPMPSASIY.YPOOOO]

#### Final Environmental Impact Statement Dog Management Plan for Golden Gate National Recreation Area, California

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the National Park Service (NPS) announces the availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the Dog Management Plan (Plan), Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA), California.

**DATES:** December 9, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** An electronic copy of the Plan/FEIS will be available for public inspection at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/dogplan>. A limited number of hard copies will be available at Park Headquarters, Fort Mason, Building 201, San Francisco, CA 94123.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Savidge, Park Headquarters, Fort Mason, Building 201, San Francisco, CA 94123; phone (415) 561-4725.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Current dog management in the park is based on a number of factors. Areas included in the GGNRA Citizens' Advisory Commission's 1979 pet policy, followed by the park for over twenty years, are currently managed in accordance with the June 2, 2005, decision by the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (*U.S. vs. Barley*, 405 F.Supp. 2d 1121 (2005)) which prohibited the NPS from enforcing the NPS-wide regulation requiring on leash walking of pets (36 CFR 2.15(a)(2)) in areas where the park had previously allowed off leash use until notice and comment rulemaking under 36 CFR 1.5(b) is completed. A Notice of Proposed Rulemaking was published for a 90-day notice and public comment period on February 24, 2016. A final rule will be published after a 30-day no action period on the FEIS, and after a Record of Decision has been signed.

The purpose of the Plan/FEIS is to determine the manner and extent of dog use in appropriate areas of the park, provide a clear, enforceable dog management policy, preserve and protect natural and cultural resources and natural processes, provide a variety of visitor experiences, improve visitor and employee safety, and reduce user conflicts.

The Plan/FEIS evaluates the impacts of six alternatives for dog management in 22 areas of GGNRA. The range of alternatives includes the consensus recommendations of the GGNRA Negotiated Rulemaking Committee for Dog Management, the 1979 Pet Policy, the current NPS policy 36 CFR 2.15, voice and sight-control dog walking and commercial dog walking. The preferred alternative includes site specific treatments from multiple action alternatives that together allow for a balanced range of visitor experiences, including areas that prohibit dogs, and areas that allow on-leash and voice and sight-control dog walking. It includes the following key elements: The Negotiated Rulemaking Committee's consensus agreement on overarching

plan guidelines and committee recommendations on commercial dog walking limits; on-leash and/or voice and sight-control—dog walking in multiple specific areas of the park where impacts to sensitive resources and visitor experience were minimized; no dogs in areas of the park where impacts would be unacceptable and could not be mitigated; a monitoring-based management program measuring compliance in on-leash and voice and sight-control dog walking areas which will provide information that can result in a range of management responses as needed, including further restrictions, training requirements or temporary or long-term closures to a use if that use approaches an unacceptable level; and permit requirements for both private and commercial dog walkers for more than three dogs, with a maximum of six, in limited areas of the park.

Dated: December 2, 2016.

**Laura E. Joss,**

*Regional Director, Pacific West Region.*

[FR Doc. 2016-29529 Filed 12-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-52-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

**[NPS-WASO-OIA-22277;  
PIN00IO14.XI0000]**

#### **15-Day Notice of Opportunity for Public Comment on Planned Additions to the U.S. World Heritage Tentative List and Proposed Future U.S. Nominations to the World Heritage List**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** This is a First Notice for the public to comment on the next potential U.S. nominations from the existing U.S. World Heritage Tentative List ("Tentative List") to the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage List, and announces additions to the Tentative List. The public may also make suggestions for additions to the Tentative List. This notice complies with Sec. 73.7(c) of the World Heritage Program regulations (36 CFR part 73).

**DATES:** Comments will be accepted on or before December 27, 2016. There have been several opportunities for public comment on this subject in past notices, and the National Park Service has also received suggestions from the public and through other channels since 2008

and throughout the process of revising the Tentative List in 2015 and 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Please provide all comments directly to Jonathan Putnam, Office of International Affairs, National Park Service, 1201 Eye Street NW. (0050), Washington, DC 20005 or by Email to: [jonathan\\_putnam@nps.gov](mailto:jonathan_putnam@nps.gov). Phone: 202-354-1809. Fax 202-371-1446.

**Comments:** Comments on whether to nominate any of the properties on the Tentative List must address:

(i) How well the property(ies) would meet the World Heritage nomination criteria, requirements for authenticity, integrity, legal protection and management. Information on these criteria and requirements can be found on the Web site noted below; and

(ii) The readiness and ability of the property owner(s) to prepare a satisfactory nomination document.

Suggestions for additions to the Tentative List not previously submitted must address:

(i) How the property(ies) would meet the World Heritage nomination criteria, requirements for authenticity, integrity, legal protection and management. Information on these criteria and requirements can be found on the Web site noted below; and

(ii) The U.S. legal prerequisites that include the agreement of all property owners to the nomination of their property, an official determination that the property is nationally significant (such as by designation as a National Historic or National Natural Landmark), and effective legal protection.

All previous suggestions for the Tentative List made during previous comment periods or otherwise submitted since 2008, have been retained and considered and should not be resubmitted at this time.

All public comments will be summarized and provided to Department of the Interior officials, who will obtain the advice of the Federal Interagency Panel for World Heritage before making any selection of properties for World Heritage nomination. The selection may include the following considerations:

(i) How well the particular type of property (*i.e.*, theme or region) is represented on the World Heritage List in both the United States and other nations;

(ii) The balance between cultural and natural properties already on the List and those under consideration;

(iii) Opportunities that the property affords for public visitation, interpretation, and education;