—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, including whether the information will have practical utility;
—Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
—Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

1. Type of Information Collection: Extension of a currently approved collection.
2. The Title of the Form/Collection: OJJDP NTTAC Feedback Form Package.
3. The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: All forms approved under number 1121–0277. The applicable component within the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Programs, Department of Justice.
4. Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: The Office for Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention National Training and Technical Assistance Center (NTTAC) Feedback Form Package is designed to collect in-person and online data necessary to continuously assess the outcomes of the assistance provided for both monitoring and accountability purposes and for continuously assessing and meeting the needs of the field. OJJDP NTTAC will send these forms to technical assistance (TA) recipients; conference attendees; training and TA providers; online meeting participants; in-person meeting participants; and focus group participants to capture important feedback on the recipients’ satisfaction with the quality, efficiency, referrals, information and resources provided and assess the recipients’ additional training and TA needs. The data will then be used to advise NTTAC on ways to improve the support provided to its users; the juvenile justice field at-large; and ultimately improve services and outcomes for youth.
5. An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: It is estimated that 5140 respondents will complete forms and the response time will range from .03 hours to 1.5 hours.
6. An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: There are an estimated 470.83 total annual burden hours associated with this collection. If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: November 29, 2016.

Jerri Murray,
Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.
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DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers by (TA–W) number issued during the period of August 22, 2016 through September 2, 2016.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Under Section 222(a)(2)(A), the following must be satisfied:
   (1) a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers’ firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;
   (2) One of the following must be satisfied:
      (A) there has been a shift by the workers’ firm to a foreign country in the production of articles or supply of services like or directly competitive with those produced/supplied by the workers’ firm;
      (B) there has been an acquisition from a foreign country by the workers’ firm of articles/services that are like or directly competitive with those produced/supplied by the workers’ firm;
      (C) imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced or services supplied by such firm have increased;
   (3) the shift/acquisition contributed importantly to such workers’ separation or threat of separation and to the decline in the sales or production of such firm; or
   (4) the increase in imports contributed importantly to such workers’ separation or threat of separation and to the decline in the sales or production of such firm;

   and

   (5) One of the following must be satisfied:
      (A) a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers’ firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;
      (B) imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles into which one or more component parts produced by such firm are directly incorporated, have increased;
      (C) imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced directly using services produced or services supplied by such firm have increased;
   (6) imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced directly using services supplied by such firm, have increased; and

   (7) (1) a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers’ firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;
      (2) One of the following must be satisfied:
         (A) there has been a shift by the workers’ firm to a foreign country in the production of articles or supply of services like or directly competitive with those produced/supplied by the workers’ firm;
         (B) there has been an acquisition from a foreign country by the workers’ firm of articles/services that are like or directly competitive with those produced/supplied by the workers’ firm;
         (C) imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced or services supplied by such firm have increased;
   (8) the shift/acquisition contributed importantly to such workers’ separation or threat of separation and to the decline in the sales or production of such firm; and

   (9) (1) a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers’ firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;
      (2) One of the following must be satisfied:
         (A) there has been a shift by the workers’ firm to a foreign country in the production of articles or supply of services like or directly competitive with those produced/supplied by the workers’ firm;
         (B) there has been an acquisition from a foreign country by the workers’ firm of articles/services that are like or directly competitive with those produced/supplied by the workers’ firm;
         (C) imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced or services supplied by such firm have increased;
   (10) the shift/acquisition contributed importantly to such workers’ separation or threat of separation and to the decline in the sales or production of such firm; and

   (11) (1) a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers’ firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;
      (2) One of the following must be satisfied:
         (A) there has been a shift by the workers’ firm to a foreign country in the production of articles or supply of services like or directly competitive with those produced/supplied by the workers’ firm;
         (B) there has been an acquisition from a foreign country by the workers’ firm of articles/services that are like or directly competitive with those produced/supplied by the workers’ firm;
         (C) imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced or services supplied by such firm have increased;
   (12) the shift/acquisition contributed importantly to such workers’ separation or threat of separation and to the decline in the sales or production of such firm;
the article or service that was the basis for such certification; and
(3) either—
(A) the workers’ firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied to the firm described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers’ firm; or
(B) a loss of business by the workers’ firm with the firm described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to the workers’ separation or threat of separation.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for adversely affected workers in firms identified by the International Trade Commission and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(e) of the Act must be met.

(1) the workers’ firm is publicly identified by name by the International Trade Commission as a member of a domestic industry in an investigation resulting in—
(A) an affirmative determination of serious injury or threat thereof under section 202(b)(1);
(B) an affirmative determination of market disruption or threat thereof under section 421(b)(1); or
(C) an affirmative final determination of material injury or threat thereof under section 705(b)(1)(A) or 735(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b)(1)(A) and 1673d(b)(1)(A));

(2) the petition is filed during the 1-year period beginning on the date on which—
(A) a summary of the report submitted to the President by the International Trade Commission under section 202(f)(1) with respect to the affirmative determination described in paragraph (1)(A) is published in the Federal Register under section 202(f)(3); or
(B) notice of an affirmative determination described in subparagraph (1) is published in the Federal Register;

(3) the workers have become totally or partially separated from the workers’ firm within—
(A) the 1-year period described in paragraph (2); or
(B) not withstanding section 223(b)(1), the 1-year period preceding the 1-year period described in paragraph (2).

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(B) (increased imports) of the Trade Act have been met.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TA–W No.</th>
<th>Subject firm</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Impact date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>91,804</td>
<td>American Grass Seed Producers</td>
<td>Tangent, OR</td>
<td>May 12, 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91,804A</td>
<td>Stafford Seed Farm</td>
<td>Tangent, OR</td>
<td>May 12, 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91,845</td>
<td>Olympic Panel Products LLC, New Wood Resources LLC, Express Employment Professionals</td>
<td>Shelton, WA</td>
<td>May 24, 2015.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(B) (shift in production or services) of the Trade Act have been met.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TA–W No.</th>
<th>Subject firm</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Impact date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>91,143</td>
<td>Citizens Bank, NA, Citizens Financial Group, Infrastructure Services Division, Bridge, etc.</td>
<td>Riverside, RI</td>
<td>November 16, 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91,143A</td>
<td>Citizens Bank, NA, Citizens Financial Group, Infrastructure Services Division, Bridge, etc.</td>
<td>Cranston, RI</td>
<td>November 16, 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91,638</td>
<td>EMC Corporation, Disk Library for Mainframe (DLM) Division</td>
<td>Hopkinton, MA</td>
<td>June 5, 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91,638A</td>
<td>On-Site Leased Workers from Advantage Technical Resources, IGATE (Now Capgemini), and TATA America reporting to EMC Corporation, etc.</td>
<td>Hopkinton, MA</td>
<td>March 29, 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91,645</td>
<td>ITT Corporation—Interconnect Solutions, ITT Cannon LLC, ITT Corporation</td>
<td>Santa Ana, CA</td>
<td>December 12, 2016.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91,778</td>
<td>Fujitsu America, Inc., Retail Managed Services and Technical Maintenance Services, etc.</td>
<td>Richardson, TX</td>
<td>May 4, 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91,778A</td>
<td>Fujitsu America, Inc., Retail Managed Services and Technical Maintenance Services, etc.</td>
<td>Schaumburg, IL</td>
<td>May 4, 2015.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The investigation revealed that the eligibility criteria under paragraphs (a)(2)(A) have not been met for the reasons specified.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for worker adjustment assistance have not been met for the reasons specified. The investigation revealed that the shift in production or services to a foreign country) of section 222 have not been met.

The investigation revealed that the criteria under paragraphs (a)(2)(A) have not been met.

Veris Industries, Schneider Electric USA, KForce Inc., Express Services Inc., etc.
Centrex Revenue Solutions, LLC, Integra Connect, LLC, Randstad Temporary Services.
DIRECTV Customer Services, Inc., DIRECTV Care Division, AT&T, Inc., Site, Convergys, Alorica, VXI, TPUSA.
Kennametal, Inc.
Maersk Agency USA Inc., Maersk Group, Operations, Marketing Divisions.
EMC Corporation, Resource Management Division.
Strippit, Inc., LVD Company NV, Durham Staffing, Inc., and SelectOne.
JaniMart Janitorial Sales & Services and AppleOne, Strippit, Inc., LVD Company NV.
Havells USA, Inc., Havells India Limited, Randstad
CTS Corporation, Specialized Staffing, Manpower, Aerotek, Personnel Partners, etc.
Viskase Companies, Inc., Staffmark
360training.com, Inc., 360training.com
CVG Alabama, LLC, Global Truck and Bus Segment, Commercial Vehicle Group, Inc., etc.
Blackhawk Engineering, Inc., EXX, Inc
Furin & Shea Welding & Fabricating, Inc., Always There Staffing, Inc., Express Services, Inc.
Waste Management of Oregon, Pacific NW Division
Prime Electric Motors, Inc., Bonney Staffing
T. Bruce Sales, Inc
Embarq Management Company/United Telephone of Pennsylvania, Embarq Corporation, CenturyLink, Inc.
Dixie Consumer Products, LLC, Georgia Pacific, Aerotek Staffing Agency.
Unverferth Manufacturing Company, Inc
Horizon Mud Company, Inc., Irvin Wellsite Consultants, LLC
K Building Components, Inc
William J. Schwartz & Son, Inc
Volvo Trucks North America, New River Valley Facility, Volvo Trucks, Additional Technical Support, etc.
### Determinations Terminating Investigations of Petitions for Worker Adjustment Assistance

After notice of the petitions was published in the *Federal Register* and on the Department’s Web site, as required by Section 221 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2271), the Department initiated investigations of these petitions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TA–W No.</th>
<th>Subject firm</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Impact date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>91,526</td>
<td>Fairmont Supply Company, Formerly Fairmont Supply Oil and Gas, LLC.</td>
<td>Troy, PA.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91,787</td>
<td>Jersey Shore Steel Company</td>
<td>Jersey Shore, PA.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued because the petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TA–W No.</th>
<th>Subject firm</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Impact date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>91,637</td>
<td>Georgia Chair Company</td>
<td>Gainesville, GA.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91,805</td>
<td>Greenwillow Grains, LLC</td>
<td>Tangent, OR.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92,036</td>
<td>Illinois Tool Works, Inc</td>
<td>New Berlin, WI.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued because the petitioning groups of workers are covered by active certifications. Consequently, further investigation in these cases would serve no purpose since the petitioning group of workers cannot be covered by more than one certification at a time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TA–W No.</th>
<th>Subject firm</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Impact date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>91,711</td>
<td>Broadcom Limited, Avago Technologies Limited</td>
<td>Norcross, GA.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91,806</td>
<td>Greenwillow Grains, LLC</td>
<td>Tangent, OR.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91,870</td>
<td>International Business Machines (IBM), Global Technology Services (GTS), Collabera, Artech, CDI, Infinite.</td>
<td>Endicott, NY.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91,870A</td>
<td>International Business Machines (IBM), Global Technology Services (GTS), Collabera, Artech, CDI, Infinite.</td>
<td>Omaha, NE.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of August 22, 2016 through September 2, 2016. These determinations are available on the Department’s Web site [https://www.doleta.gov/tradeact/taa/taa_search_form.cfm](https://www.doleta.gov/tradeact/taa/taa_search_form.cfm) under the searchable listing determinations or by calling the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance toll free at 888–365–6822.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 18th day of November 2016.

Hope D. Kinglock
Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–91,138]

Graftech International Holdings Inc., Engineered Solutions Division, A Subsidiary of Brookfield Asset Management Inc., Anmoore, West Virginia; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated November 10, 2016, the Department of Labor (Department) received a request for administrative reconsideration from a company official of the Department’s Notice of Termination of Investigation regarding workers’ eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance applicable to workers and former workers of GrafTech International Holdings Inc., Engineered Solutions Division, a subsidiary of Brookfield Asset Management Inc., Annoore, West Virginia (subject firm). The subject firm is engaged in activities related to the production of synthetic graphite articles, such as molds and crucibles, used in high temperature applications. The Notice was issued on November 4, 2016 and has yet to be published in the *Federal Register*.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration, the existing record, and the new and additional information provided by the company official, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

**Conclusion**

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor’s prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 15th day of November, 2016.

Del-Min Amy Chen,
Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.
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