Conclusion

It is hereby ordered, pursuant to Rule 101(d) of Regulation M, that the Trust, based on the representations and facts presented in the Letter, is exempt from the requirements of Rule 101 with respect to the Fund, thus permitting persons who may be deemed to be participating in a distribution of Shares of the Fund to bid for or purchase such Shares during their participation in such distribution.

It is further ordered, pursuant to Rule 102(e) of Regulation M, that the Trust, based on the representations and facts presented in the Letter and subject to the conditions below, is exempt from the requirements of Rule 102 with respect to the Fund, thus permitting the Fund to redeem Shares of the Fund during the continuous offering of such Shares.

It is further ordered, pursuant to Rule 10b–17(b)(2), that the Trust, based on the representations and facts presented in the Letter and subject to the conditions below, is exempt from the requirements of Rule 10b–17 with respect to transactions in the shares of the Fund.

This exemptive relief is subject to the following conditions:

- The Trust will comply with Rule 10b–17, except for Rule 10b–17(b)(1)(v)(a) and (b); and
- The Trust will provide the information required by Rule 10b–17(b)(1)(v)(a) and (b) to the Listing Exchange as soon as practicable before trading begins on the ex-dividend date, but in no event later than the time when the Listing Exchange last accepts information relating to distributions on the day before the ex-dividend date.

This exemptive relief is subject to modification or revocation at any time the Commission determines that such action is necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Exchange Act. This exemption is based on the facts presented and the representations made in the Letter. Any different facts or representations may require a different response. Persons relying upon this exemptive relief shall discontinue transactions involving the Shares of the Fund, pending presentation of the facts for the Commission’s consideration, in the event that any material change occurs with respect to any of the facts or representations made by the Requestors, and, as is the case with all preceding letters, particularly with respect to the close alignment between the market price of Shares and the Fund’s NAV. In addition, persons relying on this exemption are directed to the anti-fraud and anti-manipulation provisions of the Exchange Act, particularly Sections 9(a), 10(b), and Rule 10b–5 thereunder.

Responsibility for compliance with these and any other applicable provisions of the federal securities laws must rest with the persons relying on this exemption. This Order should not be considered a view with respect to any other question that the proposed transactions may raise, including, but not limited to, the adequacy of the disclosure concerning, and the applicability of other federal or state laws to, the proposed transactions.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.6

Brent J. Fields,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 2016–26299 Filed 10–31–16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Options Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change Related to Compliance With Section 871(m) of the Internal Revenue Code

October 27, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on October 18, 2016, The Options Clearing Corporation (“OCC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by OCC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The purpose of this proposed rule change is to amend OCC’s By-Laws and Rules to address the implementation of Section 871(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (“I.R.C.”),3 and the Treasury Regulations thereunder as they will apply to listed options transactions. The proposed amendments to OCC’s By-Laws and Rules can be found on OCC’s public Web site.4 All capitalized terms not defined herein have the same meaning as set forth in OCC’s By-Laws and Rules.5

II. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, OCC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. OCC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.

(A) Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(1) Purpose

Background

OCC is proposing to modify its By-Laws and Rules to address the application of I.R.C. Section 871(m) (“Section 871(m)”)6 to listed options transactions commencing on January 1, 2017. The proposed modifications are designed to ensure that OCC will not be liable for U.S. withholding tax with respect to certain options transactions entered into by OCC’s Clearing Members that are treated as non-U.S. persons for federal income tax purposes.

Section 871(m), which was enacted in 2010, imposes a 30% withholding tax on “dividend equivalent” payments that are made or deemed to be made to non-U.S. persons with respect to certain derivatives (such as total return swaps) that reference equity of a U.S. issuer. In enacting Section 871(m), Congress was attempting to address the ability of foreign persons to obtain the economics of owning dividend-paying stock through a derivative while avoiding the withholding tax that would apply to dividends paid on the stock if the foreign person owned the stock directly.7

In September 2015, the Treasury Department adopted final regulations (the “Final Section 871(m)

6 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(6) and (9).
7 See 26 U.S.C. 871(a)(1)(A) (30% tax on dividends paid to non-resident aliens).
was enacted in 2010 and, subject to transition rules, first applied to withholding payments (such as dividends and interest) made after June 30, 2014. The Treasury Department has issued extensive regulations under FATCA (the “FATCA Regulations”).

The two withholding tax regimes serve very different purposes. Chapter 3 Withholding requires a withholding agent to withhold 30% of a withholdable payment and remit it to the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"). The withholding tax is the mechanism by which the non-U.S. person receiving the payment satisfies its tax liability to the United States.

FATCA, on the other hand, was enacted with the purpose of curtailing tax evasion by U.S. citizens and residents through the use of offshore bank accounts. FATCA imposes a 30% withholding tax ("FATCA Withholding") on U.S.-source dividends and other withholdable payments (including dividend equivalents) made by a U.S. withholding agent to a foreign financial institution ("FFI"), such as a bank or brokerage firm, unless the financial institution agrees to provide information to the IRS about its U.S. account holders. The purpose of FATCA Withholding is thus to force FFIs to provide the required information about U.S. account holders to the IRS. FFIs that enter into the required agreement with the IRS are referred to as “Participating FFIs,” and those that do not are referred to as “Nonparticipating FFIs.” The 30% FATCA Withholding applies to withholdable payments made to a Nonparticipating FFI whether the Nonparticipating FFI is the beneficial owner of the payment or acting as a broker, custodian or other intermediary with respect to the payment. To the extent that withholdable payments are made to a Nonparticipating FFI in any capacity, a U.S. withholding agent, such as OCC or its U.S. Clearing Members, transmitting these payments to the Nonparticipating FFI will be liable to the IRS for any amounts of FATCA Withholding that the U.S. withholding agent should, but does not, withhold and remit to the IRS.

The Treasury Department has provided alternative means of complying with FATCA for FFIs that are resident in foreign jurisdictions that enter into an intergovernmental agreement ("IGA") with the United States (each such foreign jurisdiction being referred to as a “FATCA Partner”). An FFI resident in a FATCA Partner jurisdiction must either transmit the information required by FATCA to its local tax authority, which in turn would transmit the information to the IRS pursuant to a tax treaty or information exchange agreement (referred to as a “Model 1 IGA”), or the FFI must be authorized or required by FATCA Partner law to enter into an FFI agreement and to transmit FATCA reporting directly to the IRS (referred to as a “Model 2 IGA”). Under both IGA models, payments to such FFIs would not be subject to FATCA Withholding so long as the FFI complies with the FATCA Partner’s laws as mandated in the IGA. OCC currently has eight non-U.S. Clearing Members, all of which are Canadian firms. Canada entered into a Model 1 IGA with the United States on February 5, 2014, as a result of which OCC’s Canadian Clearing Members that comply with the Canadian laws mandated in such Model 1 IGA are “Reporting Model 1 FFIs” and are exempt from FATCA Withholding.

Because OCC does not make payments of U.S.-source interest and dividends to its Clearing Members, OCC’s transactions with its Clearing Members have not given rise to payments subject to Chapter 3 Withholding or to FATCA Withholding. Both Chapter 3 Withholding and FATCA Withholding will become applicable to OCC and its Clearing Members, however, once Section 871(m) applies to listed options commencing January 1, 2017.

Impact on OCC and its Clearing Members

The application of Section 871(m) to listed options transactions that are Section 871(m) Transactions in combination with Chapter 3 Withholding and FATCA Withholding will have significant implications for OCC and its Clearing Members. These implications differ depending upon whether the Clearing Member involved in the transaction is a U.S. firm or a non-U.S. firm. When a U.S. Clearing Member is involved, Section 871(m) is relevant if the Clearing Member is acting (directly or indirectly) on behalf of a
When a U.S. Clearing Member is acting for a foreign customer, the U.S. Clearing Member will need to determine whether the transaction is a Section 871(m) Transaction, and, if so, the amount of any dividend equivalents subject to withholding. Under Chapter 3 and Chapter 4, withholding tax will need to be collected by the U.S. Clearing Member on any such dividend equivalent and remitted to the IRS. Reporting by the U.S. Clearing Member with respect to such amounts on IRS Forms 1042 and 1042–S would also be required. OCC will not be obligated to withhold on any dividend equivalents associated with listed options that are Section 871(m) Transactions when the Clearing Member involved is a U.S. firm. Under the applicable Treasury Regulations, because OCC is treated as making such payments to a U.S. financial institution, OCC is not required to withhold. Rather, the withholding obligation falls on the U.S. Clearing Member if the member is acting directly for a non-U.S. person, or potentially on another broker or custodian with a closer connection to the non-U.S. person. Similarly, OCC will not have any tax reporting obligations. Those obligations will typically fall on the broker that has the obligation to withhold. In general terms, OCC is relieved of the obligation to withhold and to report dividend equivalents in this situation because the U.S. Clearing Member, and not OCC, is the last U.S. person with custody or control over the relevant payment or funds before they leave the United States. Without regard to the proposed rule change described herein, therefore, Section 871(m) will require OCC’s U.S. Clearing Members with foreign customers to develop and maintain systems (i) to identify options transactions that are Section 871(m) Transactions (including under the Combination Rule), (ii) to determine the amount of any dividend equivalents, and (iii) to effectuate withholding. Developing these systems will be challenging and costly.

The situation is very different when the Clearing Member involved is a non-U.S. firm. As noted above, OCC currently has eight non-U.S. clearing members, all of which are Canadian firms.) Under the Final Section 871(m) Regulations, OCC itself is a withholding agent when a non-U.S. Clearing Member enters into a transaction on behalf of a customer or for its own account. In this situation, OCC is the last U.S. person treated as having custody or control over the payment or funds before they leave the United States. Unless the non-U.S. Clearing Members enter into certain agreements with the IRS (described below), under which they assume primary responsibility for Chapter 3 Withholding tax and are FATCA Compliant, OCC would be required to withhold on dividend equivalents with respect to transactions that are Section 871(m) Transactions. In order to carry out these responsibilities, OCC would need to develop and maintain systems (i) to identify transactions that are Section 871(m) Transactions, (ii) to determine the amount of any dividend equivalents, (iii) to effectuate withholding, and (iv) to remit the withheld tax to the IRS. The non-U.S. Clearing Members in this situation generally would not be required to withhold or to report because they already would have been subject to withholding by OCC. Without the proposed rule change, therefore, Section 871(m) by default would impose on the U.S. Clearing Members and OCC—but not on the non-U.S. Clearing Members—the responsibility for withholding and reporting on dividend equivalents. The proposed rule change would transfer OCC’s obligations with respect to the non-U.S. Clearing Members to those members, so that they would be treated in a manner analogous to the U.S. Clearing Members, who will themselves be required to withhold and report on dividend equivalents when Section 871(m) becomes effective with respect to listed options.

To address OCC’s potential Chapter 3 Withholding and reporting obligations, the agreements that non-U.S. Clearing Members can enter into with the IRS to relieve OCC of these obligations are as follows:

• With respect to transactions that the Clearing Member enters into on behalf of customers (that is, as an intermediary), the Clearing Member can enter into a “qualified intermediary agreement” with the IRS under which the Clearing Member assumes primary withholding responsibility. If a Clearing Member has such an agreement in place (such member being a “Qualified Intermediary Assuming Primary Withholding Responsibility”), OCC is relieved of its obligation to withhold under Chapter 3 with respect to the Clearing Member’s customer transactions.

• With respect to transactions the Clearing Member enters into for its own account (that is, as a principal), the Clearing Member will be able to enter into a qualified intermediary agreement with the IRS (as described above) in which it further agrees, inter alia, to assume primary withholding responsibility with respect to all dividends and dividend equivalents it receives and makes. Entities entering into such agreements are referred to as “Qualified Derivatives Dealers.”

The Treasury Regulations regarding Qualified Derivatives Dealers are currently in temporary form and are subject to change. Treasury and the IRS recently issued Notice 2016–42, which has proposed changes to the “qualified intermediary agreement” necessary to expand the Qualified Derivatives Dealer exception to include all transactions in which a Qualified Derivatives Dealer acts as a principal for its own account, regardless of whether it does so in its dealer capacity. If these changes are incorporated into the final qualified intermediary agreement, and if the Clearing Members timely enter into such agreements, OCC does not believe, based on IRS Notice 2016–42, that OCC will be obligated to withhold under Chapter 3 on any transactions entered into by the Clearing Member for its own account.

With respect to FATCA Withholding, OCC would not be required to withhold if the non-U.S. Clearing Member has entered into an agreement with the IRS to provide information about its U.S. account holders or if the Clearing Member is a resident of a country that has entered into an IGA and the member complies with its reporting responsibilities under the local legislation implementing the IGA. Even if OCC’s non-U.S. Clearing Members enter into the agreements with the IRS described above (or with respect to FATCA are resident in a country with

---

15 Section 871(m) is not relevant if the U.S. Clearing Member is acting on behalf of a U.S. customer or for its own account.
16 The obligation to withhold arises under both Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 (i.e., FATCA), but duplicate withholding is not required. Under Section 1474(d) and 26 CFR 1.1474–6T(b)(1), amounts withheld under FATCA are credited against amounts required to be withheld under Chapter 3.
18 See supra note 9.
an IGA), OCC would still be required to report to the IRS the amounts of dividend equivalents it is treated as paying to those Clearing Members.23

Preparing for Implementation of Section 871(m) as Applied to Listed Options

Beginning on January 1, 2017, the Final Section 871(m) Regulations would treat OCC as paying dividend equivalents subject to both Chapter 3 Withholding and FATCA. Withholding—even though no actual payments are made—when a non-U.S. Clearing Member enters into a listed equity option with an initial delta of .8 or higher. OCC has evaluated its existing systems and services to determine whether and how it may comply with such withholding obligations. As a result of this evaluation, OCC has determined that its existing systems are not capable of effectuating withholding with regard to the transactions processed by OCC. OCC does not have access to the necessary transaction-specific information to determine whether a particular transaction triggers withholding, nor the systems to obtain such information. For example, OCC cannot associate options transactions in a Clearing Member’s customer account with any particular customer. Similarly, when an option contract in a Clearing Member’s customer account is closed out, OCC cannot determine the specific contract that is closed out when there are multiple identical contracts in the Clearing Member’s customer account.24

Even if OCC had access to all necessary information, the daily net settlement process in which OCC engages would not permit OCC to effectuate withholding without introducing significant settlement and liquidity risk, particularly since dividend equivalents on listed options do not involve an actual cash payment to the Clearing Member from which amounts could be withheld. OCC nets credits and debits per Clearing Member for daily settlement. Given OCC’s netting, effectuating withholding could require OCC in certain circumstances to apply its own funds in order to remit withholding taxes to the IRS whenever the net credit owed to a non-U.S. Clearing Member is less than the withholding tax. In addition, if a non-U.S. Clearing Member has dividend equivalent payments aggregating $50 million, but the member is in a net debit settlement position for that day because of OCC’s daily net crediting and debiting, there would be no payment to this Clearing Member from which OCC could withhold. In this example, OCC would likely need to fund the $15 million withholding tax (30% of $50 million) until such time as the Clearing Member could reimburse OCC. Furthermore, the cost of implementing a withholding system for the small number of Clearing Members that are non-U.S. firms (currently eight out of 115 Clearing Members) would be substantial and disproportionate to the related benefit. Since the cost of developing and maintaining a complex withholding system would be passed on to OCC’s Clearing Members at large, it would burden both U.S. Clearing Members and non-U.S. Clearing Members that have entered into the requisite agreements with the IRS and are FATCA Compliant.

Section 871(m) requires OCC’s U.S. Clearing Members with foreign customers to build and maintain systems in order to carry out their withholding responsibilities under Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 for dividend equivalents in connection with transactions with their foreign customers. Absent the proposed rule change, OCC’s non-U.S. Clearing Members could decide not to develop similarly appropriate systems. Such a decision would force OCC to be in a position to comply with withholding obligations on Section 871(m) Transactions under Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 with regard to its non-U.S. Clearing Members, which, as noted above, OCC cannot do based on the way its settlement process and systems work. If such a situation were to theoretically occur, the resulting compliance costs would be shifted from the non-U.S. Clearing Members to OCC, and would cause such costs to be borne indirectly by OCC’s U.S. Clearing Members, which already would be bearing their own compliance costs with regard to Section 871(m) Transactions. Moreover, as noted, the non-U.S. Clearing Members are in a better position than OCC to comply with Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 reporting and withholding requirements for Section 871(m) Transactions because they have customer information that OCC lacks. Under the proposed rule change, the costs associated with developing and maintaining the required systems would be moved back to the non-U.S. Clearing Members, who would essentially be placed in the same position as U.S. Clearing Members in terms of having to incur their own U.S. tax compliance costs.

For the reasons explained above, OCC is proposing amendments to its Rules, as described below, to implement prudent, preventive measures that would require all of OCC’s non-U.S. Clearing Members to enter into agreements with the IRS under which they assume primary withholding responsibility, to become Qualified Derivatives Dealers, and to be FATCA Compliant, so as to permit OCC to make payments (and deemed payments of dividend equivalents) to such Clearing Members free from U.S. withholding tax. In preparation for the proposed rule change and the implementation of Section 871(m) as applied to listed options, OCC has asked its non-U.S. Clearing Members to provide OCC with tax documentation certifying their tax status for purposes of both FATCA and Chapter 3 Withholding. All of these Clearing Members are Canadian firms and, in response to OCC’s request, each of them has provided documentation certifying that it is a Reporting Model 1 FFI under the IGA with Canada, and therefore FATCA Compliant. Each has also certified that for Chapter 3 Withholding purposes, it is a Qualified Intermediary Assuming Primary Withholding Responsibility. None of these Clearing Members are currently Qualified Derivatives Dealers because the IRS has not yet finalized the relevant regulations and the associated agreement that must be entered into with the IRS. The IRS is expected to finalize the regulations and provide the agreement language before January 1, 2017. If the IRS does not take any further action before January 1, 2017, then the regulations will go into effect, as they are currently written, on January 1, 2017. In that case, FFI Clearing Members would become subject to withholding by OCC with respect to Section 871(m) Transactions in which the FFI Clearing Members are acting as a principal (i.e., transactions for the member’s own account). Because of the practical difficulty OCC would encounter in attempting to distinguish dealer transactions in which the FFI Clearing Member is acting as an intermediary versus those in which it is acting as a principal, OCC will not allow the FFI Clearing Members to clear any dealer trades in the absence of final guidance or the ability of OCC’s FFI Clearing Members to distinguish intermediary versus principal transactions in a manner that would allow OCC to provide non-U.S. intermediary transactions free of any withholding obligations under Section 871(m). As
discussed above, however, OCC expects the IRS to finalize the regulations and to provide the relevant agreement language before January 1, 2017.

Proposed Amendments to OCC’s By-Laws and Rules

For the reasons discussed above, OCC is proposing a number of amendments to its By-Laws and Rules designed to require that, as a general requirement for membership, all existing and future Clearing Members that are treated as non-U.S. entities for U.S. federal income tax purposes must enter into appropriate agreements with the IRS and be FATCA Compliant, such that OCC will not be responsible for withholding on dividend equivalents under Section 871(m). Specifically, OCC proposes to amend Article I of its By-Laws to include the following defined terms. The term “FFI Clearing Member” would mean any Clearing Member that is treated as a non-U.S. entity for U.S. federal income tax purposes. The term “Dividend Equivalent” would be defined as having the meaning provided in Section 871(m) of the I.R.C. and related Treasury Regulations and other official interpretations thereof. The term “FATCA” would be defined as meaning: (i) the provisions of Sections 1471 through 1474 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, which were enacted as part of The Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act (or any amendment thereto or successor sections thereof), and related Treasury Regulations and other official interpretations thereof, as in effect from time to time, and (ii) the provisions of any intergovernmental agreement to implement The Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act as in effect from time to time between the United States and the jurisdiction of the FFI Clearing Member’s residency. The term “FATCA Compliant” would mean, with respect to an FFI Clearing Member, that such FFI Clearing Member has qualified under such procedures promulgated by the IRS as are in effect from time to time to establish exemption from withholding under FATCA such that OCC will not be required to withhold any amount with respect to any payment or deemed payment to such FFI Clearing Member under FATCA. The term “Qualified Intermediary Assuming Primary Withholding Responsibility” would mean an FFI Clearing Member that has entered into an agreement with the IRS to be a qualified intermediary and to assume primary responsibility for reporting and for collecting and remitting withholding tax under Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 of subtitle A, and Chapter 61 and Section 3406, of the I.R.C. with respect to any income (including Dividend Equivalents) arising from transactions entered into by the Clearing Member with OCC as an intermediary, including transactions entered into on behalf of such Clearing Member’s customers. The term “Qualified Derivatives Dealer” would be defined as an FFI Clearing Member that has entered into an agreement with IRS that permits OCC to make Dividend Equivalent payments to such clearing member free from U.S. withholding tax under Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 of subtitle A, and Chapter 61 and Section 3406, of the I.R.C. with respect to transactions entered into by such clearing member with OCC as a principal for such Clearing Member’s own account. “Section 871(m) Effective Date” would be defined as meaning January 1, 2017, or, if later, the date on which Section 871(m) and related Treasury Regulations and other official interpretations thereof, first apply to listed options transactions. Finally, “Section 871(m) Implementation Date” would mean December 1, 2016, or, if later, the date that is 30 days before the Section 871(m) Effective Date.25

The proposed rule change would also add Section 1(e) to Article V of OCC’s By-Laws, which would require any applicant, that if admitted to membership would be an FFI Clearing Member, to be a Qualified Intermediary Assuming Primary Withholding Responsibility and to be FATCA Compliant beginning on the Section 871(m) Implementation Date. In addition, if the applicant intends to trade for its own account, the applicant would be required to be a Qualified Derivatives Dealer.

Furthermore, the proposed rule change would impose additional requirements on FFI Clearing Members. Specifically, proposed Rule 310(d)(1) would prohibit FFI Clearing Members from conducting any transaction or activity through OCC unless the Clearing Member is a Qualified Intermediary Assuming Primary Withholding Responsibility and FATCA Compliant, beginning on the Section 871(m) Effective Date. In addition, FFI Clearing Members would not be permitted to enter into a transaction for their own accounts unless such Clearing Member is a Qualified Derivatives Dealer and such transaction is within the scope of the exemption from withholding tax for Dividend Equivalents paid to Qualified Derivatives Dealers.

Proposed Rule 310(d)(2) would require each FFI Clearing Member to certify annually to OCC, beginning on the Section 871(m) Implementation Date, that it satisfies the above requirements and also to update its certification to OCC (viz., a completed Form W–8IMY electing primary withholding responsibility and Qualified Derivatives Dealer status) if required by applicable law or administrative guidance or if its certification is no longer accurate. Proposed Rule 310(d)(3) also would require each FFI Clearing Member to provide OCC with the information it needs relating to Dividend Equivalents, in sufficient detail and in a sufficiently timely manner, for OCC to comply with its obligation under Chapters 3 and 4 to make required reports to the IRS regarding Dividend Equivalents and the transactions giving rise to same between OCC and the FFI Clearing Member.

Additionally, proposed Rule 310(d)(4) would require each FFI Clearing Member to inform OCC promptly if it is not, or has reason to know that it will not be, in compliance with Rule 310(d) within 2 days of knowledge thereof. This rule ensures that OCC will be notified in a timely manner in the event that an FFI Clearing Member no longer maintains the appropriate arrangements described above to ensure that all withholding and reporting obligations with respect to Dividend Equivalents under Section 871(m) and Chapter 3 and 4 are being fulfilled.

Finally, proposed Rule 310(d)(5) would require each FFI Clearing Member to indemnify OCC for any loss, liability, or expense sustained by OCC resulting from such member’s failure to comply with proposed Rule 310(d). As discussed above, a Dividend Equivalent is deemed to arise if a dividend is paid on the underlying stock while an option is outstanding, even though no corresponding payment is made on the option. Due to the nature of OCC’s settlement process, there may be no actual payments to the FFI Clearing Member from which OCC could withhold in order to address a liability or expense incurred by OCC arising from a member’s failure to comply with the proposed rules. As a result, if OCC were required to satisfy any liability or expense caused by such member’s failure to comply out of OCC’s own funds, OCC would look to the FFI

25 Although withholding with regard to Dividend Equivalent payments to non-U.S. clearing members is scheduled take effect January 1, 2017, the proposed amendments to the By-Laws and Rules would require existing non-U.S. clearing members to provide documentation certifying their compliance with the requirements of Rule 310(d) 30 days prior to January 1, 2017, in order for OCC to review the certification materials and to address in a timely manner any potential non-compliance, in accordance with its Rules.
Clearing Member to indemnify OCC for such losses.

(2) Statutory Basis

OCC believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("Act"), and the rules thereunder applicable to OCC. Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act requires OCC, among other things, that the rules of a clearing agency: (i) Promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions and, to the extent applicable, derivative agreements, contracts, and transactions; (ii) assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in the custody or control of the clearing agency or for which it is responsible; (iii) in general, protect investors and the public interest; and (iv) are not designed to permit unfair discrimination among participants in the use of the clearing agency. OCC believes that the proposed rule change is designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities and derivatives transactions, assure the safeguarding of securities and funds at OCC, and protect investors and the public interest because it would eliminate the uncertainty in funds settlement that otherwise would arise if OCC were subject to withholding obligations with respect to Dividend Equivalents under Section 871(m).

As noted above, the daily net settlement process in which OCC engages would not permit OCC to effectuate the necessary withholdings, particularly since Dividend Equivalents on listed options do not involve an actual cash payment to the Clearing Member from which amounts could be withheld. In addition, OCC lacks the customer information necessary to determine the correct amounts subject to withholding. The introduction of withholding responsibilities on OCC therefore would introduce new complications and risks into OCC's clearance and settlement process and could create uncertainty around the settlement of funds at OCC. For these reasons, OCC does not believe that it is obligated to accept the liability associated with Dividend Equivalent withholding responsibilities.

The proposed rule change would implement prudent, preventive measures to protect OCC against the obligation for any such withholding (and any resulting liability) by requiring FFI Clearing Members to enter into certain agreements with the IRS under which the FFI Clearing Member assumes primary withholding responsibilities with respect to transactions that it enters into on behalf of customers (i.e., as an intermediary) or for its own account (i.e., as a principal) and to be FATCA Compliant. The proposed rule change would eliminate potential risks and uncertainty in the daily settlement of funds at OCC otherwise imposed by Section 871(m)’s new mandate. Thus, OCC believes the proposed rule change is designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities and derivatives transactions, the safeguarding of securities and funds at OCC, and the protection of securities investors and the public interest in accordance with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.

Moreover, OCC believes that the proposed rule change does not unfairly discriminate among participants in the use of the clearing agency. While the proposed rule change would impose additional requirements and/or restrictions on FFI Clearing Members, the proposed rules are intended to address specific issues and potential risks to OCC arising from those FFI Clearing Members whose membership creates potential withholding obligations for OCC. Additionally, as described above, Section 871(m) will impose similar withholding and reporting obligations on OCC’s U.S. Clearing Members with respect to their foreign customers. Once Section 871(m) withholding becomes effective, OCC’s U.S. Clearing Members will be subject to similar withholding and reporting requirements under Chapters 3 and 4, and they would need to develop and maintain appropriate systems to effectuate the required withholdings. The proposed rule change by OCC would require OCC’s non-U.S. Clearing Members to develop and maintain similar systems to effectuate the necessary U.S. tax withholding.

OCC believes it is appropriate to impose these additional requirements on FFI Clearing Members because providing clearing services for these FFI Clearing Members would subject OCC to the additional withholding obligations discussed above, which do not arise when OCC performs clearing services for its U.S. Clearing Members. In the absence of the proposed rules, OCC would need to be in a position to comply with withholding obligations on Section 871(m) Transactions under Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 with regard to its FFI Clearing Members, which as noted above OCC cannot do based on the way its settlement process and systems work. If such a situation were to theoretically occur, the resulting compliance costs would be shifted from the non-U.S. Clearing Members to OCC, and would cause such costs to be borne indirectly by OCC’s U.S. Clearing Members, which already would be bearing their own compliance costs with regard to Section 871(m) Transactions. Since the cost of developing and maintaining a complex withholding system would be passed on to OCC’s Clearing Members at large, OCC believes it would be an unfair burden on U.S. Clearing Members, as well as any non-U.S. Clearing Members that have entered into the requisite agreements with the IRS and are FATCA Compliant. Finally, OCC understands that its non-U.S. Clearing Members already have agreed to act as Qualified Intermediaries that accept primary withholding responsibility for Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 purposes more generally, which may limit to some degree the incremental burden they would be required to undertake as Qualified Derivatives Dealers once the Section 871(m) withholding rules take effect. Therefore, OCC believes that the proposed rule change is not unfair discriminatory among participants in the use of the clearing agency and is therefore consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.

(B) Clearing Agency’s Statement on Burden on Competition

Section 17A(b)(3)(I) of the Act requires that the rules of a clearing agency not impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed rule change could potentially impact or burden competition by requiring any applicant for clearing membership or existing Clearing Member that would be an FFI Clearing Member to be a Qualified Intermediary Assuming Primary Withholding Responsibility in order to conduct any transaction subject activity through OCC. This requirement could impose burdens on such an applicant or member because it would require them to develop systems and processes to collect the information necessary to determine which of its cleared options transactions are Section 871(m) Transactions and to calculate and effectuate the required withholdings and reporting.

Additionally, the proposed rule change would require such an applicant or

---

member to be FATCA Compliant, which would require it to develop processes and procedures to gather information from clients necessary to fulfill its reporting obligations under FATCA. Moreover, in order to engage in activity for its own account, such applicant or member would need to be a Qualified Derivatives Dealer, which would entail the development of additional systems and processes for identifying any residual Section 871(m) Transactions it has entered into for its own account. The development of these systems and processes remain subject to some uncertainty, due to remaining questions regarding regulatory guidance under Section 871(m).31 In the absence of the proposed rule change, however, the non-U.S. Clearing Members themselves would become subject to withholding by OCC on dividend equivalents. The proposed rule thus reduces a direct economic burden on transactions between OCC and its non-U.S. Clearing Members that would apply absent compliance with the proposed rules.

Furthermore, OCC does not believe the proposed rule change would impose a significant burden on competition for FFI Clearing Members as compared to OCC’s U.S. Clearing Members. As described above, Section 871(m) imposes similar withholding and reporting obligations on OCC’s U.S. Clearing Members with foreign customers. OCC’s U.S. Clearing Members also will need to develop and maintain appropriate systems to identify Section 871(m) Transactions and to effectuate the required withholding. The proposed rule change by OCC would impose comparable requirements on OCC’s non-U.S. Clearing Members.

The proposed rule change also is narrowly tailored. It addresses the specific issues and potential risks to OCC arising from those firms whose membership creates potential withholding obligations for OCC. The proposed requirements for FFI Clearing Members are designed to eliminate any uncertainty in funds settlement that would arise if OCC were subject to withholding obligations with respect to Dividend Equivalents under Section 871(m). As discussed further above, OCC believes that the proposed rule change is necessary to eliminate potential complications and risk to its clearance and settlement process that would be presented by OCC’s potential withholding responsibilities under Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 (and which would be a direct consequence of providing its clearance and settlement services for these FFI Clearing Members). OCC believes the proposed rule change is necessary to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities and derivatives transactions, to assure the safeguarding of securities and funds in the custody or control of OCC or for which it is responsible, and in general, to protect investors and the public interest in accordance with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.32 Any burden on competition that this proposed change could be regarded as imposing would not be unreasonable or inappropriate under the Act. Furthermore, as stated above, all of OCC’s current non-U.S. Clearing Members are already Qualified Intermediaries Assuming Primary Withholding Responsibility and FATCA Compliant.

OCC does not believe that the ongoing certification and reporting provisions of proposed Rules 310(d)(2)–(4) would have any impact on competition. As a matter of standard practice, Clearing Members are required to inform OCC of material changes in, for example, their formal organization, ownership structure, or financial condition 33 and are subject to ongoing financial reporting requirements.34 OCC believes the proposed rule change would impose reasonable reporting and notification requirements with respect to FFI Clearing Members’ tax compliance status similar to those rules referenced above. Moreover, OCC does not believe the indemnification provision in proposed Rule 301(d)(5) would present a burden on competition as it would only be imposed in the event that an FFI Clearing Member failed to comply with the proposed rule change and such failure resulted in a loss or expense to OCC.

For the foregoing reasons, OCC believes that the proposed rule change is in the public interest, would be consistent with the requirements of the Act applicable to registered clearing agencies, and would not impose a burden on competition that is unnecessary or inappropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) by order approve or disapprove the proposed rule change, or
(B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml);
- Send an email to rule-comments@ sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–OCC–2016–014 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.
  All submissions should refer to File Number SR–OCC–2016–014. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than
Institution and settlement of administrative proceedings; Adjudicatory matters; and Other matters relating to enforcement proceedings.
At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items.
For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed; please contact Brent J. Fields from the Office of the Secretary at (202) 551–5400.
Dated: October 27, 2016.
Brent J. Fields,
Secretary.
[FR Doc. 2016–26506 Filed 10–28–16; 4:15 pm]
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Hartford Mutual Funds Inc., et al.; Notice of Application

October 26, 2016.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”).

ACTION: Notice of an application for an order pursuant to: (a) Section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (“Act”) granting an exemption from sections 18(f) and 21(b) of the Act; (b) section 12(d)(1)(J) of the Act granting an exemption from section 12(d)(1) of the Act; (c) sections 6(c) and 17(b) of the Act granting an exemption from sections 17(a)(1), 17(a)(2) and 17(a)(3) of the Act; and (d) section 17(d) of the Act and rule 17d–1 under the Act to permit certain joint arrangements and transactions.

Applicants request an order that would permit certain registered open-end management investment companies to participate in a joint lending and borrowing facility.

Applicants: The Hartford Mutual Funds, Inc., The Hartford Mutual Funds II, Inc., Hartford Series Fund, Inc. and Hartford HLS Series Fund II, Inc. (each a “Corporation” and collectively, the “Corporations”), each a Maryland corporation registered under the Act as an open-end management investment company with multiple series and Hartford Funds Management Company, LLC (the “Initial Adviser”), a Delaware limited liability company registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940.

Filing Dates: The application was filed on May 20, 2016, and amended on August 26, 2016.

Hearing or Notification of Hearing: An order granting the requested relief will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission’s Secretary and serving applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail.

Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on November 21, 2016 and should be accompanied by proof of service on the applicants, in the form of an affidavit, or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Pursuant to Rule 0–5 under the Act, hearing requests should state the nature of the writer’s interest, any facts bearing upon the desirability of a hearing on the matter, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission’s Secretary.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090; Applicants: 5 Radnor Corporate Center, 100 Matsonford Road, Suite 300, Radnor, PA 19087.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jessica Shin, Attorney-Adviser, at (202) 551–5921 or David J. Marcinkus, Branch Chief, at (202) 551–6821 (Division of Investment Management, Chief Counsel’s Office).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission’s Web site by searching for the file number, or an applicant using the Company name box, at http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm or by calling (202) 551–8090.

Summary of the Application:
1. Applicants request an order that would permit the applicants to participate in an interfund lending facility where each Fund could lend money directly to and borrow money directly from other Funds to cover unanticipated cash shortfalls, such as unanticipated redemptions or trade fails. The Funds will not borrow under the facility for leverage purposes and

Applicants request that the order apply to the applicants and to any existing or future registered open-end management investment company or series thereof for which the Initial Adviser or any successor thereto is, or was, an investment adviser controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the Initial Adviser or any successor thereto serves as investment adviser (each a “Funds” and collectively the “Funds”). For purposes of the requested order, “successor” is limited to any entity that results from a reorganization into another jurisdiction or a change in the type of a business organization.

1 Applicants request that the order apply to the applicants and to any existing or future registered open-end management investment company or series thereof for which the Initial Adviser or any successor thereto is, or was, an investment adviser controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the Initial Adviser or any successor thereto serves as investment adviser (each a “Funds” and collectively the “Funds”). For purposes of the requested order, “successor” is limited to any entity that results from a reorganization into another jurisdiction or a change in the type of a business organization.