

Accordingly, it is hereby *ordered*:  
*First*, from the date of this Order until August 14, 2018, Junaid Peerani, with a last known address of 1331 NW. 115th Ave., Plantation, FL 33323, and when acting for or on his behalf, his successors, assigns, employees, agents or representatives (the “Denied Person”), may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as “item”) exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations; or

C. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations.

*Second*, no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the Denied Person any item subject to the Regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned,

possessed or controlled by the Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

*Third*, after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in Section 766.23 of the Regulations, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Peerani by ownership, control, position of responsibility, affiliation, or other connection in the conduct of trade or business may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order in order to prevent evasion of this Order.

*Fourth*, in accordance with Part 756 of the Regulations, Peerani may file an appeal of this Order with the Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of Part 756 of the Regulations.

*Fifth*, a copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Peerani. This Order shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

*Sixth*, this Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until August 14, 2018.

Dated: October 19, 2016.

**Karen H. Nies-Vogel**,

*Director, Office of Exporter Services.*

[FR Doc. 2016-25858 Filed 10-25-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-970]

#### Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People’s Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews; 2014–2015

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Department) finds that the sale made by Dongtai Zhangshi Wood Industry Co., Ltd. (Zhangshi) and the sale made by Huzhou Muyun Wood Co., Ltd. (Muyun) are non-*bona fide*. Therefore, we are rescinding these new shipper reviews (NSRs).

**DATES:** Effective October 26, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert Galantucci (202-482-2923) or Aleksandras Nakutis (202-482-3147), AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The Department published its *Preliminary Rescission* of the NSRs of the antidumping duty order on multilayered wood flooring from the People’s Republic of China (PRC) on May 31, 2016.<sup>1</sup> We preliminarily found that the sale made by Zhangshi and the sale made by Muyun were not *bona fide*, and announced our preliminary intent to rescind the NSRs.

For a complete description of the events that followed the publication of the *Preliminary Rescission*, see the *Issues and Decision Memorandum*.<sup>2</sup> The *Issues and Decision Memorandum* is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping Duty (AD) and Countervailing Duty (CVD) Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the *Issues and Decision Memorandum* can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed *Issues and Decision Memorandum* and the electronic version of the *Issues and Decision Memorandum* are identical in content.

##### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is multilayered wood flooring, which is

<sup>1</sup> See *Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Rescission of 2014–2015 Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews*, 81 FR 34310 (May 31, 2016) (*Preliminary Rescission*); see also Memorandum from Robert Galantucci to Abdelali Elouaradia, “Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review of Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People’s Republic of China: Bona Fide Sale Analysis for Dongtai Zhangshi Wood Industry Co., Ltd.,” dated May 20, 2016 (*Zhangshi Prelim Bona Fide Memo*); Memorandum from Aleksandras Nakutis to Abdelali Elouaradia, “Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review of Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People’s Republic of China: Bona Fide Sale Analysis for Huzhou Muyun Wood Co., Ltd.,” dated May 20, 2016 (*Muyun Prelim Bona Fide Memo*).

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum from Christian Marsh to Ronald K. Lorentzen, “Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People’s Republic of China: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Rescission of the 2014–2015 New Shipper Reviews” issued concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice (*Issues and Decision Memorandum*).

composed of an assembly of two or more layers or plies of wood veneers<sup>3</sup> in combination with a core.<sup>4</sup>

Merchandise covered by this review is classifiable under subheadings

4412.31.0520; 4412.31.0540; 4412.31.0560; 4412.31.2510; 4412.31.2520; 4412.31.4040; 4412.31.4050; 4412.31.4060; 4412.31.4070; 4412.31.4075; 4412.31.4080; 4412.31.5125; 4412.31.5135; 4412.31.5155; 4412.31.5165; 4412.31.6000; 4412.31.9100; 4412.32.0520; 4412.32.0540; 4412.32.0560; 4412.32.0565; 4412.32.0570; 4412.32.2510; 4412.32.2520; 4412.32.2525; 4412.32.2530; 4412.32.3125; 4412.32.3135; 4412.32.3155; 4412.32.3165; 4412.32.3175; 4412.32.3185; 4412.32.5600; 4412.39.1000; 4412.39.3000; 4412.39.4011; 4412.39.4012; 4412.39.4019; 4412.39.4031; 4412.39.4032; 4412.39.4039; 4412.39.4051; 4412.39.4052; 4412.39.4059; 4412.39.4061; 4412.39.4062; 4412.39.4069; 4412.39.5010; 4412.39.5030; 4412.39.5050; 4412.94.1030; 4412.94.1050; 4412.94.3105; 4412.94.3111; 4412.94.3121; 4412.94.3131; 4412.94.3141; 4412.94.3160; 4412.94.3171; 4412.94.4100; 4412.94.5100; 4412.94.6000; 4412.94.7000; 4412.94.8000; 4412.94.9000; 4412.94.9500; 4412.99.0600; 4412.99.1020; 4412.99.1030; 4412.99.1040; 4412.99.3110; 4412.99.3120; 4412.99.3130; 4412.99.3140; 4412.99.3150; 4412.99.3160; 4412.99.3170; 4412.99.4100; 4412.99.5100; 4412.99.5105; 4412.99.5115; 4412.99.5710; 4412.99.6000; 4412.99.7000; 4412.99.8000; 4412.99.9000; 4412.99.9500; 4418.71.2000; 4418.71.9000; 4418.72.2000; 4418.72.9500; and 9801.00.2500 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs by parties are addressed in the *Issues and Decision Memorandum*.<sup>5</sup> A list of the

<sup>3</sup> A “veneer” is a thin slice of wood, rotary cut, sliced or sawed from a log, bolt or flitch. Veneer is referred to as a ply when assembled.

<sup>4</sup> For a complete description of the scope of the order, see the *Issues and Decision Memorandum*.

<sup>5</sup> See *Issues and Decision Memorandum*. The Department has also issued business proprietary

issues which parties raised is attached to this notice as an Appendix.

#### Bona Fide Analysis

For the *Preliminary Rescission*, the Department analyzed the *bona fides* of Zhangshi’s single sale and Muyun’s single sale and preliminarily found that they were not *bona fide* sales.<sup>6</sup> Based on the Department’s complete analysis of all of the information and comments on the record of this review, the Department continues to find Zhangshi’s and Muyun’s sales not *bona fide*, and thus not reviewable pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The Department reached this conclusion with respect to Zhangshi based on its consideration of the totality of circumstances, including: The sale price, the timing of the payment, a comparison between the payment and the invoiced amount, the parties’ implementation of the terms of sale, statements regarding the customer/importer’s affiliations, and the single sale. The Department reached this conclusion with respect to Muyun based on its consideration of the totality of circumstances, including: The sale price, the timing of the payment, and the single sale. For a complete discussion, see the *Issues and Decision Memorandum* as well as the *BPI Discussion of Zhangshi’s Comments* and the *BPI Discussion of Muyun’s Comments*.

#### Rescission of New Shipper Reviews

For the foregoing reasons, the Department continues to find that Zhangshi’s sale and Muyun’s sale are not *bona fide*, and that the sales do not provide a reasonable or reliable basis for calculating a dumping margin. Accordingly, the Department is rescinding these NSRs.

#### Assessment

As the Department is rescinding these NSRs, we are not making a determination as to whether or not

discussions of the comments raised, as many of the comments relied heavily on business proprietary information (BPI). See Memorandum to Abdelali Elouaradia, “Final Results of the Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review—Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People’s Republic of China: Business Proprietary Information Discussion of the Comments Regarding Dongtai Zhangshi Wood Industry Co., Ltd.,” dated October 17, 2016 (*BPI Discussion of Zhangshi’s Comments*); Memorandum to Abdelali Elouaradia, “Final Results of the Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review—Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People’s Republic of China: Business Proprietary Information Discussion of the Comments Regarding Huzhou Muyun Wood Co., Ltd.,” dated October 17, 2016 (*BPI Discussion of Muyun’s Comments*).

<sup>6</sup> See *Zhangshi Prelim Bona Fide Memo*; *Muyun Prelim Bona Fide Memo*.

Zhangshi or Muyun qualify for a separate rate. Therefore, these companies remain part of the PRC-entity. The PRC-entity is not under review in the ongoing review covering the 2014–2015 period. Accordingly, these companies’ entries will be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of, or bond for, estimated antidumping duties required on their merchandise at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption. The Department intends to issue liquidation instructions for any entries during the relevant period made by Zhangshi and Muyun 15 days after publication of this rescission notice.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

Effective upon publication of this notice of final rescission of the NSRs of Zhangshi and Muyun, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to discontinue the option of posting a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for entries of subject merchandise from Zhangshi and Muyun.<sup>7</sup> Because we did not review Zhangshi or Muyun, we will instruct CBP to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered which was the cash deposit rate for the PRC-wide entity of 25.62 percent. These cash deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to Administrative Protective Order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in these segments of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214.

Dated: October 17, 2016.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix—Issues and Decision Memorandum

Summary  
Background  
Scope of the Order

<sup>7</sup> See 19 CFR 351.214(e).

## Discussion of the Issues

*Comment 1:* Whether the Department should revise its analysis with respect to Zhangshi's sales price and quantity.

*Comment 2:* Whether the Department should revise its analysis regarding Zhangshi's customer's resale of the subject merchandise.

*Comment 3:* Whether the Department should revise its analysis regarding Zhangshi's implementation of the terms of sale.

*Comment 4:* Whether the Department should revise its analysis regarding the circumstances surrounding Zhangshi's receipt of payment.

*Comment 5:* Whether the Department made procedural errors in conducting this review.

*Comment 6:* Whether Muiyun's sale was resold at a profit.

*Comment 7:* Whether the timing of Muiyun's sale was consistent with normal commercial practices.

*Comment 8:* Whether Muiyun's sale price was based on normal commercial considerations.

*Comment 9:* Whether the totality of the circumstances indicates that Muiyun's sale was *bona fide*.

## Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2016-25901 Filed 10-25-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## International Trade Administration

[A-357-818]

### Lemon Juice From Argentina: Continuation of Suspension of Antidumping Investigation

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* October 20, 2016.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is continuing to suspend the antidumping duty investigation on lemon juice from Argentina. The basis for this action is an agreement between the Department and signatory producers/exporters accounting for substantially all imports of lemon juice from Argentina, wherein each signatory producer/exporter has agreed to revise its prices to eliminate completely the injurious effects of exports of the subject merchandise to the United States.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Sally Craig Gannon or Julie Santoboni at (202) 482-0162 or (202) 482-3063, respectively; Bilateral Agreements Unit, Office of Policy, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

On September 10, 2007, the Department entered into an agreement with S.A. San Miguel A.G.I.C.I. y F., ("San Miguel") and Citrusvil, S.A., Argentine producers/exporters accounting for substantially all imports of lemon juice from Argentina. *See* Suspension of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Lemon Juice From Argentina, 72 FR 53991 (September 21, 2007) ("2007 Agreement"). On September 17, 2009, Citromax S.A.C.I. acceded to the 2007 Agreement. On July 11, 2014, La Moraleja, S.A. and Cooperativa de Productores Citricolas de Tafi Viejo ("COTA") acceded to the 2007 Agreement.

On April 28, 2016, the Department notified the interested parties and the International Trade Commission ("ITC") of the intent to suspend the investigation on Lemon Juice from Argentina pursuant to section 734(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"). *See* April 28, 2016, letters from Sally C. Gannon to Interested Parties and Catherine DeFillipo, re "Lemon Juice from Argentina—Intent to Suspend Investigation Pursuant to Section 734(c) of the Act". On September 23, 2016, the Department and Argentine lemon juice growers/exporters accounting for substantially all lemon juice imported into the United States from Argentina initialed a proposed agreement pursuant to section 734(c) of the Act to suspend the antidumping investigation on lemon juice from Argentina. The Department released the proposed agreement and accompanying memorandum detailing the fulfillment of the statutory requirements to interested parties on September 23, 2016, and afforded them an opportunity to comment on the initialed agreement and the memorandum by September 30, 2016. *See* September 23, 2016, Memorandum from Sally C. Gannon to Interested Parties, re "Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Duty Investigation on Lemon Juice from Argentina". On September 26, 2016, in accordance with section 734(e)(2) of the Act, the Department consulted with the successor-in-interest to the petitioner, Ventura Coastal, LLC ("Ventura"),<sup>1</sup> and explained how the agreement will be carried out and enforced and how the agreement will meet the requirements of sections 734(c) and (d) of the Act. *See* September 29, 2016, Memorandum from Julie H. Santoboni to The File re

"Telephone Call with Counsel for Ventura Coastal".

On September 30, 2016, Ventura requested, and the Department granted, an extension of the deadline for submitting comments to October 3, 2016. *See* September 30, 2016, letter from Matthew T. McGrath, re: "Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Duty Investigation on Lemon Juice from Argentina: Extension Request" and September 30, 2016, letter from Sally C. Gannon to Matthew T. McGrath, re "Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Lemon Juice from Argentina: Extension for Comments on Draft Agreement". We received comments from COTA, San Miguel and Ventura. *See* October 3, 2016, letter from Gregory S. Menegaz re: "Lemon Juice from Argentina COTA Comment Draft Suspension Agreement: Correction of Formal Name" ("COTA comments"); October 3, 2016, letter from Gregory J. Spak re: "Lemon Juice from Argentina Comments on Draft Suspension Agreement" ("San Miguel comments"); and, September 30, 2016 (filed October 3, 2016), letter from Matthew T. McGrath re: "Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Duty Investigation on Lemon Juice from Argentina: Comments on Proposed New Suspension Agreement". On October 11, 2016, we received additional comments from Ventura. *See* October 10, 2016, letter from Matthew T. McGrath re: "Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Duty Investigation on Lemon Juice from Argentina: Additional Comments on Proposed New Suspension Agreement" ("Ventura additional comments").

The Department examined the comments and incorporated changes in the agreement text and statutory memorandum, where appropriate, to address those comments. Specifically, in its comments, in response to COTA's comments we revised the company's name to reflect the full legal name of the company, Cooperativa de Productores Citricolas de Tafi Viejo, Agricola, de Transformacion y Comercializacion Limitada, however we note that COTA also uses the name Cooperativa de Productores Citricolas de Tafi Viejo in the ordinary course of business. *See* COTA comments. In response to Ventura's comments, the definition of 'reference price' was revised to clarify that the price applies to the price of exports to the United States. Section VII.A.3 of the 2016 Suspension Agreement was revised to reflect that the quarterly Argentine customs data will reflect shipments, rather than sales data. In San Miguel's comments it requested that the Department expedite

<sup>1</sup> *See* Lemon Juice From Argentina: Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Review of the Suspended Antidumping Duty Investigation, 77 FR 73021 (Dec. 7, 2012).