The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4347) ("NEPA") requires federal agencies to undertake an assessment of environmental effects of their proposed actions prior to making a final decision and implementing the action. NEPA requirements apply to any federal project, decision, or action that may have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment. NEPA also establishes the Council on Environmental Quality ("CEQ"), which issued regulations implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA (see 40 CFR parts 1500–1508). Among other considerations, CEQ regulations at 40 CFR 1508.28 recommend the use of tiering from a "broader environmental impact statement (such as a national program or policy statements) with subsequent narrower statements or environmental analysis (such as regional or basin wide statements or ultimately site-specific statements) incorporating by reference the general discussions and concentrating solely on the issues specific to the statement subsequently prepared."

Due to the geographic scope of FirstNet (all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and five territories) and the diversity of ecosystems potentially traversed by the project, FirstNet has elected to prepare five regional PEISs. The five PEISs were divided into the East, Central, West, South, and Non-Contiguous Regions. The South Region includes Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Texas. The Draft PEIS analyzes potential impacts of the deployment and operation of the NPSBN on the natural and human environment in the South Region, in accordance with FirstNet’s responsibilities under NEPA.

**Next Steps**

All comments received by the public and any interested stakeholders will be evaluated and considered by FirstNet during the preparation of the Final PEIS. Once a PEIS is completed and a Record of Decision (ROD) is signed, FirstNet will evaluate site-specific documentation, as network design is developed, to determine if the proposed project has been adequately evaluated in the PEIS or warrants a Categorical Exclusion, an Environmental Assessment, or an Environmental Impact Statement.

Dated: October 11, 2016.

Elijah Veenendaal,
Attorney—Advisor, First Responder Network Authority.

[FR Doc. 2016–24906 Filed 10–13–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–TL–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**Foreign-Trade Zones Board**

[8–68–2016]

**Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 277—Western Maricopa County, Arizona; Notification of Proposed Production Activity; IRIS USA, Inc. (Plastic Household Storage/Organizational Containers); Surprise, Arizona**

IRIS USA, Inc. (IRIS) submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the FTZ Board for its facility in Surprise, Arizona, within FTZ 277. The notification conforms to the requirements of the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR 400.22) and was received on September 29, 2016. The FTZ Board is located within Site 12 of FTZ 277. The facility is used to produce plastic household storage/organizational containers and pet carriers/pens. Pursuant to 15 CFR 400.14(b), FTZ activity would be limited to the specific foreign-status materials and components and specific finished products described in the submitted notification (as described below) and subsequently authorized by the FTZ Board.

Production under FTZ procedures could exempt IRIS from customs duty payments on the foreign-status components used in export production. On its domestic sales, IRIS would be able to choose the duty rates during customs entry procedures that apply to plastic household storage/organizational containers and pet carriers/pens (duty rates range from free to 5.3%) for the foreign-status inputs noted below. Customs duties also could possibly be
deferred or reduced on foreign-status production equipment.

The components and materials sourced from abroad include: polypropylene resin; plastic handles/ buckles; steel latch plates/drawer locks/ hinges/latch sets; steel/plastic casters; aluminum tubes; and, rubber caps (duty rates range from 2.5% to 6.5%).

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the FTZ Board’s Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is November 23, 2016.

A copy of the notification will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230-0002, and in the “Reading Room” section of the FTZ Board’s Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz.

For further information, contact Diane Finver at Diane.Finver@trade.gov or (202) 482–1367.

Dated: October 7, 2016.

Andrew McGilvray, Executive Secretary.
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BILLING CODE 3510–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–843]


AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from interested parties, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain lined paper products (CLPP) from India, covering the period September 1, 2014, through August 31, 2015. We preliminarily determine that mandatory respondent Navneet Education Ltd. (Navneet) made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR) and that mandatory respondent Kokuyo Riddhi Paper Products Private Limited (Kokuyo Riddhi) did not. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Effective October 14, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cindy Robinson or George McMahon, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–3797 or (202) 482–1167, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 2, 2015, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping order on November 9, 2015.1 On February 3, 2016, we subsequently rescinded the review, in part, with respect to two companies, SAB and Super Impex.2

As explained in the memorandum from the Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, the Department exercised its discretion to toll all administrative deadlines due to a closure of the Federal Government. As a result, the revised deadline for the preliminary results of this review was June 7, 2016.3 On May 3, 2016, the Department extended the deadline for the preliminary results to October 5, 2016.

On September 7, 2016, Petitioner submitted new factual information regarding Navneet’s U.S. sales data.4 Given the timing of the submission, the Department could not address this new factual information in these preliminary results. The Department invited interested parties to submit comments no later than October 24, 2016,5 and will address the matter in the final results.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the CLPP Order is certain lined paper products. The merchandise subject to this order is currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 4811.90.9035, 4811.90.9080, 4820.30.0040, 4810.22.5044, 4811.90.9050, 4811.90.9090, 4820.10.2030, 4820.10.2040, 4820.20.5040, 4820.20.6040, and 4820.10.4000. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.6

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

In response to the Department’s quantity and value questionnaire issued on November 9, 2015, Lodha Offset reported that it made no sales of subject merchandise during the POR.7 On November 19, 2015, we issued a non-shipment inquiry to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to confirm Lodha Offset’s claim of non-shipment.8 We did not receive any contradictory information from CBP.

Lodha Offset’s claim of no shipments and because no information to the contrary was received by the Department from CBP, we preliminarily determine that Lodha Offset had no shipments of the subject merchandise, and, therefore, no reviewable transactions, during the POR. For a full discussion of this determination, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Constructed export price or export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the

---

1 The Department initiated the review with regard to ten companies: Goldenpalm Manufacturers Pvt Limited (Goldenpalm), Kokuyo Riddhi, Lodha Offset, Magic International Pvt. Ltd. (Magic), Marisa International (Marisa), Navneet, Pioneer Stationary Pvt Ltd (Pioneer), SAB International (SAB), SGM Paper Products, and Super Impex. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 80 FR 69193 (November 9, 2015).
3 See Memorandum to the Record from Ron Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement & Compliance, regarding “Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During Snowstorm ‘Jonas,’” dated January 27, 2016. If the new deadline falls on a non-business day, in accordance with the Government Closure During Snowstorm ‘Jonas,’” see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.
4 See Memorandum to the Record from Ron Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement & Compliance, regarding “Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During Snowstorm ‘Jonas,’” dated January 27, 2016. If the new deadline falls on a non-business day, in accordance with the Government Closure During Snowstorm ‘Jonas,’” see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.
5 Lodha Offset’s certified Quantity and Value response, dated November 19, 2015.
6 See CBP message number 5323301, dated November 19, 2015.