

Fisheries and the Atlantic and Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commissions, have implemented the Southeast Data, Assessment and Review (SEDAR) process, a multi-step method for determining the status of fish stocks in the Southeast Region. SEDAR is a three-step process including: (1) Data Workshop; (2) Assessment Process utilizing Webinars; and (3) Review Workshop. The product of the Data Workshop is a data report which compiles and evaluates potential datasets and recommends which datasets are appropriate for assessment analyses. The product of the Assessment Process is a stock assessment report which describes the fisheries, evaluates the status of the stock, estimates biological benchmarks, projects future population conditions, and recommends research and monitoring needs. The assessment is independently peer reviewed at the Review Workshop. The product of the Review Workshop is a Summary documenting panel opinions regarding the strengths and weaknesses of the stock assessment and input data. Participants for SEDAR Workshops are appointed by the Gulf of Mexico, South Atlantic, and Caribbean Fishery Management Councils and NOAA Fisheries Southeast Regional Office, Highly Migratory Species Management Division, and Southeast Fisheries Science Center. Participants include: Data collectors and database managers; stock assessment scientists, biologists, and researchers; constituency representatives including fishermen, environmentalists, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs); international experts; and staff of Councils, Commissions, and state and federal agencies.

SEDAR 50 is providing an assessment of Atlantic *blueline tilefish*. During a Stock Identification Workshop held in July 2016, it was recommended that the western boundary of the Atlantic stock be extended to include the west coast of Florida. The SEDAR Steering Committee, responsible for program oversight and assessment project scheduling, recommended convening a meeting of SSC representatives to consider stock and management unit overlap between the Gulf and South Atlantic Council areas of jurisdiction and provide recommendations on risks to the stock posed by continued management per the Gulf Council jurisdiction. Each Council has identified representatives of its SSC to participate in this meeting.

The items of discussion for the Stock Identification SSC Review via Webinar are as follows:

1. Review the SEDAR 50 Stock Identification Work Group Report (SEDAR50–DW12).

2. Provide advice on the level of overlap between the Atlantic *blueline tilefish* stock and the management jurisdictions of the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic Fishery Management Councils.

3. Provide guidance on the risks associated with management based on the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council boundary.

Although non-emergency issues not contained in this agenda may come before this group for discussion, those issues may not be the subject of formal action during this meeting. Action will be restricted to those issues specifically identified in this notice and any issues arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, provided the public has been notified of the intent to take final action to address the emergency.

#### Special Accommodations

This meeting is accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for auxiliary aids should be directed to the SAFMC office (see **ADDRESSES**) at least 5 business days prior to the meeting.

**Note:** The times and sequence specified in this agenda are subject to change.

**Authority:** 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: September 30, 2016.

**Tracey L. Thompson,**

*Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 2016–24051 Filed 10–4–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–22–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### Proposed Information Collection—Renewal/Revision; Comment Request; Educational Partnership Program (EPP), Ernest F. Hollings Undergraduate Scholarship Program, Dr. Nancy Foster Scholarship Program, Recruitment, Training, and Research Program

**AGENCY:** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general

public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before December 5, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [Jjessup@doc.gov](mailto:Jjessup@doc.gov)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Todd Christenson, 301 628 2916 or [todd.christenson@noaa.gov](mailto:todd.christenson@noaa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

This request is for extension of a current information collection.

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Office of Education (OEd) collects, evaluates and analyzes student data for the purpose of selecting successful candidates, and for generating reports and news articles to communicate the success of its program. The OEd requires applicants to its undergraduate scholarship programs to complete an application in order to be considered. The application package requires two faculty and/or academic advisors to complete a NOAA student scholar reference form in support of the scholarship application. NOAA OEd student scholar alumni are also requested to provide information to NOAA for internal tracking purposes. NOAA OEd grant recipients are required to update the student tracker database with the required student information. The collected student data supports NOAA OEd's program performance measures. The Dr. Nancy Foster Scholarship Program and the NMFS Recruiting, Training, and Research Program also collect student data for their programs and are also covered by this notice.

##### II. Method of Collection

Electronic applications and electronic forms are required from participants, and the primary methods of submittal are email and Internet submission of electronic forms. Approximately 1% of the application and reference forms may be mailed to accommodate those without internet access. New student records may also be provided via spreadsheet by OEd grant recipients for

bulk upload to the student tracker database by NOAA OED staff.

### III. Data

*OMB Number:* 0648–0568.

*Form Number:* None.

*Type of Review:* Regular submission (extension of a current information collection).

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households; business or other for-profit; not-for-profit institutions; State, Local or Tribal Government.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* Student Performance Achievement Reporting (SPAR) database form, 8; undergraduate application form, 600; reference forms, 1200; alumni update form, 200.

*Estimated Time per Response:* SPAR database form, 17 hours; undergraduate application form, 8 hours; reference forms, 1 hour; alumni update form, 1 hour.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 6,336.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public:* \$300 in recordkeeping/reporting costs.

### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: September 30, 2016.

**Sarah Brabson,**

*NOAA PRA Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2016–24048 Filed 10–4–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–00–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Telecommunications and Information Administration

[Docket No. 160810714–6714–01]

RIN 0660–XC029

### The Incentives, Benefits, Costs, and Challenges to IPv6 Implementation

**AGENCY:** National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice; reopening of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** On August 18, 2016, the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) issued a notice and request for public comments seeking input to guide NTIA in future Internet Protocol version 6 (IPv6) promotional activities. Through this Notice, NTIA invited adopters and implementers of IPv6 as well as any other interested stakeholders to share information on the benefits, costs, and challenges they have experienced, as well as any insight into additional incentives that could aid future adoption, implementation, and support of IPv6. In response to requests for additional time in which to comment, NTIA through this notice reopens the comment period. Comments received between the October 3, 2016 due date for comments announced in the August 18, 2016 notice, and publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, will be deemed to be timely.

**DATES:** Comments are due no later than 5:00 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time on October 17, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments may be submitted by email to [ipv6@ntia.doc.gov](mailto:ipv6@ntia.doc.gov). Comments submitted by email should be machine-readable and should not be copy-protected. Written comments also may be submitted by mail to the National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Room 4725, Attn: IPv6 RFC 2016, Washington, DC 20230. Responders should include the name of the person or organization filing the comment, as well as a page number on each page of the submission. All comments received are a part of the public record and will generally be posted to <https://www.ntia.doc.gov/federal-registernotice/2016/incentives-benefits-costsand-challenges-ipv6-implementation> without change. All personal identifying information (for example, name, address) voluntarily submitted by the commenter may be publicly accessible.

Please do not submit business information that is confidential or otherwise protected. NTIA will accept anonymous comments.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ashley Heineman, National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Room 4701, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–0298; email [aheineman@ntia.doc.gov](mailto:aheineman@ntia.doc.gov). Please direct media inquiries to NTIA's Office of Public Affairs, (202) 482–7002 or by email at [press@ntia.doc.gov](mailto:press@ntia.doc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The original notice sought public comment to guide NTIA in its future efforts to engage more directly in promoting IPv6 deployment and use, with a particular focus on implementation. See Notice and request for public comment, *The Incentives, Benefits, Costs, and Challenges to IPv6 Implementation*, 81 FR 55182 (Aug. 18, 2016), available at: [http://www.ntia.doc.gov/files/ntia/publications/fr\\_ipv6\\_implementation\\_08182016.pdf](http://www.ntia.doc.gov/files/ntia/publications/fr_ipv6_implementation_08182016.pdf). To assist in this purpose, NTIA is asking those who have implemented IPv6 to share their experiences and to highlight in particular the factors and circumstances that supported their decision to move ahead and adopt the protocol. NTIA hopes to utilize input received through this request for comments to guide and inform future promotion efforts, including the IPv6 Best Practice Forum being organized for the 2016 Internet Governance Forum, which will be held in December 2016, in Guadalajara, Mexico.<sup>1</sup>

The original deadline for submission of comments was October 3, 2016. In response to requests for additional time in which to comment, NTIA reopens the comment period with this notice. Comments received between the October 3, 2016 due date for comments announced in the August 18, 2016 notice, and publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, are deemed to be timely.

*Request for Comment:* NTIA invites comment on the following questions, in whole or in part:

#### Benefits:

1. What are the benefits of implementing IPv6? For example, what are the direct performance benefits of implementing IPv6 for end users, or for enhanced network security, as compared to IPv4?

2. What are the expected or unexpected benefits of implementing IPv6?

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.igf2016.mx/>.