

### III. Congressional Review Act

This RG is a rule as defined in the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801–808). However, the Office of Management and Budget has not found it to be a major rule as defined in the Congressional Review Act.

### IV. Backfitting and Issue Finality

This RG provides updated guidance on the methods acceptable to the NRC staff for complying with the NRC's regulations associated with the use of locks in the protection and control of facilities, special nuclear materials, classified matter, and safeguards information. The RG applies to current and future applicants for, and holders of:

- Licenses issued under 10 CFR part 70 to possess or use, at any site or contiguous sites subject to licensee control, a formula quantity of strategic special nuclear material, as defined in 10 CFR 70.4;
  - operating licenses for nuclear power reactors under 10 CFR part 50;
  - approvals issued under subparts B, C, E, and F of 10 CFR part 52;
  - operating licenses for nuclear non-power reactors under 10 CFR part 50;
  - licenses for industrial radiography under 10 CFR part 34;
  - licenses for medical use of byproduct material under 10 CFR part 35;
  - licenses for irradiators under 10 CFR part 36;
  - licenses authorizing the possession of an aggregated Category 1 or Category 2 quantity of radioactive material listed in appendix A to 10 CFR part 37;
  - licenses for well logging under 10 CFR part 39;
  - licenses, certificates, and other NRC approvals, who protect safeguards information regulated by the Commission under 10 CFR 73.21–73.23; and
  - licenses, certificates, and other NRC approvals, who may protect Secret and Confidential NSI, RD, and FRD received or developed in conjunction with activities licensed, certified, or regulated by the Commission under 10 CFR part 95.

Holders of approvals under only parts 34, 35, 36, 37, 39, and 95 of the NRC's regulations and holders of non-power reactor operating licenses under 10 CFR part 50, are not protected by backfitting or issue finality provisions.

Issuance of this RG does not constitute backfitting under 10 CFR parts 50 or 70 and is not otherwise inconsistent with the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52. As discussed in the "Implementation"

section of this RG, the NRC has no current intention to impose the RG on current holders of 10 CFR part 50 operating licenses; 10 CFR part 52, subpart B, C, E, or F approvals; or 10 CFR part 70 licenses.

The RG could be applied to applications for 10 CFR part 50 operating licenses; 10 CFR part 52, subpart B, C, E, or F approvals; or licenses issued under part 70. Such action would not constitute backfitting as defined in 10 CFR 50.109 or 10 CFR 70.76 or be otherwise inconsistent with the applicable issue finality provision in 10 CFR part 52, inasmuch as such applicants are not within the scope of entities protected by 10 CFR 50.109, 10 CFR 70.76, or the relevant issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52. Backfitting restrictions were not intended to apply to every NRC action that substantially changes settled expectations, and applicants have no reasonable expectation that future requirements may change, *see* 54 FR 15372; April 18, 1989, at 15385–86. Although the issue finality provisions in part 52 are intended to provide regulatory stability and issue finality, the matters addressed in this RG (concerning certain security requirements in part 73) are not within the scope of issues that may be resolved for design certification, design approval or a manufacturing license, and therefore are not subject to issue finality protections in part 52.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 29th day of September, 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Thomas H. Boyce,**

*Chief, Regulatory Guidance and Generic Issues Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.*

[FR Doc. 2016–23992 Filed 10–4–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2016–99]

### New Postal Products

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing recent Postal Service filings for the Commission's consideration concerning negotiated service agreements. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* October 6, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's

Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

#### I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3007.40.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3010, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

## II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s)*: CP2016–99; *Filing Title*: Notice of the United States Postal Service of Filing Modification to Global Expedited Package Services 3 Negotiated Service Agreement; *Filing Acceptance Date*: September 28, 2016; *Filing Authority*: 39 CFR 3015.5; *Public Representative*: Kenneth R. Moeller; *Comments Due*: October 6, 2016.

This notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

**Ruth Ann Abrams,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016–24017 Filed 10–4–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

---

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–78974; File No. SR–MIAX–2016–34]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Miami International Securities Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Exchange Rule 519C, Mass Cancellation of Trading Interest

September 29, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)<sup>1</sup> of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)<sup>2</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>3</sup> notice is hereby given that, on September 22, 2016, Miami International Securities Exchange LLC (“MIAX” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) a proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is filing a proposal to amend Exchange Rule 519C, Mass Cancellation of Trading Interest.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at [http://www.miaxoptions.com/filter/wotitle/rule\\_filing](http://www.miaxoptions.com/filter/wotitle/rule_filing), at MIAX’s principal office, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78a.

<sup>3</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 519C, Mass Cancellation of Trading Interest, to adopt new section (b) to provide that Exchange staff, upon request from a Member,<sup>4</sup> may remove all quotations<sup>5</sup> and cancel all orders<sup>6</sup> in the System<sup>7</sup> and block new incoming quotations and orders from entering the System. The block will remain in effect until the Member contacts Exchange staff<sup>8</sup> to have the block removed. The Exchange is also proposing to make technical amendments to the Rule as described below.

The proposal would allow a Member to submit a request to remove all of its outstanding quotations and cancel all of its open orders and block all new inbound quotations and orders by firm name or Market Participant Identifier (“MPID”). The form of such requests includes, but is not limited to, email or a phone call from authorized individuals. The removal of quotes and

<sup>4</sup> The term “Member” means an individual or organization approved to exercise trading rights associated with a Trading Permit. Members are deemed “members” under the Act. *See* Exchange Rule 100.

<sup>5</sup> The term “quotation” or “quote” means a bid or offer entered by a Market Maker that is firm and may update the Market Maker’s previous quote, if any. The Rules of the Exchange provide for the use of different types of quotes, including Standard quotes and eQuotes, as more fully described in Exchange Rule 517. A Market Maker may, at times, choose to have multiple types of quotes active in an individual option. *See* Exchange Rule 100.

<sup>6</sup> The term “order” means a firm commitment to buy or sell option contracts. *See* Exchange Rule 100.

<sup>7</sup> The term “System” means the automated trading system used by the Exchange for the trading of securities. *See* Exchange Rule 100.

<sup>8</sup> The Exchange’s Help Desk would receive such communication. The Help Desk is the Exchange’s control room consisting of Exchange staff authorized to make certain trading determinations on behalf of the Exchange. The Help Desk shall report to and be supervised by a senior executive officer of the Exchange. *See* Exchange Rule 100.

the cancellation of orders as described herein does not disconnect Members from the Exchange’s System.

The Exchange is also proposing to make technical amendments to Exchange Rule 519C. The Exchange proposes to add a new heading entitled “Cancel” to the first paragraph, and also proposes to identify the first paragraph with the letter “(a)” for clarity and ease of reference. Additionally, the Exchange proposes to make a clarifying change to the wording of the first paragraph. The paragraph currently states that, “[a] Member may cancel all of its quotations and/or all or any subset of its orders [. . .].” The Exchange proposes to replace the word “cancel” with “remove” and to insert the word “cancel” after “and/or,” as this language more accurately describes the actions being performed by the Exchange. Further, this language is consistent with the rule text of another exchange that offers similar functionality.<sup>9</sup> Additionally, the Exchange is proposing to insert language indicating that a Member may effect the removal of its quotations and/or the cancellation of its orders, “by firm name or by Market Participant Identifier (“MPID”).”

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to add an additional risk control mechanism for Members. The Exchange has a number of other rules covering risk management processes available to Members and it believes this capability will provide Members with an additional risk management tool and enhance transparency in the Exchange’s rules. Additionally, the proposed technical change to the rule adds greater clarity and precision to the rule text.

###### 2. Statutory Basis

MIAX believes that its proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act<sup>10</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act<sup>11</sup> in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanisms of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The proposed rule adds another risk protection tool for Members and

<sup>9</sup> *See* BOX Options Exchange LLC (“BOX”), Rule 7280.

<sup>10</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>11</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).