Nos. 1 and 1–F for the electric industry, or the (b) Form No. 2 (Major Natural Gas Pipeline Annual Report; OMB Control No. 1902–0028) and Form No. 2–A (Nonmajor Natural Gas Pipeline Annual Report; OMB Control No. 1902–0030) for the natural gas industry. The Form No. 3–Q is submitted for all Major and Nonmajor electric utilities and natural gas companies.9

Form No. 3–Q includes a basic set of financial statements: Comparative Balance Sheet, Statement of Income and Statement of Retained Earnings, Statement of Cash Flows, Statement of Comprehensive Income and Hedging Activities and supporting schedules containing supplementary information. Electric respondents report revenues and the related quantities of electric sales and electricity transmitted; account balances for all electric operation and maintenance expenses; selected plant cost data; and other statistical information. Natural gas respondents include monthly and quarterly quantities of gas transported and associated revenues; storage, terminaling and processing services; natural gas customer accounts and details of service; and operational expenses, depreciation, depletion and amortization of gas plant.

Type of Respondent: Major and nonmajor electric utilities and natural gas pipelines.

Comments: In response to the 60-day Notice, the Commission received comments from two parties on Form 3–Q. A summary of the comments and the Commission’s responses follow.

• BEA submitted comments on 7/28/2016 on Form 3–Q.10 BEA Comments: BEA relies heavily on data from Forms 3–Q to calculate components of both the industry and national economic accounts to estimate gross output, intermediate inputs and value added to the U.S. economy from the utilities industry. Similar to BEA’s comments on Forms 1 and 1–F, BEA is interested in the inclusion of additional information in Form 3–Q regarding details related to capital expenditures. BEA suggests expansions to the Form 3–Q such as adding “new versus replacement capital expenditures for certain line items”; including “annual payments for equipment, software, and structures leased under operating leases from others”; and improving “questions to help identify plants producing services in the current period (in service) versus plant not in service or offline”.

FERC Response: The Commission is not presently considering modifications to collect additional information on the Form 3–Q but as noted above is looking at modernizing data collection, which is a separate activity not addressed here.

• EEI submitted comments on 8/12/2016 on the Form 3–Q.11 EEI Comments: In its comments, EEI proposes eliminating the Form 3–Q or reducing its frequency. EEI claims that the Form 3–Q has little to no value to the Commission’s objective to achieve vigilant oversight of reporting entities. EEI states that it is unclear exactly how and to what extent the Commission uses the quarterly data and that no EEI member has ever been contacted about a Form 3–Q filing. EEI poses that Form 3–Q does not lend itself to identification of emerging trends or the economic effects of significant transactions and events and that Form 3–Q has no bearing on formula rate determination. As such EEI recommends that the Commission eliminate Form 3–Q or alternately adopt a mid-year frequency.

Regarding the accuracy of the Commission’s burden and cost estimates, EEI comments that the Commission’s estimates are reasonable, but that EEI does not believe the burden and costs are warranted.

FERC Response: The Commission currently uses the Form 3–Q report to perform oversight analysis and make timely evaluations of current financial information submitted to the Commission. Additionally, Form 3–Q is used to validate the debt and equity information of filings under Part 34 of the Commission’s regulations when the most recent 12-month filing occurred more than 4 months prior to the application under Part 34. The Commission is not presently considering modifications to the collection or frequency of collection of the Form 3–Q, but may consider doing so in the future, at which time EEI will be able to submit comments on this issue.

Estimate of Annual Burden: The estimated annual burden and cost (as rounded) follow. (The estimated hourly cost used for the Form No. 3–Q is $78.66 (wages plus benefits) and is described above, under the Form No. 1.)

| Form No. 3–Q |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                          | Number of       | Annual number   | Total number    | Average burden   | Total annual     |
|                          | respondents     | responses per   | of responses    | hours & cost per | burden hours    | Total annual cost |
|                          |                 | respondent      |                 | response         | & total annual   | & Annual cost    |
|                          |                 | (1)             | (2)             | (3)             | (4)             | (5)             |
| FERC 3–Q (electric)      | 12 213          | 3               | 639             | $13,214.88       | 168 hrs.;       | $39,644.64      |
|                         | 167             |                 | 501             | $13,136.22       | 167 hrs.;       |                  |
| FERC 3–Q (gas)           |                 |                 |                 |                 | $8,444,308      |                  |
|                         |                 |                 |                 | $8,681,246       |                  |                  |
| Total for FERC 3–Q       | 1,140           |                 |                 |                  |                  |                  |
|                         |                 |                 |                 |                  | 191,019 hrs.;   |                  |
|                         |                 |                 |                 |                  | $15,025,554.    |                  |

The instructions to the Form 3–Q will be updated to reflect the current burden estimate and email addresses for FERC and OMB.

Dated: September 26, 2016.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.
[FR Doc. 2016–23681 Filed 9–29–16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717–01–F

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP16–502–000]

Southern Star Central Gas Pipeline, Inc.: Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

Take notice that on September 16, 2016, Southern Star Central Gas Pipeline, Inc. (Southern Star), 4700 State Highway 56, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed in Docket No. CP16–502–000 a prior notice request pursuant to sections 157.205 and 157.216(b) of the Commission’s regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA), as amended, requesting authorization to abandon in place Units 1, 2, 3, and 4, each compressor unit rated at 170 horsepower, and associated appurtenances at its Atchison

18 CFR 260.1(b) states that for natural gas companies, Major, as defined by the Natural Gas Act, pertains to a company whose combined gas transported or stored for a fee exceed 50 million Dth in each of the three previous calendar years. 18 CFR 260.2(b) states that for natural gas companies, Nonmajor as defined by the Natural Gas Act, pertains to a company not meeting the filing threshold for Major, but having total gas sales or volume transported exceeding 200,000 Dth in each of the three previous calendar years.


12 The estimated number of electric filers of the Form No. 3–Q is 213 (rather than the 215 total for the number of filers of the Form Nos. 1 and 1–F) due to waivers granted by the Commission in Docket No. AC04–105.
Compressor Station in Atchison County, Kansas. Southern Star notes that the Atchison Compressor will continue to operate using Unit 5. Southern Star asserts that these units are not needed operationally or to provide firm service, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. The filing may also be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.gov using the “eLibrary” link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at (866) 208–3676, or TTY, contact (202) 502–8659.

Any questions concerning this application may be directed to Ronnie C. Hensley II, Regulatory Compliance, Manager, Southern Star Central Gas Pipeline, Inc., 4700 State Highway 56, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, by telephone at (270) 852–4658.

Any person or the Commission’s staff may, within 60 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission’s Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to section 157.205 of the regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.205), a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the allowed time for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to section 7 of the NGA.

Pursuant to section 157.9 of the Commission’s rules, 18 CFR 157.9, within 90 days of this Notice the Commission staff will either: Complete its environmental assessment (EA) and place it in the Commission’s public record (eLibrary) for this proceeding; or issue a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review. If a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review is issued, it will indicate, among other milestones, the anticipated date for the Commission staff’s issuance of the final environmental impact statement (FEIS) or EA for this proposal. The filing of the EA in the Commission’s public record for this proceeding or the issuance of a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review will serve to notify federal and state agencies of the timing for the completion of all necessary reviews, and the subsequent need to complete all federal authorizations within 90 days of the date of issuance of the Commission staff’s FEIS or EA.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenter’s will be placed on the Commission’s environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission’s environmental review process. Environmental commenter’s will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenter, will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission’s final order.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the “eFiling” link at http://www.ferc.gov. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 7 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.


Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary.

On May 19, 2016, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission or FERC) issued its Notice of Application for the Project. Among other things, that notice alerted agencies issuing federal authorizations of the requirement to complete all necessary reviews and to reach a final decision on a request for a federal authorization within 90 days of the date of issuance of the Commission staff’s Environmental Assessment (EA) for the Project. This instant notice identifies the FERC staff’s planned schedule for the completion of the EA for the Project.

Schedule for Environmental Review

Issuance of EA—October 28, 2016

90-day Federal Authorization Decision Deadline—January 26, 2017

If a schedule change becomes necessary, an additional notice will be provided so that the relevant agencies are kept informed of the Project’s progress.

Project Description

Southern Star proposes to abandon about 31.2 miles of 16-inch-diameter pipeline and appurtenant facilities of the Shidler Line (also referred to as “Line ME” or the “Blackwell—Cotton Valley Line”), in Osage County, Oklahoma. The abandonment will require cutting and capping of the pipeline just east of the Shidler Town Border and slightly west of the Bowring Meter Station. The pipeline would be cut, capped, and abandoned in place by filling the pipe with grout at two improved road crossings. All associated aboveground facilities would be removed, including two mainline valve settings, three domestic taps, four rectifiers, 14 cathodic protection test stations, and the pipeline markers. The remainder of pipeline facilities would be abandoned in place.

Background

On June 9, 2016, the Commission issued a Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Shidler Line Abandonment Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues (NOI). The NOI was sent to affected landowners; federal, state, and local government agencies; elected officials; environmental and public interest groups; Native American tribes; other interested parties; and local libraries and newspapers. In response to the NOI, the Commission received comment letters from the Oklahoma Archeological Survey, the Oklahoma Natural Heritage Inventory, the Oklahoma Department of Wildlife Conservation, and the Osage Nation. The letters provided occurrence records...