

issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this review.

For assessment purposes, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*.²¹ For any individually examined respondent whose weighted average dumping margin is above *de minimis* (i.e., 0.50 percent) in the final results of this review, the Department will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* rate is greater than *de minimis*, the Department will instruct CBP to collect the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation.²² Where either a respondent's weighted average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* rate is zero or *de minimis*, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.²³ For the respondents that were not selected for individual examination in this administrative review and that qualified for a separate rate, the assessment rate will be based on the average of the mandatory respondents.²⁴ We intend to instruct CBP to liquidate entries containing subject merchandise exported by the PRC-wide entity at the PRC-wide rate.

Pursuant to the Department's practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during the administrative review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. Additionally, if the Department determines that an exporter had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (i.e., at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.²⁵

²¹ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012) in the manner described in more detail in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

²² See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

²³ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

²⁴ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

²⁵ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings*:

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the companies listed above that have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, then zero cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This preliminary determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: September 1, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix 1

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

5. Non-Market Economy Country Status
6. PRC-Wide Entity
7. Separate Rates
8. Application of Facts Available and Use of Adverse Inference
9. Facts Available
10. Surrogate Country
11. Date of Sale
12. Comparisons to Normal Value
13. U.S. Price
14. Normal Value
15. Factor Valuations
16. Currency Conversion
17. Recommendation

Appendix 2

Companies Subject to This Administrative Review That Are Considered To Be Part of the PRC-Wide Entity

Cana (Tianjin) Hardware Industrial Co., Ltd.
China Staple Enterprise (Tianjin) Co., Ltd.
Huanghu Jinhai Hardware Products Co. Ltd.
Huanghua Xiong Hua Hardware Product Co., Ltd.
Huanghua Yufutai Hardware Products Limited
Liaocheng Minghui Hardware Products Co., Ltd.
Mingguang Abundant Hardware Products Co., Ltd.
Qingdao D&L Group Co., Ltd.
Shandong Qingyun Hongyi Hardware Products Co., Ltd.
Shanghai Yueda Fasteners Co., Ltd.
Shanxi Tianli Enterprise Co., Ltd.
Smart (Tianjin) Technology Development Co., Ltd.
Tianjin Hongli Qiangsheng Import and Export Co., Ltd.
Tianjin Lianda Group Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2016-21883 Filed 9-9-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-836]

Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate Products From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Review; 2014–2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 11, 2016, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review and new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate products (CTL plate) from the Republic of Korea (Korea). Based on our analysis of the comments received, we continue to find that subject merchandise has been sold at less than normal value in the administrative review, and that subject

merchandise has not been sold at less than normal value in the new shipper review.

DATES: Effective September 12, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Yang Jin Chun or Thomas Schauer, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5760 or (202) 482-0410, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 11, 2016, the Department published the *Preliminary Results* of the administrative review and new shipper review.¹ The period of review is February 1, 2014, through January 31, 2015. We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results* and received case and rebuttal briefs from interested parties.²

The Department conducted these reviews in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the antidumping duty order are certain CTL plate. Imports of CTL plate are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under subheadings 7208.40.30.30, 7208.40.30.60, 7208.51.00.30, 7208.51.00.45, 7208.51.00.60, 7208.52.00.00, 7208.53.00.00, 7208.90.00.00, 7210.70.30.00, 7210.90.90.00, 7211.13.00.00, 7211.14.00.30, 7211.14.00.45, 7211.90.00.00, 7212.40.10.00, 7212.40.50.00, 7212.50.00.00, 7225.40.30.50, 7225.40.70.00, 7225.50.60.00, 7225.99.00.90, 7226.91.50.00, 7226.91.70.00, 7226.91.80.00, and 7226.99.00.00. While the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.³

¹ See *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate Products From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative and New Shipper Reviews and Rescission of Administrative Review, in Part; 2014-2015*, 81 FR 12870 (March 11, 2016) (Preliminary Results).

² See the case and rebuttal briefs from Nucor Corporation, Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd., and Hyundai Steel Company dated April 11, 2016, and April 20, 2016, respectively.

³ See the Memorandum from Gary Taverman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations,

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties in these reviews are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues raised is attached to this notice as Appendix I. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B-8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received, we made one revision that has not changed the results for Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd., in the administrative review.⁴ We made no changes for Hyundai Steel Company in the new shipper review.

Final Results of the Administrative Review

As a result of this administrative review, we determine that a weighted-average dumping margin of 1.11 percent exists for Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd., for the period February 1, 2014, through January 31, 2015.

Final Results of the New Shipper Review

As a result of this new shipper review, we determine that a weighted-average dumping margin of 0.00 percent exists for merchandise produced and exported by Hyundai Steel Company for the period February 1, 2014, through January 31, 2015.

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed to parties in this proceeding within five days after public announcement of the final results in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Review of Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate Products from the Republic of Korea," dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁴ See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 5.

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), the Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of these reviews.

For Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd., we calculated importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of the sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).⁵ For Hyundai Steel Company, we have not calculated assessment rates and will instruct CBP to liquidate all imports produced and exported by Hyundai Steel Company without regard to antidumping duties in accordance with the *Final Modification*.⁶

For entries of subject merchandise during the period of review produced by Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd., or Hyundai Steel Company for which they did not know their merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.⁷

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of these reviews.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice for all shipments of CTL plate from Korea entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd. will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin determined in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the

⁵ In these final results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012) (Final Modification).

⁶ See *Final Modification*, 77 FR at 8103.

⁷ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which that manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this administrative review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 0.98 percent,⁸ the all-others rate determined in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, adjusted for the export-subsidy rate in the companion countervailing duty investigation.

With respect to Hyundai Steel Company, the respondent in the new shipper review, the Department established a combination cash deposit rate for this company consistent with its practice, as follows: (1) For subject merchandise produced and exported by Hyundai Steel Company, no cash deposit will be required; (2) for subject merchandise exported by Hyundai Steel Company, but not produced by Hyundai Steel Company, the cash deposit rate will be the all-others rate determined in the LTFV investigation; and (3) for subject merchandise produced by Hyundai Steel Company, but not exported by Hyundai Steel Company, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the exporter.

These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance

⁸ See, e.g., *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate Products From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014*, 80 FR 22971, 22972 (April 24, 2015).

with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative and new shipper reviews in accordance with sections 751(a)(1), 751(a)(2)(B)(iii), 751(a)(3) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h), 351.214 and 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: September 6, 2016.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

Summary

Background

Scope of the Order

Discussion of the Issues

Comment 1: Recalculation of Conversion Costs (New Shipper Review)

Comment 2: Reported Costs (New Shipper Review)

Comment 3: Finished Goods Inventory (New Shipper Review)

Comment 4: Scrap Offset (New Shipper Review)

Comment 5: Major Input Adjustment (Administrative Review)

Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2016-21857 Filed 9-9-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-842]

Large Residential Washers From Mexico: Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 11, 2016, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the second administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on large residential washers (LRWs) from Mexico. The review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise: Electrolux Home Products Corp. N.V. and Electrolux Home Products de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (collectively, Electrolux). We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment. After reviewing the comments received, we continue to find that Electrolux made sales of subject

merchandise to the United States at prices below normal value. Electrolux's final dumping margin is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review."

DATES: Effective September 12, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brian Smith or Brandon Custard, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1766 or (202) 482-1823, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise: Electrolux. On March 11, 2016, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*.¹ Based on our analysis of the comments received from Whirlpool Corporation (the petitioner) and Electrolux, we are not changing the weighted-average dumping margin calculated for Electrolux in the *Preliminary Results*. The Department conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are all large residential washers and certain subassemblies thereof from Mexico. The products are currently classifiable under subheadings 8450.20.0040 and 8450.20.0080 of the Harmonized Tariff System of the United States (HTSUS). Products subject to this order may also enter under HTSUS subheadings 8450.11.0040, 8450.11.0080, 8450.90.2000, and 8450.90.6000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to this scope is dispositive.²

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues which parties raised and to which we respond in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached to this notice as Appendix I.

¹ See *Large Residential Washers From Mexico: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015*, 81 FR 12873 (March 11, 2016) (*Preliminary Results*).

² A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Memorandum to Paul Piquado, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Large Residential Washers from Mexico," dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).