

the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

Pursuant to sections 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the *Russia Order* would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at weighted average margins up to the following:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average margin (percent)
JSC Severstal	73.59
Russia-Wide Rate	184.56

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return of destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

The Department is issuing and publishing these final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: August 30, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance/

[FR Doc. 2016-21652 Filed 9-7-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda E. Waters, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Customs Liaison Unit, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4735.

Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), may request, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213, that the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

All deadlines for the submission of comments or actions by the Department discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting date.

Respondent Selection

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews initiated pursuant to requests made for the orders identified below, the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) data for U.S. imports during the period of review. We intend to release the CBP data under Administrative Protective Order (“APO”) to all parties having an APO within five days of publication of the initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 21 days of publication of the initiation **Federal Register** notice. Therefore, we encourage all parties interested in commenting on respondent selection to submit their APO applications on the date of publication of the initiation notice, or as soon thereafter as possible. The Department invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within five days of placement of the CBP data on the record of the review.

In the event the Department decides it is necessary to limit individual examination of respondents and conduct respondent selection under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act:

In general, the Department finds that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be “collapsed” (*i.e.*, treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, the Department will not conduct collapsing analyses at the respondent selection phase of this review and will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless

there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of this antidumping proceeding (*i.e.*, investigation, administrative review, new shipper review or changed circumstances review). For any company subject to this review, if the Department determined, or continued to treat, that company as collapsed with others, the Department will assume that such companies continue to operate in the same manner and will collapse them for respondent selection purposes. Otherwise, the Department will not collapse companies for purposes of respondent selection. Parties are requested to (a) identify which companies subject to review previously were collapsed, and (b) provide a citation to the proceeding in which they were collapsed. Further, if companies are requested to complete the Quantity and Value Questionnaire for purposes of respondent selection, in general each company must report volume and value data separately for itself. Parties should not include data for any other party, even if they believe they should be treated as a single entity with that other party. If a company was collapsed with another company or companies in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding where the Department considered collapsing that entity, complete quantity and value data for that collapsed entity must be submitted.

Deadline for Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), a party that requests a review may withdraw that request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The regulation provides that the Department may extend this time if it is reasonable to do so. In order to provide parties additional certainty with respect to when the Department will exercise its discretion to extend this 90-day deadline, interested parties are advised that, with regard to reviews requested on the basis of anniversary months on or after September 2016, the Department does not intend to extend the 90-day deadline unless the requestor demonstrates that an extraordinary circumstance prevented it from submitting a timely withdrawal request. Determinations by the Department to extend the 90-day deadline will be made on a case-by-case basis.

The Department is providing this notice on its Web site, as well as in its “Opportunity to Request Administrative Review” notices, so that interested parties will be aware of the manner in

which the Department intends to exercise its discretion in the future.

Opportunity to Request a Review: Not later than the last day of September

2016,¹ interested parties may request administrative review of the following orders, findings, or suspended

investigations, with anniversary dates in September for the following periods:

	Period of review
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
Belarus: Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars A-822-804	9/1/15-8/31/16
India: Lined Paper Products A-533-843	9/1/15-8/31/16
India: Oil Country Tubular Goods A-533-857	9/1/15-8/31/16
Indonesia: Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars A-560-811	9/1/15-8/31/16
Japan: Stainless Steel Wire Rod A-588-843	9/1/15-8/31/16
Latvia: Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars A-449-804	9/1/15-8/31/16
Mexico: Magnesita Carbon Bricks A-201-837	9/1/15-8/31/16
Moldova: Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars -841-804	9/1/15-8/31/16
Poland: Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars A-455-803	9/1/15-8/31/16
Republic of Korea: Oil Country Tubular Goods A-580-870	9/1/15-8/31/16
Republic of Korea: Stainless Steel Wire Rod A-580-829	9/1/15-8/31/16
Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Oil Country Tubular Goods A-552-817	9/1/15-8/31/16
Taiwan: Narrow Woven Ribbons with Woven Selvedge A-583-844	9/1/15-8/31/16
Taiwan: Oil Country Tubular Goods A-583-850	9/1/15-8/31/16
Taiwan: Raw Flexible Magnets A-583-842	9/1/15-8/31/16
Taiwan: Stainless Steel Wire Rod A-583-828	9/1/15-8/31/16
The People's Republic of China: Freshwater Crawfish Tailmeat A-570-848	9/1/15-8/31/16
The People's Republic of China: Foundry Coke A-570-862	9/1/15-8/31/16
The People's Republic of China: Kitchen Appliance Shelving and Racks A-570-941	9/1/15-8/31/16
The People's Republic of China: Lined Paper Products A-570-901	9/1/15-8/31/16
The People's Republic of China: Magnesita Carbon Bricks A-570-954	9/1/15-8/31/16
The People's Republic of China: Narrow Woven Ribbons with Woven Selvedge A-570-952	9/1/15-8/31/16
The People's Republic of China: New Pneumatic Off-The-Road Tires A-570-912	9/1/15-8/31/16
The People's Republic of China: Raw Flexible Magnets A-570-922	9/1/15-8/31/16
The People's Republic of China: Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars A-570-860	9/1/15-8/31/16
Turkey: Oil Country Tubular Goods A-489-816	9/1/15-8/31/16
Ukraine: Solid Agricultural Grade Ammonium Nitrate A-823-810	9/1/15-8/31/16
Ukraine: Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars A-823-809	9/1/15-8/31/16
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
India: Lined Paper Products C-533-844	1/1/15-12/31/15
India: Oil Country Tubular Goods C-533-858	1/1/15-12/31/15
The People's Republic of China: Kitchen Appliance Shelving and Racks C-570-942	1/1/15-12/31/15
The People's Republic of China: Narrow Woven Ribbons with Woven Selvedge C-570-953	1/1/15-12/31/15
The People's Republic of China: New Pneumatic Off-The-Road Tires C-570-913	1/1/15-12/31/15
The People's Republic of China: Raw Flexible Magnets C-570-923	1/1/15-12/31/15
The People's Republic of China: Magnesita Carbon Bricks C-570-955	1/1/15-12/31/15
Turkey: Oil Country Tubular Goods C-489-817	1/1/15-12/31/15
Suspension agreements	
Argentina: Lemon Juice A-357-818	9/1/15-8/31/16

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order or suspension agreement for which it is requesting a review. In addition, a domestic interested party or an interested party described in section 771(9)(B) of the Act must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters. If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of

merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which was produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Note that, for any party the Department was unable to locate in prior segments, the Department will not accept a request for an administrative review of that party absent new information as to the party's location. Moreover, if the interested party who files a request for review is unable to locate the producer or exporter for

which it requested the review, the interested party must provide an explanation of the attempts it made to locate the producer or exporter at the same time it files its request for review, in order for the Secretary to determine if the interested party's attempts were reasonable, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.303(f)(3)(ii).

As explained in *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003), and *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011) the Department clarified its practice with respect to the collection of final antidumping duties

¹ Or the next business day, if the deadline falls on a weekend, federal holiday or any other day when the Department is closed.

on imports of merchandise where intermediate firms are involved. The public should be aware of this clarification in determining whether to request an administrative review of merchandise subject to antidumping findings and orders.²

Further, as explained in *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013), the Department clarified its practice with regard to the conditional review of the non-market economy (NME) entity in administrative reviews of antidumping duty orders. The Department will no longer consider the NME entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews. Accordingly, the NME entity will not be under review unless the Department specifically receives a request for, or self-initiates, a review of the NME entity.³ In administrative reviews of antidumping duty orders on merchandise from NME countries where a review of the NME entity has not been initiated, but where an individual exporter for which a review was initiated does not qualify for a separate rate, the Department will issue a final decision indicating that the company in question is part of the NME entity. However, in that situation, because no review of the NME entity was conducted, the NME entity's entries were not subject to the review and the rate for the NME entity is not subject to change as a result of that review (although the rate for the individual exporter may change as a function of the finding that the exporter is part of the NME entity). Following initiation of an antidumping administrative review when there is no review requested of the NME entity, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate entries for all exporters not named in the initiation notice, including those that were suspended at the NME entity rate.

All requests must be filed electronically in Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("ACCESS") on Enforcement and Compliance's ACCESS Web site at <http://>

² See also the Enforcement and Compliance Web site at <http://trade.gov/enforcement/>.

³ In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), parties should specify that they are requesting a review of entries from exporters comprising the entity, and to the extent possible, include the names of such exporters in their request.

access.trade.gov.⁴ Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(1)(i), a copy of each request must be served on the petitioner and each exporter or producer specified in the request.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of September 2016. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of September 2016, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures "gap" period of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the period of review.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: August 25, 2016.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2016-21659 Filed 9-7-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-533-872]

Finished Carbon Steel Flanges From India: Postponement of Preliminary Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective September 8, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Emily Maloof at (202) 482-5649, or Davina Friedmann at (202) 482-0698,

⁴ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures*, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011).

AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 20, 2016, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a countervailing duty investigation on finished carbon steel flanges from India.¹ Currently, the preliminary determination is due no later than September 23, 2016.

Postponement of the Preliminary Determinations

Section 703(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the preliminary determination in a countervailing duty investigation within 65 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation. However, if the petitioner makes a timely request for an extension in accordance with 19 CFR 351.205(e), section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act allows the Department to postpone the preliminary determination until no later than 130 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation.

On August 24, 2016, Petitioners² submitted a timely request pursuant to section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(e) to postpone the preliminary determination.³ In its request, Petitioners state: "As the Department has not yet made a selection of mandatory respondents, Petitioners seek postponement of the preliminary determination to permit the Department sufficient time to receive, analyze, and comment on the questionnaire responses prior to the preliminary determination."⁴

For the reasons stated above and because there are no compelling reasons to deny the request, the Department, in accordance with section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act, is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determination to no later than 124 days after the day on which the investigation was initiated. As a result, the Department will issue its preliminary determination no later than November 21, 2016. In accordance with

¹ See *Finished Carbon Steel Flanges From India: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation*, 81 FR 49625 (July 28, 2016).

² Weldbend Corporation (Weldbend) and Boltex Manufacturing Co., L.P. (Boltex) (collectively, Petitioners).

³ See Letter from Petitioners, "Re: Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Request for the Postponement of the Preliminary Determination," dated August 24, 2016.

⁴ *Id.*, at 1-2.