

Division (MVCB), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405. ATTN: Ms. Flowers/IC 9000–0056, Report of Shipment.

**Instructions:** Please submit comments only and cite Information Collection 9000–0056, Report of Shipment, in all correspondence related to this collection. Comments received generally will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), approximately two to three days after submission to verify posting (except allow 30 days for posting of comments submitted by mail).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Curtis E. Glover, Sr., Procurement Analyst, Office of Acquisition Policy, by telephone at 202–501–1448 or [curtis.glover@gsa.gov](mailto:curtis.glover@gsa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**A. Purpose**

Per FAR 47.208, military (and, as required, civilian agency) storage and distribution points, depots, and other receiving activities require advance notice of shipments en-route from contractors' plants. Generally, this notification is required only for classified material; sensitive, controlled, and certain other protected material; explosives, and some other hazardous materials; selected shipments requiring movement control; or minimum carload or truckload shipments. It facilitates arrangements for transportation control, labor, space, and use of materials handling equipment at destination. Also, timely receipt of notices by the consignee transportation office precludes the incurring of demurrage and vehicle detention charges. Unless otherwise directed by a contracting officer, a contractor shall send the notice to the consignee transportation office at least twenty-four hours before the arrival of the shipment.

**B. Annual Reporting Burden**

*Respondents:* 113.  
*Responses per Respondent:* 71.  
*Annual Responses:* 8,023.  
*Hours per Response:* .167.  
*Total Burden Hours:* 1,340.

The public burden hours represent a decrease from the previously approved information collection.

**C. Public Comments**

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary; whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this

collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**Obtaining Copies of Proposals:** Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat Division (MVCB), 1800 F Street, Washington, DC 20405, telephone 202–501–4755.

Please cite OMB Control No. 9000–0056, Report of Shipment, in all correspondence.

Dated: August 25, 2016.

**Lorin S. Curit,**

*Director, Federal Acquisition Policy Division, Office of Governmentwide Acquisition Policy, Office of Acquisition Policy, Office of Governmentwide Policy.*

[FR Doc. 2016–20784 Filed 8–29–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6820–EP–P**

**GULF COAST ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION COUNCIL**

[Docket No.: 108302016–1111–06]

**Amendment to Initial Funded Priorities List**

**AGENCY:** Gulf Coast Ecosystem Restoration Council.

**ACTION:** Notice of amendment to initial funded priorities list.

**SUMMARY:** On August 24, 2016, the Gulf Coast Ecosystem Restoration Council (Council) amended its Initial Funded Priorities List (FPL) to approve implementation funding for the Apalachicola Bay Oyster Restoration project (Project) in Florida. The Council approved \$3,978,000 in implementation funding for this Project. The Council also approved reallocating \$702,000 from project planning to project implementation, after any remaining planning expenses have been met. The total amount available for implementation of the Project is therefore \$4,680,000. These funds will be used to restore approximately 251 acres of oyster beds, which is an increase from the 219 acres originally proposed in the FPL.

To comply with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Council has adopted an existing Environmental Assessment (EA) that addresses the activities in the Project. In

so doing, the Council is expediting project implementation, reducing planning costs and increasing the ecological benefits of this Project by using the savings in planning funds to expand the Project by approximately 32 acres.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Please send questions by email to [john.ettinger@restorethegulf.gov](mailto:john.ettinger@restorethegulf.gov) or contact John Ettinger at (504) 444–3522.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

The *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill led to passage of the Resources and Ecosystems Sustainability, Tourist Opportunities, and Revived Economies of the Gulf Coast States Act (RESTORE Act), which dedicates 80 percent of all Clean Water Act administrative and civil penalties related to the oil spill to the Gulf Coast Restoration Trust Fund (Trust Fund). The RESTORE Act also created the Council, an independent Federal entity comprised of the five Gulf Coast states and six Federal agencies. Among other responsibilities, the Council administers a portion of the Trust Fund known as the Council-Selected Restoration Component in order to “undertake projects and programs, using the best available science, which would restore and protect the natural resources, ecosystems, fisheries, marine and wildlife habitats, beaches, coastal wetlands, and economy of the Gulf Coast.” Additional information on the Council can be found here: <https://www.restorethegulf.gov>.

On December 9, 2015, the Council approved the FPL, which includes projects and programs approved for funding under the Council-Selected Restoration Component, along with activities that the Council identified as priorities for potential future funding. Activities approved for funding in the FPL are included in “Category 1”. The priorities for potential future funding are in “Category 2.” The Council approved approximately \$156.6 million in FPL Category 1 restoration and planning activities, and prioritized twelve FPL Category 2 activities for possible funding in the future, subject to environmental compliance and further Council and public review. The Council included planning activities for the Apalachicola Project in Category 1 and implementation activities for the Project in Category 2 of the FPL.

The Council reserved approximately \$26.6 million for implementing priority activities in the future. These reserved funds may be used to support some, all or none of the activities included in

Category 2 of the FPL and/or to support other activities not currently under consideration by the Council. As appropriate, the Council intends to review each activity in Category 2 in order to determine whether to: (1) Move the activity to Category 1 and approve it for funding, (2) remove it from Category 2 and any further consideration, or (3) continue to include it in Category 2. A Council decision to amend the FPL to move an activity from Category 2 into Category 1 must be approved by a Council vote after consideration of public and Tribal comments.

## II. Environmental Compliance

Prior to approving an activity for funding in FPL Category 1, the Council must comply with NEPA and other Federal environmental laws. At the time of approval of the FPL, the Council had not complied with NEPA and other applicable laws with respect to implementation of the Project. The Council did, however, recognize the potential ecological value of the Project, based on review conducted as part of the FPL process. For this reason, the Council approved \$702,000 in planning funds for this Project, a portion of which would be used to complete any needed environmental compliance activities. As noted above, the Council placed the implementation portion of this Project into FPL Category 2, pending the outcome of this environmental compliance work and further Council review. The estimated cost of the Project's implementation component was listed at \$3,978,000, which would fund the restoration of approximately 219 acres of oyster beds in Apalachicola Bay. Inclusion of the Project's implementation activities into Category 2 did not in any way commit the Council to subsequently approve those implementation activities for funding.

Since approval of the FPL, Florida has collaborated with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and identified an existing EA that could be used to support Council approval of implementation funding for this Project. This EA was prepared by the USACE in association with a Clean Water Act Section 404 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act programmatic general permit (PGP). This PGP authorizes the Florida Department of Agricultural and Consumer Services to conduct aquaculture of live rock and marine bivalves in navigable waters of the U.S. within the jurisdiction of the State of Florida, provided that such activities comply with the terms and conditions of the PGP.

The Council has reviewed this EA and associated documents, including an August 13, 2015, letter from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration regarding compliance with the Endangered Species Act (ESA). In addition to ESA, the EA and associated PGP address compliance with other Federal environmental laws, including the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, the National Historic Preservation Act and more.

On June 7, 2016, the Council issued a **Federal Register** notice announcing its proposal to amend the FPL, adopt the aforementioned EA, and approve implementation funding for this Project. The Council received no public comments on this proposal.

Based on this review, the Council adopted this EA to support the approval of implementation funds for the Project, based on the condition that the Project must be implemented in accordance with the terms and conditions of the PGP and the design criteria set forth in the associated ESA programmatic consultation. Strict adherence with the terms and conditions of the PGP is necessary to ensure compliance ESA and other applicable laws. On August 24, 2016, the Council issued a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for this action, concurrent with its approval of the FPL amendment. This EA, FONSI, and the associated ESA documentation can be found here: <https://www.restorethegulf.gov/funded-priorities-list>. (See *Apalachicola Bay Oyster Restoration Project—Implementation*.)

Additional information on the Project is available in an activity-specific appendix to the FPL, which can be found here: <https://www.restorethegulf.gov>.

**Justin R. Ehrenwerth,**

*Executive Director, Gulf Coast Ecosystem Restoration Council.*

[FR Doc. 2016-20743 Filed 8-29-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-58-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

#### Advisory Committee to the Director (ACD), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

announces the following meeting of the aforementioned committee:

*Time and Date:* 8:30 a.m.–3:00 p.m., EDT, October 20, 2016.

*Place:* CDC, Building 21, Executive Board Room (12105) and Room 12302, 1600 Clifton Road NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30329.

*Status:* Open to the public, limited only by the space and phone lines available. The meeting rooms accommodate approximately 65 people. Advance registration for in-person participation is required by October 6, 2016. The public is welcome to participate during the public comment period, which is tentatively scheduled from 2:40 p.m. to 2:45 p.m. This meeting will also be available by teleconference. Please dial (888) 324-9970 and enter code 32077657.

*Purpose:* The Advisory Committee to the Director, CDC, shall advise the Secretary, HHS, and the Director, CDC, on policy and broad strategies that will enable CDC to fulfill its mission of protecting health through health promotion, prevention, and preparedness. The committee recommends ways to prioritize CDC's activities, improve results, and address health disparities. It also provides guidance to help CDC work more effectively with its various private and public sector constituents to make health protection a practical reality.

*Matters for Discussion:* The Advisory Committee to the Director will receive updates from the State, Tribal, Local and Territorial Subcommittee; the Health Disparities Subcommittee, the Global Workgroup, and the Public Health—Health Care Collaboration Workgroup, as well as an update from the CDC Director.

Agenda items are subject to change as priorities dictate.

*Contact Person for More Information:* Carmen Villar, MSW, Designated Federal Officer, ACD, CDC, 1600 Clifton Road NE., M/S D-14, Atlanta, Georgia 30329. Telephone (404) 498-6482, Email: [ACDDirector@cdc.gov](mailto:ACDDirector@cdc.gov). The deadline to register for in-person attendance at this meeting is October 6, 2016. To register, please send an email to [ACDDirector@cdc.gov](mailto:ACDDirector@cdc.gov).

The Director, Management Analysis and Services Office, has been delegated the authority to sign **Federal Register** notices pertaining to announcements of meetings and other committee management activities, for both the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

**Elaine L. Baker,**

*Director, Management Analysis and Services Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).*

[FR Doc. 2016-20760 Filed 8-29-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4163-18-P**