

- Are subject to more intensive screening of their baggage or person; and
- Experience different volume conditions and wait times as they proceed through the security checkpoints.

Each survey includes 10 to 15 questions pulled from a list of 82 questions previously approved by OMB. Each question promotes a quality response so that TSA can identify areas in need of improvement. All questions concern aspects of the passenger's security screening experience, such as:

- Confidence in Personnel.
- Confidence in Screening Equipment.
- Confidence in Security Procedures.
- Convenience of Divesting.
- Experience at Checkpoint.
- Satisfaction with Wait Time.
- Separation from Belongings.
- Separation from Others in Party.
- Stress Level.

TSA personnel use random procedures to select passengers to voluntarily participate in the survey until TSA obtains the desired sample size. The samples may be selected with one randomly selected time and location or span multiple times and locations. All responses are voluntary and there is no burden on passengers who choose not to respond.

TSA intends to collect this information in order to continue to assess customer satisfaction in an effort to more efficiently manage its security screening performance at airports. TSA can use this detailed, airport-specific data to enhance customer experiences and its performance at specific airports.

*Number of Respondents:* 9,600.

*Estimated Annual Burden Hours:* An estimated 800 hours annually.

Dated: August 19, 2016.

**Christina A. Walsh,**

*TSA Paperwork Reduction Act Officer, Office of Information Technology.*

[FR Doc. 2016-20398 Filed 8-24-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-05-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[LLOR957000-L14400000-BJ0000-16XL1109AF: HAG 16-0205]

#### Filing of Plats of Survey: Oregon/ Washington

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The plats of survey of the following described lands are scheduled

to be officially filed in the Bureau of Land Management, Oregon State Office, Portland, Oregon, 30 days from the date of this publication.

#### Willamette Meridian

##### Oregon

T. 20 S., R. 5 W., accepted July 25, 2016

T. 34 S., R. 2 E, accepted August 9, 2016

Tps. 15 & 16 S., R. 11 E., accepted August 9, 2016

**ADDRESSES:** A copy of the plats may be obtained from the Public Room at the Bureau of Land Management, Oregon State Office, 1220 SW. 3rd Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97204, upon required payment.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kyle Hensley, (503) 808-6124, Branch of Geographic Sciences, Bureau of Land Management, 1220 SW. 3rd Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97204. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A person or party who wishes to protest against this survey must file a written notice with the Oregon State Director, Bureau of Land Management, stating that they wish to protest. A statement of reasons for a protest may be filed with the notice of protest and must be filed with the Oregon State Director within thirty days after the protest is filed. If a protest against the survey is received prior to the date of official filing, the filing will be stayed pending consideration of the protest. A plat will not be officially filed until the day after all protests have been dismissed or otherwise resolved. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personally identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personally identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personally identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Mary J.M. Hartel,**

*Chief Cadastral Surveyor of Oregon/ Washington.*

[FR Doc. 2016-20380 Filed 8-24-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-33-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[LLCA942000 L57000000.BX0000 15X L5017AR]

#### Filing of Plats of Survey: California

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The plats of survey of lands described below are scheduled to be officially filed in the Bureau of Land Management, California State Office, Sacramento, California.

**DATES:** September 26, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** A copy of the plats may be obtained from the California State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California 95825, upon required payment.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Chief, Branch of Geographic Services, Bureau of Land Management, California State Office, 2800 Cottage Way W-1623, Sacramento, California 95825, 1-916-978-4310. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A person or party who wishes to protest a survey must file a notice that they wish to protest with the Chief, Branch of Geographic Services. A statement of reasons for a protest may be filed with the notice of protest and must be filed with the Chief, Branch of Geographic Services within thirty days after the protest is filed. If a protest against the survey is received prior to the date of official filing, the filing will be stayed pending consideration of the protest. A plat will not be officially filed until the day after all protests have been dismissed or otherwise resolved. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personally identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Mount Diablo Meridian, California**

- T. 5 N., R. 4 E., the dependent resurvey of a portion of the south boundary and the metes-and-bounds survey of certain parcels, accepted June 28, 2016.
- T. 20 N., R. 7 E., the dependent resurvey of a portion of the subdivisional lines and a portion of the Brown Bear Lode (U.S. Mineral Survey No. 5690) and the subdivision of section 11, accepted July 22, 2016.
- T. 6 N., R. 12 E., the dependent resurvey of a portion of the subdivisional lines and the subdivision of section 24, accepted August 5, 2016.
- T. 6 N., R. 13 E., the corrective resurvey of a portion of the subdivisional lines and a portion of the subdivision of section 20, and the dependent resurvey of a portion of the subdivision of section 19, accepted August 8, 2016.

**San Bernardino Meridian, California**

- T. 4 S., R. 4 E., a supplemental plat, showing a corrected distance on the north line of lot 3 and showing the bearing and distance of the west line of lot 1 in the NE 1/4 of the SE 1/4 of section 24, accepted July 25, 2016.
- T. 2 N., R. 8 W., the metes-and-bounds survey of Tract 37, accepted August 1, 2016.

**Authority:** 43 U.S.C., Chapter 3.

Dated: August 10, 2016.

**Jon L. Kehler,**

*(Acting) Chief Cadastral Surveyor, California.*

[FR Doc. 2016-20388 Filed 8-24-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-40-P**

---

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-962]

**Certain Resealable Packages With Slider Devices; Commission Decision To Review-in-Part an Initial Determination Finding No Violation of Section 337; On Review, To Modify-in-Part the Initial Determination and To Take No Position on One Issue; Affirmance of the Finding of No Violation and Termination of the Investigation**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review-in-part a final initial determination (“ID”) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) finding no violation of section 337. On review, the Commission has determined to modify-in-part the ID and to take no position with respect to one issue. The Commission has also determined to affirm the ID’s finding of no violation of

section 337 and has terminated the investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on July 20, 2015, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Reynolds Presto Products Inc. of Appleton, Wisconsin. 80 FR 42839-40. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based upon the importation in the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain resealable packages with slider devices by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Reexamination Certificate No. 6,427,421 and U.S. Patent Nos. 6,524,002 and 7,311,443. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission’s notice of investigation named Inteplast Group, Ltd. of Livingston, New Jersey and Minigrip, LLC of Alpharetta, Georgia as respondents. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is participating in this investigation.

On March 14, 2016, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ’s ID (Order No. 8) granting complainant’s motion for summary determination that it has satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement under 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(3)(A) and (B) for all asserted patents.

On June 20, 2016, the ALJ issued his final ID finding no violation of section 337. The ALJ found that none of respondents’ accused products infringe any of the asserted patents. He also found that the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement had been

satisfied with respect to the ‘443 patent, but not with respect to the ‘421 or ‘002 patents. The ALJ also issued his recommended determination (RD) on remedy and bond. The ALJ recommended, in the event the Commission finds a violation, that both limited exclusion and cease and desist orders should issue against infringing products and each respondent.

On July 6, 2016, complainant and respondents each filed a petition for review of the final ID. On July 14, 2016, complainant, OUII, and respondents each filed a response to the opposing petition.

Having examined the record of this investigation including the ID, the parties’ petitions for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined to review-in-part the final ID. Specifically, the Commission has determined to review (1) the ID’s finding of no invalidity of claim 1 of the ‘443 patent under 35 U.S.C. 102(b); and (2) the ID’s analysis regarding infringement of the ‘421 patent. The Commission has determined not to review the remainder of the final ID.

On review with respect to issue (1), the Commission determines to take no position on the ID’s finding of no invalidity of claim 1 of the ‘443 patent under § 102(b). On review with respect to issue (2), the Commission modifies-in-part the final ID. Specifically, the Commission supplements the ID’s finding of no infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of asserted claim 39 of the ‘421 patent with respect to the “feeding a zipper sheet” limitation (ID at 45-49) with the following:

Presto’s doctrine of equivalents arguments are so broad that they read the limitation “releasably adhered” out of asserted claim 39. “Under the all elements rule, there can be no infringement under the doctrine of equivalents if even one limitation of a claim or its equivalent is not present in the accused device. . . . Thus, if a court determines that a finding of infringement under the doctrine of equivalents ‘would entirely vitiate a particular claim[ed] element,’ [as the case is here with respect to the “releasably adhered” limitation] then the court should rule that there is no infringement under the doctrine of equivalents.” *Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Space Systems/Loral, Inc.*, 324 F.3d 1308, 1321 (Fed. Cir. 2003) (citations omitted).

The Commission therefore affirms the ID’s finding of no violation of section 337 and terminates the investigation.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR part 210.

By order of the Commission.