

antidumping duty cash deposit requirements.

The final results of this five-year (sunset) review and notice is published in accordance with sections 751(c) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 5, 2016.

Ronald K. Lorentzen

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016–19155 Filed 8–10–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–506]

Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware From the People's Republic of China: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of the determinations by the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) and the International Trade Commission (“ITC”) that revocation of the antidumping duty (“AD”) order on Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware (“POS Cooking Ware”) from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”) would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department is publishing a notice of continuation of the antidumping duty order.

DATES: Effective August 11, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew Devine, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0238.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 2, 2016, the Department published the notice of the initiation of the fourth five-year (“sunset”) review of the Antidumping Order (“AD Order”) on POS Cooking Ware from the PRC, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”).¹ As a result of its review, the Department determined that revocation of the AD Order would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping.²

¹ See *Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review*, 80 FR 45945 (August 3, 2015).

² See *Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of*

The Department, therefore, notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail should the AD Order be revoked.

On July 28, 2016, the ITC published notice of its determination, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the AD Order on POS Cooking Ware from the PRC would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.³

Scope of the Orders

The merchandise covered by the AD Order is porcelain-on-steel cooking ware, including tea kettles, which do not have self-contained electric heating elements. All of the foregoing are constructed of steel and are enameled or glazed with vitreous glasses. The merchandise is currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, (“HTSUS”) subheading 7323.94.00.⁴ Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

Continuation of the Order

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the ITC that revocation of the AD Order would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(a), the Department hereby orders the continuation of the AD Order on POS Cooking Ware from the PRC. U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect AD cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise.

The effective date of the continuation of the Order will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of the Order not later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the effective date of continuation.

This five-year sunset review and this notice are in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and published

Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order, 81 FR 36870 (June 8, 2016).

³ See *Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-298 (Fourth Review)*, USITC Publication 4625 (July, 2016); see also *Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware from China: Determination*, 81 FR 49688 (July 28, 2016).

⁴ See *Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware from the People's Republic of China*, 76 FR 7534 (February 10, 2011).

pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4).

Dated: August 5, 2016.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016–19143 Filed 8–10–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–970]

Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China: Correction to the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lilit Astvatsatrian or William Horn, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6412 or (202) 482–2615, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 19, 2016, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published the final results of the 2013–2014 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on multilayered wood flooring from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”).¹ The period of review (“POR”) is December 1, 2013, through November 30, 2014. The Department is issuing this notice to correct an inadvertent error in the *Final Results*. Specifically, the Department inadvertently omitted identifying several companies that are part of the PRC-wide entity. The Department finds that 16 companies subject to this review did not establish eligibility for a separate rate. As such, we determine they are part of the PRC-wide entity. The following companies were named in the *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 80 FR 6041 (February 4, 2014), but did not submit a certification of no shipment, separate rate application, or separate rate certification; therefore they are part of the PRC-wide entity: Anhui Suzhou Dongda Wood Co., Ltd.; Baiying Furniture Manufacturer Co., Ltd.; Cheng Hang Wood Co., Ltd.; Dalian Jiuyuan Wood Industry Co., Ltd.; Fu Lik Timber

¹ See *Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014*, 81 FR 46899 (July 19, 2016) (“*Final Results*”).

(HK) Co., Ltd.; Guangzhou Homebon Timber Manufacturing Co., Ltd.; HaiLin XinCheng Wooden Products, Ltd.; Hangzhou Dazhuang Floor Co., Ltd (dba Dasso Industrial Group Co., Ltd); Linyi Anying Wood Co., Ltd.; Qingdao Barry Flooring Co., Ltd.; Shanghai Anxin (Weiguang) Timber Co., Ltd.; Vicwood Industry (Suzhou) Co., Ltd.; Zhejiang Anji XinFeng Bamboo & Wood Industry Co., Ltd.; Zhejiang Desheng Wood Industry Co., Ltd.; Zhejiang Haoyun Wooden Co., Ltd.; and Zhejiang Shiyou Timber Co., Ltd. Because no party requested a review of the PRC-wide entity and the Department no longer considers the PRC-wide entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews,² we did not conduct a review of the PRC-wide entity. Thus, the rate for the PRC-wide entity is not subject to change as a result of this review.

This correction to the final results of administrative review is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i)(2)(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: August 5, 2016.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016-19133 Filed 8-10-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with June anniversary dates. In accordance with the Department’s regulations, we are initiating those administrative reviews.

DATES: Effective August 11, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda E. Waters, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Customs Liaison Unit, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th

Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4735.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department has received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), for administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with June anniversary dates.

All deadlines for the submission of various types of information, certifications, or comments or actions by the Department discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting time.

Notice of No Sales

If a producer or exporter named in this notice of initiation had no exports, sales, or entries during the period of review (“POR”), it must notify the Department within 30 days of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. All submissions must be filed electronically at <http://access.trade.gov> in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303.¹ Such submissions are subject to verification in accordance with section 782(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”). Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(1)(i), a copy must be served on every party on the Department’s service list.

Respondent Selection

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews initiated pursuant to requests made for the orders identified below, the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) data for U.S. imports during the period of review. We intend to place the CBP data on the record within five days of publication of the initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 30 days of publication of the initiation **Federal Register** notice. Comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection should be submitted seven days after the placement of the CBP data on the record of this review. Parties wishing to submit rebuttal comments should submit those comments five days after the deadline for the initial comments.

In the event the Department decides it is necessary to limit individual examination of respondents and

conduct respondent selection under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act:

In general, the Department has found that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be “collapsed” (*i.e.*, treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, the Department will not conduct collapsing analyses at the respondent selection phase of this review and will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of this antidumping proceeding (*i.e.*, investigation, administrative review, new shipper review or changed circumstances review). For any company subject to this review, if the Department determined, or continued to treat, that company as collapsed with others, the Department will assume that such companies continue to operate in the same manner and will collapse them for respondent selection purposes. Otherwise, the Department will not collapse companies for purposes of respondent selection. Parties are requested to (a) identify which companies subject to review previously were collapsed, and (b) provide a citation to the proceeding in which they were collapsed. Further, if companies are requested to complete the Quantity and Value (“Q&V”) Questionnaire for purposes of respondent selection, in general each company must report volume and value data separately for itself. Parties should not include data for any other party, even if they believe they should be treated as a single entity with that other party. If a company was collapsed with another company or companies in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding where the Department considered collapsing that entity, complete Q&V data for that collapsed entity must be submitted.

Deadline for Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), a party that has requested a review may withdraw that request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The regulation provides that the Department may extend this time if it is reasonable to do so. In order to provide parties additional certainty with respect to when the Department will exercise its discretion to extend this 90-day deadline, interested parties are advised that the Department does not intend to

² See *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963, 65969-70 (November 4, 2013).

¹ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures*, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011).