Proposed Rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

10 CFR Part 951

[Docket Number DOE–HQ–2014–0021]

RIN 1990–AA39

Convention on Supplementary Compensation for Nuclear Damage Contingent Cost Allocation

AGENCY: Office of General Counsel, U.S. Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of public workshop.

SUMMARY: This document provides information on a public workshop to discuss the U.S. Department of Energy’s (DOE) notice and request for comment on a proposed collection of information. DOE developed the proposed collection of information in connection with the notice of proposed rulemaking on the Convention on Supplementary Compensation for Nuclear Damage Contingent Cost Allocation (NOPR) in which it proposed regulations to establish a retrospective risk pooling program covering nuclear suppliers that may be required under certain circumstances to pay for any contribution by the United States government to the international supplementary fund created by the Convention for Supplementary Compensation for Nuclear Damage. (79 FR 75076, Dec. 17, 2014) DOE issued these proposed regulations pursuant to section 934 of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007.

DOE held an information session on the proposed regulation on January 7, 2015, followed by a day-long public workshop on February 20, 2015 (80 FR 4227). On March 9, 2015, DOE granted an extension of the public comment period on the NOPR to April 17, 2015 (80 FR 12352). The extension notice highlighted areas of particular attention for public comment, and indicated DOE’s intent to conduct additional data and information gathering in response to and in consideration of comments provided in the public review and comment process. In sum, commenters on the NOPR suggested that DOE’s proposed formula to calculate the retrospective premium payment was unnecessarily complex, reporting requirements for nuclear suppliers were unduly burdensome, and additional data and information on nuclear suppliers and exports were needed to support the rulemaking and enable the public to provide DOE with meaningful comments.

DOE is now proposing this information collection to gather such additional data and information from the nuclear industry in support of further development of its rulemaking. Since receiving public comments on the NOPR, and as suggested in those comments, DOE has conducted additional information and data gathering involving other relevant federal agencies. While DOE continues to review and consider this additional information and data, the proposed information collection is necessary to provide information not reported to or available from other federal agencies to inform and advance the rulemaking process. In addition, the information and data requested in the proposed information collection reflect in part comments submitted by the public on recommended risk allocation formulas and related information and data needs. One commenter, the Nuclear Energy Institute, provided a specific and detailed recommendation on an industry model for a retrospective risk
pooling program, with alternative methods of risk allocation. The proposed information collection is designed in part to obtain the information that could support a regulation based on that model. For example, the information collection is focused on the export of nuclear goods and services to industry sectors, rather than on specific types of nuclear goods and services exported. DOE also seeks in the proposed information collection to obtain information and data needed to assess and affirm the number and type of nuclear suppliers exporting nuclear goods and services and the value of those goods and services, to refine the scope and applicability of the retrospective risk pooling program within the nuclear supplier community.

The proposed information collection is a one-time effort to facilitate development of the regulation; it is separate from and not intended to be the same as the information that would be collected in connection with any reporting requirements that would take effect after promulgation of a final regulation. Upon approval of the information collection, and following review and analysis of the information and data obtained from nuclear suppliers in response, DOE will determine whether it is appropriate to issue a supplemental proposed regulation. As this process advances, DOE also intends to engage the public in additional opportunities for review and comment on the rulemaking, including on any supplemental proposal that is issued. To facilitate discussion at the public workshop, DOE encourages participants to provide views and comments on the proposed information collection form which may be viewed at http://www.energy.gov/gc/convention-supplementary-compensation-rulemaking as well as on the following topics: (1) Does the information collection form seek appropriate and sufficient information and data from nuclear suppliers to support further development of DOE’s proposed regulation, in particular with respect to the risk-informed formula; (2) is the information collection request too broad or too narrow, and if so, in what way; (3) has DOE overestimated or underestimated the number of respondents to the information collection form and if so, by how much; (4) has DOE overestimated or underestimated the burden hours of each respondent to the collection form and if so, by how much; (5) has DOE overestimated or underestimated the cost per respondent to collect the information requested in the form and if so, by how much; (6) what additional information, if any, should DOE include in the information collection form to support further development of its proposed regulation; and (7) are there other actions, in addition to the issuance of the proposed information collection form, that DOE should consider or pursue to obtain the information and data to support further development of its proposed regulation. DOE requests commenters provide any underlying data or other information in support of their views and comments in a manner sufficient to allow DOE to also review, assess and verify such data and information as appropriate.

Public Participation

A. Attendance at Public Workshop

If you plan to attend the public workshop, please notify Alencia Jenkins at (202) 586–0426 or by email: alencia.jenkins@hq.doe.gov. Please note that foreign nationals visiting DOE Headquarters are subject to advance screening procedures which require advance notice prior to attendance at the public workshop. If a foreign national wishes to participate in the public workshop, please inform DOE of this fact as soon as possible by contacting Alencia Jenkins at (202) 586–0426 or by email to alencia.jenkins@hq.doe.gov so that the necessary procedures may be implemented.

Due to the REAL ID Act implemented by the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), there have been recent changes regarding ID requirements for individuals wishing to enter Federal buildings from specific states and U.S. territories. Drivers’ licenses from the following states or territory will not be accepted for building entry and one of the alternate forms of ID listed below will be required. DHS has determined that regular drivers’ licenses (and ID cards) from the following jurisdictions are not acceptable for entry into DOE facilities: Alaska, American Samoa, Arizona, Louisiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New York, Oklahoma and Washington. Acceptable alternate forms of Photo-ID include: U.S. Passport or Passport Card; an Enhanced ID-Card issued by the states of Minnesota, New York, or Washington (Enhanced licenses issued by these states are clearly marked Enhanced or Enhanced Drivers’ License); or a military ID or other Federal government issued Photo-ID card.

DOE requires visitors with laptop computers to be checked upon entry into the Forrestal Building. Any person wishing to bring these devices into the Forrestal Building will be required to obtain a property pass. Visitors should avoid bringing these devices, or allow an extra 45 minutes to check in. Please report to the Visitors’ Desk to have these devices checked before proceeding through security.

B. Conduct of Public Workshop

The Department will designate a DOE official to preside at the public workshop and may also use a professional facilitator to aid discussion. A court reporter will be present to record the proceeding and prepare a transcript. DOE reserves the right to schedule the order of presentations and to establish the procedures governing the conduct of the public workshop. Interested parties may submit comments on the proposed information collection at any point until the end of the comment period.

The workshop will be conducted in an informal, conference style. DOE will allow time for prepared general statements by participants, and encourage all interested parties to share their views on issues affecting the proposed information collection.

Each participant will be allowed to make a general statement (within time limits determined by DOE), before the discussion of specific topics. DOE will permit, as time allows, other participants to comment briefly on any general statements. At the end of all prepared statements, DOE will permit participants to clarify their statements briefly and comment on statements made by others. Participants should be prepared to answer questions by DOE and by other participants concerning these issues. DOE representatives may also ask questions concerning other matters relevant to this information collection. The official conducting the public workshop will accept additional comments or questions from those attending, as time permits. The presiding official will announce any further procedural rules or modification of the above procedures that may be needed for the proper conduct of the public workshop.

In addition, DOE will accept for consideration questions or suggestions on topics for comment in advance of the public workshop, by September 7, 2016. If you wish to submit questions or suggestions on topics for comment, please submit them via one of the means provided in the ADDRESSES section of this notice. DOE may use the questions or topic suggestions to structure the discussion and enhance participation. A transcript of the public workshop will be included in the docket, which can be viewed as described in the Docket section of this notice.
G. Procedure for Submitting Prepared General Statements and Suggested Topics

Persons who plan to present a prepared general statement may request that copies of the statement be made available at the public workshop. Such persons may submit requests, along with an advance electronic copy of their statement in PDF to the appropriate address shown in the ADDRESSES section of this notice. The request and advance copy of statements must be received by September 7, 2016 and may be emailed, or sent by mail. DOE prefers to receive requests and advance copies via email. Please include a telephone number to enable DOE staff to make a follow-up contact, if needed.

Persons who plan to submit questions and topical suggestions for the public workshop must do so by September 7, 2016, via email or by mail, to the appropriate address shown in the ADDRESSES section of this notice. DOE prefers to receive the requests via email. Please include a telephone number to enable DOE staff to make a follow-up contact, if needed.

D. Submission of Comments

DOE will continue to accept comments, data, and information concerning this proposed information collection before and after the public workshop, but no later than October 3, 2016. Interested parties may submit comments using any of the methods described in the ADDRESSES section of this notice.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 29, 2016.

Samuel T. Walsh,
Deputy General Counsel for Energy Policy, Office of General Counsel.

[For Doc. 2016–18368 Filed 8–2–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39


RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all Airbus Model A318–111 and –112 airplanes: Model A319–111, –112, –113, –114, and –115 airplanes; Model A320–211, –212, and –214 airplanes; and Model A321–111, –112, –211, –212, and –213 airplanes. This proposed AD was prompted by reports of cracks on the 3 o’clock and 9 o’clock pivot fittings of a CFM56 engine’s thrust reverser (T/R). This proposed AD would require repetitive inspections for cracking and corrosion of the 3 o’clock and 9 o’clock pivot fittings of a CFM56 engine’s T/R, and corrective actions if necessary. We are proposing this AD to detect and correct such cracking and corrosion, which could lead to T/R malfunction and, in a case of rejected takeoff at V1 on a wet runway, a consequent runway excursion, possibly resulting in damage to the airplane and injury to occupants.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by September 19, 2016.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
• Fax: 202–493–2251.
• Hand Delivery: Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For Airbus service information identified in this NPRM, contact Airbus, Airworthiness Office—EIAS, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France; telephone +33 5 61 93 44 51; email account.airworth-eas@airbus.com; Internet http://www.airbus.com.

For Goodrich Aerostructures service information identified in this NPRM, contact Goodrich Aerostructures, 850 Lagoon Drive, Chula Vista, CA 91910–2096; telephone 619–691–2719; email jan.lewis@goodrich.com; Internet http://www.goodrich.com/TechPubs.

You may view this referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

Examing the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2016–8182; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Operations office (telephone 800–647–5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Comments Invited

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include “Docket No. FAA–2016–8182; Directorate Identifier 2016–NM–069–AD” at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD based on those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal content we receive about this proposed AD.

Discussion

The European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA), which is the Technical Agent for the Member States of the European Union has issued EASA Airworthiness Directive 2016–0076, dated April 18, 2016 (referred to after this as the Mandatory Continuing Airworthiness Information, or “the MCAI”), to correct an unsafe condition for all Airbus Model A318–111 and –112 airplanes; Model A319–111, –112, –113, –114, and –115 airplanes; Model A320–211, –212, and –214 airplanes; and Model A321–111, –112, v211, –212, and –213 airplanes. The MCAI states:

Several operators reported finding cracks, during an unscheduled inspection, on the 3 o’clock and 9 o’clock pivot fittings of a CFM56 engine’s thrust reverser (T/R). Investigation results revealed that these cracks were caused by a combination of stress and fatigue effects. Further analysis determined that only aeroplanes fitted with