

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 9949–59–Region 6; Permit NMG010000]

Final National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System General Permit for Discharges From Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations in New Mexico**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Final NPDES general permit issuance.

SUMMARY: The Director of the Water Quality Division, EPA Region 6, provides notice of reissuance of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit No. NMG010000 for existing and new dischargers in New Mexico, under the Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFO) Point Source Category and producing Horses, Dairy Cows, and Cattle other than Veal Calves, except those discharges on Indian Country. A copy of the Region's responses to comments and the final permit may be obtained from the EPA Region 6 Internet site: <http://www.epa.gov/region6/water/npdes/cafo/index.htm>.

DATES: This permit is effective, and is deemed issued for the purpose of judicial review, on September 1, 2016, and expires August 31, 2021. Under section 509(b) of the CWA, judicial review of this general permit can be held by filing a petition for review in the United States Court of Appeals within 120 days after the permit is considered issued for judicial review. Under section 509(b)(2) of the CWA, the requirements in this permit may not be challenged later in civil or criminal proceedings to enforce these requirements. In addition, this permit may not be challenged in other agency proceedings.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Evelyn Rosborough, Region 6, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733. Telephone: (214) 665–7515.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Summary of Significant Changes From the Draft Permit**

Pursuant to section 402 of the Clean Water Act (CWA), 33 U.S.C. 1342, EPA proposed and solicited comments on NPDES general permit NMG010000 at FRL–9921–07–Region 6 (December 30, 2014). Discharges eligible for coverage under the permit are from animal feeding operations that are defined as CAFOs or designated as CAFOs by the

permitting authority and that are subject to 40 CFR part 412, subparts A (Horses) and C (Dairy Cows and Cattle Other than Veal Calves) and that discharge or propose to discharge pollutants to waters of the United States. The public comment period ended March 2, 2015. The State of New Mexico Environmental Department (NMED) received an extension to April 15, 2015, for certified comments. Region 6 received comments from the New Mexico Environment Department, New Mexico Farm & Livestock Bureau, New Mexico Department of Agriculture, Texas Cattle Feeders Association, Socially Responsible Agriculture Project, the New Mexico Environmental Law Center, Animal Legal Defense Fund, Sierra Club—Rio Grande Chapter, Amigos Bravos, Lea County Concerned Citizens, Rio Valle Concerned Citizens, and Mesquite Community Action Committee, Enviro Compliance Services, Inc., and Erika Brotzman. EPA Region 6 has considered all comments received. In response to those comments the following significant changes are made to the proposed permit. All changes are discussed in the response to comments documents.

1. Permit Part II.A.5.a.ii. is revised to require calibration of land application equipment to be performed at least annually, in accordance with procedures and schedules to be established in the nutrient management plan for all equipment.

2. Permit Part I.E.8. is updated to require Notice of Intent (NOI) and Nutrient Management Plan (NMP) submittals to NMED.

3. Permit Part I.H, Change in Ownership is clarified and Permit Part I. E.9. is revised to remove the 7 day public review and comment for NOIs resulting from transfer of ownership of a facility with prior permit coverage.

4. Permit Part II.A.2.a.v. is clarified regarding equipment inspection deficiencies to specify deficiencies not corrected in 30 days to be explained.

5. Permit Part III. B. is revised to align facility closure requirements with New Mexico impoundment closure requirements.

6. Permit Part III.C.1.b. is changed to require retention of the telephone number of the recipient of any transferred manure, litter or process wastewater.

7. Permit Part V.A. is revised to change the annual report due date from January 31 to March 31.

8. Other minor changes and clarifications.

Other Legal Requirements**A. State Certification**

Under section 401(a)(1) of the CWA, EPA may not issue a NPDES permit until the State or Tribal authority in which the discharge will occur grants or waives certification to ensure compliance with appropriate requirements of the CWA and State law. The New Mexico Environment Department issued the 401 certification on April 15, 2015.

B. Other Regulatory Requirements

The Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973 requires Federal Agencies such as EPA to ensure, in consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) (also known collectively as the “Services”), that any actions authorized, funded, or carried out by the Agency (e.g., EPA issued NPDES permits authorizing discharges to waters of the United States) are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any Federally-listed endangered or threatened species or adversely modify or destroy critical habitat of such species (see 16 U.S.C. 1536(a)(2), 50 CFR part 402 and 40 CFR 122.49(c)). Today's permit is consistent with the ESA section 7(a)(2) consultation between EPA-Region 6 and the USFWS—Albuquerque Field Office, concluded on November 17, 2015.

Authority: Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.

Dated: July 14, 2016.

William K. Honker,

Director, Water Division, EPA Region 6.

[FR Doc. 2016–17709 Filed 7–27–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–9949–78–OLEM]

The Hazardous Waste Electronic Manifest System Advisory Board: Request for Nominations**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Request for nominations.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) invites nominations of qualified candidates to be considered for a three-year appointment to fill one IT expert position on the Hazardous Waste Electronic Manifest System Advisory Board (the “Board”). Pursuant to the Hazardous Waste Electronic Manifest Establishment Act (the “e-Manifest Act”

or the “Act”), EPA has established the Board to provide practical and independent advice, consultation, and recommendations to the EPA Administrator on the activities, functions, policies and regulations associated with the Hazardous Waste Electronic Manifest (e-Manifest) System.

DATES: Nominations should be received on or before August 29, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Nominations should be submitted via email to *eManifest@epa.gov*, and identified with “BOARD NOMINATION” in the subject line of the email.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Jenkins, Designated Federal Officer (DFO), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Resource Conservation and Recovery, (MC: 5303P), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC, 20460, Phone: 703-308-7049; or by email: *jenkins.fred@epa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The e-Manifest Act was signed into law on October 5, 2012 (<http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/BILLS-112s710enr/pdf/BILLS-112s710enr.pdf>). Under the terms of the e-Manifest Act, 42 U.S.C. 6939(g), EPA is required to establish a national electronic Information Technology (IT) manifest system. This system is to enable users of the uniform hazardous waste manifest forms (EPA Form 8700-22 and Continuation Sheet 8700-22A) to have the option to more efficiently track their hazardous waste shipments electronically, in lieu of the paper manifest, from the point of generation, during transportation, and to the point of receipt by an off-site facility that is permitted to treat, store, recycle, or dispose of the hazardous waste. Electronic manifests obtained from the national system will augment or replace the paper forms that are currently used for this purpose, and that result in substantial paperwork costs and other inefficiencies. Congress intended that EPA develop a system that, among other things, meets the needs of the user community and decreases the administrative burden associated with the current paper-based manifest system on the user community. The Agency anticipates that utilizing electronic manifests will reduce burden by reporting facilities by 300,000 to 700,000 hours annually, and will produce annualized and discounted cost savings over the initial six years of about \$34 million. Undiscounted cost savings should reach \$75 million or more each year, once the system is fully established and deployment costs have been paid off. To ensure that these goals are met, the Act directs EPA to establish

the Board to assess the effectiveness of the electronic manifest system and make recommendations to the Administrator for improving the system.

In addition, the e-Manifest Act directs EPA to develop a system that attracts sufficient user participation and service revenues to ensure the viability of the system. As a result, the Act provides EPA broad discretion to establish reasonable user fees, as the Administrator determines are necessary, to pay costs incurred in developing, operating, maintaining, and upgrading the system, including any costs incurred in collecting and processing data from any paper manifest submitted to the system after the system enters operation. The Board will meet to assess the adequacy and reasonableness of the service fees and, if necessary, make recommendations to the Administrator to adjust the fees accordingly.

Prior to system deployment, the Board will be asked to provide recommendations on important system development matters and on potential increases or decreases to the amount of a service fee determined under the fee structure. Substantial system development planning work is underway. The Agency is utilizing lean start-up product development strategies with agile, user-centered design and development methodologies, and is currently conducting additional system development procurement activities. The Agency anticipates the initial system deployment to occur in 2018.

The system will provide the functionality of the current paper manifest process, in a more efficient electronic workflow, and will meet all requirements specified in the e-Manifest Act and e-Manifest Final Rule, which was published on February 7, 2014 (<https://www3.epa.gov/epawaste/lawsregs/state/revision/frs/fr231.pdf>). The initial system is envisioned to be a national, electronic system (internet-based) that will enable current users of the manifest form to sign, transmit, archive, and retrieve manifests electronically. The e-Manifest system is further envisioned to allow a fully electronic mobile workflow. The mobile workflow will provide both on-line and off-line capabilities which could enable users to complete an electronic manifest even when internet access is unavailable. EPA envisions that the system will provide all data processing (paper and electronic formats), data storage, and data reporting back out to industry and state users, as well as appropriate public accessibility of data. Finally, e-Manifest aligns with the Agency’s E-Enterprise business strategy. E-Enterprise for the Environment is a

transformative 21st century strategy—jointly governed by states and EPA—for modernizing government agencies’ delivery of environmental protection. Under this strategy, the Agency will streamline its business processes and systems to reduce reporting burden on states and regulated facilities, and improve the effectiveness and efficiency of regulatory programs for EPA, states and tribes.

Although the system has not been completed, the Board is established in accordance with the provisions of the, e-Manifest Act and the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C. App.2. The Board is in the public interest and supports EPA in performing its duties and responsibilities. Pursuant to the e-Manifest Act, the Board will be comprised of nine members, of which one member is the Administrator (or a designee), who will serve as Chairperson of the Board, and eight members will be individuals appointed by the EPA administrator:

- At least two of whom have expertise in information technology (IT);
- At least three of whom have experience in using, or represent users of, the manifest system to track the transportation of hazardous waste under federal and state manifest programs; and
- At least three state representatives responsible for processing those manifests.

The Board will meet at least annually as required by the e-Manifest Act. However, additional meetings may occur approximately once every six months or as needed and approved by the DFO.

Member Nominations: Pursuant to the e-Manifest Act, the Board will assist the Agency in evaluating the effectiveness of the e-Manifest IT system and associated user fees; identifying key issues associated with the system, including the need (and timing) for user fee adjustments; system enhancements; and providing independent advice on matters and policies related to the e-Manifest program. The Board will provide recommendations on matters related to the operational activities, functions, policies, and regulations of EPA under the e-Manifest Act, including proposing actions to encourage the use of the electronic (paperless) system, and actions related to the E-Enterprise strategy that intersect with e-Manifest. These intersections may include issues such as business to business communications, performance standards for mobile devices, and Cross Media Electronic Reporting Rule (CROMERR) compliant e-signatures.

Any interested person and/or organization may nominate qualified individuals for membership. EPA values and welcomes diversity. In an effort to obtain nominations of diverse candidates, the Agency encourages nominations of women and men of all racial and ethnic groups. All candidates will be considered and screened against the criteria listed below as well as EPA's Conflict of Interest (COI) and appearance of bias guidance (http://www.epa.gov/peerreview/pdfs/spc_peer_rvw_handbook_addendum.pdf and <http://www.epa.gov/osa/pdfs/epa-process-for-contractor.pdf>). Currently there is one IT expert position available to be filled on the Board. The other positions have already been filled pursuant to EPA's request for nominations that was previously published in the **Federal Register** (80 FR 8643, February 18, 2015).

IT nominees should have core competencies and experience in large scale systems and application development and integration, deployment and maintenance, user help desk and support, and expertise relevant to support the complexity of an e-Manifest system. Examples of this expertise may include but are not limited to: Expertise with web-based and mobile technologies, particularly that support large scale operations for geographically diverse users; expertise in IT security, including perspective on federal IT security requirements; expertise in electronic signature and user management approaches; expertise with scalable hosting solutions such as cloud-based hosting; and expertise in user experience. Existing knowledge of, or willingness to gain an understanding of EPA shared services and enterprise architecture is a plus as is experience in setting and managing fee-based systems in general. Additional criteria used to evaluate nominees include:

- Excellent interpersonal, oral, and written communication skills;
- Demonstrated experience developing group recommendations;
- Willingness to commit time to the Board and demonstrated ability to work constructively on committees;
- Absence of financial conflicts of interest;
- Impartiality (including the appearance of impartiality); and
- Background and experiences that would help members contribute to the diversity of perspectives on the Board, e.g., geographic, economic, social, cultural, educational backgrounds, professional affiliations, and other considerations.

Nominations must include a resume, which provides the nominee's

background, experience and educational qualifications, as well as a brief statement (one page or less) describing the nominee's interest in serving on the Board and addressing the other criteria previously described. Nominees are encouraged to provide any additional information that they believe would be useful for consideration, such as: Availability to participate as a member of the Board; how the nominee's background, skills and experience would contribute to the diversity of the Board; and any concerns the nominee has regarding membership. Nominees should be identified by name, occupation, position, current business address, email, and telephone number. Interested candidates may self-nominate. The Agency will acknowledge receipt of nominations.

The person selected for membership will receive compensation for travel and a nominal daily compensation (if appropriate) while attending meetings. Additionally, the selected candidate will be designated as a Special Government Employee (SGE) or consultant. Candidates designated as SGEs are required to fill out the "Confidential Financial Disclosure Form for Environmental Protection Agency Special Government Employees" (EPA Form 3310-48). This confidential form provides information to EPA ethics officials to determine whether there is a conflict between the SGE's public duties and their private interests, including an appearance of a loss of impartiality as defined by federal laws and regulations. One example of a potential conflict of interest may be for IT professional(s) serving in an organization that is awarded any related e-Manifest system development contract(s).

Dated: July 15, 2016.

Barnes Johnson,

Director, Office of Resource Conservation and Recovery, Office of Land and Emergency Management.

[FR Doc. 2016-17782 Filed 7-27-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notice of Agreements Filed

The Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreements under the Shipping Act of 1984. Interested parties may submit comments on the agreements to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, within twelve days of the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register**. Copies of the agreements are available through the

Commission's Web site (www.fmc.gov) or by contacting the Office of Agreements at (202)-523-5793 or tradeanalysis@fmc.gov.

Agreement No.: 012427.

Title: CMA CGM/APL Panama—USWC Space Charter Agreement.

Parties: CMA CGM, S.A.; APL Co. Pte Ltd; and American President Lines, Ltd.
Filing Party: Draughn B. Arbona, Esq.; CMA CGM (America) LLC; 5701 Lake Wright Drive; Norfolk, VA 23502.

Synopsis: The agreement authorizes APL to charter space to CMA CGM in the trade between Panama and the U.S. West Coast.

Agreement No.: 012428.

Title: CMA CGM/ELJSA Asia—USEC Service Space Charter Agreement.

Parties: CMA CGM S.A. and ELJSA Line Joint Service Agreement.

Filing Party: Paul M. Keane, Esq.; Cichanowicz, Callan, Keane & DeMay, LLP; 50 Main Street, Suite 1045; White Plains, NY; 10606.

Synopsis: The Agreement authorizes Evergreen to charter space to CMA CGM in the trade between Asia and the U.S. East Coast.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: July 22, 2016.

Rachel E. Dickon,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-17803 Filed 7-27-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6731-AA-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Notice of Proposals To Engage in or To Acquire Companies Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The companies listed in this notice have given notice under section 4 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843) (BHC Act) and Regulation Y, (12 CFR part 225) to engage *de novo*, or to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company, including the companies listed below, that engages either directly or through a subsidiary or other company, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.28 of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.28) or that the Board has determined by Order to be closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Each notice is available for inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notice also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether the proposal complies