withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period February 1, 2015, through January 31, 2016, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of this notice in the Federal Register, if appropriate.

Notifications
This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: July 11, 2016.

Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix I—Companies Rescinded From Review

1.—Bac Lieu Fisheries Joint Stock Company
   —Bac Lieu Fisheries Joint Stock Company (“Bac Lieu”)  
   —Bac Lieu Fisheries Joint Stock Company (“Bac Lieu Fis”)  

2.—Ca Mau Seafood Joint Stock Company
   —Seaprimexco Vietnam”  
   —Ca Mau Seafood Joint Stock Company (“Seaprimexco”)  
   —Ca Mau Seafood Joint Stock Company (“SEAPRIMEXCO”)  
   —Minh Hai Sea Products Import Export Company (“Seaprimex Co”)  
   —Seaprimexco  
   —Seaprimexco Vietnam

3.—Canau Seafood Factory No.5
   —Canau Seafood Factory No.5
   —Canau Frozen Seafood Processing Import/Export Corporation (“CAMIMEX”)  

—Canau Frozen Seafood Processing Import Export Corporation (“Camimex”)  
—Canau Frozen Seafood Processing Import Export Corp. (CAMIMEX-FAC 25)  
—Canau Frozen Seafood Processing Import-Export Corporation (“CAMIMEX”)  
—Canau Frozen Seafood Processing Import Export Corporation (“Camimex”)  
—Ca Mau Frozen Seafood Processing Import Export Corporation (“CAMIMEX”)  

4.—Camau Seafood and Service Joint Stock Company (“CASES”)  
—Camau Seafood Processing and Service Jointstock Corporation (“CASES”)  
—Camau Seafood Processing and Service Joint-Stock Company (“CASES”)  
—Camau Seafood Processing and Service Joint Stock Corporation (and its affiliates, Kien Giang Branch—Camau Seafood Processing & Service Joint Stock Corporation, collectively “CASES”)  

5. Can Tho Import Export Fishery Limited Company  

6.—Cuu Long Seaproducts Company (“Cuu Long Seapro”)  
   —Cualong Seaproducts Company (“Cuulong Seapro”)  
   —Cualong Seaproducts Company (“Cuu Long Seapro”)  
   —CL Fish Co., Ltd. (Cuu Long Fish Company)  

7.—Hai Viet Corporation (HAVICO)  
—Hai Viet Corporation (“HAVICO”)  

8.—Minh Hai Export Frozen Seafood Processing Joint-Stick Stock Company (“Minh Hai Jostoco”)  
   —Minh Hai Export Frozen Seafood Processing Joint-Stick Stock Company (“Minh Hai Jostoco”)  

9.—Minh Hai Joint-Stick Seafoods Processing Company (Seaprodex Minh Hai) (“Seaprodex Minh Hai”)  
   —Sea Minh Hai  
   —Seaprodex Minh Hai (Minh Hai Joint Stock Seafoods Processing Co.)  
   —Seaprodex Minh Hai

10. Ngoc Tri Seafood Joint Stock Company  
11.—Nha Trang Seaprodex Company (and its affiliates NT Seafoods Corporation, Nha Trang Seafoods—F.89 Joint Stock Company, NTSF Seafoods Joint Stock Company (collectively “Nha Trang Seafoods Group”)  
   —NTSF Seafoods Joint Stock Company (“NTSF Seafoods”) (Nha Trang Seafoods F89 Joint Stock Company) (Nha Trang Seaprodex Company) (NT Seafoods Corporation)  
   —Nha Trang Seaprodex Company (“Nha Trang Seafoods”)  

12.—Quoc Viet Seaprodex Processing Trading and Import-Export Co., Ltd.  
   —Quoc Viet Seaprodex Processing Trade and Import-Export Co., Ltd. (“Quoc Viet Co. Ltd.”)  

13.—Sao Ta Foods Joint Stock Company (Sao Ta Seafood Factory) (“FIMEX VN”)  
   —Sao Ta Foods Joint Stock Company (“FIMEX VN”) (and its factory “Sao Ta Seafood Factory”)  
   —Fimex VN  
   —Sao Ta Foods Joint Stock Company (“Fimex VN”)  
   —Sao Ta Seafood Factory  

15.—Soc Trang Aquatic Products and General Import Export Company (“Stapimex”)  
   —Soc Trang Seafood Joint Stock Company (“STAPIMEX”)  
   —Soc Trang Seafood Joint Stock Company (“Stapimex”)  

16.—Cong Ty Thnh Thong Thuan (Thong Thuan)  
   —Thong Thuan Company Limited  
   —Thong Thuan Company  
   —Thong Thuan Seafood Company Limited  
   —Thong Thuan—Cam Ranh Seafood Joint Stock Company

18.—Thuan Phuoc Seafoods and Trading Corporation  
   —Thuan Phuoc Seafoods and Trading Corporation (“Thuan Phuoc Corp”)  
   —Thuan Phuoc Seafoods and Trading Corporation (“Camimex”)  
   —Thuan Phuoc Seafoods and Trading Corporation  
   —My Son Seafoods Factory  
   —Frozen Seafoods Factory No. 32  

19.—Viet Foods Co., Ltd.  
   —Viet Foods Co., Ltd. (“Viet Foods”)  
   —Nam Hai Foodstuff and Export Company Ltd

20.—Vietnam Clean Seafood Corporation  
   —Vietnam Clean Seafood Corporation (“Vina Cleanfood”)  
   —Vietnam Clean Seafood Corporation (VINA Cleanfood)

21.—Viet I-Mei Frozen Foods Co., Ltd.  
   —Viet I-Mei Frozen Foods Co. Ltd. (“Viet I-Mei”)  
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CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0196 or (202) 482–4261, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 8, 2016, the Department published its affirmative preliminary determination that CWP from Vietnam is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value, as provided by section 733 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The Department disclosed the calculations performed on June 6, 2016. Therefore, the deadline for submitting ministerial error allegations under 19 CFR 351.224(c)(2) was June 13, 2016.

The petitioners timely filed comments alleging significant ministerial errors in the margin calculated for Vietnam Haiphong Hongyuan Machinery Manufactory Co., Ltd. (Hongyuan). In addition, SeAH Steel VINA Corporation (SeAH) timely filed comments alleging ministerial errors in its margin calculation.

Scope of the Investigation

For a full description of the scope of this investigation, see “Scope of Investigation” at Appendix II of the Preliminary Determination.

Analysis of Significant Ministerial Error Allegations

The Department will analyze any comments received and, if appropriate, correct any significant ministerial error by amending the preliminary determination according to 19 CFR 351.224(e). A ministerial error is defined in 19 CFR 351.224(f) as “an error in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other similar type of unintentional error which the Secretary considers ministerial.” Further, a significant ministerial error is defined in 19 CFR 351.224(g) as a ministerial error, the correction of which, singly or in combination with other errors, would result in: (1) A change of at least five absolute percentage points in, but not less than 25 percent of, the weighted-average dumping margin calculated in the original (erroneous) preliminary determination; or (2) A difference between a weighted-average dumping margin of zero (or de minimis) and a weighted-average dumping margin of greater than de minimis, or vice versa.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e) and (g)(2), the Department is amending the Preliminary Determination to reflect the corrections of significant ministerial errors in Hongyuan’s margin calculation. However, as the ministerial errors alleged by SeAH are not significant in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(g), the Department has not analyzed SeAH’s comments, and is not amending SeAH’s margin calculation. As a result of amending Hongyuan’s margin, the Department is also revising the margin for the separate rate company.

Ministerial Error Allegations

For a complete analysis of the ministerial error allegations, see the Ministerial Error Memorandum.

Amended Preliminary Determination

We are correcting the preliminary dumping margin for Hongyuan. Consequently, we are amending the preliminary separate rate for Hoa Phat Steel Pipe Co. (Hoa Phat). SeAH’s preliminary dumping margin is unchanged.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter</th>
<th>Producer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam Haiphong Hongyuan Machinery Manufactory Co., Ltd</td>
<td>Vietnam Haiphong Hongyuan Machinery Manufactory Co., Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoa Phat Steel Pipe Co</td>
<td>Hoa Phat Steel Pipe Co</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SeAH Steel VINA Corporation</td>
<td>SeAH Steel VINA Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam-Wide Entity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)

2.32

0.00

113.18

Companies entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption. Because the correction of the errors for Hongyuan effectively results in increased cash deposit rates for Hongyuan and Hoa Phat, the revised rates calculated for Hongyuan and Hoa Phat will be effective on the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. Parties will be notified of this determination, in accordance with sections 733(d) and (f) of the Act.

International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, we notified the International Trade Commission of our amended preliminary determination.

Notification to Interested Parties

The Department intends to disclose calculations performed in connection with this amended preliminary determination within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).


2 The petitioners are Bull Moose Tube Company; EXLTUBE; Wheatland Tube, a division of JMC Steel Group; and Western Tube and Conduit.

3 See the petitioners’ June 13, 2016, letter.

4 See SeAH’s June 13, 2016, letter.

5 See Memorandum to Gary Taverman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, “Ministerial Error Memorandum for the Affirmative Preliminary Determination of the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam,” dated concurrently with this notice, for the analysis performed (Ministerial Error Memorandum). This memorandum is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov, and is available to all parties in the Department’s Central Records Unit in Room B8024 of the Department of Commerce building.

6 Id.
This amended preliminary determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 733(f) and 777(f)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(a).

Dated: July 6, 2016.

Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.
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Certain Pasta From Turkey: Preliminary Rescission of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting a new shipper review (NSR) of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta (pasta) from Turkey. The NSR covers one exporter and producer of subject merchandise, Durum Gida Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Durum). The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015. The Department preliminarily determines that Durum did not make a bona fide sale during the POR; therefore, we are preliminarily rescinding this NSR. Interested parties are invited to comment on the preliminary results of this review.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Baker or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2924 and (202) 482–0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 2, 2015, the Department published a notice of initiation of a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on pasta from Turkey. The Department subsequently issued initial and supplemental questionnaires to Durum, and received timely responses thereto. The Department has exercised its discretion to toll all administrative deadlines due to the closure of the Federal Government because of Snowstorm “Jonas.” Thus, all of the deadlines in this segment of the proceeding were extended by four business days. The revised deadline for the preliminary results of this review, after the four business-day extension, was February 29, 2016. However, on February 29, 2016, the Department extended the time period for issuing the preliminary results of this NSR by 106 days, until June 14, 2016. We extended it again by 14 days on June 8, 2016, until June 28, 2016.

Scope of the Order

Imports covered by this review are shipments of certain non-egg dry pasta in packages of five pounds (2.27 kilograms) or less, whether or not enriched or fortified or containing milk or other optional ingredients such as chopped vegetables, vegetable purees, milk, gluten, diastases, vitamins, coloring and flavorings, and up to two percent of alternative flour.

For a full description of the scope of the order, see the memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, “Decision Memorandum for Certain Pasta from Turkey: Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review” (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), which is dated concurrently with this notice, and is hereby incorporated by reference.

Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.214. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and is available in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Preliminary Rescission of the Antidumping New Shipper Review of Durum

As discussed in the Bona Fide Sales Analysis Memorandum, the Department preliminarily finds that the sale made by Durum in this review is not a bona fide sale. The Department reached this conclusion based on the totality of the circumstances surrounding the reported sale, including the sales price, the number of sales that Durum reported, the importer’s inability to prove that it had received payment from its U.S. customers, and the fact that the record fails to establish that the U.S. importer realized a profit on its re-sale of the subject merchandise.

Because the non-bona fide sale was the only reported sale of subject merchandise during this POR, we find there are no reviewable transactions during this new shipper period of review. Accordingly, we are preliminarily rescinding this NSR. Because the factual information used in our Bona fides analysis of Durum’s sale involves business proprietary information, for a full discussion of the basis for our preliminary determination see the Bona Fide Sales Analysis Memorandum.

Public Comment

Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results of review. Rebuttals to case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results of review.