ACTION: Notice of solicitation of comments.

SUMMARY: NuStar Logistics, LP (“NuStar”) applied for an amended Presidential Permit from the Department of State (“State Department”) to authorize the operation and maintenance of existing pipeline facilities (the “Existing Burgos Pipeline”) at the United States-Mexico border. Specifically, NuStar requested that the Department amend the 2006 Presidential Permit to: (1) Reflect NuStar’s name change from Valero Logistics Operations, LP to NuStar Logistics, LP as the owner and operator of the Existing Burgos Pipeline and (2) authorize the Existing Burgos Pipeline border facilities to transport a broader range of petroleum products than allowed by the 2006 Presidential Permit, including diesel, gasoline, jet fuel, liquefied petroleum gas, and natural gas liquids. The 2006 Presidential Permit only allows transportation of light naphtha.

After consulting with the public and interested agencies, on June 10, 2016, the State Department approved a Final Environmental Assessment (“EA”) for the Existing Burgos Pipeline and a Finding of No Significant Impact (“FONSI”). Background information related to the application, including the EA and FONSI may be found at: http://www.state.gov/e/enr/applicant/index.htm.

Executive Order 13373 (69 FR 25299) calls on the Secretary of State, or his designee, to determine if issuance of a Presidential Permit would serve the national interest. This decision will take into account a wide range of factors, including energy security; environmental, cultural, and economic impacts; foreign policy; and compliance with relevant federal regulations and issues.

The State Department invites members of the public to comment on any factor they deem relevant to the national interest determination that will be made for this permit application. Along with other factors such as those listed above, these comments will be considered in the final national interest determination. The public comment period will end 30 days from the publication of this notice. Comments are not private. They will be posted on the site http://www.regulations.gov. The comments will not be edited to remove identifying or contact information, and the State Department cautions against including any information that one does not want publicly disclosed.

The Department requests that any part soliciting or aggregating comments received from other persons for submission to the State Department include those persons that the State Department will not edit their comments to remove identifying or contact information, and that they should not include any information in their comments that they do not want publicly disclosed.

DATES: Comments must be submitted no later than August 15, 2016 at 11:59 p.m.

ADDRESSES: For reasons of efficiency, the State Department encourages the electronic submission of comments through the federal government’s eRulemaking Portal (http://www.regulations.gov), enter the Docket No. DOS–2016–0050 and follow the prompts to submit a comment.

The State Department also will accept comments submitted in hard copy by mail and postmarked no later than August 15, 2016. Please note that standard mail delivery to the State Department can be delayed due to security screening. To submit comments by mail, use the following address: Office of Energy Diplomacy, Energy Resources Bureau (ENR/EDP/EWA) Department of State 2201 C St. NW., Ste. 4428, Attn: Sydney Kaufman, Washington, DC 20520.

Dated: July 7, 2016.

R. Chris Davy,
Deputy Director, Office of Europe, Western Hemisphere and Africa, Bureau of Energy Resources, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2016–16677 Filed 7–13–16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4710–AE–P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE
[Public Notice: 9633]

Executive Order 13224 Designation of Ayrat Nasimovich Vakhitov, aka Aiat Nasimovich Vahitoh, aka Airat Vakhitov, aka Aryat Vakhitov, aka Airat Vakhitov, aka Taub Vakhitov, aka Taub Vakhitov, aka Salman Bulgarsky, aka Salman Bulgarsky, as a Specially Designated Global Terrorist

Acting under the authority of and in accordance with section 1(b) of Executive Order 13224 of September 23, 2001, as amended by Executive Order 13268 of July 2, 2002, and Executive Order 13284 of January 23, 2003, I hereby determine that the individual known as Ayrat Nasimovich Vakhitov, also known as Aiat Nasimovich Vahitoh, also known as Airat Vakhitov, also known as Aryat Vakhitov, also known as Airat Vakhitov, also known as Taub Vakhitov, also known as Taub Vakhitov, also known as Salman Bulgarsky, also known as Salman Bulgarsky, committed, or poses a significant risk of committing, acts of terrorism that threaten the security of U.S. nationals or the national security, foreign policy, or economy of the United States.

Consistent with the determination in section 10 of Executive Order 13224 that prior notice to persons determined to be subject to the Order who might have a constitutional presence in the United States would render ineffectual the blocking and other measures authorized in the Order because of the ability of the ability to transfer funds instantaneously, I determine that no prior notice needs to be provided to any person subject to this determination who might have a constitutional presence in the United States, because to do so would render ineffectual the measures authorized in the Order.

This notice shall be published in the Federal Register.

Dated: June 29, 2016.

John F. Kerry,
Secretary of State.

[FR Doc. 2016–16569 Filed 7–13–16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4710–AD–P

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD
[Docket No. FD 36032]

Omnitrax Holdings Combined, Inc.—Acquisition of Control Exemption—Alabama & Tennessee River Railway, LLC, et al.

On May 5, 2016, Omnitrax Holdings Combined, Inc. (Omnitrax) filed a petition for exemption from the requirements of 49 U.S.C. 11323–25.1 Omnitrax seeks after-the-fact Board authority for transactions that occurred on December 31, 2015, where Omnitrax acquired direct and exclusive control over 18 Class III railroads.2 The railroad(s) and the state(s) they operate in are as follows:

* Alabama & Tennessee River Railway, LLC: Alabama
* Alliance Terminal Railroad, LLC: Texas (not currently in operation)
* Brownsville & Rio Grande International Railway, LLC: Texas
* Chicago Rail Link, LLC: Illinois
* Fulton County Railway, LLC: Georgia
* Georgia & Florida Railway, LLC: Georgia, Florida
* Georgia Woodlands Railroad, LLC: Georgia
* Great Western Railway of Colorado, LLC: Colorado
* Illinois Railway, LLC: Illinois
* Illinois Railway, LLC: Illinois

1 Although the petition initially states that it is seeking an exemption from the requirements of only 11324 and 11325 (Pet. 2), it later specifically references 11323 as well (Pet. 6, 9).
2 The railroads and the state(s) they operate in are as follows:

* Alabama & Tennessee River Railway, LLC: Alabama
* Alliance Terminal Railroad, LLC: Texas (not currently in operation)
* Brownsville & Rio Grande International Railway, LLC: Texas
* Chicago Rail Link, LLC: Illinois
* Fulton County Railway, LLC: Georgia
* Georgia & Florida Railway, LLC: Georgia, Florida
* Georgia Woodlands Railroad, LLC: Georgia
* Great Western Railway of Colorado, LLC: Colorado
* Illinois Railway, LLC: Illinois
* Illinois Railway, LLC: Illinois
that its failure to obtain proper Board authority prior to the transactions was due to inadvertent oversight. (Pet. 2.)

This proceeding is related to two pending class exemption proceedings: Docket No. FD 36018, in which Central Texas & Colorado River Railway, LLC (CTCR), a non-carrier subsidiary of OmniTRAX, seeks to acquire and operate a line of railroad, and Docket No. FD 36019, in which OmniTRAX seeks to continue in control of CTCR upon its becoming a Class III rail carrier. On May 24, 2016, OmniTRAX filed a supplement to its petition for exemption, providing additional information and a request for expedited action so as not to delay capital improvement plans for the CTCR. By decision served on May 26, 2016, the Board held the related proceedings in Docket Nos. FD 36018 and FD 36019 in abeyance pending action on OmniTRAX’s petition for exemption in this proceeding. Cent. Tex. & Colo. River Ry.—Acquis. & Operation Exemption—Line of Heart of Tex. R.R., et al. (STB served May 26, 2016).

OmniTRAX’s petition for exemption will be granted. Because we are granting the petition for exemption in this proceeding, we are also reactivating the proceedings in Docket Nos. FD 36018 and FD 36019 by serving and publishing those notices in the Federal Register.

Background

OmniTRAX is a non-carrier holding company established to control short line railroads. (Pet. 2.) OmniTRAX states that it is owned by three separate and independent corporations, none of which possess a controlling interest in OmniTRAX. (Id. at 5.)

Prior to the December 31, 2015 transactions in which OmniTRAX acquired direct and exclusive control of 18 Class III rail carriers, Patrick D. Broe (Broe) indirectly controlled 17 of the 18 Class III railroads. Twelve of the railroads were directly controlled by OmniTRAX Holdings, LLC (OTH), which was controlled by OmniTRAX, Inc. (OTI), which was in turn controlled by Broe. In addition, Broe also indirectly controlled 5 other railroads, but through other “corporate arrangements not involving OTI or OTH.” (Pet. 4.)

Additionally, Broe held a non-controlling interest in the 18th railroad, Peru Industrial Railroad, LLC (PIR), an independent short line operating in Illinois. PIR connects with one of the other Class III railroads—Illinois Railway, LLC (IR)—that was acquired in the OmniTRAX transactions. These two railroads connect at Peru, Ill., but OmniTRAX asserts that the respective railroad lines do not access or serve any common industry or customer(s). OmniTRAX states that IR previously obtained exemption authority to lease and operate over PIR’s lines in Illinois Railway—Lease & Operation Exemption—Rail Line of Peru Land Acquisition 2, LLC, FD 35886 (STB served Dec. 24, 2014). (Suppl. 6.)

As mentioned above, OmniTRAX requests expedited action because this proceeding is the limiting factor to obtaining regulatory authority in the two related proceedings in Docket Nos. FD 36018 and FD 36019. OmniTRAX states that holding those docks in abeyance could result in delays to critical railroad physical plant improvements. (Suppl. 7.)

Discussion and Conclusions

The acquisition of control of a rail carrier (or carriers) by a person that is not a rail carrier but that controls any number of rail carriers requires approval by the Board pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 11323(a)(5). Under 10502(a), however, we must exempt a transaction or service from regulation if we find that: (1) Regulation is not necessary to carry out the rail transportation policy (RTP) of 10101; and (2) either the transaction or service is limited in scope, or regulation is not needed to protect shippers from the abuse of market power.

In this case, an exemption from the prior approval requirements of 49 U.S.C. 11323–25 is consistent with the standards of 10502. Detailed scrutiny of the proposed transactions through an application for review and approval under 11323–25 is not necessary here to carry out the RTP. Approval of the transactions at issue will result in a change in ownership of the 18 aforementioned rail carriers with no lessening of competition and will bring those railroads under the oversight of established short-line management. An exemption will promote the RTP by minimizing the need for federal regulatory control over the transactions, 10101(2); ensuring the development and continuation of a sound rail transportation system that will continue to meet the needs of the public, 10101(4); reducing the barriers to entry and exit from the rail transportation industry, 10101(7); encouraging efficient management, 10101(9); and providing for the expeditious resolution of this and the related proceedings, 10101(15). Other aspects of the RTP will not be adversely affected.

Nor is detailed scrutiny of the proposed transactions necessary to protect shippers from an abuse of market power. According to OmniTRAX, no shipper will lose access to rail service as a result of the transactions, and operations will continue as they did before OmniTRAX assumed control. (Pet. 3.) Further, OmniTRAX states that the relevant agreements related to the acquisitions contain no provision that would limit any of the 18 railroads’ future interchange of traffic to or from third-party connecting carriers. (Id.) Although PIR connects with IR, OmniTRAX states that their lines do not access or serve any common industry or customers. In addition, OmniTRAX states that “PIR’s only outlet to the balance of the interstate railroad network is via its connection to IR,” that PIR and its customers would continue to rely upon intermediate IR service to reach line-haul carriers. (Suppl. 6.) Accordingly, based on the record, these transactions do not appear to shift or consolidate market power; therefore, we do not find that regulation is necessary to protect shippers from the abuse of market power.6

As there is no evidence that regulation is needed to protect shippers from the abuse of market power, continued

---

As there is no evidence that regulation is needed to protect shippers from the abuse of market power, continued
Under 49 U.S.C. 10502(g), we may not use our exemption authority to relieve a rail carrier of its statutory obligation to protect the interests of its employees. The Board, however, is not required to impose labor protective conditions when only Class III rail carriers are involved in a transaction that falls under 49 U.S.C. 11324–25, as is the case here. 49 U.S.C. 11326(c).

These transactions are categorically excluded from environmental review under 49 CFR. 1105.6(c)(2)(i) because they will not result in any significant change in carrier operations. Similarly, the transactions are exempt from the historic reporting requirements under 49 CFR. 1105.8(b)(3) because they will not substantially change the level of maintenance of railroad properties.

As indicated, OmniTRAX has requested expedited action to avoid delays to critical railroad physical plant improvements. We find OmniTRAX’s request to be reasonable. We will grant the exemption and the exemption will be effective immediately.

It is ordered:

1. Under 49 U.S.C. 10502, the Board exempts the above-described transactions from the prior approval requirements of 11323–25.

2. Notice will be published in the Federal Register.

3. This exemption will be effective on July 14, 2016.

Decided: July 11, 2016.

By the Board, Rachel D. Campbell, Chairman Miller, and Commissioner Begeman.

Byrenda S. Jones,
Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2016–16671 Filed 7–13–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915–01–P

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

[Docket No. FD 36019]

OmniTRAX Holdings Combined, Inc.—Continuance in Control Exemption—Central Texas & Colorado River Railway, LLC

OmniTRAX Holdings Combined, Inc. (OmniTRAX) has filed a verified notice of exemption pursuant to 49 CFR 1105.6(c) to continue in control of Central Texas & Colorado River Railway, LLC (CTCR), a noncarrier, upon CTCR’s becoming a Class III rail carrier. CTCR is a wholly owned subsidiary of OmniTRAX.

This transaction is related to a concurrently filed verified notice of exemption in Central Texas & Colorado River Railway—Acquisition & Operation Exemption—Line of Heart of Texas Railroad, Docket No. FD 36018, in which CTCR seeks Board approval under 49 CFR 1150.31 to acquire and operate a line of railroad extending 67.5 miles from Lometa, Tex., to the end of the track at Brady, Tex. (the Brady Line).

OmniTRAX is a noncarrier holding company that controls 18 Class III rail carrier subsidiaries (the OmniTRAX Railroads) subject to the Board’s jurisdiction.

We do not need to determine whether the transaction is limited in scope. See 49 U.S.C. 10502(a).

Transportation Board, 395 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on William C. Sippel, Fletcher & Sippel LLC, 29 North Wacker Drive, Suite 920, Chicago, IL 60606.

According to CTCR, this action is categorically excluded from environmental review under 49 CFR 1105.6(c).

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at “WWW.STB.DOT.GOV.”

Decided: July 11, 2016.

By the Board, Rachel D. Campbell, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Tia Delano,
Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2016–16673 Filed 7–13–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915–01–P

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

[Docket No. FD 36018]

Central Texas & Colorado River Railway, LLC—Acquisition and Operation Exemption—Line of Heart of Texas Railroad, L.P.

Central Texas & Colorado River Railway, LLC (CTCR), a noncarrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 to acquire Heart of Texas Railroad, L.P. (HTR), and to operate a line of railroad extending between Lometa, Tex., and Brady, Tex. (the Brady Line). CTCR will acquire the 67.5-mile Brady Line, which connects with a BNSF Railway Company line at milepost 0.0 in Lometa and continues to the end of the track in Brady, pursuant to a purchase and sale agreement.

CTCR states that HTR has operated the Brady Line since 2013 when HTR acquired the Brady Line from the bankruptcy estate of the prior owner. 1

CTCR is a subsidiary of OmniTRAX Holdings Combined, Inc. (OmniTRAX). This transaction is related to a concurrently filed verified notice of exemption in OmniTRAX Holdings Combined, Inc.—Continuance in Control Exemption—Central Texas & Colorado River Railway, Docket No. FD 36019, in which OmniTRAX seeks Board approval under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(2) to continue in control of CTCR upon CTCR’s becoming a Class III rail carrier. OmniTRAX currently controls 18 Class III rail carriers (OmniTRAX Railroads) in the United States. 2

This exemption is effective July 28, 2016.

CTCR certifies that its projected annual revenues as a result of this transaction will not result in the creation of a Class II or Class I rail carrier and does not exceed $5 million. CTCR also certifies that the purchase and sale agreement between HTR and CTCR does not involve any provision limiting CTCR’s future interchange of traffic with a third-party connecting carrier.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void ab initio. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the effectiveness of the exemption. Petitions to stay must be filed no later than July 21, 2016 (at least seven days before the exemption becomes effective).

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to Docket No. FD 36018, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on the respective carriers.


2 In its verified notice filed in Docket No FD. 36019, OmniTRAX explains that in preparing the two related class exemption filings, it was discovered that OmniTRAX had acquired direct and exclusive control of the 18 OmniTRAX Railroads on December 31, 2015. It states that it inadvertently did not seek advanced authority to engage in the acquisition of control, “in part because of the preexisting close association among all of the involved carriers and their largely common short line heritage.” On May 5, 2016, OmniTRAX filed a petition for exemption in Docket No. FD 36019 to seek the requisite authority to acquire control of the OmniTRAX Railroads, and by decision served on May 26, 2016, the Board held the notice of exemption proceedings in abeyance pending a ruling on the petition. The Board granted the petition in a decision served July 14, 2016, and therefore is removing this proceeding from abeyance and publishing this notice.

3 In its verified notice, OmniTRAX explains that in preparing the two related class exemption filings, it was discovered that OmniTRAX had acquired direct and exclusive control of the 18 OmniTRAX Railroads on December 31, 2015. It states that it inadvertently did not seek advanced authority to engage in the acquisition of control, “in part because of the preexisting close association among all of the involved carriers and their largely common short line heritage.” On May 5, 2016, OmniTRAX filed a petition for exemption in Docket No. FD 36019 to seek the requisite authority to acquire control of the OmniTRAX Railroads, and by decision served on May 26, 2016, the Board held the notice of exemption proceedings in abeyance pending a ruling on the petition. The Board granted the petition in a decision served July 14, 2016, and therefore is removing this proceeding from abeyance and publishing this notice.