DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

[Docket No. PHMSA–2014–0002; PDA–36(R)]

Hazardous Materials: Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania Permit Requirements for Transportation of Hazardous Material

AGENCY: Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice Dismissing Application and Closing the Docket.

SUMMARY: The application for a determination of preemption is dismissed, and this docket is closed, because the City of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania’s permit and permit fee requirements are not being applied or enforced.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The American Trucking Associations, Inc. (ATA) applied for an administrative determination concerning whether Federal hazardous material transportation law, 49 U.S.C. 5101 et seq., preempts requirements of the City of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (City) for a permit to transport hazardous materials by motor vehicle and the fee to obtain the permit. On April 7, 2014, PHMSA published a public notice and invitation to comment on ATA’s application. 79 FR 21840. On June 2, 2014, the comment period closed without any parties submitting comments. On April 27, 2015, PHMSA published a notice of delay in processing ATA’s application in order to conduct additional fact-finding and legal analysis in response to the application. 80 FR 23328. On July 6, 2015, PHMSA sent a letter to the City’s Solicitor, and its Fire Chief, to encourage the city to participate in the proceeding. On July 20, 2015, the City responded to PHMSA’s letter and informed the agency that the “City of Pittsburgh at this time is not accepting applications for the ‘Transportation of Hazardous Materials’ permit and has not done so since 2013.” The City further stated that “[n]o fees were collected for 2013, 2014 or 2015. For 2013, the City of Pittsburgh collected a total of $8,316.00 which was deposited into the City of Pittsburgh’s General Fund.” Thereafter, in a letter, dated March 11, 2016, the City’s Solicitor confirmed to PHMSA that the City had stopped enforcing its permit and fee requirements to transport hazardous materials in 2013, and further stated that it had no intention of taking it up again.

In light of this information, ATA’s application is hereby dismissed, and the docket is closed. In the future, if the City of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania’s permit and permit fee requirements are ever applied and enforced, ATA may again submit an application for a preemption determination.


Mode Affected: Highway.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 6, 2016.

Joseph Solomey,
Senior Assistant Chief Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2016–16386 Filed 7–11–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–60–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
Community Development Financial Institutions Fund

Information Collection, CDFI and NACA Programs

AGENCY: Community Development Financial Institutions Fund, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice and Request for Public Comment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A). Currently, the Community Development Financial Institutions Fund (CDFI Fund), U.S. Department of the Treasury, is soliciting comments concerning the Community Development Financial Institutions Program (CDFI Program) and the Native American CDFI Assistance Program (NACA Program) Financial Assistance and Technical Assistance Applications for the FY 2017–FY 2019 funding rounds (hereafter, the Application or Applications). The CDFI Fund is required by law to make the Applications publicly available for comment prior to submission for a new PRA number.